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ABSTRACT

Aims. We study the multifrequency emission and spectral properties of the quasar 3C 279 aimed at identifying the radiation processes taking place in the source.

Methods. We observed 3C 279 in very-high-energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ-rays, with the MAGIC telescopes during 2011, for the first time in stereoscopic mode. We combined these observations with observations at other energy bands: in high-energy (HE, E > 100 MeV) γ-rays from Fermi-LAT; in X-rays from RXTE; in the optical from the KVA telescope; and in the radio at 43 GHz, 37 GHz, and 15 GHz from the VLBA, Metsähovi, and OVRO radio telescopes – along with optical polarisation measurements from the KVA and Liverpool telescopes. We examined the corresponding light curves and broadband spectral energy distribution and we compared the multifrequency properties of 3C 279 at the epoch of the MAGIC observations with those inferred from historical observations.

Results. During the MAGIC observations (2011 February 8 to April 11) 3C 279 was in a low state in optical, X-ray, and γ-rays. The MAGIC observations did not yield a significant detection. The derived upper limits are in agreement with the extrapolation of the HE γ-ray spectrum, corrected for EBL absorption, from Fermi-LAT. The second part of the MAGIC observations in 2011 was triggered by a high-activity state in the optical and γ-ray bands. During the optical outburst the optical electric vector position angle (EVPA) showed a rotation of ~180°. Unlike previous cases, there was no simultaneous rotation of the 43 GHz radio polarisation angle. No VHE γ-rays were detected by MAGIC, and the derived upper limits suggest the presence of a spectral break or curvature between the Fermi-LAT and MAGIC bands. The combined upper limits are the strongest derived to date for the source at VHE and below the level of the previously detected flux by a factor of ~2. Radiation models that include synchrotron and inverse Compton emissions match the optical to γ-ray data, assuming an emission component inside the broad line region and the infrared torus (R = 1.1 × 1019 cm and magnetic field B = 1.45 G) responsible for the high-energy emission, and another one outside the broad line region and the infrared torus (R = 1.5 × 1019 cm and B = 0.8 G) causing the optical and low-energy emission. We also study the optical polarisation in detail and interpret it with a bent trajectory model.
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1. Introduction

Blazars, active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with the relativistic jets oriented at small angles with respect to the line of sight (Urry & Padovani 1995), constitute the most numerous class of very-high-energy (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV) $\gamma$-ray emitters. Nowadays, we count around fifty\footnote{\url{http://tevcat.uchicago.edu/}} members of this class, which is further divided into BL Lac objects (BL Lacs) and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). In the VHE range only three $\gamma$-ray sources belonging to this latter class have been detected, i.e. 3C 279 (Albert et al. 2008a), PKS 1222+216 (Aleksić et al. 2011a), and PKS 1510–089 (Abramowski et al. 2013; Aleksić et al. 2014).

All blazars are highly variable, emitting nonthermal radiation spanning more than ten orders of magnitude in energy, and they show distinct features, in particular in the optical spectrum. BL Lacs are characterised by a continuous spectrum with weak or no emission lines in the optical regime while FSRQs show broad emission lines. Consequently, blazars are classified as BL Lacs or FSRQs according to the width of the strongest optical emission line, which is $<5$ Å in BL Lacs (Urry & Padovani 1995). The presence of emission lines has several implications. In combination with the often observed big blue bump in the optical-UV region from the accretion disc, the presence of gas and low-energy radiation around these sources is suggested. This has further implications for emission models; the VHE emission may be absorbed by internal optical and UV radiation coming from the accretion disc or from the broad line region (BLR). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume the presence of a population of low-energy photons coming from either one of these regions or from both of them, which contributes to the overall observed emission. Furthermore, pronounced emission lines allow for a good measurement of the redshift, which is usually precisely determined for FSRQs while for BL Lacs it is often unknown or limited to a range of values. The traditional classification of blazars into BL Lacs and FSRQs, outlined above, has recently been called into question (Giommi et al. 2012a).

The spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars has two broad peaks, the first between mm wavelengths and soft X-ray wavelengths, the second in the MeV/GeV band (Ghisellini & Tavecchio 2008). Typically, FSRQs have lower peak energies and higher bolometric luminosity than BL Lacs. In addition, their high-energy peak is the more prominent (e.g. see Compton dominance distributions in Giommi et al. 2012b). Various scenarios have been proposed to explain the emission of blazars. The low-energy peak is believed to be associated with synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons, while for the high-energy peak there is no general agreement, and different models are used for particular sources. For most BL Lacs, the second peak is explained as Compton up-scattering of the low-energy photons. Target photons can be the low-energy photons of the synchrotron emission (SSC; synchrotron self-Compton, Band & Grindlay 1985) or, in the case of External Compton models (EC; e.g. Hartman et al. 2001a; Böttcher et al. 2013), the seed photons are provided by the accretion disc, BLR clouds, and dusty torus. The case of FSRQs is different. Initially, at the time of early $\gamma$-ray observations, synchrotron self-Compton models (Maraschi et al. 1992, 1994) and hadronic self-Compton models (Mannheim & Biermann 1992) were applied to FSRQs. Later, it was found that the short variability timescales observed seemed to favour leptonic emission, since the acceleration timescale for protons is much longer. If, however, the variability is governed by the dynamical timescale, hadronic emission is a viable explanation, provided that the proton energies are high enough to guarantee a high radiative efficiency. External Compton models (e.g. Hartman et al. 2001a), models with several emission zones (e.g. Tavecchio et al. 2011) and further extensions of hadronic models have been proposed.

The source 3C 279 was the first $\gamma$-ray quasar discovered with the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (Hartman et al. 1992) and is the first member of the class of FSRQs detected as a VHE $\gamma$-ray emitter (Albert et al. 2008a). In addition, with a redshift of 0.536, it is among the most distant VHE $\gamma$-ray extragalactic sources detected so far. VHE $\gamma$-rays interact with low-energy photons of the extragalactic background light (EBL) via pair-production, making the source visibility in this energy range dependent on its distance. The discovery of 3C 279 as a $\gamma$-ray source stimulated debate about the models of EBL available at that time, implying a lower level of EBL than thought. Furthermore, the discovery of this source had interesting implications for emission models. Simple one-zone SSC models were not able to explain the observed emission requiring the development of more complex scenarios and hadronic models (Böttcher et al. 2009; Aleksić et al. 2011b). In addition, different models need to be considered for different activity states. Böttcher et al. (2013) could not fit the SED of a low-activity state of 3C 279 with a hadronic model while Böttcher et al. (2009) provides satisfactory hadronic fits of a flaring state.

