This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

McClean, Ben; Kauppinen, Esko I.; Page, Alister J.

Initial competing chemical pathways during floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition carbon nanotube growth

Published in:
Journal of Applied Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/5.0030814](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0030814)

Published: 28/01/2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
McClean, B., Kauppinen, E. I., & Page, A. J. (2021). Initial competing chemical pathways during floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition carbon nanotube growth. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 129(4), 1-10. [044302].
<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0030814>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Initial competing chemical pathways during floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition carbon nanotube growth

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **129**, 044302 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0030814>

Submitted: 24 September 2020 . Accepted: 01 January 2021 . Published Online: 22 January 2021

Ben McLean, Esko I. Kauppinen, and Alister J. Page

COLLECTIONS

Paper published as part of the special topic on [Physics and Applications of Nanotubes NANOTUBE2021](#)

View Online

Export Citation

CrossMark

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Carbon-nanotube-templated carbon nanofibers with improved mechanical performance](#)
Journal of Applied Physics **129**, 044303 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0031159>

[Magnetic and geometric effects on the electronic transport of metallic nanotubes](#)
Journal of Applied Physics **129**, 044301 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0031667>

[Demonstration of a thermoelectric device using electric double-layer gating: Simultaneous control of the thermoelectric properties of p-type and n-type carbon nanotubes](#)
Journal of Applied Physics **129**, 045101 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0031247>

Your Qubits. Measured.

Meet the next generation of quantum analyzers

- Readout for up to 64 qubits
- Operation at up to 8.5 GHz, mixer-calibration-free
- Signal optimization with minimal latency

Find out more

Initial competing chemical pathways during floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition carbon nanotube growth

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. 129, 044302 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0030814

Submitted: 24 September 2020 · Accepted: 1 January 2021 ·

Published Online: 22 January 2021

Ben McLean,¹ Esko I. Kauppinen,² and Alister J. Page^{1,a)}

AFFILIATIONS

¹School of Environmental and Life Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia

²Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University School of Science, P.O. Box 15100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

Note: This paper is part of the Special Topic on Physics and Applications of Nanotubes.

a) Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: alister.page@newcastle.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Ferrocene (Fc) is an effective precursor for the direct synthesis of high quality single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) via floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition (FCCVD). However, the formation mechanism of the Fe floating catalyst and the SWCNT growth precursors, such as carbon chains, during Fc decomposition are not well understood. Here, we report first principles nonequilibrium quantum chemical molecular dynamics simulations that investigate the decomposition of Fc during FCCVD. We examine the influence of additional growth precursors including ethylene, methane, CO, and CO₂ on the Fc decomposition mechanism and show that the dissociation of these species into C₂H_x radicals and C atoms provides the key growth agents for the nucleation of carbon chains from Fc-derived species such as cyclopentadienyl rings. Without an additional growth precursor, Fc decomposes via the spontaneous cleavage of Fe—C and C—H bonds, thereby enabling Fe atoms to cluster and form the floating catalyst. On the basis of these simulations, we detail the two competing chemical pathways present during the initial stages of FCCVD: Fe catalyst nanoparticle growth and carbon chain growth. The latter is accelerated in the presence of the additional growth precursors, with the identity of the precursor determining the nature of the balance between these competing pathways.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0030814>

I. INTRODUCTION

Since their discovery,^{1,2} single-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have attracted immense research interest due to their remarkable physical and electronic properties.^{3–8} The synthesis of single-walled CNTs (SWCNTs) via catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CVD) has matured to the point that commercial scale production is now routine.⁹ Consequently, SWCNT-based films have recently emerged as a promising replacement for commercial transparent conductors such as indium tin oxide (ITO)^{8,10} and are enabling related applications including flexible electronics and thin film transistors.^{11,12}

The synthesis of SWCNT-based films can be achieved via both wet^{8,13} and dry methods.¹⁰ Of these, wet solution-based methods have been researched more extensively. Dry methods, such as floating catalyst CVD (FCCVD), however, offer a more direct synthesis capable of producing high-quality SWCNT-based films (e.g., resistance of

95 Ωm^{-2} with 90% transmission).¹⁴ FCCVD sublimates an organometallic precursor, most commonly ferrocene (Fc), in a flow of carrier gases such as N₂ and other gases such as H₂ and/or additional growth precursors. This feedstock mixture is either injected into a hot reaction chamber, typically around 1100–1300 K, or vaporized before being carried into it via a heated process line (for example, both methods have seen success for commonly used Fc-toluene solutions),^{15,16} in which it decomposes and reacts to form SWCNTs under a laminar flow regime.¹⁵

The morphology and properties of SWCNTs synthesized via FCCVD can be controlled via the identity and concentration of the growth precursor, as well as that of the catalyst. For example, the use of CO as a FCCVD growth precursor at low concentrations significantly reduces SWCNT bundling and increases SWCNT length, both of which are important for SWCNT-based transparent conducting films.^{15,17,18} Other oxygenated species, such as ethanol,

have been shown to enable SWCNT production in both floating^{14,19,20} and conventional CVD.²¹ Combining O₂ and S as FCCVD growth promoters also enables chirality-controlled SWCNT synthesis.^{22,23} Incorporating ethylene in the FCCVD feedstock alleviates the need to use CO and sulfurous compounds as growth promoters, and it additionally minimizes SWCNT bundling.²⁴ Sulfurous compounds have seen success as growth promoters in the formation of SWCNT films, SWCNT aerogels, and CNT fibers.^{25–27} In the absence of additional growth promoters and precursors, multi-walled CNTs (MWCNTs) and MWCNT arrays²⁸ often include embedded Fe nanoparticles,²⁹ a problem that can be mitigated via the use of CNT templates.³⁰ In the case of tuning the catalyst, Fe nanoparticle catalysts derived from Fc result in longer SWCNTs²⁴ than pre-made Fe catalysts.³¹

