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We demonstrate a tenfold resonant enhancement of magneto-optical effects in perpendicularly magnetized [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots mediated by the excitation of optimized plasmon modes. Two magnetoplasmonic systems are considered: square arrays of [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots on glass and identical arrays on a Au/SiO$_2$ bilayer. On glass, the optical and magneto-optical spectra of the nanodot arrays are dominated by the excitation of a surface lattice resonance (SLR), whereas on Au/SiO$_2$, a narrow surface plasmon polariton (SPP) resonance tailors the spectra further. Both the SLR and SPP modes are magneto-optically active leading to an enhancement of the Kerr angle. We detail the dependence of optical and magneto-optical spectra on the number of Co/Pt bilayer repetitions, the nanodot diameter, and the array period, offering design rules on how to maximize and spectrally tune the magneto-optical response of perpendicularly magnetized [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.054416

I. INTRODUCTION

Perpendicularly magnetized Co/Pt bilayers and multilayers are widely investigated in the fields of nanomagnetism and spintronics. While research was motivated initially by their potential use in magnetic data storage devices [1,2], Co/Pt and other similar structures have been instrumental also in studies on domain wall dynamics [3,4], current-induced magnetization switching [5–7], current-driven motion of chiral domain walls [8–10], ion control of magnetism [11], and magnetic skyrmions [12–14]. The attractive properties of Co/Pt arise from the interface nature of its perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) [15,16], allowing it to be tailored by variation of the Co layer thickness or interface chemistry and, in combination with the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI), it can be used to stabilize chiral spin textures. Moreover, the demonstration of all-optical switching (AOS) of perpendicular magnetization in Co/Pt multilayers [17] has triggered a lively debate on the origin of AOS in thin ferromagnetic films.

The magneto-optical properties of Co/Pt multilayers are used mainly for magnetic characterization, often in the polar Kerr effect configuration [18]. The complex refractive index and magneto-optic Voigt parameter determine the optical and magneto-optical response of continuous Co/Pt films. The gradual variation of both parameters with wavelength produces a rather smooth magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) spectrum [19]. In patterned nanostructures, this no longer necessarily holds true. If Co/Pt multilayers are patterned into dots wherein the resonance condition of the free electrons matches the wavelength of incident light, a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) is excited. For other magnetic nanostructures, it has been demonstrated already that the optical near fields of LSPR modes can resonantly enhance and change the phase of magneto-optical signals [20–22]. This phenomenon is explained by the excitation of two electric dipoles (LSPRs) within the nanodots. The first dipole is excited parallel to the incident electric field. The second dipole is excited orthogonally to both the first dipole and the direction of the magnetization of the nanodot, and is induced by spin-orbit coupling [23]. Because the phase and amplitude relations of the two electric dipoles determine the magneto-optical response, it no longer depends solely on intrinsic material parameters. Tailoring of optical near fields by variation of the nanodot size, shape, or their ordering in periodic arrays, as routinely exploited in plasmonics, can therefore be used to design magneto-optical spectra [23–25].

Compared to metallic plasmonic systems comprising Ag, Au, or Al, magnetic metals suffer from larger ohmic losses because of their higher electrical resistivity. Consequently, plasmonic resonances of magnetic nanostructures are broader and less intense. To mitigate losses, hybrid magnetoplasmonic materials combining noble and magnetic metals have been explored. Examples include, Au/Co/Au trilayers [26], nanosandwiches [27], or nanorods [28], and core-shell Co/Ag or Co/Au nanoparticles [29,30]. The Co/Pt multilayers considered in this paper would not a priori circumvent losses in a similar fashion because the electrical resistivity of Pt is higher than that of Co. Consequently, it remains an open question to what extent plasmon resonances could be exploited to tailor the magneto-optical response of this attractive PMA system. The ability to drastically enhance optical near fields in Co/Pt nanostructures using plasmonics would provide promising links to ongoing research on spintronics and AOS of magnetic materials.

Here we study the optical and magneto-optical properties of [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots. We consider two magnetoplasmonic systems: (i) periodic [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodot arrays on glass substrates and (ii) identical nanodot arrays on Au/SiO$_2$ bilayers. We demonstrate that both plasmonic nanostructures allow
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for the design of strong magneto-optical responses through the excitation of collective surface lattice resonances [SLRs, system (i) and (ii)] or the excitation of surface plasmon polaritons [SPPs, only system (iii)]. Design rules for strong magneto-plasmonic effects in this PMA system are derived by characterizing a large number of samples with varying numbers of bilayer repetitions (N), nanodot diameters (D), and array periods (P).

