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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we explore unsupervised spectral decomposition methods for distinguishing the effect of different spectral components for a set of consecutive spectra from an X-ray binary. We use well-established linear methods for the decomposition, namely principal component analysis, independent component analysis and non-negative matrix factorization (NMF). Applying these methods to a simulated data set consisting of a variable multicolour disc blackbody and a cutoff power law, we find that NMF outperforms the other two methods in distinguishing the spectral components. In addition, due to the non-negative nature of NMF, the resulting components may be fitted separately, revealing the evolution of individual parameters. To test the NMF method on a real source, we analyse data from the low-mass X-ray binary GX 339−4 and found the results to match those of previous studies. In addition, we found the inner radius of the accretion disc to be located at the innermost stable circular orbit in the intermediate state right after the outburst peak. This study shows that using unsupervised spectral decomposition methods results in detecting the separate component fluxes down to low flux levels. Also, these methods provide an alternative way of detecting the spectral components without performing actual spectral fitting, which may prove to be practical when dealing with large data sets.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – methods: data analysis – stars: black holes – X-rays: binaries – X-rays: individual: GX 339−4 – X-rays: stars.

1 INTRODUCTION

Scientific consensus dictates that the X-ray spectra of black hole X-ray binaries (XRBs) and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are modelled by three spectral components: a multicolour blackbody component representing the emission from the accretion disc in the soft X-rays, a power law with a cutoff or no cutoff representing the thermal/non-thermal Comptonization of soft photons from a population of hot electrons in the hard X-rays, and a reflection component representing the reprocessed emission of hard X-rays from the accretion disc, i.e. photoelectrically absorbed or Compton-scattered emission, in the intermediate energies, that most prominently manifests itself in the form of an iron line. However, the magnitude of these components remains unknown at a given time. For example, the magnitude of the soft component in the hard state is usually difficult to determine. In fact, the physical mechanism for the X-ray emission in the hard state is open to question, with possible contributions from direct synchrotron emission from the jet (Falcke & Biermann 1999; Markoff, Falcke & Fender 2001; Russell et al. 2010) or synchrotron self-Compton radiation from the base of the jet (Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005). This kind of spectral degeneracy is especially true for the Galactic XRBs and a striking example can be seen e.g. in Nowak et al. (2011), where three very different models are fitted equally well to the same data set of Cyg X-1, despite the excellent quality of the data that were obtained by all the X-ray satellites in orbit at the time. In addition, the magnitudes of different components in the intermediate X-ray states are difficult to determine as all the components are luminous. Extra complications in the interpretation of X-ray spectra are caused by the attenuation of interstellar matter in Galactic XRBs and the so-called warm
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absorbers (possibly associated with the winds of the accretion discs) in AGNs. Thus, modelling the X-ray spectra of accreting black holes often leads to a problem of degeneracy, i.e. multiple distinct models fit the observed data equally well. Even if an apparently good fit is obtained between the data and the model, it does not necessarily imply a match between theory and physical reality.

In this paper, we demonstrate the use of linear unsupervised decomposition methods in separating a set of time series of X-ray spectra into subcomponents corresponding to distinct spectral components from the disc and the population of hot electrons. In Section 2, we will compare three different decomposition methods, namely principal component analysis (PCA), independent component analysis (ICA) and non-negative matrix factorization (NMF), using simulated spectra mimicking the spectral behaviour from a typical XRB. This analysis provides a better estimate of the X-ray continuum models required to fit the X-ray spectra in XRBs by taking into account also the spectral variability in addition to the fitting of the time-averaged spectra. In Section 3, we apply the NMF to a set of spectra from the stellar mass black hole XRB GX 339−4. With a sufficiently long set of observations throughout the hardness-intensity diagram (HID) from GX 339−4, we study how spectral decomposition reveals the change of spectral components in the HID. In Section 4, we present the conclusions of this paper and make suggestions for the future use of the spectral decomposition methods in the context of XRBs.

