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Abstract

The paper deals with control of induction motor drives equipped with a diode rectifier and a small dc-link capacitance. Neither an ac choke nor a dc choke is used. It is necessary to use dc-link stabilization control if the mains inductance is not very low. Conventional dc-link stabilization controllers rely on the current controller. If no input choke is used, however, the natural frequency of the dc link is higher than the current-control bandwidth, and the conventional stabilization methods cannot be used. This paper proposes a control algorithm based on direct manipulation of the stator voltage reference. Furthermore, a recently proposed field-weakening controller is modified to better suit the oscillating dc-link voltage. Design recommendations for the proposed scheme are presented. Simulation results of a 110-kW drive show that the proposed scheme stabilizes the dc link and the dynamic performance is comparable with that of the conventional drive.

1 Introduction

Frequency converters used in induction motor drives are usually equipped with a six-pulse diode rectifier, a voltage-stiff dc link, and a pulse-width-modulated inverter. Conventionally, the dc link is based on electrolytic capacitors and a dc choke (or an ac choke at the input of the rectifier), and the natural frequency of the dc link is much lower than six times the mains frequency. There is an increasing interest in replacing the electrolytic capacitors by film capacitors having a longer lifetime and no explosion risks, but the dc-link capacitance has to be considerably reduced in order not to increase the size of the capacitor bank.

Small dc-link capacitances have been applied in ac drives equipped with active rectifiers [1], where both the rectifier and the inverter can be used to control the power balance of the dc link. Commercial drives equipped with a diode rectifier and a small dc-link capacitance are available [2]; a small capacitance is advertised to reduce the mains harmonics, while the dynamic performance of the drive has not been used as an argument. In research publications, the concept of a diode rectifier and a small dc-link capacitance has received little attention, with the exception of recent studies: pulse-width modulation (PWM) was studied in [3, 4], and control issues relating to 2.2-kW and 37-kW drives were studied in [5].

Instability of the dc link may occur in conventional drives (having a low dc-link natural frequency), if the inductance of a choke is large relative to the dc-link capacitance. The dc link can be stabilized by manipulating the reference of the torque-producing current component [6, 7, 8]. If a small dc-link capacitance without an additional choke is used, the dc-link natural frequency becomes high and dependent on the mains inductance. Especially in large-power drives, the mains inductance relative to the dc-link capacitance may be large enough to cause instability in the dc link, while the dc-link natural frequency is above the bandwidth of the current-control loop. Hence, conventional stabilization methods relying on the current controller cannot be used.
The model in Fig. 1(a) is approximated by a simplified model in Fig. 1(b). The ideal rectified voltage is shown in the figure. It is modeled by three ideal changeover switches.

The differential equations are used. The electrical dynamics in general coordinates rotating at arbitrary angular speed \( \omega \) correspond to Fig. 1(b). Furthermore, the field-weakening controller of [9] is modified to better suit the oscillating dc-link voltage. The proposed scheme is analyzed by means of linearization and simulations.

2 System Model

2.1 Diode Rectifier and DC Link

The models of the drive system components are presented in the following. Fig. 1(a) shows a three-phase model of the mains, the diode rectifier, and the dc link. The mains resistance and inductance are denoted by \( R_g \) and \( L_g \), respectively, and the dc-link inductance, resistance, and capacitance are \( L_d', R_d', \) and \( C_d \), respectively. The phase-to-neutral mains voltages \( u_{ga}, u_{gb}, \) and \( u_{gc} \) for a balanced three-phase system have the peak value \( u_g \) and the angular frequency \( \omega_g \). The current at the output of the rectifier is \( i_{di} \), while the current and voltage at the input of the inverter are \( i_d \) and \( u_d \), respectively. The inverter is not shown in the figure. It is modeled by three ideal changeover switches.

