This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Naeije, G.; Bourguignon, Mathieu; Wens, V.; Marty, B.; Goldman, S.; Hari, Riitta; Jousmäki, Veikko; Pandolfo, Massimo; De Tiège, Xavier

Electrophysiological evidence for limited progression of the proprioceptive impairment in Friedreich ataxia

Published in:
Clinical Neurophysiology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.021)

Published: 01/02/2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Published under the following license:
CC BY-NC-ND

Please cite the original version:
Naeije, G., Bourguignon, M., Wens, V., Marty, B., Goldman, S., Hari, R., Jousmäki, V., Pandolfo, M., & De Tiège, X. (2020). Electrophysiological evidence for limited progression of the proprioceptive impairment in Friedreich ataxia. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 131(2), 574-576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.021>

Letter to the Editor

Electrophysiological evidence for limited progression of the proprioceptive impairment in Friedreich ataxia

G. Naeije, M. Bourguignon, V. Wens, B. Marty, S. Goldman, R. Hari, V. Jousmäki, M. Pandolfo, X. De Tiège

PII: S1388-2457(19)31284-2
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.021>
Reference: CLINPH 2009028

To appear in: *Clinical Neurophysiology*

Received Date: 16 September 2019
Revised Date: 9 October 2019
Accepted Date: 21 October 2019

Please cite this article as: Naeije, G., Bourguignon, M., Wens, V., Marty, B., Goldman, S., Hari, R., Jousmäki, V., Pandolfo, M., De Tiège, X., Electrophysiological evidence for limited progression of the proprioceptive impairment in Friedreich ataxia, *Clinical Neurophysiology* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.021>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Electrophysiological evidence for limited progression of the proprioceptive impairment in Friedreich ataxia

Naeije G ^{1,2}, Bourguignon M¹, Wens V^{1,3}, Marty B⁶, Goldman S^{1,3}, Hari R⁴, Jousmäki V⁵, Pandolfo M^{1,3}, and De Tiège X^{1,3}

¹Laboratoire de Cartographie fonctionnelle du Cerveau, ULB-Neuroscience Institute (UNI), Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

²Neurology Department, CUB Hôpital Erasme, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

³Department of Functional Neuroimaging, Service of Nuclear Medicine, CUB Hôpital Erasme, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

⁴Department of Art, School of Art, Design and Architecture, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

⁵Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, School of Science, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

⁶"Laboratoire d'Enseignement de la Physique, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium"

Corresponding author:

Dr Gilles Naeije

Laboratoire de Cartographie fonctionnelle du Cerveau, ULB Neuroscience Institute, Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), 808 Lennik Street, 1070 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail : Gilles.Naeije@erasme.ulb.ac.be

INTRODUCTION

Friedreich ataxia (FRDA) is the most common recessive ataxia in Caucasians. Over 95% of patients are homozygous for the hyperexpansion of a GAA triplet repeat in the first intron of the frataxin (*FXN*) gene, which represses *FXN* expression via an epigenetic mechanism. Most residual *FXN* expression comes from the chromosome with the shortest repeat (GAA1), whose length has been shown to correlate with age at symptom onset and with disease severity. Clinically, FRDA is dominated by a tabeto-cerebellar ataxic pattern, associated with pyramidal signs and various systemic manifestations. FRDA patients may present subtle signs of proprioceptive loss, such as loss of tendon reflexes and a Romberg sign, before they become frankly symptomatic. However, FRDA patients become overtly ataxic only when cerebellar symptoms appear. Most items in the scales for the assessment of neurological deficits in FRDA (e.g., Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA) or the Friedreich Ataxia Rating Scale) are performed under patients' visual control and mainly reflect cerebellar dysfunction rather than afferent proprioceptive ataxia. Neuroimaging studies show progressive thinning of the cervical spinal cord, but to what extent this is due to shrinking of pyramidal tracts or the posterior columns is unclear (Koeppen et al. 2017; Dogan et al. 2019).

Cortico-kinematic coherence (CKC) is the coupling between movement-related proprioceptive inputs and contralateral primary sensorimotor (SM1) cortex activity recorded by magnetoencephalography (MEG) or electroencephalography (Marty et al. 2019). It is an electrophysiological marker of spino-cortical proprioceptive function that has high test-retest reliability (Piitulainen et al. 2018). In a cohort of FRDA patients, we showed that CKC levels were reduced by about 70% and correlated with the size of GAA1 triplet expansion and the age of symptoms onset suggesting that proprioceptive impairment in FRDA was genetically determined and scarcely progressive after symptoms onset (Marty et al. 2019).