Recently several papers have been published reporting large rotations (>180°) of the optical electric vector position angle (EVPA) in high-energy (HE, 100 MeV $< E < 100$ GeV) and VHE $\gamma$-ray emitting blazars: 3C 279 (Larionov et al. 2008), BL Lacertae (Marscher et al. 2008), and PKS 1510–089 (Marscher et al. 2010). In almost every case the rotations appear in connection with $\gamma$-ray flares and high-activity states of the sources. These long, coherent rotation events have been interpreted as the signature of a global field topology or the geometry of the jet, which are traced by a moving emission feature. For the case of 3C 279, two such rotation events have been detected. The first one (Larionov et al. 2008) was associated with the $\gamma$-ray flare detected by MAGIC (Aleksić et al. 2011b), whereas the second (Abdo et al. 2010c) was observed in conjunction with a HE $\gamma$-ray flare detected by the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) and interpreted as the signature of a bend in the jet a few parsecs downstream from the AGN core. In this work, an EVPV rotation that happened around MJD 55 720 is reported. This event is therefore the third episode of large EVPV rotation detected for 3C 279. While the rotation events seem to be rather common in $\gamma$-ray emitting blazars during the $\gamma$-ray flares, the connection between them and the HE and VHE emission in blazars is still under discussion. Historically, variations of the circularly polarised flux in the optical band have also been measured (Wagner & Mannheim 2001) supporting the idea that flux enhancements can go along with magnetic field structure.

2. MAGIC observations and data analysis

Very-high-energy $\gamma$-ray observations were performed with the MAGIC telescopes, a system of two 17 m diameter imaging Cherenkov telescopes located on the Canary Island of La Palma, at the observatory of the Roque de Los Muchachos (28.8° N, 17.8° W at 2200 m a.s.l.). The stereoscopic system provided an energy threshold of 50 GeV and a sensitivity of (0.76 ± 0.03)% of the Crab Nebula flux, for 50 h of effective observation time in the medium energy range above 290 GeV (for details see Aleksić et al. 2012). Because of the limited field of view (~3.5°) of the MAGIC telescopes, we did not operate in surveying mode, but
we tracked selected sources. One of the most successful techniques for discovering new sources or detecting flaring states is a target of opportunity (ToO) program triggered by an alert of a high-activity state in other wavebands.

The data analysis was performed using MARS (Moralejo et al. 2009), the standard MAGIC analysis framework with adaptations for stereoscopic observations (Lombardi et al. 2011). Based on the timing information, an image cleaning was performed with absolute cleaning levels of 6 photoelectrons (so-called core pixels) and 3 photoelectrons (boundary pixels) for the MAGIC-I telescope and 9 photoelectrons and 4.5 photoelectrons for the MAGIC-II telescope (Aliu et al. 2009). The shower arrival direction is reconstructed using a random forest regression method (Aleksić et al. 2010), extended with stereoscopic information such as the height of the shower maximum and the impact distance of the shower on the ground (Lombardi et al. 2011). In order to distinguish γ-like events from hadron events, a random forest method is applied (Albert et al. 2008). In the stereoscopic analysis image parameters of both telescopes are used, following the prescription of Hillas (1985), as well as the shower impact point and the shower height maximum. We additionally reject events whose reconstructed source position differs by more than (0.05°)² in each telescope. A detailed description of the stereoscopic MAGIC analysis can be found in Aleksić et al. (2012).

The source 3C 279 was observed in 2011 as part of two different campaigns. Initially, it was observed for about 20 h, during 14 nights from February 8 to April 11 for regular monitoring. In June, high-activity states in the optical and Fermi-LAT energy ranges triggered ToO observations. The source was observed for a total of about 10 h on 7 nights (from 2011 June 1 to 2011 June 7). Hereafter, the February to April observations and the June observations refer to the periods of MAGIC observations. After a quality selection based on the event rate, excluding runs with bad weather and technical problems, the final data sample amounts to 20.58 h. The effective time of these observations, corrected for the dead time of the trigger and readout systems, is 17.85 h. Part of the data was taken under moderate moonlight and twilight conditions, and these were analysed together with those taken during dark nights (Britzger et al. 2009). The source was observed at high zenith angles, between 35° and 45°. All data were taken in the false-source tracking (wobble) mode (Fomin et al. 1994), in which the telescope pointing was alternated every 20 min between two sky positions at 0.4° offset from the source, with a rotation angle of 180°. This observation mode allows us to take On and Off data simultaneously. The background is estimated from the anti-source, a region located opposite to the source position.

For all 2011 observations, above 125 GeV the distribution of the squared angular distance between the pointed position and the reconstructed position in the MAGIC data indicates an excess of 80 ± 102 γ-like events above the background (5144 ± 72) which corresponds to a significance of 0.8σ calculated with formula 17 of Li & Ma (1983). The number of excess events and significances for the individual observation periods and for the complete 2011 data set are reported in Table 1. Since none of the periods provided any significant detection, we compute two differential upper limits in the energy window from 125 GeV to 500 GeV, neglecting higher energies due to EBL absorption. The differential upper limits on the flux have been computed using the method of Rolke et al. (2005), assuming a power law with a spectral index of 3.5 and a systematic error of 30%. The results obtained are summarised in Table 2 and in Fig. 1, together with historical MAGIC observations, all corrected for EBL absorption using the model from Domínguez et al. (2011). We have also computed the upper limits using 2.5 and 4.5 as spectral indices of the power law, and they do not differ appreciably from the values obtained using an index of 3.5.

### Table 1. Results of 2011 MAGIC observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation period</th>
<th>Observation time [h]</th>
<th>Excess events [counts]</th>
<th>Background events [counts]</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 Feb.–Apr.</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>34 ± 82</td>
<td>3354 ± 58</td>
<td>0.4σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Jun.</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>46 ± 60</td>
<td>1790 ± 42</td>
<td>0.8σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 2011 data</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>80 ± 102</td>
<td>5144 ± 72</td>
<td>0.8σ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** For both the individual observation periods and for the entire 2011 data set, the observation time in hours, the excess and background events, and the significance calculated with Eq. (17) of Li & Ma (1983), are reported.
Information regarding on-orbit calibration procedures is given in Ackermann et al. (2012). Fermi-LAT normally operates in a scanning “sky-survey” mode, which provides a full-sky coverage every two orbits (3 h). The analysis was performed following the Fermi-LAT standard analysis procedure 3 using the Fermi-LAT analysis software, ScienceTools v9r29r2, together with the P7SOURCE_V6 instrument response functions.

