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The vicinal amino alcohol is a common motif in natural products and pharmaceuticals. Amino acids constitute a natural, inexpensive, and enantiopure choice of starting material for the synthesis of such functionalities. However, the matters concerning diastereoselectivity are not obvious. This Perspective takes a look in the field of diastereoselective synthesis of vicinal amino alcohols starting from amino acids using various methods.

Introduction

Amino alcohols are a common structural motif found in a range of natural molecules (Fig. 1). In proteins, one encounters the hydroxy amino acids serine (1) and threonine (2). In lipid bilayers and participating in cellular signalling pathways one cannot avoid the diverse class of sphingoids, e.g. sphingosine (3). The hormones epinephrine (4) and norepinephrine (5) are amino alcohols as well. Many others can be encountered beyond the safety of the human body ranging from small hydroxylated alkaloids like the glycosidase inhibitor nojirimycin (6) and the antimarial agent febrifugine (7) to depsipeptides like the anticancer agent hapalosin (8) to amino sugars like antibiotic neo-mycin (9). Due to the diverse biological activities the amino alcohol moiety has been incorporated into pharmaceuticals as well. Randolazine (10) is a compound used in antiangiial preparations. Metoprolol (11) and nebivolol (12) are β1 receptor blockers used for the treatment of a number of cardiovascular conditions. Zanamivir (13) is a neuraminidase inhibitor used in the treatment of influenza. Docetaxel (only the amino alcohol containing side chain is drawn) (14) is an antimitotic compound used to combat metastatic cancers.

Beyond the medicinal use, the synthetic community has taken interest in the amino alcohol moiety, primarily as ligands for organometallic chemistry and as chiral auxiliaries. The general construction of amino alcohols has been recently reviewed.

In the era of enantioselective transformations several creative and efficient methods have been developed for the asymmetric synthesis of amino alcohols from achiral or racemic starting materials. However, one should not forget the wonderful collection of enantiopure compounds provided by Nature, the chiral pool. In this Perspective we wish to remind readers that diastereoselective transformations are still useful as reflected partly through the work being conducted in our laboratory. The reader will hopefully gain insight into how to control the stereochemistry of these fickle molecules.

Amino acids constitute a natural choice of starting material for the synthesis of amino alcohols. Natural L-amino acids are available in bulk quantities at very affordable prices. The corresponding d-enantiomers are more expensive, but generally also available in large quantities. A number of methods allow the preparation of unnatural amino acids using natural ones as templates. However, such methods are beyond the scope of this article.

Our group has been actively involved in the synthesis of non-peptide natural products form amino acids, and consequently we have investigated the synthesis of (vicinal) amino alcohols over the course of years. The following sections sum up our results relating to this subject backed up by a wide variety of results from the literature.

Additions to α-aminoaldehydes

Conventions used in this text

Addition of organometallics and other nucleophiles to α-amino aldehydes constitutes a straightforward method for direct synthesis of vicinal amino alcohols. The selectivity observed in the addition is usually explained with the Felkin–Anh/Cram chelate models. We shall first briefly explain the model and how it is interpreted in this text.

The Newman projection of the generalized amino aldehyde along the carbonyl axis is shown on the top of the Fig. 2. The Felkin–Anh model would place the electronegative NPg-group perpendicular to the carbonyl axis due to favourable n–π* interaction from the nitrogen lone pairs. The nucleophile (Nu−) then attacks the carbonyl along the least hindered Bürgi–Dunitz trajectory. The product obtained is the Felkin-product, which is referred interchangeably in the text as the anti-product. The formation of the anti-Felkin (or syn-product) is often explained by chelated model where the carbonyl and the nitrogen (or the nitrogen protecting group) are bound together, thus placing the
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R group perpendicular to the carbonyl axis. Addition along the least hindered trajectory would indeed produce the syn-product.

Since the concepts of syn and anti are not unambiguous, the compounds in this text are always drawn as in the above figure: the R-group and the nucleophile are drawn into plane. Thus, the terms syn and anti always refer to the mutual arrangement of the amino and alcohol groups.

Sometimes the observed diastereoselectivities are given as diastereomeric excesses (% de) or as diastereoselectivity percentages (% ds). For ease of comparison, these units have been converted into diastereomeric ratios (dr). For transparency, the drs are always presented in parentheses along with the original value.

**On amino aldehydes**

The use of amino aldehydes does have one major drawback. The inherent instability of the amino aldehyde moiety can be an issue, as they are prone to racemization under basic conditions and even on prolonged storage. In our hands, the serinal derivative 16 (Garner’s aldehyde, Scheme 1), introduced by Garner, has proven to be convenient to work with and configurationally stable even after years of storage.4

The methyl ester 15 is conveniently synthesized in 3 steps from serine. Serine is esterified with methanolic HCl and then Boc-protected. The acetonide functionality is introduced under Lewis acidic conditions to give 15 typically in 70–80% overall yield after vacuum distillation. The concomitant Dibal-H reduction has been problematic due to the tedious workup.
involving gelatinous aluminium salts. During a synthetic endeavour we required access to large amounts of 16 (>100 mmol).

