This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Fraga, Guilherme C.; Bordbar, Hadi; Hostikka, Simo; Franca, Francis H. R.

Benchmark Solutions of Three-Dimensional Radiative Transfer in Nongray Media Using Line-by-Line Integration

Published in:

JOURNAL OF HEAT TRANSFER: TRANSACTIONS OF THE ASME

DOI:

[10.1115/1.4045666](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4045666)

Published: 01/03/2020

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Published under the following license:

CC BY

Please cite the original version:

Fraga, G. C., Bordbar, H., Hostikka, S., & Franca, F. H. R. (2020). Benchmark Solutions of Three-Dimensional Radiative Transfer in Nongray Media Using Line-by-Line Integration. *JOURNAL OF HEAT TRANSFER: TRANSACTIONS OF THE ASME*, 142(3), [034501]. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4045666>

American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ASME Accepted Manuscript Repository

Institutional Repository Cover Sheet

First

Last

ASME Paper Title: Benchmark Solutions of Three-Dimensional Radiative Transfer in Nongray Media Using
Line-by-Line Integration

Authors: Fraga, G. C., Bordbar, H., Hostikka, S., and França, F. H. R.

ASME Journal Title: ASME Journal of Heat Transfer

Volume/Issue 142(3) _____ Date of Publication (VOR* Online) _____ Jan. 13,
2020 _____

ASME Digital Collection URL: <https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/heattransfer/article/doi/10.1115/1.4045666/Benchmark-Solutions-of-ThreeDimensional-Radiative>

DOI: [10.1115/1.4045666](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4045666)

*VOR (version of record)

© ASME.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Benchmark Solutions of Three-Dimensional Radiative Transfer in Non-Gray Media Using Line-by-Line Integration

Guilherme C. Fraga*

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
Email: guilhermecfraga@ufrgs.br

Hadi Bordbar

Department of Civil Engineering
Aalto University
Espoo, Finland
Email: hadi.bordbar@aalto.fi

Simo Hostikka

Department of Civil Engineering
Aalto University
Espoo, Finland
Email: simo.hostikka@aalto.fi

Francis H.R. França

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
Email: frfranca@ufrgs.br

ABSTRACT

Non-gray gas radiation calculations are conducted for four three-dimensional benchmarks using line-by-line integration with the up-to-date high-resolution spectroscopic database HITEMP 2010. The radiative transfer equation is solved using the finite volume method over each wavenumber interval of the spectrum. A detailed mesh quality analysis assured the mesh independence of the solution. Accurate results for distributions of volumetric radiative heat source term and wall radiative heat flux are provided for four cases: (i) an isothermal pure water vapor medium at 1000 K; (ii) an isothermal and non-homogeneous H_2O-N_2 mixture at 1000 K; (iii) a non-isothermal and homogeneous $CO_2-H_2O-N_2$ mixture; and (iv) a non-isothermal and non-homogeneous $CO_2-H_2O-N_2$ mixture. This data can be useful to assess the accuracy of gas radiative property models.

1 Introduction

The development of reliable and computationally efficient models for the radiative properties of non-gray gases is a major area of research, due to the importance of thermal radiation in several high-temperature engineering applications (e.g., internal combustion engines and furnaces) and because representing participating gases such as CO_2 and H_2O as gray media in general leads to a considerable level of inaccuracy [1,2]. The most accurate approach to account for the spectral dependence of gas

*Address all correspondence to this author.

Table 1: Summary of the four test cases

Case	Temperature	Medium composition
1	Isothermal, 1000 K	Homogeneous, pure H ₂ O
2	Isothermal, 1000 K	Non-homogeneous, H ₂ O-N ₂
3	Non-isothermal	Homogeneous, CO ₂ -H ₂ O-N ₂
4	Non-isothermal	Non-homogeneous, CO ₂ -H ₂ O-N ₂

radiative properties is via line-by-line (LBL) calculations, in which, by employing a high-resolution spectroscopic database, the radiative transfer problem is solved with a wavenumber resolution that is sufficiently fine to resolve the structure of the individual spectral lines.