The model in Fig. 1(a) is approximated by a simplified model in Fig. 1(b). The ideal rectified voltage is

\[
\text{u}_{di} = \text{max}\{\text{u}_{ga}, \text{u}_{gb}, \text{u}_{gc}\} - \text{min}\{\text{u}_{ga}, \text{u}_{gb}, \text{u}_{gc}\}, \quad \text{or in terms of its harmonic components}
\]

\[
\text{u}_{di} = \text{u}_{di,av} \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(6n)^2 - 1} \cos(6n\omega_g t) \right]
\]

where the average is \( \text{u}_{di,av} = 3\sqrt{3}u_g/\pi \). The parameters are \( L_d = L'_d + 2L_g \) and \( R_d = R'_d + 2R_g + 3\omega_gL_g/\pi \), where the term \( 3\omega_gL_g/\pi \) corresponds to the non-ohmic voltage drop due to commutation [10]. The differential equations

\[
L_d \frac{di_{di}}{dt} = u_{di} - u_d - R_d i_{di}, \quad C_d \frac{du_d}{dt} = i_{di} - i_d, \quad i_{di} \geq 0
\]

(2)

The dynamic model corresponding to the inverse-\( \Gamma \) equivalent circuit [11] of the induction motor will be used. The electrical dynamics in general coordinates rotating at arbitrary angular speed \( \omega_k \) are given by

\[
L_s \frac{di_s}{dt} + \omega_k L_s J i_s = u_s - R_s i_s + (\alpha I - \omega_m J) \psi_R, \quad \frac{d\psi_R}{dt} + \omega_k J \psi_R = R_R i_s - (\alpha I - \omega_m J) \psi_R
\]

(3)

where \( J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) is the orthogonal rotation matrix, \( u_s = [u_{sd}, u_{sq}]^T \) the stator voltage vector, \( i_s = [i_{sd}, i_{sq}]^T \) is the stator current, \( \psi_R = [\psi_{Rd}, \psi_{Rq}]^T \) is the rotor flux, and \( \omega_m \) is the electrical angular speed

\footnote{If an ac choke is used, its resistance and inductance should be included in \( R_g \) and \( L_g \), respectively.}
of the rotor. The stator resistance is $R_s$, the rotor resistance is $R_R$, the total resistance is $R_\sigma = R_s + R_R$, the magnetizing inductance is $L_M$, the total leakage inductance is $L_\sigma$, and the inverse rotor time constant is $\alpha = R_R/L_M$.

Peak-value scaling of vectors is used. Hence, the electromagnetic torque is $T_e = (3/2)p\hat{u}_d^T J \hat{\psi}_R$, where $p$ is the number of pole pairs. The equation of motion is given by $(J/p)d\omega_m/dt = T_e - T_L - b\omega_m/p$, where $J$ is the total moment of inertia of the mechanical system, $T_L$ the load torque, and $b$ the viscous friction coefficient. The losses in the inverter will be neglected for simplicity. Hence, the power $p_s$ into the stator equals the power into the inverter:

$$p_s = \frac{3}{2} \hat{u}_s^T \hat{i}_s = u_d i_d$$

(4)

### 3 Stabilization of DC Link

#### 3.1 Linearized Model of DC Link and Stability Condition

The system (2) has two inputs: the ideal rectified voltage $u_{d0}$ and the current $i_d$ at the inverter input. Since $i_d = p_s/u_d$ according to (4), the dynamics of the dc link are nonlinear. The stability of the dc link can be analyzed using a small-signal linearized model. The system behavior on time scales longer than the switching period is described by averaging the variables over one switching period. The deviation of the current $i_d$ can be expressed as $\hat{i}_d = (\partial i_d/\partial p_s)\hat{p}_s + (\partial i_d/\partial u_d)\hat{u}_d = \hat{u}_d/R_0 + \hat{p}_s/u_{d0}$, where $\hat{i}_d$, $\hat{u}_d$, and $\hat{p}_s$ refer to deviations about the operating point, and the operating-point quantities are marked by the subscript 0. The parameter $R_0 = -u_{d0}^2/p_{s0}$ depends on the operating point and is negative in the motoring mode. Hence, the linearized small-signal model becomes

$$L_d \frac{\hat{i}_d}{dt} = \hat{u}_d - \hat{u}_d - R_d \hat{i}_d, \quad C_d \frac{\hat{u}_d}{dt} = \hat{i}_d - \hat{u}_d/R_0 - \hat{p}_s/u_{d0}$$

(5)

where the current $i_{d0}$ at the output of the rectifier is assumed to be continuous. From (5), the transfer function from the power $\hat{p}_s(s)$ to the dc-link voltage $\hat{u}_d(s)$ becomes

$$\frac{\hat{u}_d(s)}{\hat{p}_s(s)} = -\frac{1}{u_{d0} s^2 L_d C_d + s(R_d C_d + L_d/R_0) + 1 + R_d/R_0}$$

(6)

The poles of (6) are located at $-\zeta \omega_n \pm j \omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}$, where the parameters