2 UNSUPERVISED SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

X-ray spectra can be decomposed via matrix factorization techniques. Generally, this problem falls into the category of blind source separation (BSS), in which a set of source signals is estimated from a set of mixed signals with no information on the source signals or the mixing process. In this case, the data matrix is a collection of discrete X-ray spectra, \( X_{ij} \), consisting of flux values with energy \( j \) measured at time \( i \). This matrix is taken to consist of a mix of a few, up to \( k \), separate source signals \( S_k \) weighted over the energy bands by a weight matrix \( W_{jk} \). Essentially, the columns of the weight matrix can be thought to represent a constant spectral component that has a variable amplitude dictated by the rows of the source signal matrix for each \( k \). In practice, \( k \) is much smaller than \( i \) or \( j \), as the intention is to describe the information in \( X \) concisely. \( S \) and \( W \) are subsequently estimated in such a way that \( X \approx WS \), i.e.

\[
X_{ij} \approx \sum_k W_{jk} S_k. \tag{1}
\]

The BSS problem is very underdetermined since multiple equally valid solutions exist. However, by placing clever requirements on the factorization, one can reduce the number of possible solutions and obtain meaningful results. PCA, for example, assumes that the source signals are minimally correlated to each other and ICA assumes that the source signals are maximally independent of each other. A slightly different approach is introduced in NMF which imposes structural constraints, i.e. the non-negativity of the constituent matrices \( S \) and \( W \). In the following, we create a simulated data set that mimics the spectral effects that are present in the usual X-ray data of XRBs: absorbed (PHABS) disc blackbody spectra (DISKBB) with changing normalization and temperature values and cutoff power-law spectra (CUTOFFPL) with changing normalization, spectral index and cutoff values. For ‘spectral pathways’, we use distinguishable functions such as sine and saw waves. In addition, we vary the disc temperature and the power-law index so as to produce soft and hard X-ray states. We use ISIS (Houck & Denicola 2000) to fake 200 spectra with an exposure of 5 ks, varying the blackbody normalization sinusoidally from 500 to 2500, the blackbody temperature linearly from 0.3 to 1.3 keV and back, the power-law normalization as a saw wave from 1.0 to 2.0, power-law index linearly from 1.5 to 2.5 and back and a power law cutoff sinusoidally from 40 to 120 keV (Fig. 1). We use the RXTE response function from GX 339−4 pointing 70110−01−04−00 and then unfolded the spectra using ISIS to flux units (keV photons s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) keV\(^{-1}\)). We would like to note that by using ‘flux-corrected’ spectra, response matrix features are introduced in addition to the physical processes, which could have an effect in the low-flux regimes.

As PCA, ICA and NMF are all methods that rely on linear decomposition, they are suited best for finding the variability of the normalizations of spectral components, and can behave erratically when dealing with more complicated effects such as changing optical depth and electron temperature that varies the cutoff and spectral slope of the X-ray spectra. When presented with data that vary non-linearly, linear methods can, however, be used as the non-linearity can be approximated as a collection of several linear components. Thus, we do not expect the decomposition to return the variability
of the parameters themselves, but rather the fluxes of the spectral components that are collectively produced by the varying parameters. The quality of the decomposition is then measured by how well they are able to reproduce the disc and power-law fluxes. In the following, we review the different spectral decomposition methods and how they are able to reproduce the original fluxes of the simulated spectral components.

2.2 Principal component analysis

PCA (review e.g. Jolliffe 2002) is one of the standard tools of time series analysis that has been used in astronomy mainly for stellar spectral classification (e.g. Whitney 1983), galaxy spectral classification (e.g. Connolly et al. 1995) and quasar spectral classification (e.g. Francis et al. 1992). For studying variable X-ray spectra, PCA has been used in AGNs e.g. by Vaughan & Fabian (2004) and Parker et al. (2014), and in XRBs by Malzac et al. (2006) and Koljonen et al. (2013). However, one of the drawbacks in using PCA for decomposing spectra is its tendency to cancel components as it works with the mean-subtracted spectra. This results in components that have either too exaggerated or diminished an impact on the X-ray spectra depending on the values of their normalization. Additionally, if the eigenvectors of the principal components have both positive and negative values, it results in pivoting behaviour of the spectra, i.e. when the normalization of that component increases, it increases the impact of the component in the positive part and decreases the impact in the negative part of the eigenvector. However, this turns out to be a good proxy for the power-law index (Parker et al. 2014).

We have used a singular value decomposition in calculating the components of individual, mean-subtracted spectra that comprise X on the left-hand side of equation (1). More detailed description of the method can be found in e.g. Malzac et al. (2006), Koljonen et al. (2013) and Parker et al. (2014).