The LBL method has been adopted by many studies as a benchmark to which spectral models can be compared. However, due to the need of considering hundreds of thousands to millions of spectral lines, LBL calculations are extremely expensive. Hence, they are mostly used to provide the necessary data for developing simpler models (for example, [3–6]) or to generate benchmarks for one-dimensional configurations (e.g., [7, 8]). A few two-dimensional results with the method were reported by Modest and co-workers [9–11] (though using those as benchmark may be difficult due to the lack of information regarding the temperature and species concentration distributions) and by Chu et al. [12, 13], and LBL solutions for axisymmetric cases are provided by Centeno et al. [14, 15]. Aside from the calculations for an isothermal, homogeneous medium performed in Ref. [16], to the best of the authors’ knowledge no previous study has presented LBL-based spectrally integrated solutions for thermal radiation in three-dimensional benchmarks representing realistic combustion conditions.

The most well-known effort to provide three-dimensional benchmarks for non-gray gas radiation in combustion conditions was done by Liu [17]. In that paper, a statistical narrow band (SNB) model, with a typical spectral resolution of 25 cm^{-1} , was implemented in a ray-tracing solution of the radiative transfer equation (RTE) to generate results for the radiative heat source and heat flux along some lines of a rectangular geometry. While a ray-tracing approach was adopted to solve the RTE, the directional integration of the results was performed in Ref. [17] via the discrete ordinates method. Liu’s data have been widely used by different researchers to validate spectral models [3, 18] and RTE solution methods [19, 20]. In the present study, high-resolution LBL integration is carried out alongside the finite volume method (FVM) for the solution of the RTE for three-dimensional benchmarks similar to those proposed in Ref. [17], encompassing isothermal and non-isothermal conditions and homogeneous and non-homogeneous non-gray gaseous mixtures. The spectral resolution of the LBL calculations is significantly higher than the one used by Liu [17] and employ the more up-to-date spectroscopic database HITEMP 2010 [21]. To offset the relative inaccuracy of the FMV compared to the ray-tracing method implemented in Ref. [17], very high angular and spatial resolutions are presently adopted. As such, the results obtained here can serve as a basis of comparison for evaluating the accuracy of gas radiation models.

2 Numerical Model and Line-by-Line Integration

Considering a non-scattering medium (i.e., only gases), the radiation field is determined by solving the radiative transfer equation in its spectral form. The RTE is numerically solved using the FVM [22], following the methodology for angular discretization outlined in [23]. All calculations are carried out in a modified version of the radiation subroutine of the open-source, Fortran-based Fire Dynamics Simulator [24] code, into which the LBL integration method has been implemented.

The spectral absorption coefficient of the participating species is evaluated from the high-resolution spectroscopic database HITEMP 2010 [21]. Absorption cross-section data are generated at a resolution of 0.067 cm^{-1} for a wavenumber range $10^{-5}\text{ cm}^{-1} \leq \eta \leq 10^4\text{ cm}^{-1}$, with spectral line broadening described by a Lorentz profile [2]. Data for H₂O are produced for partial pressures of 0.01 atm, 0.1 atm and 0.2 atm, with linear interpolation adopted for intermediary pressures; for CO₂, the absorption cross-section data are obtained only at 0.1 atm and are then scaled up or down for any other partial pressure value. To deal with temperature variations, the spectral database is constructed for fifteen discrete temperature values, ranging from 400 K to 1800 K, and linearly interpolated accordingly. More details on this methodology can be found in Refs. [7, 8, 25].

3 Description of the Benchmarks

The geometry considered in this study is a $2\text{ m} \times 2\text{ m} \times 4\text{ m}$ rectangular enclosure filled with a non-gray gaseous mixture kept at a total pressure of 1 atm. The bounding surfaces of the enclosure are black and at a uniform temperature of 300 K. This geometry is the same as the one in Liu [17].