Here, we re-tested the same FRDA patients after 1 year to assess whether CKC levels deteriorate over time or tend to remain stable, supporting an early developmental proprioceptive impairment.

METHODS

The methods used in the present study are described in (Marty et al. 2019). CKC was evaluated using whole-scalp-covering MEG (Vectorview & Triux, MEGIN, Helsinki, Finland) in 16 FRDA patients (10 females, one left-handed, mean $\pm$ SD age 27 ± 14 years, GAA1 698 ± 203) in two sessions performed at about one-year interval (13.3 ± 4 months). CKC was evaluated during active right forefinger–thumb opposition movements (*Active*, $n = 15$: one patient was too disabled to perform the task) and during passive right forefinger flexion–extensions (*Passive*, $n = 16$) at about 3 Hz. Forefinger acceleration was monitored with an accelerometer. Movement frequency and regularity were determined in *Active*. Coherence maps at movement frequency (F0) and its first harmonic (F1) were computed at the group-level. Their statistical differences between sessions were assessed with a nonparametric permutation test. Movement frequency and regularity parameters in *Active* as well as SARA scores between the two sessions were compared with a two-tailed paired Student's T-test.

RESULTS (Table 1)

SARA score significantly deteriorated between the two sessions while movement frequency and regularity in *Active* remained stable. At the group level, no significant difference was found between CKC levels at contralateral SM1 cortex between the two sessions for both *Active* and *Passive*. Figure 1 illustrates sensor-level coherence spectra in *Active* and the corresponding group-level source reconstructions.

	Session 1	Session 2	<i>p</i>
SARA (mean $\pm$ SD) / 42	21.2 $\pm$ 8.7	22.9 $\pm$ 8.3	0.0063
Lower limb items (mean $\pm$ SD) / 18	11.8 $\pm$ 5.3	12.8 $\pm$ 4.6	0.016
Upper limb items (mean $\pm$ SD) / 12	5.8 $\pm$ 2.1	5.9 $\pm$ 2.1	0.48
9HPT (seconds, mean $\pm$ SD)	79 $\pm$ 41	74 $\pm$ 32	0.31

Movement frequency (Hz, mean±SD)	1.87 ± 0.61	1.92 ± 0.63	0.78
Movement regularity (Hz, mean±SD)	0.44± 0.06	0.46± 0.08	0.58
CKC F0 in Active	0.08	0.07	0.40
CKC F1 in Active	0.04	0.05	0.69
CKC F0 in Passive	0.08	0.05	0.08
CKC F1 in Passive	0.05	0.03	0.39

Table 1. Longitudinal evolution of clinical scores, movement characteristics and CKC. SARA =scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia. “/” separates the clinical score from the maximal rating, higher scores corresponding to worse performance. SARA Lower limb items = gait /8, stance /6 & heel to shin /4. SARA Upper limb items = Finger chase /4, Nose to finger /4 & fast alternating movement /4. SD = standard deviation, 9HPT = nine holes peg test. CKC= corticokinematic coherence. F0 = movement frequency. F1 = first harmonics of movement frequency.

DISCUSSION

This prospective study demonstrates that FRDA patients have stable CKC levels at one-year follow-up, while their SARA score significantly worsens.

Anomalies in dorsal root ganglia (DRGs), dorsal roots and posterior columns of the spinal cord that underlie afferent ataxia are already pronounced early in the course of FRDA and may be, at least in part, developmental. By contrast, cerebellar pathology, mostly consisting in dentate nucleus atrophy, can only be detected after several years of disease progression (Koeppen et al. 2017). However, there also is evidence of active neurodegeneration with an inflammatory component in DRGs (Koeppen et al. 2017), suggesting that proprioceptive loss may progress over time. So, the contribution of proprioceptive loss to the worsening of ataxia in FRDA remains unsettled.