2.2.1 Choosing the degree of the factorization

In general, we would expect the quality of the factorization, i.e. its similarity with the original data, to be an increasing function of the degree of the factorization $k$. A ‘good’ value of $k$ would not be a local maximum for quality, but instead a point where the response of the quality to $k$ changes from being steep to shallow (i.e. a ‘good’ value of $k$ provides a substantially better approximation than nearby smaller values, but only a slightly worse approximation than nearby larger values). One method of choosing the degree of the factorization in PCA is the log-eigenvalue (LEV) diagram (e.g. Jolliffe 2002; Koljonen et al. 2013). In the LEV diagram, significant components can be distinguished from the noise by their deviation from the geometrical progression, i.e. straight line in the diagram. In addition, we devise a method similar to LEV diagram to measure the quality of the factorized spectra using a median of reduced $\chi^2$ values of the resulting factorization when compared to the simulated data set. This method calculates the reduced $\chi^2$-values between each individual spectrum from the factorization WS, on the right-hand side of equation (1), with different degrees of factorization and the spectra from the simulated data set with associated errors and takes the median of these values, thus producing a quality measure of how well the factorization with degree $k$ fits in to the data and reducing the number of components to those that vary above the noise level. As mentioned above, the chosen degree of factorization should be a point where the median of reduced $\chi^2$-value changes from being steep to shallow. In addition, the value should be close to 1 so as to portray faithfully the original spectra. Let us call this method the $\chi^2$-diagram and it can be formulated as follows:

$$\chi^2_{red}(k) = \text{Mdn} \left\{ \sum_j \left| X_{ji} - \sum_k W_{jk} S_{jk} \sigma_{jk}^2 \right|^2 / \max(j) - k \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The $\chi^2$-diagram will be used for ICA and NMF results as well so as to make the comparison between different methods easier. This is because the LEV diagram cannot be used for ICA and NMF as in these methods the number of components of the factorization has to be determined before starting the analysis itself.

Fig. 2 shows the LEV diagram and the $\chi^2$-diagram for the simulated data set with different degrees of factorization. The LEV diagram in Fig. 2 indicates the start of the noise after $k = 6$, thus indicating that six components are sufficient to explain the simulated X-ray spectra. Likewise, in the $\chi^2$-diagram after $k = 6$, there appears to be no improvement to the $\chi^2_{red}$ value by adding more components, and thus it is sufficient to take six components into account. This also demonstrates that the $\chi^2$-diagram leads to the same conclusion as the LEV diagram. Based on these methods, it suffices to take into account six principal components for explaining the simulated X-ray spectra.

We also studied how the number of $k$ above the noise level changes in the $\chi^2$-diagram on a flux-limited or time-limited sample. In this case, we use the same simulation setup, models and parameter ranges, but increase the number of spectra five fold to increase resolution. Fig. 3 shows how $k$ changes if the sample is restricted below some flux threshold (left-hand panel), or if the sample is restricted to span a number of observations from the beginning of the simulation (right-hand panel). To obtain $k = 6$, we see that use of the whole data set is not necessary, although it is still a
Figure 4. The six components from the PCA sufficient to explain most of the variability in the X-ray spectra of the simulated data set. The top six panels show the source signals $S_{kj}$ of the principal components and the bottom panel shows the weights $W_{jk}$ of the principal components with the inset showing the last five with a zoomed range for the y-axis.

substantial amount (the flux level 0.7 photons $s^{-1} cm^{-2}$ roughly divides the data in two equal sets). However, even in smaller samples, the number of significant components is only slightly smaller.

2.2.2 Simulated data

Fig. 4 shows the six principal components, the source signals $S_{kj}$ and weights $W_{jk}$ across the energies, derived from the simulated data set. It is clear that the first component ($k = 1$) corresponds to the variations in the disc component, and the second component ($k = 2$) corresponds to the variations in the power-law component. However, it is not clear how the remaining components contribute to the disc and power-law components and they likely produce random negative and positive corrections to the disc and/or power-law components. A comparison of the first two components with the disc and power-law fluxes from the simulation is shown in Section 2.5.