II. EXPERIMENT

The periodic arrays in this study consist of Ta(2)/Pt(4)/[Co(1)/Pt(1)]x/Pt(1) multilayer nanodots [Fig. 1(a)], where the numbers in brackets indicate the layer thickness in nanometers. Hereafter we will simply refer to the multilayer structure as [Co/Pt]N. The nanodot arrays are fabricated on glass substrates and Si substrates covered by a Au(150)/SiO2(20) bilayer using electron beam lithography and lift-off [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. The [Co/Pt]N multilayers are grown by magnetron sputtering, whereas SiO2 and Au are deposited by atomic layer deposition and electron beam evaporation, respectively. Using this nanofabrication process, square arrays of [Co/Pt]N nanodots with the following parameters are patterned on glass and Si/Au/SiO2: The number of bilayer repetitions is varied as N = 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 30. For each N, arrays with periods P = 350, 400, 450, and 500 nm are patterned. Finally, samples with nanodot diameters D = 100, 150, and 200 nm are fabricated for each combination of N and P.

The optical and magneto-optical properties of the samples are characterized in a magneto-optical spectrometer. The setup consists of a NKT SuperK EXW-12 supercontinuum laser with an acousto-optical filter, polarizing and focusing optics, a Hinds Instruments I/FS50 photoelastic modulator, and a photodetector. The laser wavelength is varied between 475 and 1050 nm. We use linear polarized light at normal incidence with the electric field aligned along the x axis of the square nanodot arrays. During measurements, a ±1 T field from an electromagnet switches the magnetization of the [Co/Pt]N nanodots between two perpendicular directions. The magneto-optical Kerr rotation (θ) and Kerr ellipticity (ε) are simultaneously recorded by lock-in amplification of the modulated signal at 50 and 100 kHz. From these data the Kerr angle (Φ) is calculated as Φ = √θ2 + ε2. All measurements are performed with the [Co/Pt]N nanodots immersed in oil. The refractive index of the oil matches that of the glass substrate (n = 1.52). The resulting uniform dielectric environment facilitates the excitation of intense plasmon resonances.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic characterization

The magnetic properties of the nanodots are strongly influenced by the number of Co/Pt bilayer repetitions. Figure 2 shows polar MOKE hysteresis curves as a function of N and D. As is expected, the Co/Pt multilayers display an out-of-plane magnetic easy axis due to hybridization of the electron orbitals at the Co/Pt interfaces [15,16]. The hysteresis curves in Figs. 2(a)–2(c) exhibit fully remanent magnetization for most values of N, with only a slight decrease for the thickest multilayers. Regardless of the nanodot diameter, the coercivity of the arrays displays a similar trend as a function of N. The coercivity increases initially and reaches a maximum for five bilayer repetitions. Beyond this, it slowly decreases again in the thicker nanodots. The Co layers are ferromagnetically exchange-coupled to each other through the 1 nm thick Pt layers [31], which leads to increasing coercivity with increasing N. For thicker multilayers, larger dipolar fields allow nucleation of reverse domains at lower fields, leading to a reduction in coercivity and remanence [32].

B. Optical and magneto-optical properties of [Co/Pt]N nanodot arrays on glass

First, we discuss the optical response of [Co/Pt]N nanodot arrays on glass. Figures 3(a)–3(c) show optical transmission spectra of [Co/Pt]N arrays with P = 400 nm and D = 200 (a), 150 (b), and 100 nm (c). Nanodots of these sizes support the excitation of LSPRs at visible wavelengths, as the solid lines recorded on randomly distributed [Co/Pt]30 nanodots demonstrate. The downward pointing arrows mark the LSPR wavelengths. The LSPRs are broad because of large ohmic
losses in Co and Pt. In periodic nanodot arrays, coupling between the single-particle LSPRs and the diffracted orders (DOs) of the array produces asymmetric Fano-like excitations, known as surface lattice resonances (SLRs) [33–36]. In uniform dielectric environments (glass/index matching oil in our experiment, \( n = 1.52 \)), the DO wavelengths are given by

\[
\lambda_{p,q} = \frac{n P}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}},
\]

where \( p \) and \( q \) indicate the order of diffraction along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis of the nanodot array. In optical transmission spectra, the DOs appear as sharp peaks. For instance, the vertical dashed lines in Figs. 3(a)–3(c) mark the \((0 \pm 1)\) DOs of arrays with \( P = 400 \) nm (\( \lambda_{0,\pm1} = 1.52 \times 400 = 608 \) nm).

