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We report a spin valve with a few-layer graphene flake bridging highly spin-polarized La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ electrodes, whose surfaces are kept clean during lithographic definition. Sharp magnetic switching is verified using photoemission electron microscopy with x-ray magnetic circular dichroism contrast. A naturally occurring high interfacial resistance ($> 12 $ MΩ at 10 K) implies a spin diffusion length that exceeds previous values obtained with sharp-switching electrodes.
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Graphene is at the center of an ever-growing research effort, due to its unique properties [1]. In particular, it shows great potential in spintronics [2–5], because its spin diffusion length $l_{sf}$ is expected to be long compared to semiconductors and metals, as a consequence of weak spin-orbit coupling and weak hyperfine interaction [4,6]. Significant progress has been made towards the realization of spintronic devices [5], but a better understanding of spin transport in graphene is required in order to realize logic and memory operations in which the spin degree of freedom is manipulated [7,8]. Here we show that graphene possesses a spin diffusion length that is long (70–130 μm).

Spin transport has been previously measured in graphene [2,9–12], graphite flakes [13–16], and hexagonal boron nitride-encapsulated graphene [17]. By fitting Hanle curves [2] that describe the precession of transport spins in an out-of-plane magnetic field, a wide range of values for $l_{sf}$ was reported, from 1 μm [15] up to 30 μm [17] at room temperature. The origin of the spin relaxation that limits $l_{sf}$ is still debated and may be associated with impurities [18], ripples [6], substrates [19], and spin absorption in ferromagnetic electrodes [12,20,21].

Unwanted spin absorption arises in ferromagnetic electrode materials [23], e.g., with resistances in the range of a few MΩ for graphene with metallic electrodes [20]. By exploiting this approach, Ref. [3] reported $l_{sf} \sim 150$ μm in multilayer graphene, with the interfacial resistance (tens of MΩ) dominating the channel resistance (~1 kΩ). However, the magnetoresistance (MR) data in Ref. [3] showed quasicontinuous switching, incompatible with the parallel and antiparallel magnetic electrode configurations that were assumed when analyzing these data. Therefore, the reported value of $l_{sf}$ may include contributions unrelated to spin transport, e.g., from tunneling anisotropic magnetoresistance (TAMR), which arises at contacts due to non-180° magnetic switching [24,25].

Here we demonstrate spin transport in a mechanically exfoliated flake of five-layer graphene (5LG), which bridges two epitaxial electrodes of the ferromagnetic oxide La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) to form an LSMO-5LG-LSMO spin valve. At 10 K, this device can be switched using a magnetic field to interconvert the low state of resistance $R_p$ with the high state of resistance $R_{AP} = R_p + \Delta R$, which is visible above the background noise ($\Delta R \sim 0.8$ MΩ, $MR = \Delta R/R_p \sim 3.0\%$). By contrast, previous reports based on these materials recorded no MR [26], or TAMR without spin transport [25]. Allowing the interfacial spin polarization $\gamma$ to assume a plausible range of values 0.95 > $\gamma$ > 0.54 (as for Ref. [27]), the drift-diffusion model confirms long-distance spin transport at 10 K, with 70 μm < $l_{sf}$ < 130 μm.

The required switching between parallel and antiparallel magnetic electrode configurations is confirmed at 150 K, using photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM) with magnetic contrast from x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) [28]. Magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) microscopy confirms that our uniaxial in-plane magnetic easy axis does not undergo any reorientation on cooling to the transport measurement temperature of 10 K. Therefore, our signal is due to spin transport, as intended.