The results are given in Ackermann et al. (2012). In the case of log-parabola model, the parameter $\beta$ represents the curvature around the peak. Here, we fixed the reference energy $E_0$ at 300 MeV. The best-fit parameters calculated by the fitting procedure are summarised in Table 3. For the spectrum in period A, a log-parabola model is slightly favoured to describe the $\gamma$-ray spectral shape over the simple power-law model with the difference of the logarithm of the likelihood fits $-2 \Delta \text{log} L = 6.0$, which corresponds to a probability of 1.43% for the power-law hypothesis, while there is no significant deviation from the simple power-law model in the spectrum of period B.

Table 2: Differential upper limits calculated from MAGIC observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy [GeV]</th>
<th>a.f. Upper limit [10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>0.63 10.7 16.0 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303.6</td>
<td>0.16 0.8 2.9 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Columns 1 and 2 give the energy and the respective absorption factor (a.f.) $\text{e}^{-\tau}$, where $\tau$ is the optical depth given by the EBL model of Domínguez et al. (2011). InCols. 3–5, the observed differential upper limits for the individual periods and the overall data sample are shown.

3 See details in http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/

4 TS corresponds to $-2 \Delta \text{log} L = -2 \text{log}(L_0/L_1)$, where $L_0$ and $L_1$ are the maximum likelihoods estimated for the null and alternative hypotheses, respectively. Here for the source detection, $TS = 25$ with 2 degrees of freedom corresponds to an estimated $4.6 \sigma$ pre-trial statistical significance assuming that the null hypothesis TS distribution follows a $\chi^2$ distribution (see Mattox et al. 1996).

5 iso_p7v6source.txt and gal_2yearp7v6_v0.fits

7 The tool assigns the probabilities for each event including not only the spatial consistency, but also the spectral information of all the sources in the model. See details at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/help/gtsrcprob.txt

3.2. $X$-rays: RXTE-PCA

The source 3C 279 has been monitored with the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) since 1996 (Chatterjee et al. 2008). It
has been observed with the PCA instrument in separate pointings with a typical interval of two to three days and exposure times of the order of kiloseconds. For the analysis, routines from the X-ray data analysis software FTOOLS and XSPEC were used. The source spectrum from 2.4 to 10 keV is modelled with a power law with low-energy photoelectric absorption by the intervening gas in our Galaxy, which is represented by a hydrogen column density of $8 \times 10^{20}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ (Chatterjee et al. 2008).

Compared to the long-term X-ray behaviour of 3C 279 in 1996–2007 presented in Chatterjee et al. (2008), the source was in a low state during spring 2011 (Fig. 2). The light curve in the energy range 2–10 keV shows two minor flares peaking around MJD 55 670 (with a maximum flux of $1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and MJD 55 700 (with a maximum flux of $1.0 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). For comparison, the major X-ray flares of the source have reached peak fluxes of
Table 3. Results of spectral fitting in the HE γ-ray band measured by Fermi-LAT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (MJD)</th>
<th>Fitting model</th>
<th>Γ/α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>TS</th>
<th>−2ΔL</th>
<th>Flux (&gt;100 MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 Feb. 8–Apr. 12</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>695.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10⁻⁷ ph cm⁻² s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(55 600–55 663)</td>
<td>Log P</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>700.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Jun. 1–Jun. 8</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>400.6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(55 713 – 55 720)</td>
<td>Log P</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Column 1 shows the period of observations, Cols. 2–4 the fitting model and its parameters (PL: power-law model, Log P: log-parabola model. See definitions in the text), Col. 5 the TS, Col. 6 the difference for the logarithm of the likelihood of the fit with respect to a single power-law fit. The flux >100 MeV is given in the last column.

Table 4. Highest energy photons associated with 3C 279 in Fermi-LAT observations during each period of the MAGIC observations in 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection time of the event</th>
<th>Estimated energy [GeV]</th>
<th>Incident angle</th>
<th>Converted layer</th>
<th>Reconstructed arrival direction from 3C 279°</th>
<th>Probability of association with 3C 279</th>
<th>Survived tighter event selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period A</td>
<td>May 10 (MJD 55 691.2821)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>51.4°</td>
<td>back</td>
<td>0.11°</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period B</td>
<td>June 05 (MJD 55 717.0275)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>45.2°</td>
<td>back</td>
<td>0.17°</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) 68% containment radius of the LAT point-spread function is 0.304° in the instrument response functions of P7SOURCE_V6 for the back-thick layers converted events at 33.5 GeV with an incident angle of 47.0°. (b) So-called ultraclean:evclass=4 data selection.

Fig. 3. Comparison of Fermi-LAT observations and MAGIC upper limits for 2011 February–April (top) and 2011 June (bottom) observations. The butterfly represents the SED plot with a 1σ confidence region of the best-fit power-law model extended up to 300 GeV for Fermi-LAT observations (filled circles, empty circles represent upper limits). The VHE data (open squares) are corrected for EBL absorption (filled squares) using Domínguez et al. (2011).

(3–5) × 10⁻¹¹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹, while the Fermi-LAT outburst reported in Abdo et al. (2010c) had a maximum flux of similar order to the outbursts reported here. The bowties represented in the multifrequency SEDs (see Sect. 4.1.1) are obtained using the flux (6.7 ± 0.5) × 10⁻¹¹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and the energy index 0.6 ± 0.2 for the February to April observations and flux (7.9 ± 0.5) × 10⁻¹² erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and the energy index 0.9 ± 0.2 for the June observations.

3.3. Optical observations: KVA and Liverpool

The optical observations were performed with the Kungliga Vetenskapsakademien (KVA) telescope and the Liverpool telescope (LT), both located on the Canary Island of La Palma. The KVA telescope, operated remotely from Finland, consists of two telescopes mounted on the same fork; a 35 cm Celestron and a 60 cm Schmidt reflector. Photometric monitoring of 3C 279 has been performed regularly since 2004 as a part of the Tuorla blazar monitoring program. Observations were performed with the KVA 35 cm telescope in the R-band and data were analysed using standard procedures (for details see Aleksić et al. 2011b). The R-band light curve shows a constant quiescent state (flux and magnitude of the source quiescent state are 1.45 mJy and R = 15.8; respectively Reintithal et al. 2012) from 2011 February until May, around MJD 55 700, after which there is a clear outburst. It reaches its peak at MJD 55 719, showing a maximum flux of ~6 mJy (magnitude R ~ 14.3). Compared to previous outbursts observed from this source, it is the third brightest since the beginning of the program in 2004. The other two brighter optical outbursts were detected in February 2006 and January 2007 in coincidence with the detections at VHE γ-rays (Albert et al. 2008a; Aleksić et al. 2011b).