Collective SLR modes absorb the incident light efficiently and, hence, they produce a minimum in optical transmission spectra. The upward pointing arrows in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) indicate the SLRs arising from hybridization between the single-particle LSPRs and the \((0 \pm 1)\) DOs of the array. Because larger and thicker nanodots absorb more light, the transmission signal at the SLR wavelength decreases with increasing \( D \) and \( N \). The SLRs are more narrow than the LSPRs because diffraction in the array plane produces scattered fields that counter damping of the single-particle plasmonic response [36]. In our \([\text{Co/Pt}]_N\) nanodot arrays, the SLR linewidth decreases as the number of bilayer repetitions increases. Moreover, changes in the size and aspect ratio of the nanodots translate into a spectral shift of the LSPR [37] and, thereby, the SLR mode. For instance, Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) show how an increase of \( N \) and a decrease of \( D \) blueshift the SLR transmission minimum. For \([\text{Co/Pt}]_N\) nanodots with \( D = 100 \) nm, the LSPR is blueshifted well below the DO wavelength. Together with the reduced polarizability of this small-volume particle, it prevents the excitation of a pronounced SLR mode.

Figures 3(d)–3(f) summarize the dependence of optical transmission spectra on the array period. An increase of \( P \) redshifts the DOs, as described by Eq. (1). Consequently, the SLR wavelength moves up too. For nanodot arrays with \( P = 450 \) and 500 nm, sharp transmission peaks at the spectral position of \((\pm 1, \pm 1)\) DOs are visible below 600 nm also. The SLR linewidth depends on the spectral overlap between the LSPR and DO modes [33–36]. In our \([\text{Co/Pt}]_{20}\) samples with \( D = 200 \) and 150 nm, a redshift of the DO towards the single-particle LSPR reduces the SLR linewidth. The results of Fig. 3 demonstrate that \([\text{Co/Pt}]_N\) nanodot arrays support the excitation of intense SLRs if \( D \geq 150 \) nm and \( N \geq 5 \). The minimal SLR linewidth is about 100 nm, which is comparable to that of previously studied magnetoplasmonic arrays made of Ni nanodisks [24,25] or Au/SiO\(_2\)/Ni dimers [38].

The optical reflectivity spectra of Figs. 4(a)–4(c) validate the excitation of SLRs in the \([\text{Co/Pt}]_N\) nanodot arrays. In this measurement geometry, scattering from the SLR mode into the far field enhances the reflectivity \( R \) at the SLR wavelength. We note that the optical reflectivity of a nanodot array does not exactly correspond to \( 1 - T \), because \( R \) depends on scattering by the nanodots, while the optical transmission \( T \) is affected by the scattering and absorption of light. SLRs not only determine the optical response of the \([\text{Co/Pt}]_N\) nanodot arrays, but also their magneto-optical activity. In our experiments, we irradiate the nanodots with linear polarized light at normal incidence. In this geometry, the incident electric field \( E_x \) induces an oscillating electric dipole \( p_x \) in the metal nanodots, corresponding to the LSPR mode. The electric dipole is given by \( p_x = \alpha_{xx} E_x \), where \( \alpha_{xx} \) is a diagonal component of the nanodot polarizability tensor. In the presence of perpendicular magnetization, spin-orbit coupling produces a second weaker electric dipole orthogonal to the optically excited dipole and the direction of magnetization (\( p_y = \alpha_{xx} E_x \)). For single or randomly distributed magnetic nanodots, the real and imaginary part of the \( p_x/p_y \) ratio determine to Kerr rotation and Kerr ellipticity, respectively [23].

The optical reflectivity of a periodic plasmonic structure is proportional to the effective polarizability squared \( (R \propto |\alpha_{eff}|^2) \) [39]. The effective polarizability of nanodots in an array accounts for polarizing effects caused by the incident radiation and the electric fields from other nanodots in the array. For normal incident light with linear polarization along the \( x \)
axis \((E_x)\), the relevant diagonal component of the effective polarizability tensor \(\alpha_{\text{eff},xx}\) can be written as \([35,36]\)

\[
\alpha_{\text{eff},xx} = \frac{1}{1/\alpha_{xx} - S_x}. \tag{2}
\]