The long spin diffusion length can be explained as follows. First, LSMO has a high spin polarization of up to 100% at 5 K [29,30] (and is therefore commonly used in spintronic devices [27,31]). Second, our LSMO surfaces are kept clean during electrode definition with a temporary layer.
of Au, which is completely removed by chemical etching prior to few-layer graphene (FLG) transfer. Third, by adopting the pseudocubic (110) orientation of LSMO, we are able to switch between parallel and antiparallel magnetic electrode configurations at distinct and well-defined magnetic fields, which is nontrivial for complex oxides [32]. This sharp switching is essential for estimating $I_{sd}$ without spurious effects, such as TAMR. Moreover, switching between single-domain states enhances spin signals [32]. Fourth, the insulating barriers required for good spin injection arise naturally from the structural and chemical discrepancy between the two materials. Fifth, flakes of FLG, whose physical properties represent an interpolation between two-dimensional single-layer graphene (SLG) and bulk graphite [33], possess larger values of $I_{sd}$ than SLG, due to increased screening from impurity scattering potentials [13–15].

Sample preparation is as follows. Two similar LSMO films are grown epitaxially by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) on unterminated single crystals of SrTiO$_3$ (STO) (110) (as described in Supplemental Material [34]). The film used for the device and the MOKE studies is 30 nm thick. The film used for PEEM is slightly thicker (65 nm). Both have cube-on-cube epitaxy, are fully strained, and have atomically flat surfaces between step terraces (Supplemental Figs. S1 and S2 [34]). Vibrating sample magnetometry shows in-plane uniaxial magnetic anisotropy [41] collinear with [001]$_{STO}$. The anisotropy constant is 43 kJ m$^{-3}$ at 150 K and 6.5 kJ m$^{-3}$ at room temperature, similar to previous reports [42].

Similar electrodes are defined in both films by optical lithography and Ar-ion milling. For the PEEM sample, we avoid arcing by replacing the overmilled LSMO with 50 nm of sputter-deposited Au (using the electrode-definition mask as a lift-off mask prior to dissolution). For the spin-valve device, also used for MOKE, we (i) reduce any tendency for 5LG to sag by replacing the overmilled LSMO with 48 nm of amorphous NdGaO$_3$ (NGO) deposited at room temperature by PLD (again, using the electrode-definition mask as a lift-off mask prior to dissolution), (ii) ensure clean electrode surfaces by evaporating a 10-nm-thick protective Au layer prior to lithography, removing this layer in an aqueous KI/I$_2$ solution after lithography and then wiping with cotton buds soaked in isopropanol, and (iii) anneal in 55 kPa O$_2$ at 750 °C to avoid substrate conductivity.

FLG flakes are produced by micromechanical cleavage of natural graphite. A combination of optical contrast [35], Raman spectroscopy [36,37], and atomic force microscopy (AFM) is used to identify 5LG flakes. These are then transferred onto LSMO electrodes by a wet transfer technique [1,38] (see Supplemental Material [34]).

Magnetic switching of LSMO electrodes is studied at ~150 K using XMCD-PEEM (Fig. 1). The images are obtained at magnetic remanence after applying a magnetic field $\mu_0 H_{\text{pulse}}$ along the in-plane LSMO easy axis $\llbracket[001]\rrbracket_{STO}$ (red arrow, Fig. 1). The value of XMCD asymmetry represents the projection of the in-plane surface magnetization along the direction indicated by the green arrow (in-plane projection of the grazing-incidence beam) [32]. Regions of in-plane electrode magnetization lying parallel (antiparallel) to this green arrow appear blue (red). The initial application of a negative magnetic field leads to a homogeneously magnetized remanent state [Fig. 1(a)]. Successively larger positive field pulses lead to magnetization reversal in individual electrodes [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)] and ultimately all four electrodes [Fig. 1(d)]. The subsequent application of successively larger negative field pulses leads to a second magnetization reversal in each electrode [Figs. 1(e)–1(h)].

The electrode switching sequence is, $A, B, C + D$ in the up sweep (Fig. 1(a–d)), and $A, B, D, C$ in the down sweep [Figs. 1(e)–1(h)], differing only because the field steps in our up sweep are too large to distinguish switching in $C$ and $D$. This switching sequence (widest, narrowest, narrowest, intermediate) is incompatible with the monotonic dependence of switching field on electrode width, as might be expected due to shape anisotropy. This suggests that there is a competition between more (fewer) nucleation sites and a lower (higher) demagnetizing field in wider (narrower) electrodes. The sharp switching, which we exploit for our spintronic devices, implies that domain-wall pinning is negligible, such that magnetization reversal is limited by domain nucleation.