The four test simulated benchmarks are summarized in Table 1. In the first, the medium is composed of water vapor at 1000 K. The same temperature is maintained for the second case, but the medium is a mixture of H₂O and N₂, with the molar fraction of H₂O given as $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 4(z/L_z)(1 - z/L_z)$, where $L_z = 4\text{ m}$ is the height of the enclosure. In case 3, the medium is a homogeneous mixture of CO₂, H₂O and N₂, with the molar fraction of the former two species equal to 0.1 and 0.2,

Fig. 1: Sample results (test case 3) of the mesh independence analysis: (a) for the spatial discretization; and (b) for the angular discretization

respectively. The gas temperature is non-uniform but symmetrical with respect to the centerline of the enclosure, given as $T = (T_c - T_e)\gamma + T_e$, where T_c and T_e are the centerline and exit (at $z = 4$ m) temperatures, respectively. The γ function is $\gamma = 1 - 3(r/R)^2 + 2(r/R)^3$ for $r/R \leq 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ for $r/R > 1$, where $R = 1$ m and r is the radial distance from the centerline. The centerline temperature T_c is assumed to linearly increase from 400 K at the domain's entrance ($z = 0$) to 1800 K at $z = 0.375$ m, and then linearly decrease to $T_e = 800$ K at the exit.

While the first three cases are the same as those in Ref. [17], the fourth one is new and considers non-uniform temperature and gas compositions. In this case, the same temperature profile as case 3 is used, while the medium is now a non-homogeneous mixture of CO_2 , H_2O and N_2 . The aim is to provide a distribution of species concentrations that is representative of a real combustion application, as the aforementioned temperature profile. As such, the H_2O molar fraction is given as $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \gamma x_c$, where x_c is the molar fraction of this species along the centerline, which linearly varies from zero at $z = 0$ to 0.2 at $z = 0.375$ m and then back to zero at $z = 4$ m. The molar fraction of CO_2 for this case is $x_{\text{CO}_2} = x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/2$.

4 Results and Discussion

All results presented here are obtained with a rectilinear and uniformly-spaced grid with $32 \times 32 \times 64$ cells and using a total of 384 control angles for the FVM angular discretization. To assure the grid independence of the solution, Fig. 1a compares, for test case 3, the distributions of the volumetric radiative heat source term along the centerline of the enclosure obtained with this spatial grid and with a more refined discretization, where it can be seen that they are almost identical. The same was observed when comparing the predicted radiative heat flux on the walls, though, for the sake of brevity, these curves are not included in this paper. In fact, the deviations between the results of the two grids in Fig. 1a are, on average, of about 1.2 %. A similar comparison is drawn in Fig. 1b between meshes with 96, 192 and 384 control angles. Again, the results of the two more refined discretizations are almost the same, and the average deviation between them is below 0.15 %. Independent studies showed that these levels of refinement are also adequate for the other test cases.

It is worth mentioning that the finite volume method presently adopted to solve the RTE suffers from two major shortcomings when compared, for instance, to the ray-tracing approach used in Liu [17]: false scattering, which arises from spatial discretization errors and, for a given numerical scheme, can be reduced by refining the numerical grid; and the so-called ray effect, a consequence of the angular discretization that can be mitigated by increasing the number of control angles [1]. For one of Liu's test cases (case 3 of the present study), Porter et al. [19] showed that the discrete ordinates method (which is a non-conservative formulation of the FVM) can achieve a very good accuracy even for angular and spatial discretizations significantly coarser than the ones used here. Therefore, it is expected that the results presented next are not influenced by the method employed for solving the RTE.