The mechanism of SWCNT nucleation during CVD has been investigated extensively, using a combination of experiment and theory.^{9,32–37} It is now well understood that the steps leading to SWCNT cap nucleation involve the surface diffusion of carbon and the subsequent formation of surface-bound carbon chains.^{38–40} These carbon chains coalesce first into a pentagon anchored to the nanoparticle by the branched carbon chains, before cross-linking to form additional pentagons and hexagons, until a “yarmulke” cap⁴¹ nucleates and lifts away from the nanoparticle catalyst surface. During this process, defect healing drives the conversion of pentagons to hexagons.⁴² The steps preceding nucleation primarily involve the decomposition of the carbonaceous growth precursor on the Fe catalyst surface. Despite CVD feedstock composition influencing SWCNT morphology,^{14,15,17,18,24,31,43,44} the mechanistic influence of CVD growth promoters on these initial CVD reactions remains largely unexplored, except in the case of hydrogen (which has been shown to be a key modulator of the CVD process).^{45–50} Recently, *ab initio* molecular dynamics (MD) has examined the dissociation of ethanol on Fe, showing a tendency for Fe–O bond formation.⁵¹ In addition, quantum chemical MD simulations showed how the presence of O in Fe catalysts can modulate the nucleation of C species from C₂ dimers.⁵² Importantly, the influence of FCCVD precursors on catalyst nanoparticle formation and carbon chain growth during CVD is not yet understood.

In this work, we investigate these effects using first principles nonequilibrium MD simulations of the initial reactive stages during FCCVD decomposition of Fc. We demonstrate that, with the addition of ethylene, there is a competition between Fe clustering and carbon chain formation that kinetically hinders rapid Fe cluster formation. The decomposition of Fc via the spontaneous dissociation of hydrogen leads to Fe clustering to form the floating catalyst. With ethylene present, C₂H_x radicals and C atoms formed from ethylene facilitate the opening of the Fc cyclopentadienyl rings, leading to Fe-bound carbon chains, which are the precursor to SWCNT nucleation. The addition of CO or CO₂ also hinders rapid Fe clustering via the formation of Fe–O bonds, though CO/CO₂-derived C radicals assist ring opening in a similar fashion to when ethylene is present. Importantly, the key growth agents in the formation of carbon chains are C₂H_x radicals and lone C atoms, rather than the precursor or the Fe catalyst. Instead, the latter supports the initial nucleation of chains from Fc cyclopentadienyl rings. We also simulate the presence of premade Fe catalysts such as those formed by rod-to-tube spark discharge generators.⁵³

We show that the premade Fe catalyst promotes further Fe clustering and provides an immediate surface on which carbon radicals adsorb and diffuse, thus facilitating the opening of cyclopentadienyl rings to form surface-bound carbon chains.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

MD simulations utilized the self-consistent charge density functional tight binding (SCC-DFTB) method,⁵⁴ with the trans3d–0–1 parameter set⁵⁵ and a finite electronic temperature of 10 000 K.^{56–58} The velocity-Verlet algorithm⁵⁹ was employed to integrate Newton’s equations of motion with a time step of 1.0 fs. The quantum chemical potential energy and energy gradients were calculated “on-the-fly” at each MD iteration. The temperature of all simulations was held at 1323 K, i.e., a temperature relevant to FCCVD, using an NVT ensemble via the Nose–Hoover chain thermostat (chain length = 3).^{60,61} All simulations here were performed using the DFTB+ software package.⁶²

We model the decomposition of Fc using an ensemble of 20 Fc molecules in a periodic 3 × 3 × 3 nm simulation cell (density ~ 0.23 g cm^{–3}). This artificially high density approximates the fact the local density of a chemical species on and near the catalyst surface will be substantially higher than the ambient density in the reactor, due to surface adsorption. The influence of individual growth precursors (ethylene, methane, CO, and CO₂) was studied by adding ten molecules of each species to the simulation cell in independent MD trajectories. The effect of a pre-nucleated Fe₁₃ nanoparticle, such as those made by rod-to-tube spark discharge generators,^{31,43,53} on these reaction dynamics was also investigated. For all simulations, data presented are an average across ten unique trajectories with randomized initial velocities satisfying a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution at the simulation temperature; in total data presented below are obtained from 70 trajectories [10 trajectories × 4 precursors (ethylene, methane, CO, CO₂)] with 10 trajectories containing only Fc, 10 trajectories containing only Fc and Fe₁₃, and 10 trajectories containing Fc, Fe₁₃, and ethylene. Mechanisms shown below are representative of those observed frequently for each respective condition.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mechanism by which SWCNTs nucleate and grow is now established extensively in the literature.^{32–35,50} It is well understood that small carbon species (e.g., C₂–C₅) are the primary precursors of carbon network formation, whether or not a catalyst substrate is present. The key agents driving the nucleation of larger carbon structures, in either case, are extended C_n carbon chains. In the absence of a catalyst, free reaction of these chains via cross-linking, growth, and oligomerization drives the formation of polygonal ring structures that close and shrink to form fullerene cage structures.⁶³ When a catalyst substrate mediates these interactions, they lead to polygonal ring structures with curvatures matching that of the underlying substrate; i.e., curved nanoparticle surfaces yield curved SWCNT cap structures, flat catalyst facets yield planar graphene or Haeckelite structures.^{39,64} The hydrogen chemical potential has also been shown to be a key factor controlling the curvature of the formed carbon nanostructure.^{48,50,65} We, therefore, do not seek to investigate or reiterate these findings here. Instead, our aim is to

understand the chemical reaction pathways that give rise to the key agents of growth, i.e., carbon chains, during FCCVD synthesis of SWCNTs using Fc. In doing so, our results provide a new mechanistic basis explaining various experimentally observed phenomena; e.g., the fact that FCCVD catalyst diameters are on average smaller in the presence of oxygenated precursors (CO , CO_2)⁴⁴ and the fact that FCCVD of Fc without additional carbonaceous precursors does not result in the consistent synthesis of SWCNTs.²⁴

