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Abstract: We present two simple methods, with parallel and serial gas flows, for the
stacking of microfabricated silicon fuel cells with integrated current collectors, flow fields
and gas diffusion layers. The gas diffusion layer is implemented using black silicon. In the
two stacking methods proposed in this work, the fluidic apertures and gas flow topology
are rotationally symmetric and enable us to stack fuel cells without an increase in the
number of electrical or fluidic ports or interconnects. Thanks to this simplicity and the
structural compactness of each cell, the obtained stacks are very thin (~1.6 mm for a
two-cell stack). We have fabricated two-cell stacks with two different gas flow topologies
and obtained an open-circuit voltage (OCV) of 1.6 V and a power density of 63 mW·cm$^{-2}$,
proving the viability of the design.

Keywords: silicon; micro fuel cell; stacking; deep reactive ion etching; polymer
electrolyte membrane; black silicon

1. Introduction

Fuel cells have been traditionally used for stationary power generation or in electrical vehicles, but
miniaturized micro fuel cells (micro FC) have been, more recently, researched as a viable alternative to
rechargeable batteries for applications, such as mobile phones, MP3 players, camcorders, etc., due to
the potentially higher energy density [1,2]. In order to compare a micro FC-based power source to
traditional Li-ion batteries in portable applications, both the volume and weight of the fuel reservoir
and the micro FC have to be taken into consideration. In micro FCs used for portable applications, operating temperatures are lower than in macro fuel cells (used in automotive and cogeneration technologies), and hence, the power density (per area) is lower, as well. To compensate, the small size of micro FCs should be utilized as much as possible: with smaller fuel cells, the per-volume power density increases. To achieve sufficient power density and voltage, a number of micro FCs should be stacked. There is an additional benefit of having multiple smaller fuel cells rather than one large one: to minimize the effect of defects in microfabrication, which is the same argument as the one used in the microelectronic industry to have a large number of chips per wafer.

One possible approach for adding and combining multiple micro FCs to a microfabricated power source is to concatenate them in a planar or co-planar structure (such as in [3–13]), in which case there is no real stack, but rather a cluster of micro FCs placed laterally next to each other in the same plane. This approach is often used in microfabrication, because it will simplify either the placement and fabrication of gas interconnects or the placement and fabrication of electrical interconnects. However, it will not simplify the fabrication of both. This type of structure suffers from lateral ionic inter-cell conduction through the electrolyte (such as a proton exchange membrane, PEM) and has to be taken care of by patterning the electrolyte. Furthermore, it is often not desirable to have a planar structure, because of form factor restrictions. Finally, compared to vertical stacks, planar micro FC stacks have the disadvantage of being harder to isolate thermally due to a larger surface-to-volume ratio. Sustaining high temperatures is important for achieving high reaction rates and, thus, the high performance of a fuel cell. This thermal advantage of vertical vs. planar micro FC stacks has been fully utilized in [14], where two micro solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) operating at 600 °C have been vertically stacked and thermally isolated.

Some examples of vertically stacked micro FC studies do exist [14–17]. In the macro world, vertically-stacked fuel cells are the norm, while planar stacks are almost unheard of. The reason for the predominance of planar stacks with microfabricated fuel cells is the structural overhead that electrical and fluidic interconnects impose on the design. In [15], an attempt was made to reduce this overhead, by having a shared anode plate, where a single methanol channel serves as the flow field for two cathodes, whereas in [14], the simplicity is a consequence of using a single-chamber configuration, where both the cathode and the anode are placed in the same container with fuel and oxidant. These designs are restricted to two cells per stack.

No such restriction in the number of stacked cells exists for the devices described in [16,17]. These micro FC stacks use a configuration known as “bipolar plates”, where the flow field plates are conductive and stacked in such a way as to add to the voltage of the cells. Both solutions take care of the distribution of reactants (oxidant and fuel) to all of the cells: in [16], this was achieved by pumping, while in [17], the cells are self-breathing and the liquid fuel is pumped by CO₂ bubble formation at the anode.

Our designs allow for theoretically unlimited numbers of fuel cells per stack. One of these designs uses the same flow topology as [16] (which we call “parallel”), whereas our other solution, “serial”, has not been used in micro fuel cells before. In this case, the fuel flows sequentially from one cell to the next (Figure 1). The serial topology was introduced by us in a conference paper [18], but the current design is much smaller, mechanically more robust and less prone to leaks.
Figure 1. Illustration of serial vs. parallel gas flow topologies: in the case of serial flow, the reactant gases pass from one cell to the next, while in the case of parallel flow, all of the cells are supplied simultaneously.