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{R_0 + R_d}{R_0} \frac{1}{L_d C_d}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_d C_d}}, \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{2\omega_n} \left( \frac{R_d}{L_d} + \frac{1}{R_0 C_d} \right)$$

(7)

are the undamped natural frequency and the damping ratio of the system, respectively. Since the damping ratio $\zeta$ should be positive, the stability condition [8]

$$C_d/p_{s0} > L_d/(R_d u_{d0}^2)$$

(8)

is obtained. If no dc choke is used, i.e. $L_d = 2L_q$, and the losses in the dc link and in the mains are insignificant, i.e. $R_d = 3\omega_y L_q/\pi$, the ratio $L_d/R_d = 2\pi/(3\omega_y)$. In this case, the condition equals $C_d/p_{s0} > 23 \mu F/kW$ if the dc-link voltage is $u_{d0} = 540$ V and the mains angular frequency $\omega_y = 2\pi 50$ rad/s.

Since the duty cycles are calculated based on the measured dc-link voltage, the motor dynamics (or the power $\hat{p}_s$) are ideally independent of the dc-link dynamics. If the PWM saturates, however, the stator voltage $\hat{u}_s$ depends on the dc-link voltage $\hat{u}_d$. Hence, the dynamics of the dc link in (5) couple with those of the motor and controllers, and the stability condition (8) may not hold. The saturation of the PWM can be prevented by controlling the motor flux level so that there is always some voltage margin.
3.2 Control Law

If the dc-link capacitance is small, the stability condition (8) may not be fulfilled. In this case, the dc link can be stabilized by controlling the deviation \( \tilde{p}_s \) of the power. Here, ideal control of the inverter output power is assumed. Hence, a proportional controller can be considered,

\[
\tilde{p}_s(s) = k_{ud} p_{d0} \tilde{u}_d(s) / u_{d0}
\]

where the controller gain \( k_{ud} \) is a nonnegative constant. The damping ratio of the closed-loop system formed by (6) and (9) is \( \zeta_{cl} = [R_d / L_d + (1 - k_{ud}) / (R_0 C_d)] / (2 \omega_{n.cl}) \), where \( \omega_{n.cl} \approx \omega_n \). The second term in the brackets can be made to vanish by choosing \( k_{ud} = 1 \). Using this selection, the damping of the dc link equals the damping in no-load operation.

If the bandwidth of current control is significantly higher than the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) of the dc link, the inverter output power \( \tilde{p}_s \) can be controlled by manipulating the stator current reference [6, 8]. In the case of a small dc-link capacitance and no input choke, however, the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) is usually higher than the bandwidth of current control. Hence, the power \( \tilde{p}_s \) cannot be controlled via the current reference, but the stator voltage reference has to be manipulated directly.

Based on (3), the operating-point stator voltage is \( u_{sd0} = Z_0 i_{sd0} \), where the impedance is

\[
Z_0 = R_s I + \omega_{d0} J [L_\sigma I + R_R (\alpha I + \omega_{t0} J)]^{-1}
\]

where \( \omega_{d0} = \omega_{d0} - \omega_{n0} \) is the slip angular frequency. In synchronous coordinates rotating at \( \omega_{d0} \), the relationship between the voltage and current deviations is \( \tilde{u}_s(s) = Z(s) \tilde{i}_s(s) \), where the impedance is

\[
Z(s) = R_s I + (s I + \omega_{d0} J)L_\sigma - R_R (\alpha I + \omega_{n0} J)(s I + \alpha I + \omega_{t0} J)^{-1}
\]

Hence, the deviation of the power can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{p}_s(s) = \frac{3}{2} [i_{sd0}^T \tilde{u}_s(s) + u_{sd0}^T \tilde{i}_s(s)] = \frac{3}{2} i_{sd0}^T G(s) \tilde{u}_s(s)
\]

where \( G(s) = I + Z_0^T Z^{-1}(s) = G_d(s) I + G_q(s) J \) is a dimensionless transfer-function matrix. At higher frequencies, the impedance (11) can be approximated as \( Z(s) \approx R_\sigma I + (s I + \omega_{d0} J)L_\sigma \), yielding