A. Ferrocene decomposition: Carbon chain formation

We begin our discussion by establishing the mechanism of Fc decomposition and carbon chain formation during FCCVD. Figure 1 presents the populations of carbon chains formed during the thermal decomposition of Fc, with and without ambient ethylene and Fe clusters. This figure shows that carbon chain formation from Fc decomposition is seldom observed within the timescales employed here. Critically, no carbon structures larger than the initial cyclopentadienyl moiety are formed. This indicates that high temperatures readily activate Fc by breaking the two Fe-cyclopentadienyl bonds, but further reaction is kinetically impeded. Figure 2(a) presents the primary mechanism by which Fc decomposition is observed, viz., initiation by the spontaneous dissociation of hydrogen from the cyclopentadienyl ring (0.02 ps). The thermal activation of these bonds rapidly destabilizes the molecule, subsequently cleaving the bonds between the Fe atom and the conjugated ring systems. An intermediate structure in which Fe atom bonds to the activated cyclopentadienyl radicals via a C–Fe–C σ bond system is observed momentarily (0.08 ps). Ultimately however, Fe atoms are freed into the gas-phase, thereby facilitating Fe catalyst nanoparticle formation via clustering later in the reaction (Sec. III B).⁴⁴ The order of these reactive steps indicates that the C–H bonds are weaker than the Fe–C bonds, and this is in agreement with density functional bond cleavage energies reported previously (492 vs 1480 kJ mol⁻¹).⁶⁶ Interestingly however, the Fe–C σ bonds are cleaved before the activated cyclopentadienyl radical has a chance to break, forming a five-membered carbon chain.

By contrast, when ethylene is present in the reaction, large carbon chains (up to C_{11} in these simulations) immediately form

FIG. 1. Carbon chain formation in gas-phase mixtures of Fc, ethylene, and Fe_{13} clusters at 1323 K (data shown following 250 ps of simulation).

in the initial stages of the FCCVD process. Figure 1 also shows that the population of shorter chains (e.g., C_2 and C_3) is also higher, as one might expect. Figures 2(b) and 2(c) demonstrate the typical origins of these carbon chains. Figure 2(b) shows that ethylene undergoes spontaneous (<10 fs) pyrolytic activation under the conditions employed in these simulations, yielding highly reactive H and C radicals. The latter combine directly with the Fc cyclopentadienyl ring via C–C bond formation (0.20 ps); this destabilizes the aromatic system, leading to ring C–C bond cleavage (0.22 ps) and ring opening to form a six-membered carbon chain. Figure 2(b) shows that the interaction between this chain and the Fe atom disrupts the remaining Fe-cyclopentadienyl bond. Fc decomposition in this case thus results in a Fe– C_6 chain moiety and a cyclopentadienyl radical as intermediate structures (0.25 ps). Figure 2(c) shows an alternative mechanism of Fc decomposition in the presence of ethylene. In this case, ethylene derived radicals, C_2H_x , successively attack the Fc Fe atom via Fe–C bond formation (0.45, 3.2 ps), causing the displacement of a cyclopentadienyl radical (21.01 ps). Ultimately, the Fe atom here acts as a catalytic substrate supporting carbon chain growth. Pyrolytic activation of a cyclopentadienyl C–H bond (30.09 ps) destabilizes the ring system to yield a C_9 chain (34 ps).

The catalytic action of the Fc Fe atom evident in Fig. 2 is consistent with the role played by larger Fe clusters, depicted in Fig. 3. While Fig. 1 shows that Fe_{13} clusters do not appreciably change the distribution of carbon chains formed, Fig. 3 demonstrates that they influence the mechanism by which carbon chains grow into larger structures. Specifically, Fe clusters mediate the reaction of Fc with ethylene and ethylene-derived species. Figure 3 details an example in which Fc and an ethylene-derived C_2 radical adsorb (0.05, 5.71 ps, respectively) and subsequently react to form a C_7 carbon chain. Here, the Fe catalyst facilitates the opening of the cyclopentadienyl ring to afford a five-membered surface-bound carbon chain in a manner analogous to that observed with a single Fe atom [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)]. FCCVD using Fc without additional C-based precursors does not result in the consistent synthesis of SWCNTs;²⁴ our simulations provide one potential mechanistic basis for this experimental observation. Without ethylene, Fig. 1 shows that chain growth (beyond C_5) on the Fe cluster surface is not a prominent chemical pathway in the initial stages of reaction.

Thus, despite the abundance of Fc in the reaction, these results implicate smaller carbonaceous fragments, principally C_2H_x radicals, as the key agents of carbon chain growth, in agreement with prior simulations⁶⁵ and experimental measurements of SWCNT growth kinetics.^{67,68} Our results indicate that Fc should instead be considered as a substrate, or feedstock, consumed by these reactive C_2H_x growth agents to form larger carbon structures. This is consistent with organometallic analogs of ferrocene, e.g., cobaltocene, yielding SWCNTs with average diameters of 1.06 and 1.05 nm, respectively, via FCCVD with ethylene in the absence of an additional growth promoter.⁴³ More recently, ethylene was used as a precursor to simultaneously synthesize fullerenes, SWCNTs, and graphene in the gas-phase, and the use of either ferrocene, cobaltocene, or nickelocene did not affect the synthesized product in any way, aside from yield.⁶⁹ Moreover, while Fc-derived Fe catalysts have been shown to produce a higher SWCNT yield via FCCVD, compared to derived Co and Ni catalyst particles, the

FIG. 2. Fc decomposition mechanism via the spontaneous dissociation of hydrogen from the cyclopentadienyl ring. (b) Fc decomposition with ethylene present is influenced by C radicals formed via ethylene decomposition (0.05 ps), leading to the cyclopentadienyl ring opening to form a chain. (c) Fc decomposition following the reaction with ethylene, leading to a disubstituted cyclopentadienyl ring that opens to form a carbon chain. Orange, gray, and white spheres represent Fe, C, and H atoms, respectively.

structural properties of the SWCNTs are due to catalyst size and not composition.³¹ This is further evidence indicating that the key growth agents driving SWCNT formation themselves are independent of the organometallic precursor.