In a previous work [19], we reported on a simplified structure of a single-cell silicon micro FC. This simplification was achieved by using a single silicon chip simultaneously as a current collector, gas flow field and gas diffusion layer (GDL). That arrangement makes them also candidates for bipolar plates. In this work, we show that redesigning the fluidic apertures and using rotational symmetry allows for easy stacking of micro FCs. The stackable cells are identical, but rotated by 180 degrees with respect to each other. A two-cell stack produces 1.6 V of open-circuit voltage (OCV), about double the single hydrogen-fueled cell OCV.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Construction and Principle of Operation

Figure 2 illustrates the construction of a serial and a parallel gas flow silicon bipolar plate and the assembly of a single fuel cell. The difference in construction between the serial and parallel gas flow bipolar plate is in the number and placement of fluidic apertures. The placement of the fluidic apertures is critical to the operation of the fuel cell stack: for the serial flow, only Holes 1 and 2 are necessary, while for the parallel flow, all four holes are needed. In both serial and parallel gas flow cases, the assembly of a single cell is the same: a Nafion®-based membrane electrode assembly (MEA) is sandwiched between two plates.

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of the square pillar flow field, as well as the black silicon on a silicon pillar is given in Figure 3. The micrograph is taken after the cell has been assembled and used, so some Nafion® and catalyst residues are visible on the pillars. The pillars appear porous because of the black silicon on their surface.

The bipolar plates were fabricated from highly conductive silicon (high boron doping, 1–5 mΩ·cm resistivity) to minimize ohmic losses. The polished backside was coated with an aluminum layer. This way, when fuel cells are piled up, one on top of the other, the current flows from one cell to the next in series and the voltages are arranged serially, eliminating the need for external wirings between the cells. Figure 4a,b illustrate the gas flows in the stacks. Each stack is viewed from two different angles. As can be seen, the MEA needs to have holes in order for this topology to be possible: two in the case
of the serial flow and four in the case of the parallel flow. Note also that the first and last plate of a parallel flow stack will have only two holes.

**Figure 2.** Construction of a serial (Apertures 1 and 2) and a parallel (Apertures 1, 2, 3 and 4) gas flow silicon bipolar plate and assembly of a single cell.

**Figure 3.** Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the square pillar flow field. Inset: black silicon on top of a pillar.
Figure 4. Illustration of gas flows in the two stacking solutions described in this work. (a) Serial gas flow in a two-cell stack. (b) Parallel gas flow in a two-cell stack. Both images have two views, to facilitate the following of the flows.

2.2. Fabrication

The fabrication steps of a silicon bipolar plate are similar to those for single-cell micro FCs described in [19] and are summarized here (Figure 5). The starting substrate is a silicon wafer with high boron doping (resistivity $\sim 10 \text{ m}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$), thermally oxidized to create a 300–500 nm-thick oxide layer. The oxide layer is patterned with photolithography and etching in buffered hydrofluoric acid, to expose those areas where black silicon will be formed (a). A thin photoresist layer (AZ® 1505, 500 nm) is spun and patterned to form the flow field mask (b), followed by an 8 min deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) etch step of silicon, performed in a cryogenic inductively coupled plasma (ICP) RIE device, Oxford Instruments Plasmalab® System 100, in SF$_6$/O$_2$ chemistry (ICP 2 kW, capacitively coupled plasma (CCP) power 3 W, SF$_6$ flow 100 sccm, O$_2$ flow 15 sccm, pressure 10 mTorr, temperature $\sim 110 ^\circ \text{C}$), which results in 50 µm-deep channels (c). The use of thin photoresist was necessary to avoid cracks at cryogenic temperatures. On the backside of the wafer, a 200-nm aluminum layer is sputtered (Plasmalab® System 400, DC magnetron sputtering), patterned by optical lithography and etched with commercial H$_3$PO$_4$-based wet etchant. This layer serves as an etch mask for through-wafer deep RIE etching (d), which creates the fluidic apertures. The fluidic aperture etch step parameters are
identical to those of the flow field etching, with the difference being that the etched area is now smaller and, thus, the etch rate is higher at about 7 µm/min. Finally, black silicon is formed on the top side by the plasma etching in passivating conditions (high \( O_2/SF_6 \) flow ratio: \( SF_6 \) flow 40 sccm, \( O_2 \) flow 18 sccm, ICP 1 kW, CCP 2 W, pressure 10 mTorr, temperature −120 °C) for 10 min. A thin layer (~30 nm) of chromium, gold or platinum is sputtered over the black silicon (e) to protect it from oxidation.