\[
G_d(s) = 1 + \frac{(s L_\sigma + R_\sigma) \left( R_s + \frac{\omega_{n0} \omega_{d0}}{\alpha^2 + \omega_{n0}^2} R_R \right) - \omega_{d0} L_\sigma \left( \omega_{d0} L_\sigma + \frac{\omega_{n0} \omega_{d0}}{\alpha^2 + \omega_{n0}^2} R_R \right)}{(s L_\sigma + R_\sigma)^2 + \omega_{d0}^2 L_\sigma^2}
\]

\[
G_q(s) = -\frac{(s L_\sigma + R_\sigma) \left( \omega_{d0} L_\sigma + \frac{\omega_{n0} \omega_{d0}}{\alpha^2 + \omega_{n0}^2} R_R \right) + \omega_{d0} L_\sigma \left( R_s + \frac{\omega_{n0} \omega_{d0}}{\alpha^2 + \omega_{n0}^2} R_R \right)}{(s L_\sigma + R_\sigma)^2 + \omega_{d0}^2 L_\sigma^2}
\]

Different control strategies could be developed for the system (12). A simple algorithm is obtained by fixing the coordinates to the operating-point stator current, i.e. \( i_{sd0} = [i_{s0}, \theta]_T \), and exploiting only the \( d \) component of the stator voltage. Hence, the multiple-input-single-output system (12) reduces to a single-input-single-output system \( \tilde{p}_s(s) = (3/2) i_{sd0} G_d(s) \tilde{u}_{sd0}(s) \), and the control law (9) can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{u}_{sd}(s) = \frac{2}{3 i_{sd0}} G_d^{-1}(s) \tilde{p}_s(s) = k_{ud} \frac{u_{sd0}}{u_{d0}} G_d^{-1}(s) \tilde{u}_d(s)
\]

Since only higher frequencies are of interest, the transfer function \( G_d^{-1}(s) \) can be approximated

\[
G_d^{-1}(s) \approx \frac{s + 2 R_\sigma / L_\sigma}{s + (2 R_\sigma + R_\sigma + \frac{\omega_{n0} \omega_{d0}}{\alpha^2 + \omega_{n0}^2} R_R) / L_\sigma}
\]

With this approximation, the controller (15) can be interpreted as a gain-scheduled lead-lag compensator. Fig. 2(a) illustrates the frequency response of \( G_d^{-1}(s) \) obtained from the original expression and from the high-frequency approximation (16). It can be seen that the accuracy of the approximation (16) is sufficient since the frequencies above 300 Hz are of interest.
3.3 Implementation

In the estimated rotor flux coordinates, the stator voltage reference including the dc-link stabilization signal is

\[
u_{s, \text{ref}} = e^{\vartheta_i J} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + K(s) u_d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{-\vartheta_i J} u_{s, \text{ref0}}
\]

where \(u_{s, \text{ref0}}\) is the reference obtained from the current controller, \(\vartheta_i\) is the angle of the stator current vector, and \(K(s)\) is the stabilizing control law (here, \(s\) should be interpreted as the operator \(s = d/dt\)). To avoid noise in the angle \(\vartheta_i\), it can be calculated as \(\vartheta_i = \arctan(\hat{\omega}_r / \alpha)\), where the estimated slip angular frequency \(\hat{\omega}_r = R_R \hat{i}_{sq}/\hat{\psi}_R\) and \(\hat{\psi}_R\) is obtained from the flux observer. The deviation \(\tilde{u}_d = u_d - u_{d0}\) of the dc-link voltage can be obtained by high-pass filtering, \(\tilde{u}_d = s/(s + \alpha_1) u_d\), where the bandwidth \(\alpha_1\) should be low to avoid phase errors in \(\tilde{u}_d\) (much lower than the natural frequency of the dc link). Based on (15) and (16), the selection \(\alpha_1 = 2 R_\sigma / L_\sigma\) results in a stabilizing controller

\[
K(s) = \frac{k_{ud} s}{u_{d0} s + \alpha_2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \left(\frac{2 R_\sigma + R_s + \hat{\omega}_r \hat{i}_{sq} R_R}{\alpha_2^2 + \omega_0^2 R_R / L_\sigma}\right) / L_\sigma
\]

where \(\alpha_2\) depends on the operating point and \(u_{d0}\) can be replaced with the nominal value of the dc-link voltage. It is worth noticing that PWM should be able to realize the stabilization signal superimposed on the stator voltage reference. Hence, the switching frequency should be sufficiently higher than the dc-link natural frequency.