The polarisation monitoring of 3C 279 had been carried out since 2009 using the KVA 60 cm telescope, equipped with a CCD polarimeter capable of polarimetric measurements in BVRI bands using a plane-parallel calcite plate and a superachromatic A/2 retarder (Piirola et al. 2006). The observations

http://users.utu.fi/kani/1m/
presented here were performed without a filter. Since 2010, polarimetric observations have also been conducted with the fully-robotic 2 m LT. For the present campaign\(^8\), polarimetry data-taking was intensified in June 2011, triggered by the high-activity state detected in the \textit{Fermi}-LAT and optical bands. The source was followed for about a month, with an almost daily observation frequency. At the epochs of intense monitoring during the high-activity state in MJD 55 710–55 730, we were able to closely follow the smooth evolution of the polarisation parameters which allowed us to model in detail the behaviour of the source (see Sect. 4.2).

Observations at the LT were performed with the novel RINGO2 fast-readout imaging polarimeter (Steele et al. 2010), equipped with a hybrid \(V+R\) filter, consisting of a 3 mm Schott GG475 filter cemented to a 2 mm KG3 filter. The polarimeter used a rotating polaroid with a frequency of approximately 1 Hz, during the cycle of which eight exposures of the source are obtained. These exposures were synchronised with the phase of the polaroid to determine the degree and angle of polarisation. The flux of 3C 279 was measured in each of the eight images using aperture photometry, and the normalised Stokes parameters \(q = Q/I\) and \(u = U/I\) (for a definition of the Stokes parameters see e.g. Rybicki & Lightman 1986) and their errors \(\sigma_q\) and \(\sigma_u\) were computed using the formulae in Clarke & Neumayer (2002). The RINGO2 instrument exhibits an instrumental polarisation which remains constant through several months, but changes abruptly at epochs when changes have been made to the system. This instrumental polarisation amounts to 2.1–2.5\% depending on which interval is considered. To correct for instrumental polarisation, the average \(q\) and \(u\) of zero polarisation standards, monitored throughout the 3C 279 campaign, were subtracted from the \(q\) and \(u\) of 3C 279 and the errors propagated into \(\sigma_q\) and \(\sigma_u\). The degree of polarisation \(p\) and the EVPA were then computed from \(p = \sqrt{q^2 + u^2}\) and EVPA = 0.5 tan\(^{-1}\)(\(u/q\)). After this, the unbiased degree of polarisation \(p_0\) was computed from \(p_0 = \sqrt{p^2 - \sigma^2}\) where \(\sigma = (\sigma_q + \sigma_u)/2\). The (asymmetric) 68\% and 95\% confidence intervals of \(p_0\) were then computed using the prescription by Simmons & Stewart (1985) and, if the lower 95\% confidence boundary of \(p_0\) was > 0.01\%, we considered that significant polarisation had been detected. In this case a correction for instrumental depolarisation, determined from high polarisation standards, was applied using \(p_{\text{corr}} = p_0/k\), where \(p_{\text{corr}}\) is the corrected polarisation and \(k = 0.76 \pm 0.01\). In the corresponding panels of Fig. 2, \(p_{\text{corr}}\) and its 68\% confidence limits are given. Finally, the error of the EVPA was computed from \(\sigma_{\text{EVPA}} = 2.865 \sigma_{p_{\text{corr}}}/p_{\text{corr}}\).

3.3.1. Polarisation

The complete light curves for the polarisation degree and EVPA are presented in the corresponding panels of Fig. 2. The plots show that the optical flare was accompanied by a fourfold increase in the degree of polarisation. The polarisation degree reached a maximum of \(\sim 20\%\) contemporaneously with the photometric peak flux, after which it returned to the initial value of \(\sim 5\%\), while the total flux remained persistently high for the remainder of the monitoring. Throughout the observation period the polarisation was variable, showing high-amplitude changes at timescales of a few days. High polarisation such as that reached at the maximum of the flare is typical during

\(^8\) This campaign is part of a larger program conducted at the LT that provides optical polarisation data to complement MAGIC VHE observations of extragalactic sources.

\(^9\) An angular variation of \(\Delta\theta\) in the Stokes \(Q-U\) plane corresponds to a change in the EVPA of \(2\Delta\chi\); therefore, the 360\° of the Stokes plane correspond to only 180\° of real angle \(\chi\) because of the 180\° ambiguity of the polarisation angle.
that the dominant polarised optical emission was co-spatial to the radio core (Fig. 5) $\theta \sim 2\,\text{'}$. The EVPA of the source randomly oscillated around this direction with a variance of $\Delta \chi \approx 20\,\text{'}$.

The polarisation variability outside the optical high-activity state can be described as magnetic turbulence around the mean field position. To evaluate whether the rotation event can also be described by magnetic turbulence, we have performed Monte Carlo simulations using a random ensemble of polarisation angles as a proxy for turbulence. We modelled the emitting region as $N$ cells of equal volume and field intensity. The field is uniform within the cell, but randomly oriented and acquires at each simulation step a new random value. The number of cells was determined from the mean fractional polarisation, assuming that each cell individually emits with the maximum degree of polarisation for incoherent synchrotron radiation, $P_{\text{max}} \approx 0.7$. With an observed variance $\sigma_P^2 \approx 0.003$, we have $N = \sqrt{P}/\sigma_P \sim 10$ cells. The net polarisation was then obtained from the superposition of the emission of the individual cells. The probability that plasma turbulence generates a continuous rotation of the EVPA by $140^\circ$ after 15 epochs is $\lesssim 1\%$. It should be noted that the probability increases if we approximate the turbulence as $N$ cells of equal volume, where about ten cells leave and around ten cells enter the emission region (D’Arcangelo et al. 2008). While the random probability is not small enough that it could be safely excluded as a candidate mechanism to explain the EVPA rotation, the smoothness of the rotation showing only small excursions from the prescribed path during its entire duration (compared to the $20^\circ$ variance of the rest of the campaign), does not favour turbulence as the likely explanation\(^{10}\). Therefore we investigate a geometric effect as the possible cause of the rotation (see Sect. 4.2.).