Data are reported here for the distributions of the volumetric radiative heat source term S_r along some lines inside the medium as well as the radiative heat flux q_r along lines located on the walls of the enclosure. The positions of such lines for

Table 2: Radiative source term along $(x, 1 \text{ m}, 0.375 \text{ m})$ for case 1 and $(x, 1 \text{ m}, 0.24 \text{ m})$ for case 2, and radiative heat flux along $(x, 1 \text{ m}, 4 \text{ m})$ for both cases

$x \text{ (m)}$	Case 1		Case 2	
	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)
0.00	-171.48	23.079	-71.529	15.238
0.06	-95.581	25.771	-55.475	16.948
0.13	-68.135	27.298	-48.398	18.275
0.19	-54.934	28.301	-44.518	19.314
0.26	-47.500	29.016	-42.116	20.148
0.32	-42.884	29.550	-40.511	20.831
0.39	-39.826	29.963	-39.385	21.397
0.45	-37.709	30.288	-38.566	21.867
0.52	-36.195	30.547	-37.958	22.259
0.58	-35.091	30.755	-37.500	22.582
0.65	-34.278	30.920	-37.153	22.846
0.71	-33.678	31.051	-36.892	23.057
0.77	-33.244	31.151	-36.699	23.221
0.84	-32.941	31.223	-36.563	23.341
0.90	-32.750	31.271	-36.477	23.419
0.97	-32.657	31.294	-36.434	23.458

cases 1 to 3 are equal to those in Liu [17]; for case 4, the same line positions as case 3 are chosen. It should be noted that, besides employing a more accurate spectral treatment for the gas radiative properties, the grid used in the present study is finer than the ones in Ref. [17]; therefore, this work is able to provide more detailed results.

Table 2 contains the values of S_r along the horizontal line $(x, 1 \text{ m}, 0.375 \text{ m})$, where the origin of the coordinate system is at one of the bottom edges of the enclosure, and of q_r along the line $(x, y = 1 \text{ m}, z = 4 \text{ m})$, obtained for case 1. This table also reports q_r at these same positions and S_r along $(x, 1 \text{ m}, 0.24 \text{ m})$ for case 2. In Table 3, the distributions of S_r along the domain's centerline, $(1 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ m}, z)$, and of q_r along $(2 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ m}, z)$ are presented for both of these cases. Because the temperature and species concentration profiles for cases 1 and 2 are symmetrical with respect to $x = 1 \text{ m}$ and $z = 2 \text{ m}$, Tables 2 and 3 contain data for only half of the enclosure.

Comparing these results to those obtained by Liu [17] for the same test cases, no significant differences can be noted for the radiative heat source. For the wall radiative heat flux, on the other hand, slightly lower values were predicted in [17].

Table 4 reports the distributions of S_r along the centerline of the domain and q_r along $(2 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ m}, z)$ for test cases 3 and 4. Note that, while the radiative heat source in these cases is quite similar, the wall radiative heat flux of case 4 is lower than case 3. This is a consequence of the fact that globally there is less CO_2 and H_2O in the domain in case 4 (S_r at the domain's centerline is not significantly affected by this because the distributions of temperature and medium composition along this line are the same for both cases).

5 Conclusions

Three-dimensional, non-gray gas radiation calculations to provide accurate solutions for four different benchmarks representing various combustion conditions were carried out, using line-by-line integration with the up-to-date high-resolution spectroscopic database HITEMP 2010. The radiative transfer equation in its spectral form was solved using the finite volume method. Mesh independence analyses were conducted for both spatial and angular discretizations, showing that the computational grid adopted for the simulations was sufficiently fine and that the numerical results were not significantly affected by further mesh refinement. Accurate numerical results were provided for a non-gray participating medium within a rectangular enclosure for four test cases, encompassing isothermal and non-isothermal conditions and homogeneous and non-homogeneous mixtures. These results can be useful as a basis of comparison for evaluating the accuracy of other gas radiation models.

Acknowledgements

Author GCF thanks CNPq for a doctorate scholarship. Authors HB and SM would like to acknowledge the support of the Academy of Finland under grant no. 314487. FHRF thanks CNPq for research grants 302686/2017-7.

