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The standard quantum limit constrains the precision of an oscillator position measurement. It arises from a balance between the imprecision and the quantum backaction of the measurement. However, a measurement of only a single quadrature of the oscillator can evade the backaction and be made with arbitrary precision. Here we demonstrate quantum backaction evading measurements of a collective quadrature of two mechanical oscillators, both coupled to a common microwave cavity. The work allows for quantum state tomography of two mechanical oscillators, and provides a foundation for macroscopic mechanical entanglement and force sensing beyond conventional quantum limits.
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The interplay of measurement imprecision and quantum backaction limits the sensitivity with which the position of an oscillator can be continuously monitored, to be at best equal to the oscillator’s zero-point fluctuations [1,2]. This is known as the standard quantum limit (SQL). However, any single quadrature of the motion can, in principle, be measured without limit, provided that the measurement backaction is shunted to the orthogonal quadrature. Such backaction evading (BAE) measurements [1,3,4], which are examples of quantum nondemolition (QND) measurements, can be achieved by appropriately synchronizing the measurement with the oscillator’s intrinsic motion. Classical analogs of BAE measurements were demonstrated a long time ago [5–7]. In cavity optomechanical systems, where a mechanical oscillator is dispersively coupled to a driven optical or microwave cavity, BAE measurements that evade the quantum backaction [8–10] have recently been demonstrated [11]. In the context of generating squeezed states of mechanical motion [12–14] they have also been demonstrated in atomic spin systems [15].

BAE techniques have previously been discussed for two coupled mechanical oscillators [16] and optomechanical systems [17,18], and demonstrated for two atomic spin ensembles [19]. In a recent theoretical work [20], the concept has been extended to the collective modes of two uncoupled mechanical oscillators, each independently coupled to an electromagnetic cavity. This type of measurement allows one to measure both quadratures of a narrow-band force applied to one of the oscillators without any fundamental quantum limit [20]. Adding feedback control [20], or perturbing the measurement slightly (i.e., reservoir engineering) [21], such measurements could be used to generate steady-state entanglement between two macroscopic mechanical oscillators.

In this Letter, we for the first time experimentally demonstrate such a mechanical two-mode BAE measurement. We simultaneously achieve a measurement imprecision below the quantum zero-point fluctuations and an evasion of quantum backaction caused by microwave shot noise (below the backaction arising in a continuous position measurement). The canonically conjugate quadrature is heated predominantly by the quantum backaction.

Our system is shown in Fig. 1(a). It consists of a microwave cavity resonator and two mechanical oscillators that have no direct coupling. Previously, optomechanical systems containing more than one mechanical oscillator have been experimentally studied both in the optical [22–26] and microwave [27,28] regimes. Our cavity is a superconducting on-chip LC resonator, with frequency ω0, decay rate κ, and mode operator a. The mechanical oscillators are realized as aluminum drumheads with mode operators bi, frequencies ωi, and decay rates γi (i = 1, 2). Each mechanical oscillator is individually coupled to the cavity via the radiation-pressure interaction \( H_i = g_i a^\dagger a (b_i^\dagger + b_i) \), realizing a three-mode cavity optomechanical system [29]. The single-photon coupling rates \( g_i \) arise from a position-dependent capacitance between the cavity and each mechanical oscillator. The total Hamiltonian is hence \( H = \omega_0 a^\dagger a + \sum_i (a^\dagger b_i^\dagger b_i + H_i) \). We describe the system in a reference frame set by the cavity frequency and the average of the two mechanical frequencies [30]. In this frame we define the position quadrature \( X_i = (b_i^\dagger + b_i)/\sqrt{2} \) and momentum quadrature \( P_i = (i(b_i^\dagger - b_i))/\sqrt{2} \), and then the collective quadrature coordinates \( X_\pm = (X_1 \pm X_2)/\sqrt{2} \) and \( P_\pm = (P_1 \pm P_2)/\sqrt{2} \). Note that these quadratures are not QND variables, but have (as we will see) useful dynamics. When each oscillator is in equilibrium with a bath of temperature \( T_i \), it has the thermal occupation \( n_i^T = k_B T_i/\hbar \omega_i \). In such a thermal state, the variances of all collective quadratures are equal: \( (\Delta X_\pm)^2 = (\Delta P_\pm)^2 = (n_1^T + n_2^T + 1)/2 \), as depicted in Fig. 1(c).