B. Ferrocene decomposition: Catalyst growth

The preceding section established how Fc plays the role of a catalytic substrate, or feedstock, during the initial stages of FCCVD with respect to carbon chain formation. Figure 3 highlights a competing

FIG. 3. Fc and ethylene adsorb to the Fe_{13} catalyst. The Fe_{13} catalyst abstracts the Fe atom from the Fc precursor and facilitates the opening of the cyclopentadienyl ring to form a carbon chain. Atom colors as in Fig. 2.

key role played by Fc during this phase of the FCCVD reaction, i.e., Fe catalyst nanoparticle growth. In this case, catalyst nanoparticle growth is illustrated via the catalytic disproportionation of Fc on an Fe_{13} cluster, which releases the Fe atom that remains adsorbed to the Fe cluster surface. In effect, the formation of carbon chains that is facilitated by the Fe cluster surface triggers its incremental growth. In this section, we examine the mechanism of Fe cluster formation observed during Fc FCCVD more closely.

Figure 4 compares the growth of Fe clusters observed during simulated Fc FCCVD with and without ethylene. This figure shows that two competing reaction pathways exist during the initial stages of Fc FCCVD: carbon chain formation and Fe catalyst growth. In the absence of ethylene, the latter wins out, i.e., chain formation impedes initial Fe catalyst growth. Figure 4(a) shows that Fe catalyst formation is rapid without ethylene, with Fc disproportionation completed in the first ~ 50 ps of reaction. This is also the case when methane, a less reactive carbon precursor compared to ethylene, is included (Fig. S1 in the [supplementary material](#)). The rapid drop in Fe–C bonds [Fig. 4(b)] shows that Fc-cyclopentadienyl bond

cleavage is the driver of this process. The small number of Fe–C bonds remaining is evidence of a small amount of carbon adsorbed to the cluster surface.

On the other hand, incorporating ethylene into the reaction brings balance to these two competing pathways by altering their kinetics. In this case, Fe nanoparticle formation is ~ 3 – 4 times slower [Fig. 4(a)], while the population of Fe–C bonds is proportionally higher throughout the reaction. Considering the preceding discussion, we attribute this to ethylene-derived radicals producing a higher population of longer carbon chains, which passivate the catalyst cluster and hence slow its growth. In the presence of a higher density of Fe nanoparticles, achieved here via the incorporation of an additional Fe_{13} cluster in the simulation, this passivation effect is further exaggerated, with Fig. 4(a) showing that Fe catalyst growth is hindered completely in this case. This (somewhat counterintuitive) result is due to the Fe_{13} cluster itself facilitating more rapid chain growth earlier in the reaction; the higher proportion of surface-bound chains thus formed on the Fe_{13} cluster effectively prevents it from adsorbing Fc and growing via the mechanism shown in Fig. 3.

FIG. 4. Average Fe–Fe bond count observed during the initial Fc FCCVD reaction, not including those within the initial Fe_{13} cluster. (b) Average Fe–C bond count observed during the initial Fc FCCVD reaction; the inset highlights Fe–C bond count 50 and less. (c) Fe clustering via the disproportionation and aggregation of Fc. (d) Fe clustering hindered by the presence of ethylene and the competition between clustering and carbon chain formation. Atom colors as in Fig. 2.

The competition between carbon chain growth and Fe cluster growth is illustrated further in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) and Fig. S2 in the supplementary material. Figure 4(c) shows how the Fe atoms of two separate Fc moieties combine (0.57 ps) to form Fe–Fe bonds through the exclusion of their Fc cyclopentadienyl rings (0.83 ps). Adsorbed cyclopentadienyl rings initially prevent the inclusion of nearby Fe atoms (1.26 ps), though dissociate from the growing cluster through Fe–cyclopentadienyl ring bond cleavage to allow for further incremental growth of the Fe cluster (5.35, 8.39 ps). With ethylene present in the reaction [Fig. 4(d)], the initial cluster nucleation due to cyclopentadienyl ring exclusion is not impeded. However, in this case, carbon chains, formed on the surface of Fe atoms following cyclopentadienyl ring opening (0.44 ps), impede agglomeration of Fe atoms via bridging chain motifs (6.23 ps) and surface-bound chains (14.02 ps). Comparison of Fig. 4(c) (8.39 ps) and Fig. 4(d) (14.02 ps) is indicative of the relative kinetics here; the higher population of surface-bound chains in the presence of ethylene effectively halves the clustering rate in this case.

C. Ferrocene decomposition: Influence of CO and CO₂

The preceding discussion has established how ethylene facilitates the growth of carbon chains during Fc FCCVD through cyclopentadienyl ring opening, and that this chemical pathway kinetically hinders the growth of Fe catalyst nanoparticles that would naturally occur during thermal Fc decomposition. We conclude our discussion by considering how pertinent oxygenated FCCVD feedstock additives CO^{15,17–18} and CO₂^{44,70} influence these chemical pathways.

Figure 5(a) shows the population of carbon chains formed via thermal decomposition of Fc, with and without CO or CO₂. It is immediate from this figure that CO and CO₂ both facilitate chain growth during Fc FCCVD. In the absence of these species, Fc decomposition leads to predominantly stable cyclopentadienyl moieties adsorbed to the growing Fe cluster, as detailed above. In the presence of both CO and CO₂, however, the population of other carbon fragments increases. Notably, carbon atoms [i.e., C₁ in Fig. 5(a)] are formed in greatest abundance via catalytic activation of CO and CO₂. Upon adsorption to the growing catalyst cluster, CO and CO₂ both readily split to yield C and O atoms. In the case of CO₂, this activation process is sequential (i.e., CO₂* → CO* + O* → C* + 2O*). In this respect, CO and CO₂ both simply act as additional sources of carbon on the growing catalyst surface, which facilitate the formation of the larger carbon chains [up to C₁₀, Fig. 5(a)], via cyclopentadienyl ring opening. A typical ring-opening mechanism is illustrated by the example in Fig. 5(b), which shows the adsorption of CO to a small Fe cluster via a Fe–O bond (5.05 ps). The nearby adsorbed cyclopentadienyl ring is opened following the formation of a C–C bond with CO (5.71, 5.75 ps). On the same cluster, this process can be repeated with multiple adsorbed CO molecules, often as they dissociate on the surface (6.71 ps). Carbon chain growth [Fig. 5(c)] follows ring opening that is facilitated by Fe-adsorbed C (10.8 ps). Chains grow either by further surface diffusion of C atoms or by the addition of nearby gas-phase C atoms after they form from CO/CO₂ activation (113.2 ps), resulting in C₁₀ carbon chains on the timescales employed here (244.6 ps). Either mechanism of chain growth involves the C atoms derived from the CO or CO₂ precursor, rather than the

precursor itself. O here does not contribute to the growth of carbon chains, interacting instead with the Fe cluster.

