This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Atmojo, Udayanto Dwi; Blech, Jan Olaf; Sierla, Seppo; Vyatkin, Valeriy

Service-based Architecture with Product-centric Control in a Production Island-based Agile Factory

Published in:

Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Industrial Internet, ICII 2019

DOI:

[10.1109/ICII.2019.00060](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICII.2019.00060)

Published: 19/05/2020

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Please cite the original version:

Atmojo, U. D., Blech, J. O., Sierla, S., & Vyatkin, V. (2020). Service-based Architecture with Product-centric Control in a Production Island-based Agile Factory. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Industrial Internet, ICII 2019* (pp. 305-306). [9065059] IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICII.2019.00060>

© 2019 IEEE. This is the author's version of an article that has been published by IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

Service-based Architecture with Product-centric Control in a Production Island-based Agile Factory

Udayanto Dwi Atmojo, Jan Olaf Blech, Seppo Sierla, Valeriy Vyatkin
Department of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Aalto University, Finland
Email: {udayanto.atmojo, jan.blech, seppo.sierla, valeriy.vyatkin}@aalto.fi

Abstract—This paper presents the Aalto Factory of the Future, an ongoing initiative in establishing an agile, net-centric production-island based factory utilizing service oriented architecture concepts. Recent progress towards realizing the software architecture with product-centric control in the facility is reported in this paper, which features communication between different production islands, and actors in the space between the production islands and humans.

Index Terms—Agile manufacturing, industrial internet of things, production islands, mobile robots, automated guided vehicle, service oriented architecture, Industry 4.0

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper reports the current progress in setting up our Aalto Factory of the Future (AFoF), a facility for demonstrating and investigating various concepts and enabling technologies in agile manufacturing, including: Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), digital twins, augmented and virtual reality, reconfiguration and remote operation and maintenance techniques. These are embedded into a facility that shall integrate with Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and outside facilities such as other factories, suppliers and logistics operators.

AFoF physical space comprises several stationary and mobile "production islands", each specializing in a distinct task, where the space can be shared with humans. The production islands' controllers are interconnected using wired or wireless networks, enabling communication with each other or to cloud-based services. The facility adopts a service oriented architecture (SOA) approach [6], where factory equipment offers services to other equipment and external stakeholders. Services are logically connected to a service bus and can be discovered using service discovery mechanisms. Services can be composed and invoked to carry out certain production tasks.

The Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0 (RAMI 4.0) [12] is a promising starting point for implementing our SOA with technologies that have a strong chance for broad industrial adoption [1]. In particular we are utilizing OPC UA [11], which already has industrial grade implementations and applications, and also the Industry 4.0 component and asset administration shell, which exposes the data of the software and physical aspects of the component in a standard, machine readable way [2].

At this point, we are targeting the following research questions with our facility: 1) Testing and evaluation of new software architectures and approaches for industrial automation. We are particularly interested in questions on where

to deploy software that performs certain functionality such as control, analysis (see [3]), automated dynamic composition of manufacturing services, and monitoring. Latency and throughput of data are important questions in our evaluation. 2) Product centric manufacturing. Here, a digital twin controls the assembly of its physical counterpart by utilizing available manufacturing services at certain given times, dynamically composing, and triggering their operations. The information flow and the dynamicity of this approach are among our interests to investigate.

II. CONCEPT AND CURRENT PROGRESS

Fig. 1 (left) gives an illustration on the service oriented architecture we envisage for our facility. Based on information associated with a digital twin of a product, product-centric manufacturing means that the digital twin is augmented by the capability to orchestrate services available at the facility as needed to assemble the product. Services can potentially invoke other services, for example, a transport service can decide on concrete transport robots and call the appropriate services.

Currently, two different service-based architectures are tested separately in the facility. The first is a service-based architecture with an IEC 61499-based product-centric control (see [10]). This work attempts to decouple and encapsulate a product-centric control implementation deployed in our AFoF production islands shown Fig. 2 (left and center) (see [7] for the original implementation), where software control of each production resource is abstracted as service by associated OPC UA servers. The OPC UA Discovery specification is used to realize service discovery, where each manufacturing service becomes discoverable and composable dynamically to achieve production. This attempt results in an architecture shown in Fig. 1 (center) (see [10] for more details), where each resource is more modular and decoupled compared to the one in [7]. The second architecture utilizes a novel service-oriented programming approach with a formal globally asynchronous locally synchronous (GALS) model of computation called SOSJ-OPC UA [8]. This approach is based on the synergy of formal GALS programming approach SystemJ [14] [15] / Service Oriented SystemJ [9] and OPC UA, allowing the creation of functionally correct, formally verifiable software control with vast interoperability, dynamic reconfiguration, and service discovery and composition based on OPC UA. In SOSJ-OPC UA, software control of each production resource

Fig. 1. Abstract concept of SOA in our AFoF (left) and two different SOA realizations currently being investigated (center and right)

is modular, in the form of asynchronous software components called clock domains (CDs), which are distributable over multiple control devices. Each CD has associated OPC UA clients and servers which abstract it as service and allow communication with other software control in different languages via OPC UA. Initial deployment of this approach has been done in AFoF using distributed Raspberry Pi based control devices to demonstrate the approach.