After extensive experimentation we discovered that the reduction is best achieved in dichloromethane, then quenched with a large excess of MeOH followed by two equivalents (relative to DIBAL-H) of tartaric acid. The resulting mixture can then be filtered, concentrated and distilled under high vacuum to give 16 reproducibly in 70–80% yield and >97% ee by GC. If one wants to avoid the use of DIBAL, 15 can be reduced to the alcohol with LiAlH4 and reoxidized under standard Swern conditions.5

Since the construction of oxazolidine structures similar to 16 is not possible from other amino acids except threonine, a different strategy must be adopted. N,N-Dibenzyl α-amino aldehydes (17, Fig. 3) have been successfully used in diastereoselective synthesis and are reported to be reasonably stable.6 The N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes 17 are generally synthesized by dibenzylating the corresponding amino alcohol followed by Parikh–Doering or Swern oxidation. Under no circumstance should the aldehydes be purified by column chromatography. In some cases rearrangements occur and in most cases extensive, even total, racemization as per Whiting et al.’s report.7

The third class of amino aldehyde that appear in the literature with some frequency are the singly protected amino aldehydes. The protecting group must be chosen with care, as the high nucleophilicity of the amino moiety must be kept in check. Carbamate protected amino aldehydes like 18 are most frequently used ones, but they are by far the most sensitive ones, as they are reported to suffer from the erosion of enantiomeric excess during synthesis and purification.8,9 Use of bulky protecting groups like trityl (20) or 9-phenylfluorenyl (19) renders the amine essentially non-nucleophilic and can sometimes protect the substrate from racemization, even under harsh conditions.10 There are other protecting groups as well, and some are briefly touched on in this review.

Additions to monoprotected amino aldehydes

Addition of organometallic reagents to carbamate protected amino aldehydes generally exhibit low diastereoselectivity. Boc-group is preferable over Cbz as it is less vulnerable to organomagnesium or -lithium reagents.

Protected prolinals behave differently compared to other singly protected amino aldehydes, since they lack the acidic NH-proton. Also, the aldehyde is more configurationally stable than its counterparts. We found out that addition of the acetylide 22 to prolinal 21 proceeds with moderate diastereoselectivity and yield (Scheme 2). This product was then advanced to the castanospermine derivative 24.11 The stereochemical integrity was checked by oxidation the acetylenic function of 23 back to Boc-protected proline followed by derivatization with (S)-phenylethylamine and HPLC-analysis.

Interestingly, addition of a Grignard reagent prepared from TMS-acetylene to the prolinal 21 proceeded with almost no selectivity (Scheme 3). The authors also reported that the addition of the corresponding lithium acetylide only advanced to about 20% conversion presumably due to competing enolization.12

Cella et al. reported that crotylation of N-Boc prolinal 21 with a crotyl trifluoroborate salt proceeds with high anti–syn preference in a biphasic system with TBAI (tetrabutylammonium iodide) as phase transfer catalyst (Scheme 4). Allylation of N-Boc valinal 28 and leucinal 29 with the same system using an allyl fluoroborate salt proceeds similarly with high anti-selectivity and yield.13

Koide and Shahi reported that silver acetylides (generated from the corresponding acetylene and silver nitrate) added to N-Boc leucinal 29 with syn-selectivity in the presence of stoichiometric zirconocene dichloride and catalytic silver triflate (Scheme 5). Without the added silver triflate the reactions were sluggish and low yielding.14
In some cases 9-phenylfluorenyl-protected amino aldehydes undergo highly syn-selective additions with alkynyl Grignard reagents, as noted by Park et al. (Scheme 6). Substrates with aromatic side (32–34) groups exhibit favourable π-interactions with the Pf-group, thus enhancing the chelation control. The interaction was clearly visible in the crystal structure of N-Pf-L-Phe-OMe. This also explains why substrates with aliphatic side groups (35–38) gave low selectivity.

Krische et al. described a highly syn-selective rhodium catalyzed addition of vinyl ketones 40a–b to Boc-protected amino aldehydes (Scheme 7). The authors invoked a chelated cyclic transition state to explain the high selectivity. In the presence of a hydrogen bond donor (i-amyl alcohol) the selectivity was eroded; a result which supports the chelate model. The reaction conditions were also shown to be non-epimerizing: N-Boc phenylalaninal 42 of 88% ee was reacted with methyl vinyl ketone and was cleanly transformed into product 43b with 88% ee.