While Fig. 5(a) shows that CO and CO₂ increase the distribution of longer carbon chains compared to when only Fc is present, the population and diversity of long carbon chains are both greater in the presence of ethylene (Fig. 1). Interestingly, CO and CO₂ also fail to produce C₂H_x (i.e., C₂ chains), despite there being a sufficiently high density of reactive carbon atoms on the cluster surface for such species to be formed. This provides additional evidence implicating C₂H_x as the key agents driving chain growth at the beginning of SWCNT nucleation in FCCVD.

We consider the role of CO/CO₂ derived oxygen on Fe catalyst growth in Fig. 6. The addition of CO or CO₂ to the reaction significantly impedes the Fe clustering process, as indicated by the reduction in the number of Fe–Fe bonds in both cases [Fig. 6(a)]. Principally, this is due to the presence of oxygen. Ultimately, CO and CO₂ limit the size of the Fe cluster to ~66% and 50% of the size formed naturally via thermal decomposition of Fc. Figure 6(c) shows that the principal mechanism preventing Fe catalyst growth here is Fe–O bond formation; adsorption and activation of CO/CO₂ on the growing cluster release oxygen atoms that partially saturate its surface, presenting a barrier to further Fe aggregation. These Fe–O bonds, once formed from CO/CO₂, remain in the simulation [Fig. 6(c)]. This is anticipated since the Fe–O bond is strong and is known to form readily under these conditions.⁴⁴ We note that the 2:1 ratio in the Fe–O bond populations for CO₂ and CO matches the natural stoichiometry of these compounds. This indicates that CO/CO₂ C–O bond activation is effectively 100%, and means that C–O bond activation is almost exclusively driven via the Fe cluster surface (although thermal activation of CO and CO₂ in the gas-phase is also observed on occasion). With a reduction in initial Fe–Fe clustering, the Fe nanoparticles formed in the presence of CO/CO₂-derived oxygen are on average smaller, consistent with recent experiments.⁴⁴

Cluster growth is also impeded via CO/CO₂-derived carbon. As shown in Fig. 5(a), both CO and CO₂ yield more long carbon chains on the growing catalyst surface. We note that the formation of these surface-bound carbon chains is also more prevalent for CO than it is for CO₂ [Fig. 6(b)]. In this respect, the effect of CO is comparable to that of ethylene [Fig. 4(d)]. As the dissociation products of CO and CO₂ are the key agents driving cyclopentadienyl ring-opening, discussed above, the increase in chain formation is observed for CO rather than CO₂, as there is less oxygen impeding the active C-based radicals on the Fe cluster surface. Within the timescales of our simulations, further C–O bond formation on the catalyst surface is not observed [Fig. 6(d)], suggesting that the release of carbon into the Fc decomposition chemistry by CO/CO₂ is irreversible.

Interestingly however, the presence of CO/CO₂ does not kinetically hinder the clustering of Fe atoms in the same manner as ethylene. With ethylene present, there is a balance between Fe clustering and carbon chain formation, where, initially, carbon chain formation dominates and Fe clustering is hindered. After ~150 ps, the number of Fe–Fe bonds present in this case is equal to that observed without ethylene. On the other hand, when CO or CO₂ are present, the initial rate of Fe clustering is unchanged (compared to Fc decomposition), due to the dissociation of CO

FIG. 5. Average carbon chain count after 250 ps of simulation across ten trajectories for the simulations of Fc, CO, and CO₂. (b) Fc cyclopentadienyl rings and CO adsorbed to a Fe cluster formed following Fc decomposition and Fe aggregation (5.05 ps). Interaction between the adsorbed CO group and the cyclopentadienyl ring facilitates the opening of the ring to form a polyene chain (5.71 to 5.75 ps). Subsequently, CO dissociates into surface-bound C and O (6.71 ps). (c) Growth of Fe-adsorbed carbon chain via C-C bond formation with Fe-adsorbed C (10.8 ps) followed by the C-C bond formation with the gas-phase C (113.2 ps). Atom colors as in Fig. 2; red spheres represent O atoms.

and CO₂ and the formation of Fe—O—Fe bonding motifs. However, surface-bound O quickly saturates the Fe cluster surface, thereby effectively limiting the achievable Fe cluster size on the time-scales employed here. This is most observable in the presence of

CO₂. Ultimately, these results indicate that the identity of the growth precursor determines the nature of the balance between carbon chain formation and catalyst growth during the initial stages of FCCVD.