Another realization of product-centric manufacturing in AFoF is implemented in a 3D simulation and demonstrated in [4]. A partial implementation exists with an assembly station based on ABB YuMi robot [5] (Fig. 2 (right)). The assembly station can assemble a large subset of all possible designs built from square and rectangle lego blocks automatically, by consulting the digital product description. The current setup of the AFoF is shown in Fig. 2. A stationary production island is shown (left), which comprises a conveyor belt ring, sensors and actuators. Two mobile production islands are depicted in Fig. 2 (center and right), which are capable of moving around and assisting production at different locations. Some real demonstrations of work related to our AFoF can also be viewed on the AFoF Youtube channel [13].

Fig. 2. Examples of a production island and mobile robots in our setup

III. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORKS

This paper presented our ideas towards realizing a service based architecture in our agile factory called Aalto Factory of the Future (AFoF), which consists of stationary and mobile production islands and space shared with humans. Two different OPC UA-compliant service-based architectures are currently being deployed and investigated in a product-centric control context. Additional stationary and mobile production islands are currently planned. Also, the experiments on various software architectures, digital twins and ontologies are planned

in this context, as well as work towards more standardized services, service hierarchies and service composition based on OPC UA.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Zetzulka, P. Marcon, I. Vesely, O. Sajdl, Industry 4.0 An Introduction in the phenomenon, IFAC-PapersOnLine, 49(25) (2016) 8-12.
- [2] C. Wagner et al (2017). The role of the Industry 4.0 asset administration shell and the digital twin during the life cycle of a plant, 22nd IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA), Limassol, Cyprus, 12-14 Sep 2017, pp 1-8.
- [3] Hordvik, S., Oseth, K., Blech, J. O., Herrmann, P. (2016, April). A Methodology for Model-based Development and Safety Analysis of Transport Systems. Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering, ENASE (pp. 91-101).
- [4] Sierla, S., Kyrki, V., Aarnio, P., Vyatkin, V. (2018) Automatic assembly planning based on digital product descriptions, Computers in Industry, 97, pp. 3446.
- [5] V. Kuliaev, U.D. Atmojo, S. Sierla, J.O. Blech, V. Vyatkin, Towards Product Centric Manufacturing: From Digital Twins to Product Assembly, 17th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (IEEE INDIN 2019) July 22-25, 2019 Helsinki, Finland (In Press).
- [6] F. Jammes and H. Smit, "Service-oriented paradigms in industrial automation," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 62-70, Feb. 2005.
- [7] D. Drozdov et.al, "Utilizing software design patterns in product-driven manufacturing system: a case study". 9th Workshop on Service Oriented, Holonic and Multi-agent Manufacturing Systems for Industry of the Future (SOHOMA19) Oct 3-4, 2019 Valencia, Spain (Accepted).
- [8] U.D. Atmojo, V. Vyatkin, "Towards an OPC UA Compliant Programming Approach with Formal Model of Computation for Dynamic Reconfigurable Automation Systems". 17th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (IEEE INDIN 2019) July 22-25, 2019 Helsinki, Finland (In Press).
- [9] U. D. Atmojo, Z. Salic, K. I-Kai Wang and V. Vyatkin, "A Service-Oriented Programming Approach for Dynamic Distributed Manufacturing Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. doi: 10.1109/TII.2019.2919153
- [10] T. Huhta-Koivisto, J. Juvonen, J. Lehtinen, E. Reijonen, and K. Solvin, "Product-centric Manufacturing in Flexible Interoperable Factory Floor". Accessed on: 29 July 2019. Available: <https://wiki.aalto.fi/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=151495823>.
- [11] Leitner, Stefan-Helmut, and Wolfgang Mahnke. "OPC UA - service-oriented architecture for industrial applications." ABB Corporate Research Center 48 (2006): pp. 61-66.
- [12] Hankel, Martin, and Bosch Rexroth. "The reference architectural model industrie 4.0 (rami 4.0)." ZVEI 2 (2015): 2.
- [13] Aalto Factory of the Future. Accessed on: 29 July 2019. Available: <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCkWiZDPsajleYHWgUsjYEag>
- [14] H. Park et al. "A New Design Paradigm for Designing Reactive Pervasive Concurrent Systems with an Ambient Intelligence Example," 2013 12th IEEE International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications, Melbourne, VIC, 2013, pp. 1188-1197. doi: 10.1109/TrustCom.2013.143
- [15] S. S. Setty et al., "A unified framework for the design of distributed cyber-physical systems - industrial automation example," 2015 IEEE 10th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA), Auckland, 2015, pp. 996-1002. doi: 10.1109/ICIEA.2015.7334253