![Figure 5. Fabrication steps for a single silicon bipolar plate.](image)

The MEA is a commercial product (Gore™ Primea®) with a Nafion®-based membrane and Pt loadings of 0.3 mg·cm\(^{-2}\) and 0.1 mg·cm\(^{-2}\) on the cathode and anode side, respectively. A single fuel cell is constructed by interposing such an MEA between two bipolar plates, with the flow fields facing the membrane. A stack is then created by simply piling up such cells, using the schemes from Figure 4a or 4b.

### 2.3. Characterization

The measurements on the micro FC stacks were done by clamping them in a custom-built aluminum fixture with threaded gas holes and electrical contact sockets. The elements of the stack were bonded using polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and clamped by a jig; liquid PDMS was spread on the un-patterned areas of the bipolar plates and cured at 60 °C after clamping, providing moderate bonding and sealing. Uniform and repeatable clamping force was assured by tightening the screws with a torque screwdriver. The two aluminum blocks comprising the jig (one such block is depicted in Figure 6) are identical: they both have two threaded gas inlet holes, an electrical socket and a 200 µm-deep depression in which the bipolar plate fits closely. This depression facilitates the alignment of the components of the stack. The reactant gases were humidified oxygen and hydrogen, flowing in at 50 mL·min\(^{-1}\). Both the gases and the micro FC stack were kept at room temperature (22 °C) during measurements. The electric load on the output of the micro FC stack is swept with an Autolab® PGSTAT100 potentiostat from open circuit voltage (OCV) down to 0.1 V, at a rate of 1 mV·s\(^{-1}\).
Figure 6. An aluminum block, part of the stack measurement fixture. The jig is comprised of two such blocks.

3. Results and Discussion

Both serial and parallel flow stacks were characterized. Figure 7 shows post-mortem photographs of a serial flow stack (a) and a parallel flow silicon bipolar plate (b).

As was mentioned, the last step in fabricating a flow field body is deposition of a thin layer of chromium or platinum on the black silicon GDL. The function of this metal layer is to protect the black silicon from oxidation, which would deteriorate the performance of the fuel cell. The thin metal layer additionally reduces the electrical resistance of the GDL. Measurements on single cells [19] have demonstrated no difference in performance whether using chromium or platinum for metallization, hence chromium, as the cheaper material, has been chosen for the stackable fuel cell metallization. Even though chromium develops a thin oxide layer when exposed to air, this oxide is electron-conductive, and therefore, the metallization is suitable for our purposes.

Figure 7. Photograph of a serial flow stack (a) and a parallel flow silicon bipolar plate (b) removed from a stack. Both photographs were taken after characterization. The 1 EUR coin is for size comparison.
The results of the serial and parallel stacks are summarized with the polarization curves in Figure 8 and chronoamperometric curves in Figure 9. As can be seen from the diagrams, the serial flow design produced a higher maximum power density (63 mW·cm\(^{-2}\) vs. 43 mW·cm\(^{-2}\)) and maximum current density (155 mA·cm\(^{-2}\) vs. 107 mA·cm\(^{-2}\)) compared to the parallel flow design. The OCV was 1.60 V and 1.52 V for the serial and parallel stacks, respectively, proving their usefulness for increasing the voltage of a micro FC-based power source. The near-doubling of the voltage is obtained because the voltages of the cells are conveniently serialized. The fact that the flow field body voltages end up being in series is what eliminates the need for additional electrical interconnects. This arrangement is trivial with macro fuel cells and batteries, but uncommon with microfabricated fuel cells.

**Figure 8.** Polarization curves of two-cell stacks.

**Figure 9.** Chronoamperometric measurements of two-cell stacks.
While in the case of serial flow, a portion of the gases is depleted when going from one unit cell to the next, this effect is in fact negligible: at a current density of 150 mA·cm$^{-2}$ and assuming 100% efficiency, with a flow rate of 50 mL·min$^{-1}$ we have an excess of ~100 times.