3.4. Radio: VLBA 43 GHz, Metsähovi 37 GHz, and OVRO 15 GHz observations

The source 3C 279 was monitored by the Metsähovi radio observatory, the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO), and the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) as a part of quasar monitoring programs.

The 37 GHz observations were performed with the 13.7 m diameter Metsähovi radio telescope, a radome-enclosed paraboloid antenna situated in Finland. The measurements were made with a 1 GHz-band dual beam receiver centred at 36.8 GHz. The high electron mobility pseudomorphic transistor front end operates at room temperature. The observations are ON-ON observations, alternating the source and the sky in each feed horn. The flux density scale is set by observations of DR 21 (a huge molecular cloud located in the constellation of Cygnus, the standard candle for radio astronomy). The sources NGC 7027, 3C 274, and 3C 84 are used as secondary calibrators. A detailed description of the data reduction and analysis can be found in Teraesranta et al. (1998). The error estimate in the flux density includes the contribution from the measurement RMS and the uncertainty of the absolute calibration.

Regular 15 GHz observations of 3C 279 were carried out using the OVRO 40 m telescope (Richards et al. 2011). This program commenced in late 2007 and now includes about 1800 sources, each observed with a nominal biweekly cadence. The OVRO 40 m uses off-axis dual-beam optics and a cryogenic high electron mobility transistor low-noise amplifier with a 15.0 GHz centre frequency and 3 GHz bandwidth. The total system noise temperature, including receiver, atmosphere, ground, and CMB contributions, is about 52 K. The two sky beams are Dicke switched using the off-source beam as a reference, while the source is alternated between the two beams in an ON-ON mode to remove atmospheric and ground contamination. A noise level of approximately 3–4 mJy in quadrature with about 2% additional uncertainty, mostly due to pointing errors, is achieved in a 70 s integration period. The calibration is performed using a temperature-stable diode noise source to remove receiver gain drifts; the flux density scale is derived from observations of 3C 286 assuming the value of 3.44 Jy at 15.0 GHz (Baars et al. 1977). The systematic uncertainty of about 5% in the flux density scale is not included in the error bars. Complete details of the reduction and calibration procedure can be found in Richards et al. (2011).

Both the 37 GHz and 15 GHz radio light curves (Fig. 2) show a smooth increase at the beginning of the observation period after which the flux stays constant. The 15 GHz light curve shows a gap in coincidence with the optical outburst, while the flux of the 37 GHz light curve increases from 20 Jy to 24 Jy during the rising phase of the optical outburst. The flux increase resembles a flare, with a sharp rise and a slower decay; the peak flux is reached at MJD 55710, i.e. 10 days before the peak of the optical outburst.

The VLBA observations at 43 GHz have been performed once a month since the beginning of the monitoring program, in 2007\(^{11}\). The data were analysed as described in Jorstad et al. (2005), extracting the information about the jet kinematics and polarisation in the period from January 2011 to January 2012. In this period there were four bright moving components within 1 mas of the core (see Fig. 5), but no new components ejected

\(^{10}\) After the submission of this paper Kiehlmann et al. (2013) presented larger optical polarization data set from several instruments, which supports this conclusion.

\(^{11}\) http://www.bu.edu/blazars/VLBAproject.html
from the core (the latest injections are A1 and A2 with zero separation epochs MJD 55 281.4 and 55 335.84). The fluxes of the single components are shown in Fig. 6. From February 2011, the core (A0) and the component very close to the core (A1) were the brightest components. During the optical outburst (starting at MJD 55 700) the core flux increased, while for A1, A2 and K1 the flux decreased. The polarisation data for the period between February 2011 and July 2011 show that the EVPA of the VLBA core at 43 GHz is constant at ∼150°. The EVPA of the VLBA core (and the components closest to it) has stabilised to ∼150°. This behaviour resembles the profile and the characteristics observed in the core at 43 GHz reported in Larionov et al. (2008), with the only difference being the absence of a simultaneous rotation in the optical regime.

4. Discussion

We now discuss plausible emission scenarios for the two MAGIC observation periods and examine in detail a geometric interpretation of the observed rotation of the optical EVPA.

4.1. Multifrequency variability and spectral energy distributions

We investigate the multifrequency behaviour during the two MAGIC observing periods to constrain the number and location of the emission regions and then model the SEDs of these epochs accordingly. We have compiled the multiwavelength SED for the two periods of observations, February–April 2011 (Fig. 8) and June 2011 (Fig. 9).

4.1.1. February–April 2011: low state

In the first period the source was in a rather low state in optical to γ-ray wavebands (see Fig. 2), while some activity was reported in the radio bands. The light curves at 15 GHz and 37 GHz showed an increasing flux, with the 37 GHz light curve peaking before the 15 GHz light curve. At 43 GHz, the flux density of the central components increases, while no significant variability is observed in the components K1 and K2 (Fig. 6). This may suggest that the variability is related to the central region (<1 mas), but the lack of variability in the other bands does not allow us to draw strong conclusions on the site of the emission. The multifrequency SED is fitted using leptonic models (for details see Maraschi & Tavecchio 2003). The emission region, a spherical blob with radius $R$, is filled with a homogeneous and tangled magnetic field $B$, and a population of relativistic electrons. The spectrum of the electrons extends from $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ to $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ and is described by $N(\gamma) = K \gamma^{-\alpha} (1 + \gamma/\gamma_b)^{\alpha-\beta}$. The electrons emit synchrotron radiation forming the first peak (dotted lines). This low-energy radiation is then inverse Compton up-scattered to high energies (dashed lines), contributing to the second peak. In addition to the low-energy photons coming from the synchrotron process, two other populations of low-energy photons are considered as targets for the inverse Compton process (dot-dashed lines). The first one (blue curve in the upper panel of Fig. 8) is photons from the BLR, characterised by $L_{\text{disc}} = 3 \times 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, $R_{\text{BLR}} = 1.7 \times 10^{17} \text{cm}$. The model also accounts for the internal pair absorption, considering the external BLR photons not only for the inverse Compton scattering, but also as target for $\gamma\gamma$ pair production. In the other scenario (red curve in the lower panel of Fig. 8), photons stem from the infrared torus, whose luminosity and distance are, respectively, $L_{\text{torus}} = 2 \times 10^{46} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ and $R_{\text{torus}} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}$. We assume an accretion disc as described in Pian et al. (1999) and that the infrared torus intercepts a fraction (~60%) of the disc luminosity and re-radiates it in the form of a black-body spectrum with a temperature of 900 K (Calderone et al. 2012).

