This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Schulz, Fabian; Liljeroth, Peter; Seitsonen, Ari P.

Benchmarking van der Waals-treated DFT

Published in:
Physical Review Materials

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001)

Published: 09/08/2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Schulz, F., Liljeroth, P., & Seitsonen, A. P. (2019). Benchmarking van der Waals-treated DFT: The case of hexagonal boron nitride and graphene on Ir(111). *Physical Review Materials*, 3(8), 1-11. [084001].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Benchmarking van der Waals-treated DFT: The case of hexagonal boron nitride and graphene on Ir(111)

Fabian Schulz* and Peter Liljeroth

Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University School of Science, P.O. Box 15100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

Ari P. Seitsonen[‡]

Département de Chimie, École Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75005 Paris, France

and Université de recherche Paris-Sciences-et-Lettres, Sorbonne Université,

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, F-75005 Paris, France

(Received 2 March 2019; revised manuscript received 15 May 2019; published 9 August 2019)

There is enormous recent interest in weak, van der Waals-type (vdW) interactions due to their fundamental relevance for two-dimensional materials and the so-called vdW heterostructures. Tackling this problem using computer simulation is very challenging due to the nontrivial, nonlocal nature of these interactions. We benchmark different treatments of London dispersion forces within the density functional theory (DFT) framework on hexagonal boron nitride or graphene monolayers on Ir(111) by comparing the calculated geometries to a comprehensive set of experimental data. The geometry of these systems crucially depends on the interplay between vdW interactions and wave function hybridization, making them excellent test cases for vdW-treated DFT. Our results show strong variations in the calculated atomic geometry. While some of the approximations reproduce the experimental structure, this is rather based on *a posteriori* comparison with the “target results.” General predictive power in vdW-treated DFT is not achieved yet and might require new approaches.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001)

I. INTRODUCTION

The tremendous success of density functional theory (DFT) together with the continuously growing computing power have laid out the path for the rapidly expanding field of computational materials science. Rather than being employed only in a complementary manner to support the interpretation of experimental data, DFT is now widely used to screen large numbers of structures and compounds [1–5], with the goal to guide experimentalists in their search and synthesis of new functional materials. In addition, DFT for computational materials science is increasingly combined with machine learning methods [6–9], which further increases automation and decreases human oversight. This growing trust in and reliance on DFT calculations suggests widespread predictive power. While DFT is an *exact* theory—within the assumptions when deriving it, such as Born-Oppenheimer approximation—in realistic calculations, however, an approximation to the exchange-correlation functional has to be made. Thus, at the current state of DFT, such an assumption of general applicability of DFT in practice should not be made *a priori* but checked and validated for each class of materials and their properties separately.

For example, DFT-based materials discovery has been extensively used for two-dimensional materials [2,5], which

have recently sparked intense theoretical and experimental interest. These layered materials can be combined in the so-called van der Waals (vdW) heterostructures [10,11], which enables one to tune their structural and electronic behavior. Because of the absence of covalent bonds between the layers in such heterostructures, vdW interactions are crucial in determining their properties, in contrast to normal, more isotropic solids [12–15]. For example, band hybridization due to interlayer hopping can lead to emergence of superconductivity or strongly correlated insulating behavior in certain vdW stacks [16,17].

Another field of research where the interplay of vdW interactions with wave function hybridization is of paramount importance is the adsorption of low-dimensional objects on metal surfaces. Here, the balance between these two interactions governs whether an adsorbate is physisorbed or chemisorbed, and thus also determines adsorption geometry and interfacial electronic properties [18,19].

The importance of the vdW interactions—or more precisely the London dispersion forces—in such systems has been recognized for over a decade. However, their computational modeling with the DFT method remains challenging, because these interactions are purely nonlocal in nature and much weaker than the interactions between chemically bound atoms. Nevertheless, in recent years there has been considerable progress in the treatment of vdW interactions within DFT (in the following referred to as vdW DFT methods). This ranges from semiempirical approaches with an explicit interaction term depending on the ionic coordinates and usually no dependency on the electronic structure to density

*Present address: IBM Research, Zurich Research Laboratory, Säumerstrasse 4, CH-8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland.