Table 3: Radiative source term along the centerline of the enclosure and wall radiative heat flux along (2m, 1 m, z) for cases 1 and 2

z (m)	Case 1		Case 2	
	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)
0.00	-167.03	22.648	-13.577	11.460
0.06	-90.316	25.363	-27.522	13.321
0.13	-62.329	26.904	-33.909	15.131
0.19	-48.709	27.911	-36.434	16.791
0.25	-40.918	28.627	-38.009	18.323
0.32	-35.990	29.166	-38.032	19.694
0.38	-32.657	29.590	-37.241	20.915
0.44	-30.296	29.933	-36.277	22.011
0.51	-28.563	30.216	-35.520	23.006
0.57	-27.257	30.452	-34.505	23.900
0.63	-26.251	30.652	-33.390	24.702
0.70	-25.463	30.821	-32.266	25.423
0.76	-24.836	30.966	-31.443	26.080
0.83	-24.331	31.090	-30.679	26.676
0.89	-23.920	31.198	-29.914	27.214
0.95	-23.583	31.292	-29.183	27.698
1.02	-23.304	31.373	-28.504	28.133
1.08	-23.073	31.444	-27.885	28.524
1.14	-22.879	31.507	-27.329	28.875
1.21	-22.716	31.561	-26.835	29.188
1.27	-22.580	31.608	-26.400	29.468
1.33	-22.465	31.650	-26.019	29.717
1.40	-22.368	31.686	-25.689	29.938
1.46	-22.286	31.717	-25.404	30.131
1.52	-22.218	31.743	-25.161	30.299
1.59	-22.162	31.766	-24.957	30.444
1.65	-22.115	31.784	-24.787	30.565
1.71	-22.078	31.800	-24.650	30.666
1.78	-22.050	31.812	-24.542	30.745
1.84	-22.029	31.821	-24.463	30.804
1.90	-22.015	31.826	-24.411	30.843
1.97	-22.008	31.829	-24.386	30.862

References

- [1] Modest, M. F., 2013. *Radiative Heat Transfer*. Academic Press.
- [2] Howell, J. R., Meng, M. P., and Siegel, R., 2016. *Thermal Radiation Heat Transfer*, 6th ed. CRC press.
- [3] Bordbar, M. H., Wecel, G., and Hyppänen, T., 2014. "A line by line based weighted sum of gray gases model for inhomogeneous CO₂-H₂O mixture in oxy-fired combustion". *Combustion and Flame*, **161**(9), pp. 2435–2445.
- [4] Alberti, M., Weber, R., and Mancini, M., 2016. "Re-creating hottel's emissivity charts for water vapor and extending them to 40 bar pressure using HITEMP-2010 data base". *Combustion and Flame*, **169**, jul, pp. 141–153.
- [5] Wang, C., Modest, M. F., and He, B., 2016. "Full-spectrum k-distribution look-up table for nonhomogeneous gas-soot mixtures". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **176**, jun, pp. 129–136.
- [6] Bordbar, H., and Hyppänen, T., 2018. "Line by line based band identification for non-gray gas modeling with a banded approach". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, **127**, dec, pp. 870–884.
- [7] Dorigon, L. J., Duciak, G., Brittes, R., Cassol, F., Galarça, M., and França, F. H., 2013. "Wsgg correlations based on HITEMP2010 for computation of thermal radiation in non-isothermal, non-homogeneous H₂O/CO₂ mixtures". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, **64**, pp. 863–873.
- [8] Cassol, F., Brittes, R., França, F. H., and Ezekoye, O. A., 2014. "Application of the weighted-sum-of-gray-gases model for media composed of arbitrary concentrations of H₂O, CO₂ and soot". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, **79**, pp. 796–806.
- [9] Modest, M. F., and Zhang, H., 2002. "The full-spectrum correlated-k distribution for thermal radiation from molecular gas-particulate mixtures". *Journal of heat transfer*, **124**(1), pp. 30–38.
- [10] Zhang, H., and Modest, M. F., 2002. "A multi-scale full-spectrum correlated-k distribution for radiative heat transfer in inhomogeneous gas mixtures". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **73**(2-5), apr, pp. 349–360.