Figure 8 depicts the resulting SED fits whose parameters are listed in Table 5. Both scenarios can fit reasonably well the
Fig. 8. Multiwavelength observations between February and April 2011: radio from Metsähovi and OVRO (red open triangles), optical from KVA (red filled triangles), X-ray from RXTE (red bowtie), and HE γ-rays from Fermi-LAT (red circles) and MAGIC (red arrows: EBL corrected, cyan arrows: observed points). Historical data are also shown (Aleksić et al. 2011b): high-activity state from 1991 (grey open triangles, Hartman et al. 1996), low state from 1993 (green open circles, Maraschi et al. 1994; Ballo et al. 2002), the high-activity state from 1996 (blue bowtie, Wehrle et al. 1998), and the low-activity state from the end of 1996 to the beginning of 1997 (violet dots Hartman et al. 2001a). Only points marked in red are considered for the SED fit. The SED is fitted using two leptonic models, with different populations of external low-energy photons as target for the inverse Compton process. The individual components are shown: synchrotron (dotted), SSC (dashed), and EC (dot-dashed). The black-body radiation from the BLR (dashed) and from the infrared torus (dotted) are also shown. In the upper panel, the high-energy emission originates from a region located inside the BLR. In the lower panel, the high-energy emission comes from a region located outside the BLR, considering only photons from the infrared torus as targets for inverse Compton scattering. The parameters are summarised in Table 5.

Fig. 9. June 2011 multifrequency observations. See Fig. 8 for the data description. The SED is fitted using a two-zone leptonic model. The high-energy emission is dominated by the region inside the BLR (blue long-dashed line) while the synchrotron is dominated by the external region (red short-dashed line) far outside the BLR and the infrared torus. Thus, only the SSC hypothesis is considered for the high-energy bump. The blackbody radiation from the BLR (dashed) and from the infrared torus (dotted) are also shown. The parameters are summarised in Table 5.

multifrequency data, and the obtained parameters have values within the typical ranges used for this source. Consequently, we cannot constrain the location of the emission region in this period by means of the SED modelling.

The radio observations are not included in our SED fits, but are considered only as upper limits. The observed radio emission is assumed to originate from a different emission region, with main contributions from the VLBA core and parsec scale jet. These emission regions are at greater distances from the central engine (e.g. Pushkarev et al. 2012) and suggest that the VLBA core is located at >7.88 pc from the central engine based on core shift measurements.

The modelled emission regions are assumed to be much closer to the central engine. To check this assumption, we can derive the maximum size of the emission region that will be synchrotron self-absorbed at radio frequencies. Following the approach by Abdo et al. (2010c) and adopting the flux at 43 GHz to be ~6 Jy, we calculate that the emitting region must be smaller, in transverse size, than $R = 3.68 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm} = 0.12 \text{ pc}$ for a magnetic field $B = 0.8 \text{ G}$ and a Lorentz factor of 10 in order to be optically thick at 43 GHz and lower frequencies. This is in agreement with the radius derived in the SED fit (see Table 5).

The distance of the emission region from the central engine we derived is either larger than or comparable to previous works. Abdo et al. (2010c), because of the absence in variations of the radio data up to 230 GHz, position the emission region upstream from the radio core; Larionov et al. (2008) locate the emission region within ~1 pc of the radio core. Given that the VLBA angular size of the core at 22 GHz is 0.1 mas (Wehrle et al. 2001), the projected linear size for the location of 3C 279 is ~0.6 pc (1.8 $\times 10^{18} \text{ cm}$). It follows that the active region is a fraction (80%) of the core size or the transversal size of the
related emission between optical and HE γ-rays. In particular, it seems there is no correlation between different behaviour of 3C 279 in 2011 May and June compared to significant correlations. Visual inspection (above) suggests a difference in the HE γ-ray light curve. In the two radio bands there are gaps during the HE γ-ray bands and an absence of correlation between the He γ-ray bands (e.g. Abdo et al. 2011). However, this is not likely since the shape of the flux increase (around MJD 55 715) in the HE γ-ray light curve is different from the one in the optical R-band. In addition, the optical light curve shows a quiescent behaviour before the flare, which is difficult to reconcile with the behaviour observed in the Fermi-LAT light curve. It should be mentioned that the optical/γ-ray correlation showed inconsistent patterns as far back as the EGRET era (Hartman et al. 2001b) and this change of mode (with appearing and disappearing correlations) is, for instance, in agreement with the long-term studies of the source in optical and X-rays (Chatterjee et al. 2008). In summary, the behaviour observed in the light curves in different energy ranges suggests the presence of three different emission regions, one responsible for the radiation in X-ray and HE γ-ray, a second for the optical, and a third one for the radio emission (see discussion in Sects. 4.1.1 and 4.2).

The co-spatiality of HE and VHE emission and the location inside the BLR of the corresponding emission region is compatible with the Fermi spectrum and MAGIC upper limits. The EBL corrected MAGIC γ-ray spectrum of the June observations (see Fig. 3) shows that the 95% confidence upper limits from MAGIC are barely consistent with the 68% contours of the Fermi-LAT spectrum, suggesting the presence of a spectral break. The uncertainties in the used EBL model, which are difficult to quantify, are not taken into account. A possible explanation of this feature is that the emission in HE and VHE γ-rays is generated in the same region, in which a population of low-energy photons is also present. These low-energy photons will interact mostly with the more energetic HE or VHE γ-ray photons, causing their absorption.

The features observed in the optical polarisation rotation suggest an (optical) emission region at distances of about 3 pc (see Sect. 4.2), but closer to the central engine than the radio core. Consequently, we fitted the SED with a two-zone leptonic model (Fig. 9): the high-energy emission is dominated by the region inside the BLR (blue long-dashed line), while the synchrotron radiation, responsible for the optical and low-energy emission (red short-dashed line), is produced in a region outside the BLR and infrared torus and therefore only the SSC scenario is considered for the second bump (for details see Aleksić et al. 2011b). However, the size of the external region is fixed by the variability timescale in the optical to $R = 1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm. Assuming $K = 2 \times 10^{31}$ and $B = 0.5$ G, values of the order of the ones derived from the SED fit of the external region, we find that the emission region is opaque to radio frequencies below 100 GHz. Thus, the radio data are not included in the fit. The multifrequency light curves of this epoch do not show evident correlation between the optical and radio frequencies and therefore support this scenario.