FIG. 6. Average bond populations observed during simulated FCCVD of Fc with ethylene, CO, or CO₂. (a) Fe—Fe, (b) Fe—C, (c) Fe—O, (d) C—O.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have reported the initial reactive pathways during FCCVD decomposition of Fc with and without the presence of additional growth precursors such as ethylene, CO, and CO₂. These simulations demonstrate how Fc decomposes during FCCVD via the spontaneous dissociation of H and the subsequent release of Fe atoms to allow for Fe clustering. Critically, Fc decomposition and carbon chain formation is accelerated significantly in the presence of these additional growth precursors, notably ethylene. The key growth agents in the ring opening mechanism are the products of the growth precursor dissociation, viz., C₂H_x radicals and C atoms from ethylene, and C atoms from CO and CO₂. The formation of carbon chains predominantly occurs on the surface of the growing Fe clusters, and this gives

rise to two competing chemical pathways—carbon chain growth and Fe catalyst nanoparticle growth. The presence of ethylene kinetically hinders the rapid formation of Fe clusters as carbon chain formation is favored, with carbon chains up to C₁₁ observed over 250 ps of simulation. Moreover, the addition of a Fe₁₃ cluster (simulating a pre-made FCCVD catalyst) promotes the formation of larger Fe clusters without ethylene present. However, with ethylene present in the reaction, C₂H_x radicals and C atoms deposit on the surface of the larger cluster and lead to surface-bound carbon chains that prevent further cluster growth. The presence of CO and CO₂ does not hinder rapid Fe clustering in the same fashion but instead limits the amount of Fe—Fe bonding due to the formation of strong saturating Fe—O bonds. The increased oxygen chemical potential with CO₂ over CO

leads to a preferential increase in Fe—O bonds and a general reduction in chain growth kinetics. It is worth noting that sulfur-containing compounds, often added as growth promoters, may assist in balancing Fe clustering and Fe—O bond formation by reacting with excess O. We believe the results reported here offer key insights into the initial stages of FCCVD growth of SWCNTs and the influence of tuning the growth precursor on the critical balance between FCCVD catalyst growth and key reactive intermediates formed during SWCNT nucleation, notably carbon chains.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the [supplementary material](#) for Fe—Fe bond population analysis, mechanism of competition between carbon chain growth and Fe cluster growth, and initial simulation configurations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was undertaken with the assistance of resources provided at the NCI National Facility systems at the Australian National University, through the National Computational Merit Allocation Scheme supported by the Australian Government. A.J.P. acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council (Nos. LE170100032 and DP140102894).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- ¹S. Iijima and T. Ichihashi, "Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter," *Nature* **363**, 603–605 (1993).
- ²D. S. Bethune, C. H. Kiang, M. S. de Vries, G. Gorman, R. Savoy, J. Vazquez, and R. Beyers, "Cobalt-catalysed growth of carbon nanotubes with single-atomic-layer walls," *Nature* **363**, 605–607 (1993).
- ³P. G. Collins, M. S. Arnold, and P. Avouris, "Engineering carbon nanotubes and nanotube circuits using electrical breakdown," *Science* **292**, 706 (2001).
- ⁴M. F. L. De Volder, S. H. Tawfik, R. H. Baughman, and A. J. Hart, "Carbon nanotubes: Present and future commercial applications," *Science* **339**, 535 (2013).
- ⁵W. Liang, M. Bockrath, D. Bozovic, J. H. Hafner, M. Tinkham, and H. Park, "Fabry–Pérot interference in a nanotube electron waveguide," *Nature* **411**, 665–669 (2001).
- ⁶B. Peng, M. Locascio, P. Zapol, S. Li, S. L. Mielke, G. C. Schatz, and H. D. Espinosa, "Measurements of near-ultimate strength for multiwalled carbon nanotubes and irradiation-induced crosslinking improvements," *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **3**, 626–631 (2008).
- ⁷D.-m. Sun, M. Y. Timmermans, Y. Tian, A. G. Nasibulin, E. I. Kauppinen, S. Kishimoto, T. Mizutani, and Y. Ohno, "Flexible high-performance carbon nanotube integrated circuits," *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **6**, 156–161 (2011).
- ⁸Z. Wu *et al.*, "Transparent, conductive carbon nanotube films," *Science* **305**, 1273 (2004).
- ⁹R. Rao *et al.*, "Carbon nanotubes and related nanomaterials: Critical advances and challenges for synthesis toward mainstream commercial applications," *ACS Nano* **12**, 11756–11784 (2018).
- ¹⁰A. Kaskela *et al.*, "Aerosol-synthesized SWCNT networks with tunable conductivity and transparency by a dry transfer technique," *Nano Lett.* **10**, 4349–4355 (2010).
- ¹¹L. Hu, D. S. Hecht, and G. Grüner, "Carbon nanotube thin films: Fabrication, properties, and applications," *Chem. Rev.* **110**, 5790–5844 (2010).
- ¹²L. Yu, C. Shearer, and J. Shapter, "Recent development of carbon nanotube transparent conductive films," *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 13413–13453 (2016).
- ¹³F. Mirri, A. W. K. Ma, T. T. Hsu, N. Behabtu, S. L. Eichmann, C. C. Young, D. E. Tsentelovich, and M. Pasquali, "High-performance carbon nanotube transparent conductive films by scalable dip coating," *ACS Nano* **6**, 9737–9744 (2012).
- ¹⁴E.-X. Ding, H. Jiang, Q. Zhang, Y. Tian, P. Laiho, A. Hussain, Y. Liao, N. Wei, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Highly conductive and transparent single-walled carbon nanotube thin films from ethanol by floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition," *Nanoscale* **9**, 17601–17609 (2017).
- ¹⁵A. Kaskela, P. Laiho, N. Fukaya, K. Mustonen, T. Susi, H. Jiang, N. Houbenov, Y. Ohno, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Highly individual SWCNTs for high performance thin film electronics," *Carbon* **103**, 228–234 (2016).
- ¹⁶O. Guellati, D. Bégin, F. Antoni, S. Moldovan, M. Guerouine, C. Pham-Huu, and I. Janowska, "CNTs' array growth using the floating catalyst-CVD method over different substrates and varying hydrogen supply," *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **231**, 11–17 (2018).
- ¹⁷A. G. Nasibulin *et al.*, "Multifunctional free-standing single-walled carbon nanotube films," *ACS Nano* **5**, 3214–3221 (2011).
- ¹⁸K. Mustonen *et al.*, "Gas phase synthesis of non-bundled, small diameter single-walled carbon nanotubes with near-armchair chiralities," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 013106 (2015).
- ¹⁹R. Bhowmick, B. Clemens, and B. Cruden, "Parametric analysis of chirality families and diameter distributions in single-wall carbon nanotube production by the floating catalyst method," *Carbon* **46**, 907–922 (2008).
- ²⁰Y.-L. Li, I. A. Kinloch, and A. H. Windle, "Direct spinning of carbon nanotube fibers from chemical vapor deposition synthesis," *Science* **304**, 276 (2004).
- ²¹S. Maruyama, R. Kojima, Y. Miyauchi, S. Chiashi, and M. Kohnno, "Low-temperature synthesis of high-purity single-walled carbon nanotubes from alcohol," *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **360**, 229–234 (2002).
- ²²P.-X. Hou, W.-S. Li, S.-Y. Zhao, G.-X. Li, C. Shi, C. Liu, and H.-M. Cheng, "Preparation of metallic single-wall carbon nanotubes by selective etching," *ACS Nano* **8**, 7156–7162 (2014).
- ²³B. Yu, C. Liu, P.-X. Hou, Y. Tian, S. Li, B. Liu, F. Li, E. I. Kauppinen, and H.-M. Cheng, "Bulk synthesis of large diameter semiconducting single-walled carbon nanotubes by oxygen-assisted floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 5232–5235 (2011).
- ²⁴A. Hussain, Y. Liao, Q. Zhang, E.-X. Ding, P. Laiho, S. Ahmad, N. Wei, Y. Tian, H. Jiang, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Floating catalyst CVD synthesis of single walled carbon nanotubes from ethylene for high performance transparent electrodes," *Nanoscale* **10**, 9752–9759 (2018).
- ²⁵B. Alemán and J. J. Vilatela, "Molecular characterization of macroscopic aerogels of single-walled carbon nanotubes," *Carbon* **149**, 512–518 (2019).
- ²⁶L. Weller, F. R. Smail, J. A. Elliott, A. H. Windle, A. M. Boies, and S. Hochgreb, "Mapping the parameter space for direct-spun carbon nanotube aerogels," *Carbon* **146**, 789–812 (2019).
- ²⁷V. Reguero, B. Alemán, B. Mas, and J. J. Vilatela, "Controlling carbon nanotube type in macroscopic fibers synthesized by the direct spinning process," *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 3550–3557 (2014).
- ²⁸Q. N. Pham, L. S. Larkin, C. C. Lisboa, C. B. Saltonstall, L. Qiu, J. D. Schuler, T. J. Rupert, and P. M. Norris, "Effect of growth temperature on the synthesis of carbon nanotube arrays and amorphous carbon for thermal applications," *Phys. Status Solidi A* **214**, 1600852 (2017).
- ²⁹R. Bhatia and V. Prasad, "Synthesis of multiwall carbon nanotubes by chemical vapor deposition of ferrocene alone," *Solid State Commun.* **150**, 311–315 (2010).
- ³⁰H. Qiu, Z. Shi, Z. Gu, and J. Qiu, "Controllable preparation of triple-walled carbon nanotubes and their growth mechanism," *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 1092–1094.
- ³¹S. Ahmad, Y. Liao, A. Hussain, Q. Zhang, E.-X. Ding, H. Jiang, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Systematic investigation of the catalyst composition effects on single-walled carbon nanotubes synthesis in floating-catalyst CVD," *Carbon* **149**, 318–327 (2019).
- ³²B. McLean, C. A. Eveleens, I. Mitchell, G. B. Webber, and A. J. Page, "Catalytic CVD synthesis of boron nitride and carbon nanomaterials—Synergies