2.3 Independent component analysis

ICA (for a review see e.g. Hyvärinen, Karhunen & Oja 2001) is a linear solution to BSS similar to PCA, but instead of uncorrelatedness and orthogonality ICA relies on statistical independence of the constituent components. Similarly to PCA, ICA has been used in astronomy mainly for galaxy spectral classification (e.g. Lu et al. 2006; Allen et al. 2013). Statistical independence is a more strict rule than uncorrelatedness, as the uncorrelatedness is implied in independence but not vice versa. ICA relies on the central limit theorem which states that the mean of random processes will always approach Gaussian distribution. Thus, ICA searches for non-Gaussian components. ICA suffers from the same problems in spectral decomposition as PCA, as it also works with the mean-subtracted spectra resulting in positive and negative weights and pivoting source spectra.

We have used a fastICA algorithm (Hyvärinen 1999) in calculating the components of individual, pre-whitened spectra that comprise $X$, on the left-hand side of equation (1) using negative entropy to search for the non-Gaussian components.

2.3.1 Choosing the degree of the factorization

ICA has the disadvantage to PCA that it does not arrange the components via their fraction of variance. Additionally, the factorization degree has to be determined before running the algorithm. As in PCA, we use the same method to determine the quality of the factorization using the $\chi^2$-diagram as explained in Section 2.2.1. Fig. 5 shows the $\chi^2$-diagram for different degrees of factorization. Similar to PCA results, after $k = 6$ there appears to be no improvement to the $\chi^2_{red}$ value by adding more components, and thus it is enough to take six components into account for the ICA analysis.

2.3.2 Simulated data

Fig. 6 shows the six independent components, divided into the source signals and weights as previously, derived from the simulated data set. Unlike in PCA, all the components seem to be relevant in either the disc and/or power-law component. Based on the energy range of the weights and the simulated fluxes in Fig. 1, it appears that the $k = 3, 5, 6$ independent components represent the disc and $k = 1, 2, 4$ independent components represent the power-law spectral components. However, it can be seen that when the power-law flux is low, the disc flux leaks to all independent components. A comparison of the disc and power-law components as represented by the respective independent components with the disc and power-law fluxes from the simulation is shown in Section 2.5.

2.4 Non-negative matrix factorization

NMF (Paatero & Tapper 1994; Lee & Seung 1999) provides an exciting alternative to traditional dimensional-reduction methods. In NMF, samples are represented by non-negative combinations of canonical components. The structure found by NMF methods is thus often very different from, and more intuitive to interpret than, that
of more traditional eigenvector-based methods, such as PCA. By constructing samples as positive combinations, NMF also has the potential to disentangle canonical components which often overlap to create particular community samples. The price of this more intuitive decomposition is that the factorization is approximate, i.e. not unique as in PCA, and components depend on the dimension of the decomposition, requiring greater care in the interpretation.

In NMF, the matrices $W$ and $S$ are found by minimizing a cost function under the constraint that they must be non-negative. Such a cost function can be constructed using some distance measure $D$ between X and WS. In this paper, we use the generalized Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence

$$D_{KL}(X||M) = \sum_{ji} \left( X_{ji} \log \frac{X_{ji}}{M_{ji}} - X_{ji} + M_{ji} \right).$$

(3)

where $M_{ji} = \sum_{k} W_{jk} S_{ki}$. The KL divergence measures the information lost when $M$ is used to approximate $X$, and thus minimizing it will result in maximizing the information of $X$ in $M$. Additionally, it has been shown in Sajda, Shuyan & Parra (2003) that minimizing the above cost function is equivalent to maximizing the likelihood of generating $X$ from $M$ when $X$ is assumed to be Poisson distributed with mean $M$. Therefore, the KL divergence is appropriate cost function to use with X-ray counting data.

In this paper, we use the NMF package (Gaujoux & Seoighe 2010) that calculates the standard NMF (Brunet et al. 2004) by picking random starting values for $W$ and $S$ from a uniform distribution $[0, \max(X)]$ and then updating iteratively $10\,000$ times to find a local minimum of the cost function with a multiplicative rule from Lee & Seung (2001):

$$W_{jk} \leftarrow W_{jk} \left( \sum_{i} \frac{S_{ki} X_{ji}}{M_{ji}} \right) \left( \sum_{i} S_{ki} \right)^{-1},$$

(4)

Figure 6. The six components from the ICA sufficient to explain most of the variability and X-ray spectra of the simulated data set. The top six panels show the source signals $S_{ki}$ and the bottom panel shows the weights $W_{jk}$ of the independent components.