Table 4: Radiative source along the centerline of the enclosure and wall radiative heat flux along (2m, 1 m, z) for cases 3 and 4

Case 3					Case 4				
z (m)	Case 3		Case 4		z (m)	Case 3		Case 4	
	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)		S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)	S_r (kW/m ³)	q_r (kW/m ²)
0.00	55.565	11.284	12.702	8.1655	2.03	-139.11	17.918	-120.87	12.223
0.06	57.653	12.771	23.589	9.1127	2.10	-132.33	17.596	-113.76	11.796
0.13	36.152	14.108	14.261	10.211	2.16	-125.68	17.275	-106.87	11.374
0.19	-16.375	15.441	-26.181	11.451	2.22	-119.30	16.956	-100.49	10.957
0.25	-101.93	16.797	-108.50	12.802	2.29	-113.13	16.639	-94.448	10.545
0.32	-217.73	18.132	-239.36	14.191	2.35	-107.09	16.326	-88.616	10.139
0.38	-529.25	19.490	-563.58	15.630	2.41	-101.18	16.015	-82.990	9.7390
0.44	-442.54	20.349	-470.70	16.576	2.48	-95.401	15.708	-77.570	9.3454
0.51	-399.51	21.013	-423.29	17.304	2.54	-89.753	15.404	-72.356	8.9585
0.57	-372.09	21.500	-392.22	17.826	2.60	-84.452	15.105	-67.455	8.5784
0.63	-351.67	21.853	-368.54	18.191	2.67	-79.327	14.809	-62.766	8.2053
0.70	-334.91	22.103	-348.80	18.434	2.73	-74.337	14.517	-58.265	7.8393
0.76	-320.50	22.265	-331.57	18.572	2.79	-69.484	14.229	-53.952	7.4804
0.83	-307.48	22.351	-315.90	18.618	2.86	-64.768	13.944	-49.826	7.1286
0.89	-295.43	22.370	-301.35	18.580	2.92	-60.191	13.663	-45.887	6.7840
0.95	-284.09	22.331	-287.64	18.472	2.98	-55.990	13.385	-42.231	6.4464
1.02	-273.28	22.242	-274.60	18.303	3.05	-51.932	13.109	-38.745	6.1158
1.08	-262.92	22.110	-262.15	18.082	3.11	-48.019	12.836	-35.427	5.7922
1.14	-253.08	21.943	-250.32	17.818	3.17	-44.257	12.565	-32.278	5.4754
1.21	-243.53	21.745	-238.91	17.517	3.24	-40.648	12.295	-29.296	5.1653
1.27	-234.22	21.522	-227.87	17.186	3.30	-37.231	12.024	-26.492	4.8619
1.33	-225.13	21.277	-217.19	16.829	3.37	-34.151	11.752	-23.899	4.5652
1.40	-216.24	21.014	-206.82	16.452	3.43	-31.249	11.478	-21.452	4.2750
1.46	-207.61	20.736	-196.84	16.059	3.49	-28.542	11.198	-19.144	3.9914
1.52	-199.33	20.446	-187.29	15.652	3.56	-26.054	10.910	-16.969	3.7146
1.59	-191.20	20.146	-178.02	15.236	3.62	-23.823	10.611	-14.915	3.4448
1.65	-183.22	19.839	-169.01	14.812	3.68	-21.968	10.294	-12.970	3.1823
1.71	-175.38	19.526	-160.26	14.383	3.75	-20.662	9.9511	-11.097	2.9277
1.78	-167.67	19.208	-151.76	13.951	3.81	-20.042	9.5644	-9.2121	2.6816
1.84	-160.23	18.888	-143.62	13.518	3.87	-20.724	9.1036	-7.2386	2.4454
1.90	-153.06	18.565	-135.80	13.084	3.94	-24.709	8.5073	-4.9599	2.2218
1.97	-146.02	18.242	-128.22	12.652	4.00	-42.370	7.6182	-1.9352	2.0148