In order to achieve two-mode BAE using only two tones, the system is simultaneously pumped at the frequencies...
FIG. 1. Two-mode BAE measurement scheme. (a) Schematic representation of our setup. Two micromechanical oscillators (ω1, ω2) are capacitively coupled to a superconducting microwave resonator (ω0). Pump tones are injected to port 1, and the output spectrum of port 2 is measured on a signal analyzer. (b) Two strong microwave pump tones (frequencies ω1 and ω0) realize a BAE measurement. The mechanical spectrum appears as sidebands (pink peaks) on the thermal cavity spectrum (green line). The backaction can be probed by a set of weak probe tones (short arrows), slightly detuned from the pump tones. (c) In thermal equilibrium, the fluctuations (gray circle) of all collective quadratures are equal to ΔX𝑖±. The backaction of the BAE measurement heats up the P+ quadrature, whereas X_+ remains unaffected. The red axes represent the projection on a basis defined by the probes.

ω± = ω0 ± (ω1 + ω2)/2 with equal (real) amplitudes Ω, as shown in Fig. 1(b) [20]. Assuming the system is in the resolved-sideband limit ω1 ≫ κ, we neglect terms oscillating at ±2ω0. Further assuming that g1 ≈ g2, the effective Hamiltonian is

\[ H = \Omega(X_+X_- + P_+P_-) + 2G(a^\dagger + a)X_+ + 2\Omega(a^\dagger + a)X_+ - \kappa \Delta X_+ \]

where \( \Delta X_+ \) is the total measurement backaction, with \( n_{BA} \) being the thermal occupation of the cavity. The cooperativity is introduced as \( C = 4G^2/(\gamma \kappa) \) with \( \gamma = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)/2 \). The backaction can be divided into classical and quantum contributions: \( n_{BA} = n_{BA,+} + n_{BA,-} \) corresponding to, respectively, the terms 2nT and +1 inside the parentheses in Eq. (3). We define the occupation number by \( n_{X_+} = (\Delta X_+)^2 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int S_{X_+}[\omega]d\omega \), and similarly for \( n_{P_+} \). As is evident from Eq. (2), only the \( P_+ \) quadrature is heated by backaction, while the measured quantity \( X_+ \) remains unaffected. Experimentally, there can be additional technical backaction via an increase in \( n_{BA}^T \) from equilibrium values due to pump current heating.

The mechanical spectrum \( S_{X_+}[\omega] \) is faithfully reproduced in the cavity output spectrum \( S_{out}[\omega] \). As shown in Fig. 1(b), it appears as sidebands at frequencies \( \omega_0 \pm |\Omega| \), with the noise floor due to experimental contributions [30]. This allows for experimental reconstruction of the \( X_+ \) quadrature by a spectral analysis of the scattered pump microwave light.

We stress that the symmetry inherent in Eq. (1) that protects \( X_+ \) from the backaction heating of \( P_+ \) requires exactly matched single-photon optomechanical couplings. This thus introduces an additional experimental complication compared to the single-mode optomechanical BAE measurement. It should be noted that the coupling asymmetry cannot be compensated by tuning the pump power ratio. In practice, one needs to have \( g_1 \approx g_2 \) within about 10% accuracy, which is experimentally challenging. A theoretical description including deviations from the ideal case is thoroughly discussed in Ref. [20].