between experiment and theory," *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 26466–26494 (2017).

³³H. Amara and C. Bichara, "Modeling the growth of single-wall carbon nanotubes," *Top. Curr. Chem.* **375**, 55 (2017).

³⁴E. S. Penev, F. Ding, and B. I. Yakobson, "Mechanisms and theoretical simulations of the catalytic growth of nanocarbons," *MRS Bull.* **42**, 794–801 (2017).

³⁵A. J. Page, F. Ding, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, "Insights into carbon nanotube and graphene formation mechanisms from molecular simulations: A review," *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **78**, 036501 (2015).

³⁶R. Rao, R. Sharma, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov, and A. R. Harutyunyan, "Insights into carbon nanotube nucleation: Cap formation governed by catalyst interfacial step flow," *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 6510 (2014).

³⁷E. Pigos, E. S. Penev, M. A. Ribas, R. Sharma, B. I. Yakobson, and A. R. Harutyunyan, "Carbon nanotube nucleation driven by catalyst morphology dynamics," *ACS Nano* **5**, 10096–10101 (2011).

³⁸Y. Ohta, Y. Okamoto, A. J. Page, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, "Quantum chemical molecular dynamics simulation of single-walled carbon nanotube cap nucleation on an iron particle," *ACS Nano* **3**, 3413–3420 (2009).

³⁹A. J. Page, Y. Ohta, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, "Mechanisms of single-walled carbon nanotube nucleation, growth, and healing determined using Qm/Md methods," *Acc. Chem. Res.* **43**, 1375–1385 (2010).

⁴⁰A. J. Page, H. Yamane, Y. Ohta, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, "Qm/Md simulation of SWNT nucleation on transition-metal carbide nanoparticles," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 15699–15707 (2010).

⁴¹H. Dai, A. G. Rinzier, P. Nikolaev, A. Thess, D. T. Colbert, and R. E. Smalley, "Single-wall nanotubes produced by metal-catalyzed disproportionation of carbon monoxide," *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **260**, 471–475 (1996).

⁴²A. J. Page, Y. Ohta, Y. Okamoto, S. Irle, and K. Morokuma, "Defect healing during single-walled carbon nanotube growth: A density-functional tight-binding molecular dynamics investigation," *J. Phys. Chem. C* **113**, 20198–20207 (2009).

⁴³S. Ahmad, E.-X. Ding, Q. Zhang, H. Jiang, J. Sainio, M. Tavakkoli, A. Hussain, Y. Liao, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Roles of sulfur in floating-catalyst CVD growth of single-walled carbon nanotubes for transparent conductive film applications," *Chem. Eng. J.* **378**, 122010 (2019).