MOKE microscopy is used to investigate magnetic switching in the widest LSMO electrode ($A$) at the 10 K magnetotransport measurement temperature (the sensitivity of the MOKE setup is not sufficient to reliably measure magnetic switching in the smaller electrodes). We find that the magnetic easy axis of electrode $A$ is collinear with

**FIG. 1.** Magnetic switching in LSMO (110) electrodes $A − D$ at 150 K. (a)–(h) PEEM images at remanence after applying a magnetic field $\mu_0 H_{\text{pulse}}$ along the LSMO easy axis $\llbracket[001]\rrbracket_{STO}$ (red arrow). Field magnitudes represent upper bounds on the fields at which the observed switching occurs. XMCD asymmetry represents the projection of the in-plane surface magnetization on the in-plane projection of the grazing-incidence beam direction (green arrow). Blue (red) depicts magnetization parallel (antiparallel) to the green arrow. Transport is measured between $B$ and $C$ using similar electrodes.
MOKE microscopy measurements of magnetic switching in LSMO (110) electrode A at 10 K. The applied magnetic field \( \mu_0 H \) and the measured component of magnetization \( M \) are collinear with the LSMO easy axis and \([001]_{\text{STO}}\), \( M_z \) is the saturation magnetization. The AFM image (30 \( \mu m \times 30 \mu m \)) (upper inset) and phase-contrast AFM image (lower inset) show the FLG flake.

[001]_{\text{STO}} (Fig. 2), and the perpendicular in-plane direction is a magnetically anhysteretic hard axis. These MOKE observations are consistent with our 150 K imaging study of all four electrodes (Fig. 1). The magnitude of the electrode switching field measured by MOKE at 10 K (\( |\mu_0 H| \sim 8 \) mT, Fig. 2) exceeds the corresponding value that may be inferred from our imaging study at 150 K (\( |\mu_0 H| \sim 1.2-1.4 \) mT, Fig. 1), primarily because reducing the temperature increases the magnetic anisotropy, such that the nucleation field required for switching is higher [43].

The 5LG flake is positioned across all four LSMO electrodes A – D, as seen by AFM (upper inset, Fig. 2). The observed wrinkles are absent in a phase-contrast AFM image of the same area (lower inset, Fig. 2), implying that the flake is clean. The flake lies conformally on the LSMO electrodes, with sagging reduced due to the NGO deposited between electrodes.

Figure 3 compares the 5LG Raman spectra before and after 5LG transfer to LSMO electrodes separated by NGO-backfilled STO substrate (BSTO). The Raman measurements after transfer are performed on the 5LG flake directly above the BSTO. The G and 2D peaks are visible for the flake on \( \text{SiO}_2 \) (blue) and after subsequent transfer to the LSMO electrodes separated by BSTO (red). A point-by-point subtraction of the BSTO background (green) yields the spectrum for the graphene flake on BSTO (black), where the D peak is absent.

Figure 3 (a,b) Raman spectra before and after 5LG transfer to LSMO electrodes separated by NGO-backfilled STO substrate (BSTO). The Raman measurements after transfer are performed on the 5LG flake directly above the BSTO. The G and 2D peaks are visible for the flake on \( \text{SiO}_2 \) (blue) and after subsequent transfer to the LSMO electrodes separated by BSTO (red). A point-by-point subtraction of the BSTO background (green) yields the spectrum for the graphene flake on BSTO (black), where the D peak is absent.