As schematically depicted in Fig. 1(a), the mechanical oscillators [39] are connected to opposite ends of a transmission line cavity resonator, nearly 1 mm apart from each other. The experiments are carried out in a dilution refrigerator at a temperature of 27 mK (unless stated otherwise). The cavity is probed using a transmission measurement. The cavity has the frequency \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5.5 \) GHz, total linewidth \( \gamma = 2\pi \times 1.22 \) MHz dominated by coupling to the output line with the rate \( \kappa_{E0} = 2\pi \times 0.98 \) MHz. The coupling at the input side line is \( \kappa_{E1} = 2\pi \times 60 \) kHz, and the internal decay rate is \( \kappa_I = 2\pi \times 180 \) kHz. The mechanical oscillators have the frequencies \( \omega_1 = 2\pi \times 10.0 \) MHz and \( \omega_2 = 2\pi \times 11.3 \) MHz, and linewidths \( \gamma_1 = 2\pi \times 130 \) Hz and \( \gamma_2 = 2\pi \times 150 \) Hz, respectively. For this sample we obtained \( g_1/g_2 = 0.94 \pm 0.02 \), which allows for a nearly ideal two-mode BAE measurement [30]. The two pump tones need to be equal in amplitude as well, at the highest cooperativities presented here at 0.1% accuracy. We can calibrate the pump amplitude ratio with about 5% accuracy, and then use the pump power ratio as an adjustable parameter within the calibrated window.
Figure 2 shows the BAE measurement results. In Fig. 2(a) the measured cavity output spectra are shown for different measurement strengths (cooperativities). Figure 2(b) shows the integrated peak area, which corresponds to a photon flux [30],

\[ n_{\text{out}} = \kappa_{\text{EoC}} \frac{4\pi k}{\kappa^2 + 4\Omega^2} \left(n_{X_\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\right). \]  

For cooperativity up to \( C \approx 5 \), the data are in excellent agreement with the expected linear behavior, indicating that the \( X_\perp \) quadrature is not perturbed by measurement backaction. At the largest measurement strengths available in our experiment (limited by the technical requirement of equal pump tone amplitudes), a small increase in \( n_{X_\perp} \) is observed. This is in good agreement with independently measured technical heating of oscillator 2; see Fig. 2(e). The measured \( \gamma \), shown in Fig. 2(c), is independent of the measurement strength. As a comparison, we also carried out a measurement when only the red pump was switched on, characterized by a strong backaction. Under these conditions, the backaction is associated with increased damping of each mechanical oscillator by the amount \( \Gamma_{\text{opt}} = 4G^2k/(\kappa^2 + 4\Omega^2) \), and consequently cooling of each down to an occupation \( n_i = n_i^0/(\gamma_i + \Gamma_{\text{opt}}) \) [30]. As shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), both the occupation and linewidth strongly deviate from those in the BAE scheme.

In order to calibrate the measurement results, we perform a BAE measurement while varying the cryostat temperature \( T \). As shown in Fig. 2(d), for \( T \geq 50 \text{ mK} \) the measured \( n_{X_\perp} \) is linear with \( T \), indicating the system thermalizes with the environment. We use a linear fit to this data to calibrate the measurements of \( n_{X_\perp} \). At the operating temperature \( T = 27 \text{ mK} \), the equilibrium occupation is \( \frac{1}{2}(n_i^1 + n_i^2 + 1) = 62 \pm 2 \). The output photon flux \( n_{\text{out}} \) is subsequently calibrated by comparing to Eq. (4) for low power (\( C \ll 2 \)).

By its nature, the BAE measurement only accesses the unperturbed collective quadrature \( X_\perp \). To fully quantify the measurement backaction, we perform a second experiment, where we additionally apply a second, weak BAE measurement. It is realized by two probe tones, offset \( \delta = 2\pi \times 20 \text{ kHz} \) below the pump tones, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The probes perform a weak measurement, with cooperativity \( C_{\text{probe}} \approx 0.3 \ll C \), such that the probe itself causes negligible backaction. All tones are phase locked to a common reference. By adjusting the phase of one of the probe tones by an amount \( 2\theta \), the probes measure the generalized collective quadrature \( X_\perp^\theta = X_\perp \cos \theta + P_+ \sin \theta \), as depicted in figure Fig. 1(d). The probe tones cause two additional peaks in the output spectrum, whose area corresponds to the phase-dependent occupancy \( n_{\theta} \) as in Eq. (4). Here, \( n_{\theta} = n_{X_\perp} \cos^2 \theta + n_{P_+} \sin^2 \theta \), assuming the correlations between \( X_\perp \) and \( P_+ \) are negligible. Note that other tomographic techniques for two-mode mechanical systems have recently been demonstrated [40–42].