[‡]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Ari.P.Seitsonen@iki.fi.

functionals that contain a nonlocal dependency of the correlation energy on the density [20–22]. Briefly, in the former case, the system is forced into a geometry that does not constitute a minimum of the Kohn-Sham total energy expression that arises from only the explicit electronic structure and the nucleus-nucleus repulsion. In the latter, the exact form of the vdW energy is known only in the asymptotic limit, which does not correspond to the realistic case where the tails of the electronic densities of the constituents already start to overlap. Therefore, approximations have to be made, and the final expression is not unique, similar to the collection of generalized gradient approximations (GGAs). Consequently, these approximations and their combinations with different exchange and correlation functionals need to be tested and validated.

Many benchmark studies of vdW DFT methods focus on small molecular systems, where reference data can be obtained from highly accurate quantum chemistry calculations [23–25]. Assessing vdW DFT methods in larger systems is challenging, because their size is prohibitive for quantum chemistry methods. For purely layered compounds, there has been extensive benchmarking against results from the random phase approximation (RPA) [26,27]. If the test system becomes more complex, e.g., by including adsorption phenomena, comparison with RPA calculations is feasible only in cases where the unit cell is small [28,29]. In addition, quantum Monte Carlo simulations have recently emerged as an alternative reference for vdW DFT methods [30,31]. As the unit cells get larger and the complexity of the systems increases, all the computational reference methods discussed above become too expensive. This is particularly true if chemically different materials are involved. Instead, benchmarking vdW DFT methods in such systems then requires reliable experimental data to compare with. Examples of such studies include the calculation of the adsorption height of benzene on various metal surfaces [32] as well as of graphene on Ni(111) and Pt(111) [33], two systems where the graphene layer is essentially flat [34,35]. For further previous benchmark studies, see Refs. [20–22] and references therein.

Here, we apply DFT to two experimentally well-characterized systems of two-dimensional layers adsorbed on a metal surface [36,37], namely monolayer hexagonal boron nitride (*h*-BN) on Ir(111) and graphene (gr) on Ir(111), to benchmark the different approximations to the exchange-correlation and vdW terms. These two systems are very challenging for vdW DFT for two reasons. (i) Due to the lattice mismatch between *h*-BN or gr and the substrate, moiré patterns are formed where the stacking between overlayer and metal surface varies continuously. This leads to very large unit cells, which makes the calculations computationally very expensive. (ii) In addition, the alternating atomic registry with the substrate results in different adsorption strengths along the moiré unit cell and, consequently, a corrugation of the *h*-BN and gr overlayers. The final geometry of the moiré superstructure then crucially depends on the subtle interplay between vdW interactions and wave function overlap leading to charge transfer and chemical bonding. This second point makes these two systems ideal candidates to compare and benchmark vdW DFT, because the modeling of these effects

TABLE I. Structural parameters from previous DFT calculations and experiments on *h*-BN and gr on Ir(111).

	Method	Corrugation (Å)		Distance (Å)	Ref.
		Δ_{BN}	$\bar{z}_{h\text{-BN}} - \bar{z}_{\text{IrI}}$		
<i>h</i> -BN	vdW-DF2-rB86	1.50	3.24		[43]
	revPBE+D3	0.338	3.187		[47]
	PBE+D2	1.4	3.8		[42]
	XSW	≥ 1.5	2.20, 3.72 ^a		[43]
	nc-AFM	1.65	N/A		Present work
gr		Δ_{CC}	$\bar{z}_{\text{C}} - \bar{z}_{\text{IrI}}$		
	vdW-DF	0.35	3.41		[38]
	vdW-DF2-rB86	0.36	3.43		[43]
	PBE+D2	0.2	4.2		[42]
	PBE+TS	0.46	3.32		[44]
	XSW	$\geq (0.4-1.0)^b$	3.38		[38,41]
	SXRD	0.379	3.39		[45]
	LEED-IV	0.43	3.39		[39]
	nc-AFM	0.47	N/A		[39]

^aAverage adsorption height of the strongly and weakly interacting species, respectively.

^bCoverage dependent, where 1.0 Å was found in a full monolayer of gr.

depends on the chosen exchange-correlation functional and the treatment of the vdW interactions therein.

We test a large number of different vdW DFT methods and, for some of them, we also investigate the influence of varying the parameters in the model, e.g., different starting geometries or number of substrate layers. The calculated geometries are compared to experimental results, in particular, the adsorption height and corrugation of the overlayers, which represent very sensitive measures for the predictive power of vdW DFT. For the experimental reference values, we draw upon previously published data as well as a new set of noncontact atomic force microscopy experiments conducted specifically within this study.