4 Field-Weakening Control

4.1 Control Law

The small dc-link capacitance does not ideally cause loss of the maximum fundamental stator voltage. However, the overmodulation may cause low-frequency oscillations at \(|6(\omega_g - \omega_s)|\) in the stator voltage magnitude \(u_s\) if the dc-link capacitance is small [3]. These oscillations are caused by the interaction of two phenomena: (i) the oscillation of the dc-link voltage \(u_d\) at the frequency of \(6\omega_g\) appears in the stator voltage when overmodulating; and (ii) the overmodulation itself causes the harmonics at \(6\omega_s\) in the stator voltage (even if the dc-link voltage \(u_d\) is constant). To avoid the oscillations, the voltage reference \(u_{s, \text{ref}}\) is limited to the linear modulation range, i.e., \(u_{s, \text{ref}} = |u_{s, \text{ref}}| \leq u_d / \sqrt{3}\) even in transients.
Conventionally, field weakening is achieved by decreasing the flux reference inversely proportionally to the rotor speed. To guarantee the control of the stator current, a large voltage margin is usually provided, leading to a reduction in the maximum torque. Furthermore, the actual voltage margin depends on the operating point. Since the voltage margin is worth minimizing in practice, the flux reference can be determined based on the error between the reference voltage and the maximum steady-state voltage [12].

A simpler method—a modified version of [9]—is obtained by excluding the conventional flux controller; the flux-producing current reference is controlled and limited according to
\[
\frac{di_{sd,ref}}{dt} = \lambda \left( u_{s,max} - u_{s,ref} \right), \quad -i_{s,max} \leq i_{sd,ref} \leq i_{sd,N}
\]
where \( \lambda \) is the controller gain, \( u_{s,max} \) is the maximum stator voltage in steady state, and \( i_{sd,N} \) is the rated value of the flux-producing current component. The maximum steady-state voltage is calculated based on the dc-link voltage according to \( u_{s,max} = k_{us}u_d/\sqrt{3} \), where the positive constant \( k_{us} \leq 1 \) determines the voltage margin. In the case of the conventional dimensioned dc link, \( k_{us} = 1 \) can be selected if the overmodulation region is used, while \( k_{us} < 1 \) is needed in the case of the small dc-link capacitance. Since the overmodulation cannot be used for the voltage margin, the maximum steady-state voltage is lower when the dc-link capacitance is small than in the case of the conventionally dimensioned dc-link capacitance.

### 4.2 Gain Selection

Ideal current control and ideal PWM are considered in the following, i.e., \( i_s = i_{s,ref} \) and \( u_s = u_{s,ref} \). The linearized algorithm (19) is thus
\[
\dot{\tilde{u}}_{sd} = \lambda_0 (\tilde{u}_{s,max} - \tilde{u}_s)
\]
where \( \lambda_0 \) determines the closed-loop rotor-flux dynamics. When these slower dynamics are considered, the stator-current dynamics in (11) can be omitted due to different time scales, leading to the approximate impedance
\[
Z(s) \approx R_s I + \omega_0 L_s J - R_R (\alpha I - \omega_0 J) (sI + \alpha I + \omega_0 J)^{-1}
\]
The transfer function from \( \tilde{u}_{sd}(s) \) to \( \tilde{u}_s(s) \) is
\[
\frac{\tilde{u}_s(s)}{\tilde{u}_{s,max}(s)} = \frac{L(s)Z(s)}{1 + L(s)Z(s)}
\]
The gain \( \lambda_0 = 2R_R/(L_s^2|\omega_0|) \) yields the poles of (21) approximately at \((-1 \pm j)R_R/L_s\), whereas a smaller \( \lambda_0 \) reduces the damping. Based on the pole locations, the closed-loop rotor-flux dynamics (21) are sufficiently fast. The gain can be implemented as \( \lambda = 2R_R\psi_R/(L_s^2\psi_{RN}) \), where \( \psi_{RN} \) is the rated rotor flux. The dc-link dynamics couple with the dynamics of the motor and controllers through \( \tilde{u}_{sd,\text{max}} \) when operating in the field-weakening region. However, the coupling is weak since the current controller bandwidth is lower than the dc-link natural frequency. Furthermore, using the limited voltage reference in (19) reduces the effect of oscillating \( \tilde{u}_d \) on the flux dynamics.