In Eq. (2) \(\alpha_{xx}\) is the polarizability of a single metal nanodot and \(S_x\) is the geometrical lattice factor for an incoming electric field along \(x\). The effective polarizability of a plasmonic array is thus resonantly enhanced when the real part of the denominator in Eq. (2) \([\text{Re}(1/\alpha_{xx}) - \text{Re}(S_x)]\) becomes zero. This condition corresponds to the SLR wavelength. The SLR linewidth depends on the imaginary value of the denominator in Eq. (2). As the polarizability of magnetic nanodots is small compared to that of noble metals, i.e., \(1/\alpha_{xx}\) is large, the SLRs of magnetoplasmonic arrays tend to be substantially broader than their noble metal counterpart. In magnetic nanodot arrays with perpendicular magnetization, \(E_x\) induces a second orthogonal SLR mode through spin-orbit coupling. This mode can be thought of as arising from diffractive coupling of the local electric dipoles along \(y\) \((p_y)\). The effective polarizability of the orthogonal SLR mode is given by \([25,38]\)

\[
\alpha_{\text{eff},xy} = \frac{\alpha_{xy}}{\alpha_{xx} \alpha_{yy} (1/\alpha_{yy} - S_y)(1/\alpha_{xx} - S_x)}, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\alpha_{yy}\) is the second diagonal component of the polarizability tensor of a single magnetic nanodot, \(\alpha_{xy}\) is an off-diagonal component, and \(S_y\) is the lattice factor for radiation along \(y\). The magneto-optical Kerr angle of the array \((\Phi)\) is
FIG. 4. Optical reflectivity spectra (a)–(c) and polar MOKE spectra (d)–(f) of square arrays of [Co/Pt]_N nanodots as a function of D (a) and (d), P (b) and (e), and N (c) and (f). In (a) and (d) N = 10 and P = 400 nm, in (b) and (e) N = 10 and D = 200 nm, and in (c) and (f) P = 400 nm and D = 200 nm.

then given by

\[ \Phi = \left| \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff,xy}}}{\alpha_{\text{eff,xx}}} \right|. \] (4)

For square arrays of circular magnetic nanodots, \( \alpha_{\text{xx}} = \alpha_{\text{yy}} \) and \( S_x = S_y \). From Eqs. (2)–(4) it follows that the spectral positions of the DOs and SLRs in optical reflectivity and MOKE spectra are similar in this case. At the SLR wavelength, the Kerr rotation is maximized and the Kerr ellipticity crosses zero [24]. As a result, the maximum Kerr angle (\( \Phi = \sqrt{\theta^2 + \epsilon^2} \)) may be slightly shifted away from the SLR wavelength. If the symmetry of the nanodots or the array is broken, the reflectivity and MOKE spectra can be vastly different, as demonstrated experimentally for circular Ni nanoparticles in rectangular arrays [24] and elliptical Ni nanodots in square arrays [25].

If we compare the optical reflectivity and MOKE spectra of our square [Co/Pt]_N nanodot arrays (Fig. 4), we indeed observe the expected resemblance. Excitation of the SLR mode produces a resonant enhancement of the magneto-optical Kerr angle. More intense SLR modes result in larger Kerr signals for most samples, as demonstrated by the dependence on nanodot diameter [Figs. 4(a) and 4(d)] and array period [Figs. 4(b) and 4(e)]. However, the Kerr angle varies nonmonotonically with the number of bilayer repetitions, with \( N = 10 \) displaying the strongest MOKE signal [Fig. 4(f)]. To distinguish between plasmonic or magnetic effects that could be responsible for this, it is instructive to compare the MOKE spectra of the nanodot arrays to those of continuous [Co/Pt]_N multilayers. Figure 5 shows that the MOKE signal decreases also with \( N \) in continuous multilayers. From this we conclude that the upper Co layers in thick films exhibit a reduced magnetic moment, most likely caused by larger interface roughness. In the continuous multilayers, the Kerr
The Au and SiO\textsubscript{2} layers are 150 and 20 nm thick, respectively. The SLR-induced resonant enhancement of the MOKE signal by a component of SLR modes. Propagating surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) excited at a noble metal dielectric interface induce a narrow-linewidth magneto-optical response on the [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] nanodots.