It should be noted that some of the methods tested here were parametrized on benchmark calculations for small molecular dimers or restricted classes of systems (where they work well), and thus one must not expect them to perform reliably on our chosen model systems. However, the literature shows that many vdW DFT methods are nevertheless frequently employed for calculations beyond what they were parametrized for (see, e.g., Table I). After all, the ultimate goal is to devise an approach that works well for all systems, rather than developing a new method for each class of systems. Thus it is all the more important to critically assess the performance of existing methods for more complex systems.

II. PREVIOUS STUDIES OF *h*-BN/Ir(111) AND gr/Ir(111)

Before we can assess the different vdW DFT methods, we need to establish a reference with which to compare the DFT results. In the following, we provide a brief overview of experimental studies on the adsorption height and moiré corrugation of *h*-BN/Ir(111) and gr/Ir(111), as well as some of the previous DFT results (see Table I).

Determining the geometry of such systems is challenging not only from a computational point of view; accessing the adsorption configuration experimentally in a reliable manner is difficult as well. Typical approaches include sample averaging techniques such as dynamic low-energy electron diffraction [LEED- $I(V)$], x-ray standing wave measurements (XSW) and surface x-ray diffraction (SXRD), and local probes such as scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and noncontact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM). While averaging techniques can be affected by surface imperfections such as wrinkles, surface roughness, or impurities, local probe techniques often suffer from weak statistical power. It is thus desirable to obtain experimental data for a given system from both approaches of measurement, which ideally should agree with each other.

Many of the above techniques have been extensively demonstrated and compared with DFT calculations for gr/Ir(111) [38–43]. Here, XSW measurements by Busse *et al.* [38] yielded a mean adsorption height of 3.38 Å, in good agreement with DFT calculations presented in the same publication. For the moiré corrugation, XSW yielded lower bounds of 0.4 to 1.0 Å, depending on the coverage (where the largest value corresponds to a full gr monolayer) [41]. While the lowest value of 0.4 Å is in agreement with LEED- $I(V)$ and nc-AFM results [39] (to be discussed below) and many of the DFT data [38,40,42,44], the remaining values are at odds with most other results, a discrepancy which was attributed to stress in the graphene layer [41]. The analysis of XSW data is also not straightforward, as it requires assumptions about the height distribution within the gr (or *h*-BN) layer and the quality of the prepared surface. SXRD experiments by Jean *et al.* [45] yielded essentially the same mean adsorption height (3.39 Å) and a corrugation of 0.379 Å, which agrees with the lowest value found with XSW.

While the local probes allow in principle direct access to the moiré corrugation, STM topography is always a convolution of structural and electronic sample properties [for gr/Ir(111) and *h*-BN/Ir(111), this effect can lead even to an inverted apparent moiré corrugation [46,47]]. In contrast to STM, nc-AFM measurements are expected to yield values approaching the topographic corrugation [39,48]. The most sophisticated nc-AFM experiments on gr/Ir(111) were carried out by Hämäläinen *et al.* [39], who used a carbon monoxide-functionalized tip to probe the repulsive force regime above the sample and measured a moiré corrugation of 0.47 Å. In the same publication, LEED- $I(V)$ experiments were reported, which yielded a corrugation of 0.43 Å and a mean adsorption height of the gr layer of 3.39 Å [39]. The corrugation is in very good agreement with the nc-AFM value, and there is overall good agreement with many of the DFT results.

In the case of *h*-BN/Ir(111), XSW measurements carried out by Farwick zum Hagen *et al.* [43] yielded a lower bound of the moiré corrugation of 1.5 Å, in agreement with DFT calculations presented in the same publication of 1.50 Å. It is also close to the DFT value reported by Liu *et al.* [42] of 1.40 Å, but significantly larger than the DFT corrugation obtained by Schulz *et al.* [47] of 0.34 Å. LEED- $I(V)$ and nc-AFM data for the moiré adsorption geometry of *h*-BN/Ir(111) are lacking thus far.