Figure 7. Determining the degree of factorization for NMF. The figure shows the $\chi^2$-diagram of the simulated data set. After $k = 6$, the $\chi^2$-diagram achieves the value where further adding the number of components decreases the reduced $\chi^2$ value only by a small amount.

$$S_{kl} \leftarrow S_{kl} \left( \sum_{j} W_{jk} X_{ji} \right) \left( \sum_{j} W_{jk} \right)^{-1}.$$

(5)

To ensure that the algorithm does not get stuck in a local minimum, we repeated the minimization process for 50 different starting points (30–50 starting points are considered sufficient in Hutchins et al. 2008 a n dB r u n e te t a l . 2004 to get robust estimate of the factorization degree $k$) for each value of $k$ and 300 different starting points for the chosen degree of factorization, and used the factorization which minimized the cost function in equation (3).

2.4.1 Choosing the degree of the factorization

NMF is not a hierarchical method; each component depends on the choice of degree $k$ and thus this choice should be made with care. Similar to PCA and ICA above, we use the $\chi^2$-diagram as explained in Section 2.2.1. Fig. 7 shows the $\chi^2$-diagram for different degrees of factorization. After $k = 6$, there seems to be no improvements to the $\chi^2$ value by adding more components, and thus it is enough to take six components into account for the NMF analysis.

2.4.2 Simulated data

Fig. 8 shows the components derived by NMF from the simulated data set. The components are clearly divided to those representing the disc component ($k = 3, 6$) and the power-law component ($k = 1, 2, 4, 5$). The two components representing the disc retain even some information of the original parameters, $k = 6$ showing the increasing and decreasing disc temperature and $k = 3$ sinusoidally varying normalization, though some mixture of both components can be clearly seen. The components representing the power law are more mixed with each other, but some similarity can be distinguished of the power-law normalization with $k = 2$ and 5 components, power-law index with $k = 1$ component and the cutoff with $k = 4$ component. One has to bear in mind that one-to-one correspondence with the model parameters is not expected as their effects to the spectra is non-linear, but NMF performs quite well in distinguishing the disc and power-law components from each other and tackling the non-linear effects. In the following, we will compare all the
decompositions from PCA, ICA and NMF to the fluxes derived from the disc and power-law components of the simulated data set.

2.5 The comparison of the decompositions

It is interesting to note that all methods converge on the same degree of factorization however with seemingly different components. This shows that individual components of the factorizations do not likely represent any meaningful parameters of the spectral model, which is expected as the parameters vary in non-linear fashion. However, it is possible to compile the disc and power-law component from a collection of the factorization components. Fig. 9 shows the correlation between the model flux values (see Fig. 1) and individual or different combinations of the $S_{ki}$ representing the disc or power-law component of the different decomposition methods described above. The PCA can fairly well distinguish the disc flux. The power-law flux is trickier to produce, and the $k = 2$ component comes closest to that. It is not clear how the correcting components ($k = 3, 4, 5, 6$) would improve the match if added or subtracted from these ‘main’ components. In addition, it seems that the components do not resemble the variations of the individual model parameters. ICA performs slightly better in distinguishing the flux components. Components $k = 3, 5, 6$ add up to match the disc flux and $k = 1, 2, 4$ to power-law flux. However, likewise in PCA the correlation breaks down for low power-law fluxes. NMF performs the best in distinguishing the two flux components. The components representing the disc flux ($k = 3, 6$) are equally good as in PCA and ICA. The components representing the power-law flux ($k = 1, 2, 4, 5$) distinguish the power-law flux of the simulated data the best out of the three methods with smaller spread and continuing the correlation to low power-law fluxes as well.