### 4.1.2. June 2011: high-activity state

In June 2011 the multifrequency light curves (Fig. 2) show a higher state than during the previous period and significant variability in all bands. X-ray and HE γ-rays show a similar behaviour with two minor flares in May. The optical light curve has only one outburst that happens during the descending phase of the second peak when both the X-ray and the HE fluxes are decreasing. In the two radio bands there are no clear indications of simultaneous flares with γ-ray, X-ray, or optical (the gaps present during the γ-ray and X-ray flares should be noticed). However, there is a fast flare in the 37 GHz light curve which is simultaneous with the third peak (one bin long) in the HE γ-ray light curve. In the two radio bands there are gaps during the γ-ray and X-ray flares.

A previous study of the multifrequency behaviour of 3C 279 (Hayashida et al. 2012) found a correlation between the optical and HE γ-ray bands and an absence of correlation between the X-ray and HE γ-ray bands between 2008 and 2010. The finding is also in agreement with the tendency of FSRQs to have correlated emission between optical and HE γ-ray bands (e.g. Abdo et al. 2010). Here a correlation study by selecting data pairs from different light curves with separation of <0.5 days yields no significant correlations. Visual inspection (above) compared to 2008–2010. In particular it seems there is no correlation between the optical and HE γ-ray bands. A possible explanation could be a time lag between the emission in the two energy bands (e.g. Janiak et al. 2012). However, this is not likely since the shape of the flux increase (around MJD 55 715) in the HE γ-ray light curve is different from the one in the optical R-band. In addition, the optical light curve shows a quiescent behaviour before the flare, which is difficult to reconcile with the behaviour observed in the Fermi-LAT light curve. It should be mentioned that the optical/γ-ray correlation showed inconsistent patterns as far back as the EGRET era (Hartman et al. 2001b) and this change of mode (with appearing and disappearing correlations) is, for instance, in agreement with the long-term studies of the source in optical and X-rays (Chatterjee et al. 2008). In summary, the behaviour observed in the light curves in different energy ranges suggests the presence of three different emission regions, one responsible for the radiation in X-ray and HE γ-ray, a second for the optical, and a third one for the radio emission (see discussion in Sects. 4.1.1 and 4.2).

The co-spatiality of HE and VHE emission and the location inside the BLR of the corresponding emission region is compatible with the Fermi spectrum and MAGIC upper limits. The EBL corrected MAGIC γ-ray spectrum of the June observations (see Table 5. Model parameters used for fitting the SEDs with different leptonic scenarios (Figs. 8 and 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\min}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\max}$</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$B$ [G]</th>
<th>$K$ [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$R$ [cm]</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 Feb.-Apr.</td>
<td>inside the BLR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>1.1 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.9 $\times 10^7$</td>
<td>4.7 $\times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>outside the BLR</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>8 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.2 $\times 10^3$</td>
<td>1 $\times 10^7$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Jun. (two zones)</td>
<td>internal region</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^3$</td>
<td>1.1 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>external region</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3 $\times 10^4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.05 $\times 10^3$</td>
<td>1.5 $\times 10^7$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The accretion disc and the torus are characterised by $L_{\text{BLR}} = 3 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and $R_{\text{BLR}} = 1.7 \times 10^{17}$ cm, $L_{\text{torus}} = 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and $R_{\text{torus}} = 2 \times 10^{18}$ cm, respectively. $\delta$ is the Doppler factor and $\Gamma$ is the Lorentz factor; see the text for a description of the other parameters.
of \( \sim 60^\circ \). Furthermore, if the bend is in a direction such that the trajectory axis crosses the l.o.s., two main effects will follow. First, after the trajectory crosses the l.o.s., the observer will perceive the EVPA rotating in the opposite direction, with the profile shown in Fig. 11. The inversion simply results from projection effects, and the specific profile depends on the speed of the flow. Secondly, once the trajectory axis approaches the l.o.s., the polarisation degree is expected to decrease because a slight angle between the axis and the l.o.s. favours an apparent symmetry of the field as it is projected on the plane of the sky. After the polarisation degree passes through a minimum coinciding with the crossing of the l.o.s., it increases again, until the observer leaves the radiation cone of the jet. After the bending exceeds \( 1/\Gamma \) relative to the l.o.s., the polarisation decreases, its maximum being attained when the l.o.s. crosses the border of the radiation cone. In this model, we used \( \Gamma \sim 16 \), corresponding to a half-opening angle of the radiation cone \( \phi \sim 4^\circ \).

As shown in Fig. 11, the observed polarisation degree closely follows this behaviour. The solid model lines result from the comparison of the data and the predicted model built from the characteristics of the EVPAs and the jet parameters as measured by VLBA and quoted above, not from a minimisation fit as it would be difficult because of the degeneracy of many of the parameters involved. However, once all values derived from VLBA and MWL observations are put in, the only free parameter left to try to reproduce the behaviour of the polarisation degree is the ratio of transversal to axial magnetic field components, \( b \) (see Barres de Almeida 2010), which we found to imply a dominance of the axial field component, \( b < 0.5 \), in order to reproduce the observed behaviour.

In this scenario we also expect an increase of the photometric flux as the trajectory of the emitting region crosses the l.o.s. The fractional change in the observed (aberrated) photometric flux is given by Urry & Padovani (1995) \( F_{\text{obs}}/F_0 \sim (\delta/\beta_0)^{3+\alpha} \), where \( \alpha \) is the radio spectral index defined as \( F_\nu \sim \nu^{-\alpha} \) (we use the exponent 3 + \( \alpha \) because we are dealing with fluxes in a narrow energy band, and hence quasi-monochromatic), the Doppler factor \( \delta = [\Gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1} \), is a function of the viewing angle \( \theta \). Using the parameters for the jet derived in Table 5 for the external region (June), we estimate that the change in flux between the initial position (\( \theta \sim 2^\circ \)) and the flux at the site when the trajectory crosses the l.o.s. due to the bend is \( F_{\text{obs}}/F_0 \sim 1.1 - 1.2 \), for a spectral index \( \alpha = -2.5 \). It can be readily seen that the flux change induced by the aberration effect is too small to explain the nearly doubling of the optical flux registered in the light curve. This is not in contradiction to the neat fit obtained with the aberration model to the behaviour of the polarisation quantities, but it suggests that more than one process (such as an increase in the total synchrotron emissivity of the region without significant changes in \( B \)) is taking place simultaneously with the change in the trajectory.