Functionally, reduction in the amplitude of somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs) and magnetic fields (SEFs) correlates with the size of GAA1 triplet expansion but not with disease duration (Naeije et al. 2019). Still, tactile perception is less impaired in FRDA, limiting the interpretation of these results (Naeije et al. 2019). Similarly, CKC levels are substantially reduced in FRDA patients and correlate with the size of GAA1 triplet expansion but not with disease duration nor SARA score (Marty et al. 2019). In this study, FRDA patients had stable CKC levels at one-year follow-up, while their SARA score significantly

deteriorated. This latter finding therefore corroborates previous SEP, SEF and CKC data supporting early, scarcely progressive proprioceptive defect in FRDA (Marty et al. 2019).

In this study, clinical markers such as the 9HPT, finger movement frequency and regularity during *Active* did not show significant changes at one-year of follow-up. Thus, the SARA score had a higher sensitivity to progression than other clinical measures. However, these additional measures, such as CKC as used in this study, assessed upper limb function whereas the SARA score is largely driven by performance in gait and stance items until late in the course of FRDA. At that stage, upper limb items drive further the SARA score progression, but the overall sensitivity of the scale decreases. Importantly, a significant deterioration of lower limb items of the SARA score was observed at one-year interval, while upper limb items remained stable. In that context, our results could be interpreted either as the consequence of an insufficient sensitivity of the measures used to assess progression, as lack of progression of the measured function or as a too slow deterioration of upper limb proprioceptive function to be detected after only one year. Lower limb CKC studies would have been of great interest in that context but were infeasible due to the FRDA-induced feet deformations, amyotrophy, spasticity and the impossibility for most patients to perform toe or ankle repetitive movements.

In any case, this study provides an objective assessment of upper limb spino-cortical proprioceptive function in FRDA patients over time that is clearly abnormal but fails to show significant worsening over one year. This finding provides additional empirical evidence suggesting limited progressivity of an early established dorsal columns and DRG pathology.

Conflict of interest statement:

None of the authors have potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

Acknowledgments:

This study was financially supported by the research grant “Les Voies du Savoir” from the Fonds Erasme (Brussels, Belgium), the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS,

Brussels, Belgium; research credit: J.0095.16.F), and a research grant from the Friedreich Ataxia Research Alliance (FARA, Drs Massimo Pandolfo). Xavier De Tiège and Gilles Naeije are Postdoctorate Clinical Master Specialist at the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS, Brussels, Belgium). The MEG project at the CUB Hôpital Erasme is financially supported by the Fonds Erasme (Research grant “Les Voies du Savoir”, Brussels, Belgium).

REFERENCES

- Dogan I, Romanzetti S, Didszun C, Mirzazade S, Timmann D, Saft C, et al. Structural characteristics of the central nervous system in Friedreich ataxia: an in vivo spinal cord and brain MRI study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2019;90(5):615–7.
- Koeppen AH, Becker AB, Qian J, Gelman BB, Mazurkiewicz JE. Friedreich Ataxia: Developmental Failure of the Dorsal Root Entry Zone. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2017;76(11):969–77.
- Marty B, Naeije G, Bourguignon M, Wens V, Jousmäki V, Lynch DR, et al. Evidence for genetically determined degeneration of proprioceptive tracts in Friedreich ataxia. *Neurology*. 2019;93(2):e116–24.
- Naeije G, Wens V, Bourguignon M, Goldman S, Pandolfo M, De Tiège X. Altered neocortical tactile but preserved auditory early change detection responses in Friedreich ataxia. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2019;130(8):1299–310.
- Piitulainen H, Illman M, Laaksonen K, Jousmäki V, Forss N. Reproducibility of corticokinematic coherence. *Neuroimage*. 2018;179:596–603.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. CKC results obtained in *Active*. **Left.** Individual sensor-level coherence spectra for each participant in *Active* for Session 1 (**Top**) and Session 2 (**Bottom**). Each gray trace

represents the coherence between magnetoencephalography (MEG) and accelerometer signals for each individual. For each frequency bin, the coherence value displayed is the maximum coherence across the MEG sensors covering the left rolandic MEG sensors. Black traces correspond to the group average. Frequencies are expressed in F0 units (i.e., 1 corresponds to the individual F0, 2 to its F1, etc.). **Right.** Group-level coherence maps in *Active* superimposed on left-hemisphere brain surface rendering. Group-level coherence maps for Session 1 (**Top**) and Session 2 (**Bottom**) at movement frequency (F0, **Left**) and its first harmonics (F1, **Right**).

F0 = movement frequency; F1 = first harmonics of movement frequency.