The unique feature of NMF that allows only positive values for the factorization, allows the resulting $W_{jk}$ and $S_{kj}$ to be united to form the spectra of individual components. Thus, we select the $k$ that corresponds to the disc and power-law fluxes mentioned above, and form the disc and power-law spectra as $X_{\text{disc}} = \sum_{k=1}^{6} W_{jk} S_{kj}$ and $X_{\text{PL}} = \sum_{k=1}^{6} W_{jk} S_{kj}$, respectively. The resulting spectra are then fed into ISIS$^1$ and fitted with DISKBB or CUTOFFPL model for $X_{\text{disc}}$ and $X_{\text{PL}}$, respectively. The parameters of the fits are then compared (see Fig. 10) to the original ones in Fig. 1. It is clear that exactly similar parameter tracks are not achieved, but this is mainly driven by low flux values which increase the degeneracy of the model parameters.

3 APPLICATION TO GX 339–4

GX 339–4 is a low-mass black hole XRB discovered in the early 1970s (Markert et al. 1973). The mass of the black hole is $\geq 5.8 \, M_{\odot}$ (Hynes et al. 2003; Muñoz-Darias, Casares & Martínez-Pais 2008) at a distance of 7–9 kpc (Zdziarski et al. 2004). The inclination is uncertain though likely less than 60° (Cowley et al. 2002). GX 339–4 exhibits recurrent outbursts and is one of the most observed XRBs, thus making it a very suitable object for detecting temporal changes in its X-ray spectra. In addition, the X-ray spectra of GX 339–4 can be usually fit with relatively simple model consisting of an absorbed multicolour disc blackbody component and/or a power-law component with a cutoff, and an iron line (e.g. Dunn et al. 2008). GX 339–4 is often used as the prime example of a source exhibiting

$^1$ This is done via ISIS LOAD_DATA function, which can load ASCII spectral files as described in the ISIS documentation. As the spectra are already flux-corrected, only a diagonal response with 1 cm$^2$ area and a nominal 1 s integration time are assumed for fitting purposes. The units of the ASCII spectra should be photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, thus $X_{\text{disc}}$ and $X_{\text{PL}}$ are multiplied by the energy bin widths and divided by the bin energy. It is also important to set MINIMUM_STAT_ERR variable lower than the input uncertainties to keep ISIS from modifying them.
Unsupervised spectral decomposition of XRBs

3.1 Observations

We analysed the archived RXTE observations of GX 339−4 from year 2002 to 2010 that include both RXTE/PCA and High-Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (RXTE/HEXTE) data totalling to 934 pointings. We also exclude pointings that exhibit large data gaps in the RXTE/HEXTE spectrum. Small data gaps (<3 energy channels) are filled by interpolating data from the neighbouring points. The whole data set includes four outbursts from 2002, 2004, 2007 and 2010.

3.2 Results

We used the exact same method as described in Section 2.4 to analyse the spectral data from GX 339−4. Fig. 11 shows the degree of factorization in the upper panel for GX 339−4 data. We choose $k = 5$ for the degree as it provides substantially better approximation than smaller degrees, but only a slightly worse approximation than larger degrees as was explained in Section 2.4.1. The lower panel of Fig. 11 shows the individual $W_{jk}$ of the factorization for each $k$. The inset shows the same plot but for smaller range of $W_{jk}$ to better show components at higher energies. The components for $k = 2, 3$ can be attributed to the disc, $k = 1, 4, 5$ to the iron line and power law.

A comprehensive look into these outbursts can be found e.g. from Dunn et al. (2008) and Motta et al. (2011) and references therein. Each pointing is individually reduced by the standard method as described in the RXTE cook book using HEASOFT 6.13. The individual spectra for the analysis is prepared as follows: the RXTE/PCA spectrum is extracted from the top layer of PCU–2, which has been operational for all the selected pointings. The RXTE/HEXTE spectrum is extracted from cluster B for data previous to 2009 December 14 when the cluster stopped rocking and it was permanently left as an off-source pointing position. After that, we used cluster A for the source data and estimated the background using cluster B (due to cluster A not rocking and fixed to on-source pointing position). The RXTE/PCA and RXTE/HEXTE spectra are then unfolded and the flux values extracted from 3 to 25 keV for RXTE/PCA and from 20 to 200 keV for RXTE/HEXTE. RXTE/HEXTE spectra are then normalized to the RXTE/PCA such that the overlapping energy range from 20 to 25 keV is the same for both detectors. However, RXTE/PCA data is used in this region in the final spectra.
which arise from the RXTE/HEXTE background lines present in the RXTE/HEXTE spectra after 2010. As was shown in Section 2.5, we can form the disc and power-law fluxes by adding together the appropriate $S_{\text{disc}}$, in this case $S_{\text{disc}} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} W_{jk} S_{\text{disc}}$ and $S_{\text{PL}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_{jk} S_{\text{PL}}$, respectively, taking into account the iron line flux in the latter. As was stated in Section 2.5, these correspond fairly well to the actual spectral component fluxes. Thus, it is possible to follow the evolution of the disc and power-law fluxes without doing any spectral fitting to the data.