The experiments on [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] nanodot arrays on top of Au/SiO\textsubscript{2} bilayers are performed in reflection (the Au film blocks transmission). Absorption of light by the excitation of plasmon modes suppresses the optical reflectivity in this measurement geometry. The momentum mismatch between free space photons and SPPs is overcome by the extra momentum provided by the nanodot array [41]

\[ \mathbf{k}_{\text{SPP}} = \mathbf{k}_0 + p\mathbf{G}_x + q\mathbf{G}_y. \] (5)

Here \( \mathbf{k}_{\text{SPP}} \) is wave vector of the SPP mode, \( \mathbf{k}_0 = (\omega/c)\sin(\theta) \) is the wave vector of free space photons with angular frequency \( \omega \) traveling at the speed of light \( c \) and \( \theta \) is the angle of incidence. \( \mathbf{G}_x, \mathbf{G}_y \) are the reciprocal lattice vectors for array periods \( P_{x,y} \) along the direction of unit vectors \( e_x, e_y \), and \( p, q \) indicate the order of diffraction along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis of the nanodot array. For a square array with period \( P \) acting as grating coupler, the free space wavelength of the SPP mode corresponds to [42]

\[ \lambda'_{p,q} = \frac{P}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_d \epsilon_m}{\epsilon_d + \epsilon_m}}, \] (6)

where \( \epsilon_m \) and \( \epsilon_d \) are the dielectric constants of the metal film and the dielectric layer, respectively. In metal/dielectric bilayers with a periodic nanodot array on top, the SPP wavelength is greater than that of the DO.

Figures 6(a)–6(c) show optical reflectivity spectra of [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] nanodot arrays on a Au/SiO\textsubscript{2} bilayer. The array period is 400 nm in Figs. 6(a)–6(c) and \( D = 200 \) (a), 150 (b), and 100 nm (c). Two clear reflectivity minima are measured. The first narrow resonance marked by an upward pointing arrow occurs just above the wavelength of the (0, \( \pm 1 \)) DOs of the array [vertical dashed line in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)]. This resonance corresponds to a SPP mode. Based on Eq. (6) and the dielectric constants of Au and SiO\textsubscript{2} determined by ellipsometry, we estimate an SPP excitation wavelength of 638 nm for \( P = 400 \) nm, in excellent agreement with the experimental data of Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The second reflectivity minimum occurring at larger wavelength is considerably broader (labeled by a downward pointing arrow). This resonance corresponds to the SLR mode in the [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] nanodot array. Compared to the same array on glass, the SLR mode on Au/SiO\textsubscript{2} is redshifted [compare Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) and Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. This effect is explained by the formation of image dipoles in the Au film when the SiO\textsubscript{2} layer is thin, as discussed previously for noble metal plasmonic systems [43,44]. Energy absorption by the SPP and SLR modes increases with \( N \) and \( D \). Figures 6(d)–6(f) summarize tuning of the optical reflectivity spectra by the array period. In these measurements, \( N = 20 \). Since the SPP and SLR modes both depend on the spectral position of the DOs, the two reflectivity minima redshift with increasing \( P \).

We now focus our attention to the magneto-optical response of the [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] nanodot arrays on Si/Au/SiO\textsubscript{2}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{kerr_angle.png}
\caption{Polar MOKE spectra of continuous [Co/Pl\textsubscript{N}] multilayers as a function of \( N \).}
\end{figure}
Figure 7 shows the Kerr angle dependence on the number of Co/Pt bilayer repetitions and the array period. Obviously the SPP and SLR modes both produce a strong resonant enhancement of the MOKE signal. The SLR-induced Kerr angle and its dependence on \( N \) and \( P \) is similar to that observed in [Co/Pt]_N nanodot arrays on glass, except for a redshift of the resonances caused by the formation of image dipoles in the Au film. Section III B describes how SLR modes produce a strong MOKE signal in magnetic nanodot arrays. Here we focus on the magneto-optical activity of the SPP mode. The linewidth of the SPP-induced MOKE resonance is small, with the full width at half maximum (FWHM) ranging from 54 (\( D = 200 \text{ nm}, P = 350 \text{ nm} \)) to 18 nm (\( D = 200 \text{ nm}, P = 500 \text{ nm} \)), which is similar to the SPP resonances linewidth in the optical reflectivity spectra of Fig. 6. While the SPP mode is excited at the Au/SiO_2 interface, its near field only decays slowly within the dielectric film. Typically, the decay length of the SPP electric field is about half the wavelength of light involved [40]. Because the SiO_2 layer in our magnetoplasmonic structures is much thinner than this decay length, the [Co/Pt]_N nanodots patterned on top of SiO_2 are driven into resonance. Consequently, the SPP mode induces an electric dipole (\( p_x \)) in the magnetic nanodots parallel to the incident electric field (\( E_x \)). Via spin-orbit coupling in [Co/Pt]_N this again produces an orthogonal electric dipole (\( p_y \)), rendering a magneto-optical signal at the SPP wavelength. Since the SPP resonances forces the free electrons of the [Co/Pt]_N nanodots into oscillation, the induced magneto-optical response is not strongly affected by damping in the nanodots.