### 5 Control System

A simplified block diagram of the speed-sensorless rotor-flux-oriented control system is shown in Fig. 2(b). The proposed dc-link stabilizing controller and field-weakening controller are used. If an electronically controlled braking resistor across the dc link is not used, the field-weakening controller could be augmented with the braking scheme presented in [13]. A PI current controller operating in estimated rotor flux coordinates is used, the current controller bandwidth being 4 p.u. The bandwidth of

\[ \text{The system (20) can be expressed as a state-space representation:} \]
\[ \frac{d\hat{\psi}_R}{dt} = - (\alpha I + \omega_0 J) \hat{\psi}_R + R_R \hat{i}_s; \quad \dot{\tilde{u}}_s = - (\alpha I - \omega_0 J) \hat{\psi}_R + (R_s I + \omega_0 L_s J) \dot{\tilde{u}}_s, \text{where} \ \dot{\tilde{u}}_s \text{is the input and} \ \tilde{u}_s \text{is the output.} \]
the PI speed controller—including the active damping [9]—is 0.08 p.u. The maximum stator current is \(i_{s, \text{max}} = 1.5\) p.u.

Conventional space-vector PWM and synchronized sampling (once per carrier half-period) are used in the simulations; the switching frequency is 4 kHz, and the sampling frequency is 8 kHz. The duty cycles are determined in the beginning of the carrier half-period using the dc-link voltage \(u_d\) measured in the beginning of the previous carrier half-period. The sampling delay and the change of \(u_d\) during the carrier period cause some errors in the produced stator voltage \(u_s\). The accuracy of the stator voltage could be improved by measuring \(u_d\) several times per carrier half-period and by determining the switching instants on line during the carrier half-period [4].

The rotor flux estimate (whose amplitude is denoted by \(\hat{\psi}_R\) and angle by \(\hat{\vartheta}_s\)) and the rotor speed estimate \(\hat{\omega}_m\) are obtained using a speed-adaptive flux observer [14]. The measured stator current \(i_s\) and the stator voltage reference \(u_{s, \text{ref}}\) are the inputs of the flux observer. PWM cannot perfectly compensate the effects of the oscillating dc-link voltage on the voltage \(u_s\), leading to noise in the stator current. Furthermore, a current controller migrates noise at lower frequencies to the voltage reference \(u_{s, \text{ref}}\). Consequently, when a small dc-link capacitance is used, the damping of the flux observer is more important than usually due to the increased noise content.

6 Simulation Results

The speed-sensorless induction motor drive described in the previous section was investigated by means of simulations. The rated values of the 110-kW induction motor used in the simulations are: speed 1481 r/min; frequency 50 Hz; line-to-line rms voltage 380 V; rms current 200 A; and torque 708 Nm. Motor parameters are: \(R_s = 0.012\) p.u.; \(R_p = 0.012\) p.u.; \(L_g = 0.231\) p.u.; \(L_M = 3.640\) p.u. The total moment of inertia is \(J = 200\) p.u. (two times the inertia of the rotor alone) and the viscous friction coefficient \(b = 0\). The mains frequency is 50 Hz and the line-to-line rms voltage 400 V. Two mains inductances of 20 \(\mu\)H and 120 \(\mu\)H will be considered. The mains inductance of 20 \(\mu\)H approximately equals the short-circuit inductance of a 2-MVA 20-kV/400-V 50-Hz distribution transformer while 120 \(\mu\)H corresponds to the mains inductance in [5], where the drive was fed by a 500-kVA 20-kV/400-V 50-Hz distribution transformer. The dc-link inductance \(L_d' = 0\) is assumed. The mains resistance \(R_g = 0\) and the dc-link resistance \(R_d' = 0\) are assumed corresponding to the worst-case damping, resulting in the resistance \(R_d = 3.640\) \(\mu\)H. In the conventional dc link, \(C_d = 5.7\) mF (corresponding to \(C_d/P_N = 52\) \(\mu\)F/kW) and an ac choke of 110 \(\mu\)H are used. As a small dc-link capacitance, \(C_d = 0.44\) \(\mu\)F (corresponding to \(C_d/P_N = 4\) \(\mu\)F/kW), while no input choke is used.