- [11] Modest, M. F., and Riazzi, R. J., 2005. "Assembly of full-spectrum k-distributions from a narrow-band database; effects of mixing gases, gases and nongray absorbing particles, and mixtures with nongray scatterers in nongray enclosures". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **90**(2), jan, pp. 169–189.
- [12] Chu, H., Liu, F., and Zhou, H., 2012. "Calculations of gas radiation heat transfer in a two-dimensional rectangular enclosure using the line-by-line approach and the statistical narrow-band correlated-k model". *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, **59**, sep, pp. 66–74.
- [13] Chu, H., Consalvi, J.-L., Gu, M., and Liu, F., 2017. "Calculations of radiative heat transfer in an axisymmetric jet diffusion flame at elevated pressures using different gas radiation models". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **197**, aug, pp. 12–25.
- [14] Centeno, F. R., Brittes, R., França, F. H. R., and Ezekoye, O. A., 2015. "Evaluation of gas radiation heat transfer in a 2D axisymmetric geometry using the line-by-line integration and WSGG models". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **156**, pp. 1–11.
- [15] Centeno, F. R., Brittes, R., Rodrigues, L. G. P., Coelho, F. R., and França, F. H. R., 2018. "Evaluation of the wsgg model against line-by-line calculation of thermal radiation in non-gray sooting medium representing an axisymmetric laminar jet flame". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*.
- [16] Schenker, G. N., and Keller, B., 1995. "Line-by-line calculations of the absorption of infrared radiation by water vapor in a box-shaped enclosure filled with humid air". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, **38**(17), nov, pp. 3127–3134.
- [17] Liu, F., 1999. "Numerical Solutions of Three-Dimensional Non-Grey Gas Radiative Transfer Using the Statistical Narrow-Band Model". *Journal of Heat Transfer*, **121**(1), p. 200.
- [18] Bordbar, H., Maximov, A., and Hyppänen, T., 2019. "Improved banded method for spectral thermal radiation in participating media with spectrally dependent wall emittance". *Applied Energy*, **235**(November 2017), pp. 1090–1105.

- [19] Porter, R., Liu, F., Pourkashanian, M., Williams, A., and Smith, D., 2010. "Evaluation of solution methods for radiative heat transfer in gaseous oxy-fuel combustion environments". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **111**(14), sep, pp. 2084–2094.
- [20] Bordbar, M. H., and Hyppänen, T., 2015. "The correlation based zonal method and its application to the back pass channel of oxy/air-fired CFB boiler". *Applied Thermal Engineering*, **78**, pp. 351–363.
- [21] Rothman, L., Gordon, I., Barber, R., Dothe, H., Gamache, R., Goldman, A., Perevalov, V., Tashkun, S., and Tennyson, J., 2010. "Hitemp, the high-temperature molecular spectroscopic database". *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, **111**(15), pp. 2139–2150.
- [22] Raithby, G., and Chui, E., 1990. "A finite-volume method for predicting a radiant heat transfer in enclosures with participating media". *Journal of Heat Transfer*, **112**, pp. 415–423.
- [23] McGrattan, K. B., Hostikka, S., McDermott, R., Floyd, J., Vanella, M., and Vanella, M., 2018. *Fire Dynamics Simulator Technical Reference Guide Volume 1: Mathematical Model*. NIST Special Publication 1018-1. Sixth Edition.
- [24] McGrattan, K., McDermott, R., Floyd, J., Hostikka, S., Forney, G., and Baum, H., 2012. "Computational fluid dynamics modelling of fire". *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics*, **26**(6-8), apr, pp. 349–361.
- [25] Coelho, F. R., and França, F. H. R., 2018. "WSGG correlations based on HITEMP2010 for gas mixtures of H₂O and CO₂ in high total pressure conditions". *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, **127**, dec, pp. 105–114.