Somfai et al. reported a highly diastereoselective [3 + 2] annulation of tosyl protected amino aldehydes and 1,3-bis(silyl)-propene (Scheme 8). Pyrrolidines 50 were obtained as single diastereomers in moderate yields. The high selectivity was attributed to strongly chelation controlled initial syn-addition. The products are amenable to Tamao–Fleming oxidation, which was demonstrated on substrate 50d to give the polyhydroxylated pyrrolidine 51 (50% yield over 2 steps).

Scheme 6  π-Interaction aided chelation control.

Scheme 7  Rhodium catalyzed syn-selective addition of vinyl ketones.

The bulky dibenzylamine group efficiently directs the addition of organometallics and prevents chelation control. Perez-Encabo et al. described the addition of homoallylmagnesium bromide to several N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes (Scheme 9).

Scheme 8  Diastereoselective [3 + 2] annulation.

Scheme 9  Addition of homoallylmagnesium bromide to dibenzyl-protected aldehydes.

High Felkin–Anh selectivity is achieved with alanine and serine derived aldehydes 52 and 53 and good selectivity for α-phenylglycine derived one (ent-54). Similarly, the addition of Büchi’s reagent (56) to serinal 53 proceeds in excellent yield and diastereoselectivity (Scheme 10).
Nicholas and Molinski required access to both diastereomers of amino alcohols 59 and 61 during the synthesis of a dimeric sphingolipid oceanapiside (Scheme 11). Addition of propylmagnesium bromide to aldehydes 52 and 58 provided the anti-diastereomers in good selectivity. Remarkably, they found out that the Sakurai-allylation can be used to access the syn-diastereomers 60 and 62 in good diastereomer ratio. This represents one of the few cases where the Felkin–Anh selectivity has been overridden.

Hanessian and Devasthale have used the TBAF (tetrabutylammonium fluoride) catalyzed Henry-reaction between several N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes and nitro compounds (65a–c) to build stereodiads and triads 66 with high selectivity (Scheme 12). The aldehydes were shown not to epimerize under the reaction conditions and the product distribution was kinetically controlled. The observed anti,anti-configuration of the products follows the Felkin–Anh model.20

Hanessian et al.’s group has also studied aldol reactions between several N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes and γ-butyrolactone (Scheme 13).21 Treatment of N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes with the lithium enolate of γ-butyrolactone generated the anti–anti adducts 68 as the major product with various amounts of the three other diastereomers. Mukaiyama-aldol with the TMS-enol ether of γ-butyrolactone in the presence of different Lewis acids significantly altered the product ratios. Treatment of aldehyde 67 with the silyl enol ether in the presence of ZnBr₂ gave the anti–syn adduct 69 in high selectivity, whereas YbFOD gave the all-syn diastereomer 70.

Samarium diiodide initiated Barbier reactions between bromo(nitro)methane and N,N-dibenzylamino aldehydes also follow Felkin–Anh control, as demonstrated by Concillón et al. (Scheme 14). Interestingly, the selectivity decreased as the R-group’s steric demand was increased. The stereochemical integrity was retained throughout the reaction and the products were isolated in good yields.22

Treatment of 53 with lithium iodomethane, generated from diiodomethane and methyl lithium, directly furnished the anti-epoxide 72 in high yield and practically as a single diastereomer (Scheme 15). No racemization was detected in the addition.23

Mukaiyama aldol reaction between N-Bn,N-Ts protected valinal 73 and t-butyldimethylketone derived enol ether in the presence of a Lewis acid produced the anti-adduct 74 in high yield.
and as a single diastereomer (Scheme 16), thus demonstrating that the tosyl group seems to have directing power similar to a benzyl group.24

Additions to Garner’s aldehyde

The stereochemical outcome of nucleophilic additions to Garner’s aldehyde can be controlled by selecting the appropriate conditions. Felkin-products are usually predominant (Fig. 4, A). Anti-Felkin products dominate when the reaction is run in the presence of a chelating agent (Fig. 4, B). This result can be rationalized by imagining the chelating agent binding the two carbonyl groups together. The chelating effect is often pronounced in diethyl ether compared to THF where chelates are better solvated.

This model appears to be quite general, although some interesting reagent dependent behaviour has been reported concerning Grignard reagents (Scheme 17). Williams et al. reported that the addition of phenylmagnesium bromide to 16 proceeds via the expected non-chelated pathway, but isopropylmagnesium bromide addition follows the chelated pathway.25

Jurczak et al. have shown that lithiated t-butyldimethylsilyl propargyl ether can be added to 16 in either chelated or non-chelated mode furnishing anti-Felkin (syn) or Felkin (anti) products, correspondingly (Scheme 18).26 High selectivity for anti-addition can be achieved using HMPA (hexamethylphosphoramide) to break the lithium aggregates and chelates. Conversely, syn-selective additions are predominant when a bidentate chelating agent is used, albeit at reduced yields.