FIG. 1. Experimental nc-AFM results on *h*-BN/Ir(111). (a) Constant- Δf nc-AFM image of *h*-BN/Ir(111) acquired with a CO-functionalized tip. Set point: -12.0 Hz. Scale bar is 1 nm. (b) Color-coded $\Delta f(z)$ curves recorded at the positions marked in panel (a). Inset: second-order polynomial fits (red) around the Δf minima.

III. METHODS

A. nc-AFM measurements

Details of the sample preparation and nc-AFM experiments are given in the Supplemental Material (SM) [49]. Briefly, monolayer *h*-BN on Ir(111) was grown by low-pressure high-temperature chemical vapor deposition under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions (base pressure 10^{-10} mbar) as described in Ref. [47]. nc-AFM measurements were carried out in a Createc LT-STM/AFM equipped with a qPlus tuning fork sensor [50] housed within the same UHV system at a temperature of 5 K. The attractive short-range interactions between the probe tip and the *h*-BN surface were minimized by passivating the tip apex by a carbon monoxide molecule (CO) [51–53].

B. DFT calculations

Details of the DFT calculations are given in the SM. We have used two codes for the DFT calculation, CP2k [54] and Quantum ESPRESSO (QE) [55]; if not otherwise mentioned, the code used was CP2k. In general, we include the vdW interactions to the total energy either in a semiempirical manner, i.e., an additional term in the total energy that includes or does not the electron density, or by employing a density functional in the exchange and correlation (XC) term. The XC/vdW treatments employed are listed in the SM.

IV. RESULTS

A. nc-AFM measurements on *h*-BN/Ir(111)

To add a local probe measurement of the *h*-BN/Ir(111) moiré corrugation, we have carried out low-temperature nc-AFM experiments with CO-functionalized tips [52]. The details of the nc-AFM experiments and the sample preparation can be found in the Methods section.

Figure 1(a) shows an nc-AFM image of *h*-BN/Ir(111) recorded in the constant- Δf mode with a CO-passivated tip, yielding the expected moiré superstructure composed of depressions arranged in a hexagonal lattice. In addition, the weakly adsorbed regions of the *h*-BN show atomic contrast, revealing the honeycomb lattice of the B and N atoms. There is no atomic contrast in the moiré depressions due to

long-range vdW interactions between the tip and Ir(111) substrate, which affect the tip-sample distance feedback [56].

Figure 1(b) shows the measured frequency shift as a function of the tip-sample distance [$\Delta f(z)$] at a moiré depression and in the surrounding region. It can be seen that the Δf minimum above the depression is not only located at a smaller tip-sample distance but also yields a more negative Δf value. In regions where the adsorption height is smaller, the contribution of long-range vdW interaction between tip and iridium substrate is increased, shifting the $\Delta f(z)$ curve to more negative frequency shift values [56]. Thus, when imaging on the attractive branch of the $\Delta f(z)$ curve, even in the constant- Δf mode with a chemically inert tip apex, the tip-*h*-BN distance will be larger over the moiré depressions than over the surrounding regions. Consequently, Δf set points sufficient to achieve atomic resolution on the latter region might not yield atomic contrast on the former. At very small tip-sample distances, however, the frequency shift is governed by repulsive interactions and it steeply increases as the distance is further reduced; thus the influence of long-range vdW interactions becomes less pronounced. Indeed, it was suggested that the tip-sample distance corresponding to the minimum in $\Delta f(z)$ curves could be used to measure relative differences in adsorption heights [57].

Following this reasoning, the inset in Fig. 1(b) shows second-order polynomial fits to the minima, from which we extract their vertical difference as 1.41 Å. Note that this value is significantly larger than the corrugation observed in the constant Δf image in Fig. 1(a). We have carried out analogous measurements with two other CO tips on two other regions of the sample, which yielded differences of 1.70 and 1.85 Å, respectively. Taking the average of the three values, we get a moiré corrugation of (1.65 ± 0.23) Å. The scatter of the three values and thus the error for the average value seem quite large; however, it should be noted that *h*-BN/Ir(111) in practice is an incommensurate system [43]. In the related system of gr/Ir(111), nc-AFM measurements showed that, as a result, even within a single island, the corrugation of individual moiré unit cells varies smoothly by approximately $\pm 8\%$, with occasional unit cells yielding even up to 20% larger corrugation [39]. Overall, our nc-AFM results are in agreement with the value obtained by Farwick zum Hagen *et al.* from XSW (1.55 Å) [43], as well as with recent DFT results [42,43,53] and thus confirm that *h*-BN/Ir(111) belongs to the class of large-corrugation moiré systems.