The magnitude of the Kerr angle at the SPP wavelength increases initially with the number of Co/Pt bilayer repetitions before it saturates at \( N = 10–20 \) [Fig. 7(a)]. This effect, which is similar to that observed for the SLR mode, again correlates with a reduction of the magnetic moment in the upper Co layers of thick multilayers (Fig. 5). The maximum Kerr angle measured at the SPP wavelength is comparable to that produced by the SLR (~20 mrad for \( N = 10, D = 200 \text{ nm}, \) and \( P = 350 \text{ nm} \)). Variation of the array period offers

**FIG. 6.** Optical reflectivity spectra of square arrays of [Co/Pt]_N nanodots on a Au/SiO_2 bilayer as a function of \( N \) (a)–(c) and \( P \) (d)–(f). (a)–(c) Data for arrays with \( P = 400 \text{ nm} \) and \( D = 200 \text{ (a), 150 (b), and 100 nm (c). The vertical dashed lines denote the position of the (0, ±1) DOs, the upward pointing arrows mark the SPP mode, and the downward pointing arrows indicate the SLR. (d)–(f) Data for arrays with \( N = 20 \text{ nm} \) and \( D = 200 \text{ (d), 150 (e), and 100 nm (f).**
an attractive means of tuning the wavelength of the narrow SPP-induced MOKE resonance. The spectral position of the Kerr angle maximum is given by Eq. (6), which can be used as a design tool in magnetoplasmic applications. The decrease of the Kerr angle with array period [Fig. 7(b)] is caused predominantly by a reduction of the nanodot packing density from 26% for $P = 350$ nm to 13% for $P = 500$ nm.

**IV. SUMMARY**

In this article we report on the magnetoplasmic properties of perpendicularly magnetized [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots on a Au/SiO$_2$ bilayer as a function of $N$ (a) and $P$ (b). In (a) $D = 200$ nm and $P = 400$ nm. In (b) $D = 200$ nm and $N = 10$.

An attractive means of tuning the wavelength of the narrow SPP-induced MOKE resonance. The spectral position of the Kerr angle maximum is given by Eq. (6), which can be used as a design tool in magnetoplasmic applications. The decrease of the Kerr angle with array period [Fig. 7(b)] is caused predominantly by a reduction of the nanodot packing density from 26% for $P = 350$ nm to 13% for $P = 500$ nm.
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**FIG. 7.** Polar MOKE spectra of square arrays of [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots on a Au/SiO$_2$ bilayer as a function of $N$ (a) and $P$ (b). In (a) $D = 200$ nm and $P = 400$ nm. In (b) $D = 200$ nm and $N = 10$. In both cases, whether plasmon resonances in the nanodots are directly excited by the incident electric field or via a SPP, spin-orbit coupling produces a second plasmon mode in the orthogonal direction. This effect causes linear polarized light to undergo a rotation and to become elliptical upon reflection from the [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodot samples.

Compared to continuous [Co/Pt]$_N$ films or off-resonance measurement conditions, optical near-field enhancements at the SPP and SLR wavelength can increase local magneto-optical effects by up to one order of magnitude. Plasmon-enhanced MOKE signals require a [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodot diameter of more than 100 nm and 10–20 Co/Pt bilayer repetitions maximize the Kerr angle. Because the SLR mode depends on single-particle LSPRs, its spectral position is tuned by variation of the multilayer thickness and the nanodot diameter. Both the SLR and SPP modes depend on the DOs of the array and, consequently, their spectral position depends on the array period.

Plasmon-enhanced magneto-optical effects in [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodots and the flexibility to tailor strong light-matter interactions in this PMA material system may be utilized in AOS experiments or as an efficient interface linking photonic and spintronic devices [45]. Our findings could also be exploited in plasmonic lasing. Recently, lasing was demonstrated in a magnetic system [46]. In this study, the SLR mode of Ni nanodot arrays on glass acted as a feedback mechanism for lasing from an organic gain medium. We expect that the much narrower SPP resonances in [Co/Pt]$_N$ nanodot arrays on Au/SiO$_2$ would provide more efficient feedback, with the potential of realizing magnetic field control of plasmonic lasing.