We have used these results as a basis for several total syntheses. The introduction of a propargyl alcohol into a molecule brings in three carbon atoms, all of which can be readily further functionalized. This renders the propargyl alcohol a very useful three carbon synthon for various purposes.

During the total synthesis of altro-deoxynojirimycin (79) we noted that anti-76 can be synthesized simply by performing the coupling in THF without additives (Scheme 19). This reaction readily scaled up to 100 mmol thus providing ample supply of anti-76 with a very useful diastereoselectivity (>15:1). The anti-76 was advanced the allylic chloride 77 which was then dihydroxylated under modified Upjohn conditions to furnish the triol 78 in 6:1 dr for the Kishi product. Three more operations led to the target structure 79.27

We had a hypothesis, that a Z-vinyl lithium species would offer higher selectivity on the basis that it is sterically more demanding than the bullet-like acetylene. Unfortunately, it was found to behave in similar fashion to lithium acetylens, as noted during the synthesis of jaspine B (81) and its diastereomers (Scheme 20). The urea derivative DMPU (1,3-dimethyltetrahydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one) turned out to be a useful
alternative to the toxic HMPA furnishing the anti-80 in 17:1
diastereomeric ratio and 57% yield. Use of Lewis acids reversed
the selectivity, however the use of tin(IV)chloride only gave
1:1.7 selectivity in contrast to Jurczak’s results. In this case the
monodentate BF3·OEt2 gave the highest selectivity (1:6) for the
syn-80 with mediocre yield. The products were then advanced to
jaspine B and 3 of its diastereomers.28,29

We were not happy with the performance of lithium acetylides
or vinyl lithium species under anti-addition conditions. In search
of a complementary method we turned to zinc nucleophiles
which had been reported to add to α-chiral aldehydes in high
anti-Felkin selectivity.30 Using the Wipf procedure the nucleo-
phile was generated by hydrozirconation of the acetylene, fol-
lowed by transmetallation into the vinyl zinc species.31 This was
found to add to 16 with virtually complete diastereocontrol
(Scheme 21) for the desired syn-product. Furthermore, we
proved that the conditions are non-epimerizing thus providing
facile access to enantiopure 84.32

The diastereoselective addition of different titanium reagents,
prepared from unsaturated hydrocarbons and Ti(O-iPr)4–
2iPrMgCl complex, to Garner’s aldehyde was investigated by
Sato et al. (Scheme 22). Titanium–alkyne complexes added to
16 in very high diastereoselectivity and good yield to give the
allyl alcohols 85. Allyltitanium complexes delivered the homo-
allyl alcohols 86 and 88 in good diastereoselectivities. Unfortu-
nately, the crotyltitanium complex added in low selectivity and
with almost no control over the methyl group. Propargylations
and the single allenylation proceeded with good to high selectiv-
ity and in good yields.33

### Additions to amino ketones

**Direct addition of organometallic reagents to amino ketones**

Reetz and Schmitz have studied the addition of simple organo-
metallics to N,N-dibenzyl protected amino ketones, along with
their other work concerning dibenzyl protected amino acid
derivatives. As can be seen from Scheme 23, the additions
generally proceed with excellent syn-selectivity. The authors
state, that simple primary Grignard reagents tend to reduce the
ketone (thus lower yields for 97c and 97f), a reaction that pre-
sumably proceeds via β-hydride elimination. However, cerium
reagents (generated by addition of lithium reagents to CeCl3) or
lithium reagents show no tendency for such side reactions.34

Concellón et al. reported a highly diastereoselective addition
of lithium ester enolates into α-chloromethylketones (Scheme 24).
Upon concentration, the initial chlorohydrin products underwent further reactions. In cases where R3 is other
than hydrogen, epoxide formation takes place to give complex
epoxyesters 101. Acetate enolates could also be reacted in this

![Scheme 20](image)
**Scheme 20** Total synthesis of jaspine B (pachastrissamine).

![Scheme 21](image)
**Scheme 21** Total synthesis of (−)-galacto-deoxynojirimycin.

![Scheme 22](image)
**Scheme 22** Additions of titanium reagents to Garner’s aldehyde.

![Scheme 23](image)
**Scheme 23** Additions of organometallic reagents to amino ketones.
way if water and NaH were added without isolating the intermediate chlorohydrin.