The noise may arise at the LSMO-5LG interfaces because of intermittent contact, or electrochemical reactions induced by Joule heating. Magnetically induced switching due to spin-valve behavior produces two symmetric high-resistance plateaus in the range 12.5 mT < |\( \mu_0 H \) | < 34.5 mT [Fig. 4(b)]. Given the switching sequence seen in Fig. 1 at the higher temperature of 150 K, we infer that B switches at the lower field (\( |\mu_0 H_B| \sim 12.5 \) mT), while C switches at the higher field (\( |\mu_0 H_C| \sim 34.5 \) mT), with higher...
switching-field values at the lower temperature due to enhanced uniaxial magnetic anisotropy [41]. The two high-resistance states at 10 K differ from the low-resistance state $R_p = 24.8 \, \text{M} \Omega$ by $\Delta R \sim 0.8 \pm 0.2 \, \text{M} \Omega$ (Fig. 4) ($B$ and $C$ subscripts are dropped for analysis). This yields low-field $\text{MR} \sim (3.0 \pm 0.2)\%$. Given that our electrodes display sharp 180° magnetization reversal (Figs. 1 and 2), we deduce that the high-resistance states arise from spin transport through the 5LG, rather than TAMR or other effects linked to inhomogeneous magnetization. This spin transport at 10 K may be interpreted using the drift-diffusion model [23]:

$$\Delta R = \frac{2(\beta_{\text{LSMO}} R_{\text{LSMO}} + \gamma r_{sf}^s)^2}{(r_{sf}^s + R_{\text{LSMO}}) \cosh\left(\frac{L}{L^s}\right) + \frac{R_L}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r_{sf}^s}{R_{sf}}\right)^2\right] \sinh\left(\frac{L}{L^s}\right)},$$

where $R_{\text{LSMO}} = (1/1 - \beta_{\text{LSMO}}^2)(\rho_{\text{LSMO}} L_{\text{LSMO}}/w d)$ is the spin resistance of LSMO, $R_{Q}^s = \rho_{Q}^s (L_{Q}^s/d)/w$ is the 5LG spin resistance, $r_{sf}^s = [R_p/2(1 - \gamma^2)]$ is the spin resistance of each LSMO-5LG interface (whose resistance $R_p/2 \sim 12 \, \text{M} \Omega$ dominates the device resistance), $\beta_{\text{LSMO}}$ is the bulk LSMO spin polarization, $\gamma$ is the interfacial spin polarization, $L_{Q}^s$ is the 5LG spin diffusion length, $\rho_{Q}^s$ is the LSMO resistivity, $d$ is the width that we assume for both LSMO electrodes separated by $L$, and $w$ is the width of the 5LG flake with sheet resistance $\rho_{Q}^s$.

Allowing the unknown parameters $\beta_{Q}^s$ and $\gamma$ to vary over a wide range of values, we plot contours of $\text{MR} = \Delta R/R_p$ at 10 K (Fig. 5) using $R_p = 24.8 \, \text{M} \Omega$ (Fig. 4b), $\beta_{\text{LSMO}} = 0.95$ [29], $L_{Q}^s \approx 2.6 \, \text{nm}$ [34] much smaller than $L_{Q}^s$ and therefore not critical, $\rho_{\text{LSMO}} = 10^{-6} \, \Omega \text{m}$ as measured for our films, $d = 6 \, \mu \text{m}$, $w = 7 \, \mu \text{m}$, and $\rho_{Q}^s \approx 400 \, \Omega \text{m}$ for our 5LG. If we assume $0.95 > \gamma > 0.54$ from spin-polarized tunneling studies of LSMO [30,45], then the contour representing $\text{MR} = 3.0\%$ is shallow, such that $L_{Q}^s$ at 10 K lies in a narrow range between 70 ($\gamma = 0.95$) and 130 $\mu \text{m}$ ($\gamma = 0.54$). Assuming $\gamma = 0.8$ as in Ref. [27] implies $L_{Q}^s \approx 85 \, \mu \text{m}$ (red dot, Fig. 5).