⁴⁴A. Hussain, E.-X. Ding, B. McLean, K. Mustonen, S. Ahmad, M. Tavakkoli, A. J. Page, Q. Zhang, J. Kotakoski, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Scalable growth of single-walled carbon nanotubes with a highly uniform structure," *Nanoscale* **12**, 12263–12267 (2020).

⁴⁵Y. Wang, X. Gao, H.-J. Qian, Y. Ohta, X. Wu, G. Eres, K. Morokuma, and S. Irle, "Quantum chemical simulations reveal acetylene-based growth mechanisms in the chemical vapor deposition synthesis of carbon nanotubes," *Carbon* **72**, 22–37 (2014).

⁴⁶C. A. Eveleens and A. J. Page, "Effect of ammonia on chemical vapour deposition and carbon nanotube nucleation mechanisms," *Nanoscale* **9**, 1727–1737 (2017).

⁴⁷C. A. Eveleens, S. Irle, and A. J. Page, "How does acetonitrile modulate single-walled carbon nanotube diameter during CVD growth?," *Carbon* **146**, 535–541 (2018).

⁴⁸I. Mitchell and A. J. Page, "The influence of hydrogen on transition metal-catalysed graphene nucleation," *Carbon* **128**, 215–223 (2018).

⁴⁹C. A. Eveleens and A. J. Page, "Catalyst- and etchant-dependent mechanisms of single-walled carbon nanotube nucleation during chemical vapor deposition," *J. Phys. Chem. C* **123**, 10622–10629 (2019).

⁵⁰U. Khalilov, A. Bogaerts, and E. C. Neyts, "Atomic scale simulation of carbon nanotube nucleation from hydrocarbon precursors," *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 1–7 (2015).

⁵¹S. Fukuhara, M. Misawa, F. Shimojo, and Y. Shibuta, "Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation of ethanol dissociation reactions on alloy catalysts in carbon nanotube growth," *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **731**, 136619 (2019).

⁵²Y. Wang, W. Song, M. Jiao, Z. Wu, and S. Irle, "Importance of oxygen in single-walled carbon nanotube growth: Insights from Qm/Md simulations," *Carbon* **121**, 292–300 (2017).

⁵³S. Ahmad, P. Laiho, Q. Zhang, H. Jiang, A. Hussain, Y. Liao, E.-X. Ding, N. Wei, and E. I. Kauppinen, "Gas phase synthesis of metallic and bimetallic catalyst nanoparticles by rod-to-tube type spark discharge generator," *J. Aerosol Sci.* **123**, 208–218 (2018).

⁵⁴M. Elstner, D. Porezag, G. Jungnickel, J. Elsner, M. Haugk, T. Frauenheim, S. Suhai, and G. Seifert, "Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties," *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 7260–7268 (1998).

⁵⁵G. Zheng *et al.*, "Parameter calibration of transition-metal elements for the spin-polarized self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding (DFTB) method: Sc, Ti, Fe, Co, and Ni," *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **3**, 1349–1367 (2007).

⁵⁶M. Weinert and J. W. Davenport, "Fractional occupations and density-functional energies and forces," *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 13709–13712 (1992).

⁵⁷R. M. Wentzcovitch, J. L. Martins, and P. B. Allen, "Energy versus free-energy conservation in first-principles molecular dynamics," *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 11372–11374 (1992).

⁵⁸F. Wagner, T. Laloyaux, and M. Scheffler, "Errors in Hellmann-Feynman forces due to occupation-number broadening and how they can be corrected," *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 2102–2107 (1998).

⁵⁹W. C. Swope, H. C. Andersen, P. H. Berens, and K. R. Wilson, "A computer simulation method for the calculation of equilibrium constants for the formation of physical clusters of molecules: Application to small water clusters," *J. Chem. Phys.* **76**, 637–649 (1982).

⁶⁰S. Nosé, "A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods," *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 511–519 (1984).

⁶¹W. G. Hoover, "Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions," *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 1695–1697 (1985).

⁶²B. Hourahine *et al.*, "DFTB plus: A software package for efficient approximate density functional theory based atomistic simulations," *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 124101 (2020).

⁶³S. Irle, G. S. Zheng, Z. Wang, and K. Morokuma, "The C–60 formation puzzle 'solved': Qm/Md simulations reveal the shrinking hot giant road of the dynamic fullerene self-assembly mechanism," *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 14531–14545.

⁶⁴Y. Wang, A. J. Page, Y. Nishimoto, H.-J. Qian, K. Morokuma, and S. Irle, "Template effect in the competition between haackelite and graphene growth on Ni(111): Quantum chemical molecular dynamics simulations," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 18837–18842 (2011).

⁶⁵Y. Wang, X. F. Gao, H. J. Qian, Y. Ohta, X. N. Wu, G. Eres, K. Morokuma, and S. Irle, "Quantum chemical simulations reveal acetylene-based growth mechanisms in the chemical vapor deposition synthesis of carbon nanotubes," *Carbon* **72**, 22–37 (2014).

⁶⁶K. Elihn and K. Larsson, "A theoretical study of the thermal fragmentation of ferrocene," *Thin Solid Films* **458**, 325–329 (2004).

⁶⁷R. Xiang, E. Einarsson, J. Okawa, Y. Miyauchi, and S. Maruyama, "Acetylene-accelerated alcohol catalytic chemical vapor deposition growth of vertically aligned single-walled carbon nanotubes," *J. Phys. Chem. C* **113**, 7511–7515 (2009).

⁶⁸A. Shibuya, G. Chen, A. Miyoshi, and D. N. Futaba, "Improving the synthetic efficiency of single-wall carbon nanotube forests using a Gas-analysis-designed mixed carbon feedstock," *Carbon* **170**, 59–65 (2020).

⁶⁹S. Ahmad *et al.*, "Hybrid low-dimensional carbon allotropes formed in gas phase," *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **30**, 2005016 (2020).

⁷⁰A. G. Nasibulin, D. P. Brown, P. Queipo, D. Gonzalez, H. Jiang, and E. I. Kauppinen, "An essential role of CO₂ and H₂O during single-walled CNT synthesis from carbon monoxide," *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **417**, 179–184 (2006).