Without the addition of water and NaH, a ring closure took place to produce azetidinium salts (Scheme 25). These could be further transformed into N-benzyl azetidines by hydroxide. The products were reported to be enantiomerically pure.35

Via reduction of amino ketones

The diastereoselective reduction of amino ketones has been studied by several groups, including ours. The section will be divided into two parts; the first one dealing with unsaturated amino ketones and the second part dealing with α,β-unsaturated amino ketones.

Reduction of saturated amino ketones. Hoffman and co-workers have studied the reduction of the Cbz-protected simple amino ketone 103 (Scheme 26). Reasonably selective anti-reduction could be achieved using almost any borohydride (K, Na, Li and tetramethylammonium cations were tested). Syn-selective reductions were best achieved with the bulky lithium aluminium tert-butoxide in ethanol. Interestingly all selectivity was lost when the reduction was performed in THF. It seems that ethanol as a hydrogen bond donor is able to break all chelation in the molecule.36

During the total synthesis of amaminol A, we needed to reduce the amino ketone 105 in syn-selective manner (Scheme 27). The best selectivity we were able to obtain was 3:1 with LiAI(O–tBu)3H in THF. If the anti-reduction is desired, then l-selectride is the reagent of choice. The chiral (S)-alpine hydride gave almost the same selectivity as

It should be noted that the connotation of Felkin and anti-Felkin are reversed compared to the additions into aldehydes (Fig. 5). Chelated mode will produce the anti-product, whereas the syn-product arises through Felkin control.

Reduction of saturated amino ketones.
LiAl(O-iBu)_3H but with lower yield. DIBAL-H (with or without ZnCl_2) gave roughly 1 : 1 mixture.37

Reduction of the serine-derived β-ketoester 107 with sodium borohydride at cold temperature provided the anti-product in mediocre selectivity (Scheme 28).38 Joulie et al. have reported that good selectivities can be obtained by switching to potassium borohydride instead: valine and allo-isoleucine derivatives 109 and 111 could be reduced with very good selectivity.39,40

Chemists at Bristol-Myers-Squibb reported a scalable synthesis of α-chloromethylketones by Kowalski homologation, and their telescoped conversion into amino epoxides (Scheme 29). Treatment of a solution of the ester 113 and chloroiodomethane with LDA gives the α-chloromethylketone 114 in 50–86% yield after crystallization. The chloroketone can be converted into amino epoxide 115 without isolation by treating the crude extract of the previous reaction with ethanolic sodium borohydride followed by KOH. The procedure was demonstrated with several other amino esters (alanine, tyrosine, proline, and valine). The reductions typically proceeded in 4 : 1 dr but were easily purified by crystallization to full chemical and optical purity.41

Similar to α-chloromethylketones, α-bromomethylketones can be reduced in a highly diastereoselective manner (Scheme 30) simply with sodium borohydride. Several reducing agents and conditions were screened and it should be noted that no conditions were able to provide the syn-product in better than 1 : 2 ratio. Switching from ethanol to THF has a dramatic effect on diastereoselectivity.42

Reduction of N,N-dibenzyl protected chloromethylketone 118 with LAH at very low temperature proceeds with exceptional stereocontrol for the syn-isomer (Scheme 31). The halohydrin 119 was obtained practically as a single diastereomer with no detectable racemization.43

In our hands, the N-Bn,Boc protected alanine-derived α-chloroketone produced a single diastereomer upon reduction with sodium borohydride at low temperature (Scheme 32). Even though a carbamate was present in the molecule, no anti-product arising from chelation controlled reduction was detected.44

Similarly, the N-bisprotected tyrosine derivative 123 was obtained as a single syn-diastereomer and in excellent yield after treatment with L-Selectride (Scheme 33). Even a simple methyl group was enough to give full Felkin–Anh selectivity in this case.45
Izawa et al. reported a very useful method for preparing α-chloromethylketones (Scheme 34). They noticed that the diphenylmethine and even the benzylidene groups act as efficient, yet transient, protecting groups for the chloromethylation reaction. Treatment of the solution of bromochloromethane and 124 or 125 in THF with n-BuLi followed by acidic workup furnished the amine hydrochlorides in good yields and at uncompromised enantiomeric purity. Concomitant reduction with sodium borohydride proceeded only with mediocre selectivity, but intriguingly gave the syn-diastereomer as the major product, although strong chelation control might be expected.46

During their synthesis of sphingosine derivatives Hoffman and Tao have studied the diastereoselective reduction of trityl-protected amino ketones (Scheme 35). They found out that efficient syn-selective reduction can be achieved with sodium borohydride. The conditions for synthesizing 128 and the following reduction were also shown to be non-epimerizing. The serine derivative 130 gave access to the syn-isomer 131. In comparison, the oxazolidine derivative 132 produced the corresponding anti-diastereomer 133 with outstanding selectivity.47

A very interesting case was the reduction of the trityl-protected α-ketoesters 134–136 with borohydrides (Scheme 36). Low selectivities and yields were obtained. Even more surprisingly the reduction was anti-selective. This is in stark contrast to the results presented in the previous scheme. The authors propose that the amino acid side chain (R-group) and the trityl amine are not sufficiently sterically differentiated. In light of previous data, this cannot be the only reason. Most likely the ester carbonyl is involved in a manner that reinforces the chelation controlled transition state.