Thanks to the large number of data points (about 5000) used in the polarization curve measurements, instability in fuel cell behavior can be easily detected. As can be seen in Figure 8, the serial flow stack displays slight fluctuations of current when polarized, while the parallel flow stack has a more stable response. The difference is probably due to the fact that in the serial flow design, gases fed to the second cell have flowed through the first cell. The gas is already humid with water formed on the cathode of the first cell, and when more water is formed in the second cell, it may condensate. This could easily obstruct the narrow channels of the flow field. In the parallel design, the gases are fed to both cells directly and run only through one cell. Thus, condensation is less likely to occur. Although a higher rate of gas flow (or stoichiometry) is known to improve water removal from the cell, this effect is not significant at the high stoichiometry of the gases (>5) [20]. In our case, the flow rate through the unit cell of a serial stack is 50 mL·min$^{-1}$ and 25 mL·min$^{-1}$ for the parallel stack equivalent to a stoichiometry of several hundred for both gases at chronoamperometric measurement conditions. This indicates that the lower gas flow rate in the parallel stack does not affect the water removal efficiency compared to the serial stack.

The higher performance of the serial flow design can also be explained via the added humidification effect, and it is also visible on the chronoamperometric curves (Figure 9), obtained by keeping the output voltage stable at 1 V. As can be seen, the parallel design stack produced a smooth and stable result, while with the serial design, the current density was decreasing; there were many transient drops likely due to obstructive water condensate. This condensate gradually accumulates and eventually completely blocks the gas flow in the channels, leading to membrane failure or sealing breakdown at around 11 h. It should be noted that in the serial flow stack, the gas pressures are higher than in the parallel flow stack, so sealing breakdowns are more likely.

One major issue with the silicon micro FC stacks was the fragility of the 300 µm-thick chips. Sealing was not perfect, but increasing the tightening force would cause cracks, which would lead to the failure of the stack. The problem is exacerbated by ripples and bends in the PEM, as the local humidity levels fluctuate, contributing to stresses in the stacked structure. These problems could be mitigated by a smaller active area; a smaller PEM area implies smaller ripples and, hence, smaller stresses in the stack. Improvements in mechanical robustness could be obtained by replacing the Nafion® membranes with acid-loaded porous silicon membranes [21] or other solid PEMs, which would not deform with changing humidity. Further improvement to the overall mechanical robustness could be also achieved by replacing silicon with other conductive materials, such as aluminum [22]. The stacking method described in this work would function the same way, irrespective of the materials used for the microfabricated elements, as long as they are electrically conductive. Bonding and sealing of the stack elements should be further improved. One option is to fabricate two adjacent flow fields from the same wafer (flow fields on both sides of the wafer), removing the need for gas sealing between cells. At the same time, this would remove any contact resistance between cells. This would be the exact analogue of bipolar plates used in macro fuel cell stacks.

The stack described in [16] is analogous to the parallel flow design in this work, with the difference that in [16], the cells were made of copper. While the measurement conditions between the stack in
this work and in [16] differ (back pressure, use of air instead of O₂ and temperature of the stack), it is
evident that the current and power densities obtained are comparable to ours: the authors in [16]
obtained power densities in the range of 40–60 mW·cm⁻² and current densities in the range of
160–220 mA·cm⁻². The authors did not perform chronoamperometric measurements, so the sustained
performance of their stack cannot be determined. Since copper is a much more ductile material
compared to silicon, it is likely that the stack in [16] was less fragile and that leaks could be avoided by
simply tightening down the stack with sufficient force. Copper is also much more conductive than
highly-doped silicon.

4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated a straight-forward method for obtaining vertical micro FC stacks. Our
experimental results show that the two-cell stack OCV is 1.60 V, nearly double the single-cell OCV,
and the design is amenable to further stacking, due to its inherent simplicity. Both serial and parallel
gas flow designs are shown to produce working devices, with 155 mA·cm⁻² and 107 mA·cm⁻²
maximum current densities and 63 mW·cm⁻² and 43 mW·cm⁻² maximum power densities for the
parallel and serial designs, respectively, though the parallel flow design produces more stable results.
Our designs permit the free mixing and matching of the serial and parallel flow of fluids, which should
be utilized to optimize fuel consumption and current density. It should be noted that the fluidic
distribution network is nothing more than simple through-wafer holes, which can be made arbitrarily
large and, hence, accommodate any desired flux.

Both serial and parallel flow designs theoretically allow for large numbers of micro FCs stacked on
top of each other. If the water management problems could be solved in the case of serial flow, the
oxidant and fuel will be depleted after a certain number of cells, which leads to better fuel efficiency,
but lower current density. With the parallel flow design, the current density remains the same, but the
fuel may not be completely utilized.

The losses in electrical contacts need to be studied and the gas losses reduced by more elaborate
bonding and sealing structures. Ways to improve mechanical strength should be also researched.
Higher performance and multiple cell stacks are expected to be realized in the future. These devices
will have smaller area bipolar plates and membranes to increase the robustness and to achieve
greater miniaturization.
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