In Fig. 3(a) we display the total spectrum in the complete pump-probe configuration. It consists of four peaks, two corresponding to the strong pumps measuring \( X_\perp \) and two corresponding to the weak probes measuring \( X_\perp^\theta \). In Fig. 3(b) we plot the measured occupancy \( n_{\theta} \) showing the strong phase dependence of the measurement backaction at large measurement strengths. Figure 3(c) shows the quadrature occupations \( n_{X_\perp} \) and \( n_{P_+} \), measured from a sinusoidal fit to the data in panel (b), as well as \( n_{X_\perp} \) measured by the pumps, as a function of pump power. The data are well described by a theoretical prediction that includes quantum backaction on \( P_+ \) and technical heating of \( n_i^\perp \). The quantum backaction (up to \( n_{\text{BA,E}} \approx 63 \)) dominates over the classical contribution (\( n_{\text{BA,C}} \approx 6 \)). The technical heating was independently calibrated with standard optomechanical cooling measurements, shown in Figs. 1(e) and 1(f), using a single pump with frequency...
An important benchmark for a sensitive measurement is that the total measurement imprecision is below the fundamental quantum limit for continuous position detection [2]. For increasing cooperativity the imprecision $n_{X^+}^{imp}$ can be made arbitrarily small by increasing the cooperativity. In the case of $n_T = n_{amp} = 0$, the backaction noise on $P_+$ and imprecision noise of $X_+$ satisfy $n_T^{BA}n_{X^+}^{imp} = 1/4$, which arises due to phase-insensitive amplification in the measurement chain. This relation is of a similar form as the Heisenberg imprecision backaction uncertainty relation for continuous position detection [2]. Notice that in the BAE case, however, the backaction only affects the unmeasured quadrature (which is dynamically decoupled from the measured quadrature) and hence has no effect on the spectrum of the measured quantity $X_+$.

Figure 4(a) shows sample measured spectra $S_{X^+}(|\omega|)$, scaled such that the peak height corresponds to the number of quanta. For increasing cooperativity the imprecision (noise floor) is reduced. In Fig. 4(b) we show the measurement imprecision and backaction against cooperativity. The backaction is calculated from the data in Fig. 3(c) by subtracting the initial thermal occupation $n_T^{BA}$, and shown for $C > 2$ where the signal-to-noise ratio is larger than 1. At large measurement strengths, the measurement imprecision is well below the quantum zero-point fluctuations of the oscillators.

\[ n_{X^+}^{imp} = \frac{1}{C} n_T^{imp} + \frac{1}{8C} \frac{\kappa^2 + 4\Omega^2}{\kappa E_0} (n_{amp} + 1), \]

where $n_{amp}$ is the noise of the amplifier. The imprecision $n_{X^+}^{imp}$ can be made arbitrarily small by increasing the cooperativity.
Furthermore, the total backaction and imprecision of $X_b$ is below the quantum backaction by $3.2 \pm 1.0$ dB, demonstrating that our system outperforms a perfect phase-insensitive position measurement. The stated uncertainty is dominated by the statistical uncertainty (95% confidence), calculated from the spread of the data in Fig. 3(b), but also includes the calibration uncertainty of $\pm 0.3$ dB.

In summary, we have performed sensitive measurements of the collective motion of two mechanical oscillators without disturbance from the quantum backaction of the measurement. The measurement sensitivity exceeds the standard quantum limit, leading to a strong backaction observed in the canonically conjugate observable. The residual backaction is dominated by technical heating of one mechanical oscillator, which does not correspond to a fundamental limitation. Neglecting technical heating while keeping other parameters unchanged, the backaction reduces to a few quanta, closely approaching the SQL. Further, with an improved imprecision $n_{\text{amp}} \sim 1$, this can be reached at small pump power, $C \sim 1$. The two-mode backaction evading measurement can be used for quantum state tomography and for measuring both quadratures of a force without a quantum limit. This work also provides a foundation for the preparation and detection of macroscopic mechanical entanglement.
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