If the unprotected amine salts 138–140 are reduced, excellent chelation control is achieved with much improved yields. This is in contrast to the reduction of the α-chloromethylketone 126 (Scheme 34).48

Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley (MPV) reduction of alanine derived aryl ketones 142–144 proceeds with excellent diastereoselectivity in the presence of catalytic aluminium isopropoxide in toluene (Scheme 37). The authors propose a rigid six membered chair-like transition state to explain the selectivity. In the case of 143 and 144 the products completely retained their stereochemical integrity throughout the reaction. The authors
also showed that phenylalanine derived chloroketones can be reduced under the same conditions, and that the choice of the nitrogen protecting group significantly affects the selectivity.49

According to Hoffman and Tao, reduction of β-chiral substrates with sodium borohydride proceeds with complete syn-selectivity regardless of the stereochemistry at the β-position (Scheme 38).50 Similarly, Benedetti et al. noticed that the N,N-dibenzyl β-ketonitrile 156 produced a single diastereomer upon treatment with sodium borohydride. The corresponding Boc-protected compounds (158 and 160) showed the opposite stereocchemical outcome with high dependence on the stereochmistry at the β-position.51

Reduction of α,β-unsaturated ketones. Enones are generally synthesized from amino acid derived β-ketophosphonates via Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction with the desired aldehyde. General approach to β-ketophosphonates involves the addition of lithiated dimethylmethyl phosphonate (DMMP) to the corresponding amino ester. The conditions are non-epimerizing. However, the phosphonates themselves are not indefinitely configurationally stable. In our experience, prolonged storage or heating (for example during recrystallizations) leads to some degree of epimerization. Thus, some care must be exercised when dealing with such compounds.

We explored this strategy during the total syntheses of sphingosine and castanospermine derivatives.

The synthesis of sphingosine started with treatment of Garner’s ester (162) with lithiated DMMP to deliver the β-ketophosphonate 163 in 65–90% yield (Scheme 39). The Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (HWE) reaction was best achieved with potassium carbonate in acetonitrile. This protocol delivered the enone 164 in uncompromised enantiomeric purity and good yield (81%). After extensive screening good conditions were found for the diastereoselective 1,2-reduction of the enone. DIBAL-H in toluene produced the desired anti-amino alcohol in 92% yield as the sole diastereomer. On the other hand L-selectride produced exclusively the syn-product, albeit at lower yield. However, these selectivities are by no means general. If the electronic properties of the enone functionality are changed the selectivities are considerably lower.52,53

Hoffman et al. have also studied the reduction of enones related to sphingosines (Scheme 40). They found out that efficient syn-reduction can be achieved with trityl-protected serine derivative 166 using simple sodium borohydride. The addition of cerium chloride was necessary to prevent conjugate reduction.47 They also reported the reduction of 164 with sodium borohydride in high selectivity, which is in contrast to model studies by us (Scheme 41).

Mediocre selectivities were obtained with sodium borohydride or Luche conditions with each of the three model enones (168–170). No conjugate reduction was detected as compared to Hoffman’s results. This highlights the substrate sensitivity of this particular transformation.53

Scheme 38 Reduction of amino ketones bearing chiral center at β-position.

Scheme 39 Total synthesis of sphingosine.

Scheme 40 Reduction of sphingosine related amino ketones.

Scheme 41 Model studies on reduction of sphingosine related amino ketones.
Recently Datta et al. reported a highly anti-selective chelation controlled reduction of two serine derived ketones 172 and 174 (Scheme 42) with zinc borohydride. Very good control was demonstrated for both α,β- and γ,δ-unsaturated ketones.54

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{N} \quad \text{N} \\
\text{C} & \quad \text{C} \\
\text{Zn(BH}_4\text{)}_2 & \quad \text{CeCl}_3\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{MeOH, -10°C} & \quad \text{88%} \quad \text{95.5 dr}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 42 Zinc borohydride mediated reduction.

We used an L-aspartic acid derived β-ketophosphonate 176 for the synthesis of a homosphingosine derivative (Scheme 43). The large phenylfluorenyl group (Pf) was used to prevent epimerization at the α-stereocenter.55 Despite the crowded nature of the substrate the phosphonate underwent HWE reaction under the previously reported conditions in decent yield. Gratifyingly DIBAL–H reduction produced exclusively the desired anti-product which had undergone a reductive ring cleavage.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{PO(OOMe)}_2 \\
\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{CHO} & \quad \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\text{MeCN} \\
\text{PF} & \quad \text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{NpF} \\
\text{DIBAL-H} & \quad \text{PhMe} \quad -78°C \\
\text{55%} & \quad >1: 20 \text{ syn/anti}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 43 Total synthesis of homosphingosine 179.