In addition, it is possible to construct a disc fraction luminosity diagram (DFLD) and a power-law fraction luminosity diagram (PFLD) using $S_{\text{disc}}$ and $S_{\text{PL}}$. Here, we use the PFLD defined as the total flux, $S_{\text{disc}} + S_{\text{PL}}$, as a function of $S_{\text{PL}}/S_{\text{disc}}$, which equals to 1 when the total flux is composed only of power-law flux, and 0 when the total flux is composed only of disc flux.

We take the above results as portraying the actual disc and power-law components, we can form the disc and power-law spectra as $X_{\text{disc}} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} W_{jk} S_{\text{disc}}$ and $X_{\text{PL}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_{jk} S_{\text{PL}}$, respectively, and feed them into ISIS for spectral fitting. We use the original data errors for fitting both $X_{\text{disc}}$ and $X_{\text{PL}}$ spectra and do not add any systematic error to the spectra. The $X_{\text{disc}}$ spectra were fitted with a model $\text{PHABS\times DISKBB}$ using an energy range 3–15 keV, and $X_{\text{PL}}$ with a model $\text{PHABS\times CUTOFFPL}$ using an energy range 10–140 keV, thus leaving out the iron line region for simplicity and to better concentrate on the power-law continuum. Fig. 12 shows the results of the modelling plotted on the PFLD. This is done so as to show the evolution of the parameters rather than to provide hard values. As it is not clear how the data errors would be divided into the $X_{\text{disc}}$ and $X_{\text{PL}}$ components, the resulting parameters have bigger errors than when fitted to the whole spectra. Thus, the parameter values in this presentation are more robust when they are changing gradually, and likely does not represent the actual value when they are in a region where the parameter value changes abruptly across the whole parameter range (i.e. a mix of colours in Fig. 12). In addition, parameter values that have their error values equal to the minimum or maximum value allowed are removed.

From Fig. 12, we can see the following.

(i) The power-law normalization increases with the overall luminosity and the power-law index increases with the power-law fraction, the exception being when the power-law fraction is below 0.01. The scatter in the lower part of the hard state appears to be fractional, the exception being when the power-law fraction is below minimumosity and the power-law index increases with the power-law minimum or maximum value allowed are removed.

(ii) As the power-law fraction starts to decrease in the hard state, the disc normalization starts to increase.

(iii) The cutoff energy decreases with the luminosity in the hard state. Up to the critical luminosity (as discussed above), the cutoff is over 100 keV, but starts to decrease after the critical luminosity down to $\sim 30$–$40$ keV.

(iv) The difference between the transition fluxes when transferring to the soft state seem to occur from a difference in the disc normalization and power-law normalization (an indication of a difference could be seen in the cutoff energy as well), while the disc temperature and power-law index change in similar fashion for each transition.

(v) The disc gets hotter when transitioning to the soft state and there is an indication of heating up again when transitioning back to the hard state as well.

(vi) In the soft state, both the disc normalization and temperature decrease slowly.

These results correspond well to the ones found in previous work by e.g. Dunn et al. (2008), Del Santo et al. (2008), Motta et al. (2011), Steile et al. (2011) and Cadolle Bel et al. (2011). In addition, the NMF analysis is able to track the changes of the disc component in the low disc fraction regime. These correspond to the regions where the disc component is weak and not required statistically in the spectral fits that have been performed previously. However, it is possible that the low-energy tail of the response matrices that arise from escape and fluorescence peaks is mimicking the disc component in the faint spectral states. But, these peaks are most likely caused by photons that come from the hard spectral component and thus have the same variability producing soft excess to the hard, and not to the soft, factorized component. This shows the strength of the NMF analysis in situations where the disc component is harder to detect by using only spectral fitting. While the fluxes of the disc and power-law components can be distinguished fairly well in the NMF analysis (Fig. 9), one has to be careful with the values of spectral components in the low flux regimes as these are most likely degenerate (see Fig. 10 and compare to Fig. 1).