Finally, we can apply this model to put limits on the location of the event. The total bending angle is \( \Psi \approx 10^\circ \) and the rotation lasts 14 days. This implies a rotation rate of \( \approx 0.9^\circ \) per day, corresponding to the \( \approx 4^\circ \) per day of apparent EVPAs rotation. For \( \Gamma = 16 \), the linear distance travelled by the blob during the event can be estimated to be \( \Delta r = c\Delta t/\Gamma^2 \sim 9.25 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm} \) or \( \sim 3 \text{ pc} \). Since the path of the blob is curved, the de-projected linear distance travelled by the blob can be related to the position of the bend by \( \Delta \phi \sim \Delta r \) (Nalewajko 2010). There are different possible explanations for the bent trajectories of the moving emission feature (Abdo et al. 2010c): a physical bend in the jet caused by, for example, hydrodynamical ram pressure equilibrium with the outer medium (Harder 1990), or flow through and helical magnetic field of the jet (Marscher et al. 2008; Larionov et al. 2008). For single rotation it is not possible to identify the origin of the bent trajectory. However, the detection of earlier gradual and smooth rotation of the EVPAs during high-activity states (Larionov et al. 2008; Abdo et al. 2010c, at opposite directions) led Abdo et al. (2010c) and Nalewajko (2010) to propose that there might be a bend in the jet of 3C 279 that is responsible for the observed change in the sense of the EVPAs rotation. The bend itself would be located somewhere between the emission region observed by the two groups. The longer timescales associated with the rotation observed by Larionov et al. (2008) suggest that their event is located farther out in the jet (lower limit \( \sim 20 \text{ pc} \)), whereas the event observed by the Fermi-LAT was estimated to happen within the first \( 10^{19} \text{ cm} \), corresponding to a scale of \( \sim 3 \text{ pc} \), and containing the blazar zone (\( R_{\text{blazar}} < 10^{17} \text{ cm} \)) associated with the size of the GeV \( \gamma \)-ray emitting region as observed at the time. Adapting this interpretation to our results suggests that the bend must happen in the first 3 pc. Using the relation \( K_\gamma \approx 2GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2 \sim 3 \times 10^{11-13} \), with the mass of the supermassive black hole \( M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^{8-9} M_\odot \) (Nilsson et al. 2009), the

![Fig. 10](image-url). Sketch of the bent trajectory model. An emission knot moving with the flow enters a region where its trajectory bends such that the flow direction crosses the line of sight.
location of the bend can be expressed in units of gravitational radii: \(3 \times 10^{4.5} R_g\). The radio core is estimated to be \(~10^2 R_g\) (Marscher et al. 2008) consequently, the bend is positioned near the radio core.

5. Summary and conclusions

The flat spectrum radio quasar 3C 279 was observed in 2011 with the MAGIC telescopes in two different campaigns: first with regular monitoring from February to April and later in June as follow up observations after high-activity states in optical and HE \(\gamma\) ray bands. In neither of the periods was a detection found; consequently, differential upper limits were calculated.

Simultaneously with the MAGIC observations, 3C 279 was monitored at lower energies: HE \(\gamma\)-rays, X-ray, optical, and radio. We examine the multifrequency light curve obtained merging all the available information. There are various periods of enhanced activity in all the examined energy ranges. The HE \(\gamma\)-ray and X-ray time evolution show similar behaviours, with two flares both occurring before the optical outburst. Simultaneous with the outburst, an increase in the optical polarised flux and a smooth rotation of the EVPA was observed. We compiled broadband SEDs for the two different MAGIC observations periods, and fitted them with leptonic models taking into account the variability patterns in the multifrequency data. For the low state of the source we cannot constrain the location of the emission region, neither from the light curves nor from the SED modelling. For the period of the higher activity, the similar trend in Fermi-LAT and X-ray light curves suggests that the emission observed in these two energy ranges originates from the same region, different from that where the optical emission takes place. In addition, the indication of a cutoff in the GeV range hints that the \(\gamma\)-ray emission is coming from an inner region of the blazar, and therefore internally absorbed in the MAGIC energy range. In this context, we fitted the June 2011 data with a twodimensional leptonic model. The high-energy emission (from X-ray to VHE \(\gamma\)-rays) originates from an inner region of the jet, while we locate the optical flare at the parsec scale. The location of the optical emission region is derived from the rate of the rotation of the EVPA of the optical polarisation simultaneous with the optical flare.

We also investigate the feasibility of the geometric interpretation for the observed rotation of the EVPA. We find that the observed rotation is in good agreement with a model where the emission feature follows a bent trajectory. It has been suggested by Abdo et al. (2010c) and Nalewajko (2010) that there might be a bend in the jet of 3C 279 and we find that our polarisation observations are in agreement with their hypothesis. However, turbulence would cause rotations in opposite directions and cannot be excluded as a cause of the observed rotation. We also note that we do not see a signature of the bend in the observations in other wavelengths, but the signature there could be damped by the other dominating emission regions. This is in agreement with the multiple emission regions that we suggest based on the variability patterns in the other wavelengths (see above).

The MAGIC observations presented in this paper have provided the strongest upper limits on the VHE \(\gamma\)-ray emission from the source so far. The upper limits are for the first time below the detected fluxes, confirming that the detections represented a high-activity state of the source in VHE \(\gamma\)-rays. The source was previously detected at VHE in 2006 and 2007, and both detections were during high-activity states in the optical and X-ray bands. The multiwavelength behaviour observed in June 2011 can be compared with those measured during the observations of 2006 and 2007. In February 2006 (first VHE \(\gamma\)-ray detection), the optical flux was similar to the one measured in June 2011, while the X-ray flux was lower than in June 2011. No optical polarisation data are available for the VHE detection in 2006. Compared to January 2007, the optical flux in June 2011 was lower, but the X-ray level was similar. In 2007, rotations of the optical EVPAs and 43 GHz VLBA core were detected, while in June 2011 the optical EVPAb rotation was not accompanied by the rotation of the EVPAb in the radio band. There are some similarities regarding the multifrequency behaviour during the June 2011 flare with respect to that previously observed during VHE detections. Despite these similarities, the recent VHE observations did not yield a significant signal. Given the known fast \(\gamma\)-ray variability, the non-detection of VHE emission could be a result of the unfortunate timing of the MAGIC observations (limited by the moon conditions) during the decay phase of the flare detected by Fermi-LAT. But it might also mean that VHE emission is rare in 3C 279 (even during flares). Resolving the open question of the origin of the VHE \(\gamma\)-ray emission in 3C 279 and flat spectrum radio quasars in general requires long-term observational effort in VHE \(\gamma\)-ray band.
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