During the synthesis of deoxycastanospermine (Scheme 44) we examined the reduction of an enone which contained a heteroatom at the allylic position 181. Unfortunately, in this case only mediocre selectivities were achieved, in accordance with previous results. The desired syn-selective reduction was best achieved under Luche conditions to give a 2.4:1 mixture of diastereomers, which were separable on MPLC. The syn-182 was then dihydroxylated under Upjohn-conditions and advanced to the desired product 183.56

Chung and Kang have reported that reduction of N,N-dibenzyl protected amino enones proceeds with high selectivity via non-chelation control (Scheme 45). In fact, they were unable to force chelation control by using strong Lewis acids. They also reduced amino enones derived from other than phenyl glycine and stated that the stereoselectivity was high, although no definite data was reported.57

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{NBn}_2 \\
\text{L-selectride/THF-78°C} & \quad >39:1 \text{ syn/anti (96%)} \\
\text{NaBH}_4/\text{MeOH} & \quad 9.3: 1 \text{ syn/anti (94%)} \\
\text{TiCl}_4/\text{NaBH}_4/\text{Et}_2\text{O} & \quad 2.3: 1 \text{ syn/anti (93%)}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 45 Highly syn-selective reduction of dibenzyl-protected amino ketone.

Reduction of the serine derived N,N-dibenzy1 enone 186 proceeded with complete diastereoccontrol with LiAlH4 to give the syn-product in nearly quantitative yield (Scheme 46). In fact, to access the anti-diastereomer, the authors had to use the Boc-protected derivative 188. Now the reduction under Luche conditions provided the desired anti-isomer in excellent yield, albeit at much reduced selectivity.58

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{BnO} \\
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{Boc} \\
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{Boc} \\
\text{LiAlH}_4 & \quad \text{THF, rt} \\
\text{98%, single ds} & \quad \text{92%, 4:1 dr}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 46 Protective group dependent reduction of amino enones.

Luthman et al. described the diastereoselective reduction of phenylalanine derived enone ester 190 (Scheme 47).59 Chelation controlled reduction proved to be poorly selective except with the bulky LiAlH(O-t-Bu)3. Notably, the native tendency for anti-reduction can be overridden only by using chiral reducing agents.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{Boc} \\
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{Boc} \\
\text{BnO} & \quad \text{Boc} \\
\text{L-Alpine-Hydride/THF/-78°C} & \quad 19: 1 \quad 60% \\
\text{NB-Enantriade/THF/-78°C} & \quad 19: 1 \quad 98% \\
\text{L-Selectride/THF/-78°C} & \quad 1: 1 \quad - \\
\text{Zn(BH}_4\text{)}_2\text{Et}_2\text{O/-78°C} & \quad 32:68 \quad 87% \\
\text{LiAlH(O-t-Bu)3/EtOH/-78°C} & \quad 1:19 \quad 87%
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 47 Diastereoselective reduction of ester enone 190.
Recently, we attempted to diastereoselectively reduce Pf-protected amino ketones 192 and 194 with various reducing agents (Scheme 48). For the conjugated system l-selectride gave exceptionally good results. However, when the reduction was attempted for the homo-enone 194 under the previous best conditions no selectivity was observed.60

Reduction of ynones

Reduction of the cysteine derived ynone 196 was most efficiently achieved with LiAl(O-t-Bu)3H in decent anti-selectivity (Scheme 49). The authors reported that DIBAL-H, Red-Al and the bulky disobutylaluminum 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methyl phenoxide gave inferior selectivity and that NaBH4 produced a 1 : 1 mixture.61

Treatment of the O-unprotected serine derivative 198 with sodium borohydride delivered 199 in 5 : 1 anti-selectivity (Scheme 50). The selectivity presumably arises from chelation of the reducing agent with the free hydroxyl group, since the O-silyl protected derivative 200 gives practically no selectivity.62

Good selectivity in reduction of the oxazolidinone 202 was achieved with the bulky disobutylaluminum 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methyl phenoxide (Scheme 51). Reductions with l-selectride and NaBH4 gave 5 : 3 and 1 : 2 mixtures, respectively.63,64

Oxidation of allyl amines

Epoxidation

Oxidation of amino acid derived allyl alcohols with mCPBA were studied by Ohfune and Sakai during their synthesis of galantin I (Scheme 52). High selectivity was obtained for substrates with Z-configuration (204, 210). The presence of the allylic alcohol was absolutely necessary as the reaction became slow (20% conversion after 3 days) and the selectivity was eroded (3 : 1 dr) if the alcohol was protected with a silyl group.65

Luthman et al. investigated the epoxidation of the phenylalanine derived allylic amines 212–215 during the synthesis of dipeptide isosteres (Scheme 53). While the observed selectivity can generally be explained by both the carbamate and the ester carboxyls coordinating to the approaching peracid, the large differences in the diastereoselectivities merit some explanation. The authors explained the observed selectivity with a simple but effective model (Fig. 6).