Fig. 3 shows results of an acceleration and a speed reversal. The speed reference is stepped from zero to 1 p.u. at \(t = 0.1\) s. The first subplot shows the speed reference (thick line) and its reference (thin line). The second subplot shows the stator current components (thick) and their references (thin) in the estimated rotor flux coordinates. The third subplot presents the dc-link voltage \(u_d\) divided by \(u_{d,\text{av}} = 540\) V. The last subplot shows the dc-link voltage \(u_d\) and the ideal rectified voltage \(u_{di}\) within the period of \(t = 1.20\ldots1.22\) s. As a reference, results of the drive equipped with the conventional dc link—having the natural frequency of 131 Hz—are depicted in Fig. 3(a). The overmodulation region is used as voltage margin, and the whole linear modulation region can be used in steady state, i.e. \(k_{us} = 1\). The dc-link stabilization algorithm is disabled, i.e \(k_{ud} = 0\).

Fig. 3(b) shows simulation results of the drive equipped with the small dc-link capacitance when the mains inductance is 20 \(\mu\)H, yielding the dc-link natural frequency of 1.2 kHz. To prevent low-frequency oscillation, the overmodulation region is not used at all, and the voltage margin is guaranteed choosing \(k_{us} = 0.96\). This selection yields the voltage margin (and dynamics) approximately equal to that of the conventional drive. However, the maximum steady-state voltage is 4 % smaller than that in the conventional drive. The dc-link stabilization controller is disabled, i.e \(k_{ud} = 0\), to demonstrate that the dc link is stable due to its high natural frequency. The selection \(k_{ud} = 1\) could be used as well, leading to results
similar to Fig. 3(b). The linearized model predicts that the dc link should be unstable without active stabilization. This contradiction between the simulation results and the linearized model (whose variables are averaged over a switching period) is not surprising since the dc-link natural frequency is high, and close to the switching frequency of 4 kHz.

In Fig. 3(c), the mains inductance is 120 µH and the dc-link natural frequency is 490 Hz. The dc-link stabilization controller is disabled, and the dc link is unstable when the inverter output power is high. The simulation results in Fig. 3(d) correspond to Fig. 3(c), except that the dc-link stabilization controller is enabled with $k_{ud} = 1$. The dc link is stable, and the ripple in the stator current components is decreased.

Fig. 4 depicts results where the speed reference is stepped from zero to 1.2 p.u. and a rated load torque step is applied at $t = 1$ s. The explanations of the first two subplots are as in Fig. 3. The third subplot shows the rotor flux and the last subplot depicts the dc-link voltage $u_d$. Results obtained using the conventional dc link are shown in Fig. 4(a) as a reference. The results in Fig. 4(b) correspond to the small capacitance and the mains inductance of 120 µH. The dc-link stabilizing controller is enabled with $k_{ud} = 1$ and the voltage margin corresponds to $k_{us} = 0.96$. The system is stable. The ripple in the current components, and in the torque increases with increasing power.

Results of an acceleration to a speed of 3 p.u. are shown in Fig. 5(a) for the drive with the conventional dc link and in Fig. 5(b) for the small dc-link capacitance. The dc-link stabilizing controller with $k_{ud} = 1$ is used in Fig. 5(b), and the voltage margin corresponds to $k_{us} = 0.96$. The acceleration time is slightly longer in Fig. 5(b) since the overmodulation region is disabled.

7 Conclusions

A small dc-link capacitance can be used without input choke if active stabilization of the dc link is used. Since the natural frequency of the dc link without the input choke is usually above the bandwidth of the current-control loop, conventional stabilization methods relying on the current controller cannot be used. The controller proposed in this paper superimposes the stabilization signal on the stator voltage reference. Furthermore, the voltage margin needed for transients can be minimized by controlling the motor flux based on the actual stator voltage and the instantaneous maximum stator voltage depending on the dc-link voltage. The simulation results of a 110-kW drive show that the proposed scheme stabilizes the dc link. The maximum steady-state voltage is slightly smaller than that of the conventional drive. The dynamic performance of the drive equipped with the small dc-link capacitance is comparable with that of the conventional drive.

References

Fig. 3: Acceleration and load torque step: (a) conventional dc link, dc-link stabilization disabled; (b) small capacitance and mains inductance of 20 µH, dc-link stabilization disabled; (c) small capacitance and mains inductance of 120 µH, dc-link stabilization disabled; (d) small capacitance and mains inductance of 120 µH, dc-link stabilization enabled.


Fig. 4: Speed reference steps under rated load torque: (a) conventional dc link, dc-link stabilization disabled; (b) small capacitance and mains inductance of 120 µH, dc-link stabilization enabled.

Fig. 5: High-speed operation: (a) conventional dc link, dc-link stabilization disabled; (b) small capacitance and mains inductance of 120 µH, dc-link stabilization enabled.