As was found in previous studies, at the soft state the disc luminosity is driven by the $S_{\text{disc}} \sim R_{\text{in}}^2 T^4$-relation mimicking the emission from a blackbody with radius $R_{\text{in}}$ and temperature $T$. It is usually assumed that the above relation is achieved when the inner disc is located at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO), though multiple factors can affect this scaling (see a more complete discussion on the difference between these factors in Salvesen et al. 2013). Fig. 13 shows the luminosity–temperature diagram for a set of selected points with a best-fitting line in black, and the whole PFLD in the inset with the selected points in red. The best-fitting line follows approximately the relation $S_{\text{disc}} \sim T^7$, which differs from the usual relationship. However, as the RXTE is sensitive only for energies 3 keV and upwards, the missing softer X-ray flux, as well as the absorption, affect the luminosity–temperature diagram as discussed in Dunn et al. (2008), where their original correlation was $S_{3–10\text{keV}} \sim T^{0.44}$ and corrected $S_{\text{disc}} \sim T^{0.75}$. Here, we are concerned with the disc-temperature scaling in the broad sense and assume that when taking into account properly the data below 3 keV and the effect of absorption, the scaling should be close to $S_{\text{disc}} \sim T^7$. From Fig. 13, it can be seen that GX 339–4 exhibits the disc-temperature relation in the disc component right after it starts the transition to the soft state, i.e. the inner disc seems to be at the ISCO already in the beginning of the intermediate state right after the peak of the outburst. This relation breaks in the soft state when the power-law fraction achieves values close to $\sim 0.3$–0.4.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have demonstrated that unsupervised linear spectral decomposition methods can be used to follow the evolution of distinct spectral components. The non-linearities present in the original spectral components can be taken into account by adding multiple linear components together based on their weights across the spectral energies. These methods can resolve differently varying spectral components given that they present a measurable effect on the fluxes. Of the three methods used to tackle a simulated data set, we showed that the NMF performs best over PCA and ICA. The NMF also has the additional benefit that the resulting factorizations are always positive, thus the components of these factorizations can be used to fit spectral models separately. Tracking the individual spectral parameter variations is not as robust compared to fluxes but they can be tracked reasonably well with good-quality spectra and high flux values. This has the advantage over normal spectral fitting.
where different models fit the same spectra or a specific spectral component is not required statistically in the fits.

We applied the NMF to a set of RXTE spectra of the Galactic black hole XRB GX 339−4 that includes four outbursts from the source. We found that five components are required to accurately represent the spectra, and that these can be divided into disc and power-law components taking into account the iron line in the latter. Fitting the factorized disc and power-law components separately with an appropriate spectral model corroborates the notion that the inner edge of the disc is at the innermost stable circular orbit at the

---

**Figure 12.** The change of parameters along the PFLD. The upper panels correspond to the disc parameters: normalization and temperature, the middle and bottom panels correspond to the power-law parameters (the power-law normalization is plotted in linear and logarithmic scales in order to distinguish better the parameter evolution for the high- and low-normalization regimes).
onset of the intermediate state following the peak of the outburst. However, this analysis should be refined by including data below the RXTE soft X-ray limit.

In the future, we envision that unsupervised linear spectral decomposition methods will be used in multiple situations involving the detection of separate spectral components. In order to extend these preliminary studies in detecting the accretion disc in the low disc flux states, as seen for example in the hard state, we would need to have access to both data from multiple sources and detectors more sensitive to the softer X-ray bands. In order to detect the spectral component responsible for the quasi-periodic oscillations in XRBs, different timing scales could be tested. Finally, these methods provide an alternative way of detecting the spectral components without performing actual spectral fitting, which might prove to be an invaluable tool when dealing with large data sets.
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Figure 13. Temperature–luminosity diagram of a selected set of data points with the best-fitting line in black. The inset shows the whole PFLD with the selected points marked in red. The best-fitting line follows approximately $S_{\text{disc}} \sim T^5$, which differs from the expected theoretical relation (see text for possible reasons).