At higher temperatures, our 10 K values of $70 \mu \text{m} \leq L_{Q}^s \leq 130 \, \mu \text{m}$ should not fall significantly given that $L_{Q}^s$ has been reported to show a weak temperature dependence in both experimental [29,15] and theoretical [19] studies. Therefore, we may directly compare our low-temperature values with the smaller experimental values of $30 \, \mu \text{m}$, for graphitic flakes [13–15] and graphene [17] at various temperatures, including room temperature. Although high interfacial resistance is required to limit spin absorption [12,20,21] in our LSMO electrodes, our interfacial resistance is so high that MR is suppressed. This is seen for the aforementioned choice of $\gamma = 0.8$, where reducing the interfacial resistance to obtain $R_p = 12.5 \, \text{k} \Omega$ would increase MR to 170% (Fig. 5, inset).

Increasing MR is attractive for applications [46], because it leads to an increase of spin signal $V \times \text{MR}$, i.e., the voltage difference between parallel and antiparallel configurations, when working at constant current ($V$ is our bias voltage). Our experimental $\text{MR} = 3.0\%$ with $V = 80 \, \text{mV}$ implies $V \times \text{MR} = 2.4 \, \text{mV}$, whereas increasing MR to 170% would increase $V \times \text{MR}$ to 136 mV, exceeding the LSMO-MWNT-LSMO value of 65 mV deemed suitable for

FIG. 4. LSMO-5LG-LSMO spin-valve magnetotransport at 10 K. Resistance $R_{bc}$ and magnetoresistance $\text{MR}_{bc}$ on decreasing (blue) and increasing (red) magnetic field $H$ along the LSMO easy axis collinear with [001]$_{STO}$. Dashed lines indicate $R_{bc} = 24.8 \, \text{M} \Omega$ and $R_{bc} + \Delta R_{bc} = 25.6 \, \text{M} \Omega$ for parallel and antiparallel electrode magnetizations, respectively. The antiparallel configuration is indicated by gray shading in 12.5 mT $< |\mu_0 H| < 34.5$ mT. Data obtained by averaging three up and down sweeps (Supplemental Fig. S4). Bias voltage = 80 mV. 5LG bridges a 2 $\mu \text{m}$ gap between electrodes $B$ and $C$, whose subscripts are dropped for analysis.

FIG. 5. LSMO-5LG-LSMO spin-valve parameters at 10 K. Contours and grayscale show $\text{MR}(\gamma, I_{sf}^s)$ from the drift-diffusion model [23]. For $\text{MR} \sim 3.0\%$ and $0.95 > \gamma > 0.54$, we find $70 \mu \text{m} < L_{Q}^s < 130 \, \mu \text{m}$ (red contour). The red dot denotes $\text{MR}(\gamma = 0.8, I_{sf}^s = 85 \, \mu \text{m}) = 3.0\%$ for the experimental value of $R_p = 24.8 \, \text{M} \Omega$. Inset: $\text{MR}(R_p)$ for $\gamma = 0.8$ and $I_{sf}^s = 85 \, \mu \text{m}$. 
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applications [27]. Note that our entire range $70 \mu m \leq l_{sf} \leq 130 \mu m$ exceeds the 50 $\mu m$ deduced for MWNTs [27], possibly reflecting different sources of spin relaxation [47], e.g., FLG edges and MWNT curvature.

In summary, we have reported spin transport at 10 K through a five-layer graphene flake that forms resistive interfaces with highly spin-polarized LSMO (110) electrodes, using XMCD-PEEM imaging to confirm sharp magnetic switching in the electrodes. By assuming $0.95 > \gamma > 0.54$, we infer values of $70 \mu m < l_{sf} < 130 \mu m$ that exceed previous experimental values for graphitic flakes [13–15] and graphene [17] with sharp-switching electrodes (note that $l_{sf}$ has been reported to show a weak temperature dependence [2,9,15,19]). The key future challenge is to increase MR by reducing interfacial resistance, but annealing in forming gas [48] or using a current [49] could yield the desired reduction while also increasing $l_{sf}$ through increased cleanliness.
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