The favoured conformation (left hand side) minimizes the allylic strain while keeping both the ester and the carbamate on the same face, thus making double coordination possible. The conformation on the right hand side of the figure would deliver
the minor diastereomer. The model nicely explains the low diastereoselectivity observed with substrate 213.66

Dihydroxylation

The dihydroxylation of an electron poor acyclic allylic amine proceeds with very low diastereoselectivity for the Z-configured allyl amine 217 (Scheme 54).67 It should be noted that the major diastereomer corresponds to an anti-Kishi dihydroxylation (osmium approaches syn to the existing amino group). Interestingly, the dihydroxylation of a similar, but E-configured allylamine 219 proceeds with excellent diastereocontrol, also in syn-manner relative to the amine.66

We also examined the effect of allylic strain on the diastereoselectivity observed in dihydroxylations (Scheme 55) of electron poor double bonds.59 This was prompted by the at the time unexpectedly high selectivity noted in the dihydroxylation of 221. When compared the reaction Shiori reported (Scheme 54) for the acyclic case (217), the difference is stark. We found out that dihydroxylation of the Z-configured allyl amine 223 proceeds with complete stereosecontrol, whereas the E-configured 225 exhibits significantly lower selectivity. This was rationalized by considering allylic strain. Compound 225 exhibits lower rotational barrier around the double bond than 223, thus allowing for attack from both faces of the double bond.70

The directing effect of diaryl ketimine protecting groups was evaluated by Kim and co-workers (Scheme 56). Dihydroxylation of a range of E-configured allylic amines provided the corresponding anti-adducts with moderate to good selectivity. This is an interesting switch of selectivity when compared to the N-Boc protected 219. Especially, the 3,3′-difluorobenzophenone ketimine protected amines 233–236 gave very useful selectivities. Dihydroxylation of the corresponding benzophenone ketimine protected Z-allyl amines proceeded with very good selectivity, a huge improvement from 217 (Scheme 54).71

Strong solvent effect was observed in the dihydroxylation of Z-configured serine derived allylic amines (Scheme 57). The often used THF–H2O combination gave significantly lower selectivity than dichloromethane. Also, the O-protecting group was found to significantly influence the selectivity, with the acetyl protection giving practically a single isomer. The improvement in selectivity was explained by the two transition states A and B which lead to the syn- and anti-products, correspondingly. The transition state B is more favourable when a small protecting group is used on the oxygen, thus alleviating the large A1,2 strain between NBoc2 and the vinylic hydrogen.72

Dihydroxylation of a threonine derived cyclic substrate 249 proceeded uneventfully with complete diastereocontrol (Scheme 58). This is often the case with cyclic substrates.73
amino aldehyde (especially N,N-dibenzyl amino aldehyde) can be a very powerful method, as the additions are typically facile and high yielding.

Amino ketones can usually be designed to be reduced to either diastereomer by judicious choice of protecting groups and the reducing agent. Simple carbamate protected amino ketones show great substrate dependence and the overall selectivity is difficult to predict beforehand. The N,N-dibenzy1 protected, and other bis-protected, amino ketones show good selectivity for the syn-product. Thus complementary selectivity can be obtained using this method compared to the aldehyde additions.

Epoxidation of allyl amines can be highly selective, however careful substrate planning must be used. The presence of coordinating groups like esters, carbamates and alcohols in the molecule renders the reaction more facile and often more selective. Allylic strain plays an important part in determining the outcome, thus more rigid Z-conformers tend to give higher selectivity. Presumably allylic strain also affects dihydroxylations in an analogous manner.

With proper planning both syn- and anti-vicinal amino alcohols can be accessed from readily available amino acid derivatives with a wide variety of strategies in an entirely diastereoselective manner. Much work is still needed for real understanding of all the parameters governing the facial selectivities of reductions and additions.
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**Conclusions**

The selectivity of nucleophilic additions to amino aldehydes is governed by many factors in which the nature of the protecting group(s) on the nitrogen plays a major role, along with the type of the approaching nucleophile. Felkin selectivity is often hard to override, and only in special cases can the syn-products be accessed. However, if anti-addition is desired, addition to an...