B. DFT: (1×1) -*h*-BN/Ir(111)

We now turn to the DFT calculations and how well they can reproduce the experimental geometries. We will devote the major part to *h*-BN/Ir(111), because for this system there is a large spread in the reported DFT results, and thus some controversy. First, we explore the importance of the adsorption site and the convergence of the results in the moiré structure by first considering the artificially commensurate (1×1) -*h*-BN/Ir(111) structure. The different structures considered are visualized in Fig. 2. Despite the strain caused in the *h*-BN layer, this procedure can give information on the differences in the layer height, local buckling, and energies at different lateral positions of the overlayer [58]. This will naturally be

tested later with the calculations of the full moiré structure. We also present results obtained with the local density approximation (LDA) even if it does not include vdW interactions or they are not approximated with an additional term, but we want to include the LDA because it is still used in calculations, at least in systems involving graphene. Figure 3 contains the binding energy E_b and the height z_N of the N atom above the topmost Ir(111) substrate layer. Here the experimental bulk lattice constant a_{exp} of iridium was used; further details are given in the SM.

We note that the six different domains of high-symmetry positions can be considered as three structures and a rotational center, so, for example, $B_{\text{fcc}}N_{\text{ot}}$ is like $B_{\text{hcp}}N_{\text{ot}}$ with a rotational domain around the nitrogen atom, differing only in the stacking of the second and third layer of the substrate being inversed.

The immediate observation is that there is not only a large variation in the adsorption strengths of the *h*-BN layer on various lateral adsorption configurations, but also with different treatments of the XC. Values of E_b range from almost -0.1 to -0.9 eV. z_N varies similarly: depending on the choice of the XC at the preferred lateral adsorption sites, where N takes the on-top site above the substrate atom, we obtain values from 2.25 to 3.75 Å. The variations within E_b and z_N are correlated, so that the approximations to XC that yield the lowest average E_b and/or smallest variations among the different lateral arrangements also place the *h*-BN layer furthest away from the substrate; the weakest adsorption is found with the “original” vdW-DF and vdW-DF2 density functionals. These are also the approximations that yield the largest lattice constants (cf. SM). These two approximations lead in general to too weak binding among the atoms within the system. These shortcomings have been addressed in subsequent approximations by “fitting” the lattice constants and later more sophisticated quantities, e.g., the adsorption energies of molecules on surfaces.

Considering the variations in E_b and z_N with a given treatment of XC across the six different high-symmetry adsorption sites, we see that, irrespective of the approximation, the placement of N atom on the on-top site yields the strongest binding, with the $B_{\text{fcc}}N_{\text{ot}}$ slightly preferred over $B_{\text{hcp}}N_{\text{ot}}$ in energy. The other sites are energetically practically degenerate, even if the $B_{\text{ot}}N_{\text{fcc}}$ and $B_{\text{ot}}N_{\text{hcp}}$ tend to reside somewhat closer to the substrate than the arrangements where both atomic species are located at the hollow sites. Thus the on-top site of either species yields closer adsorption geometry. Independent of the treatment of XC and the lateral adsorption registry, the B atom is closer to the substrate than the N atom.

Given that in the moiré structures the B and N atoms explore the lateral potential energy surface, not only the high-symmetry sites, in a more or less continuous manner, we can use the trends in E_b and z_N to “predict” the expected trends in the moiré structures: this suggests small corrugation and large adsorption height with the “original” vdW-DF and vdW-DF2 approximations to XC, and the strongest binding and thus the smallest adsorption height with the PBE+D2 and revPBE+D2 approximations; the largest corrugations would then be expected with the PBE+TS, vdW-DF with C09, Cx, optB86b exchange functionals, and with the PBE+rVV10 approximation.

FIG. 2. DFT structures of h -BN/Ir(111). Top panel—high-symmetry adsorption in the scaled-commensurate structures (1×1); middle panel—top view of the h -BN/Ir(111) structure in the 12-on-11 moiré structure; bottom panel—the side of the latter structure; lateral adsorption sites are abbreviated as fcc, hcp, and “ot” = on top with respect to the fcc(111) termination of the surface. The structures here have been relaxed with the vdW-DF2-rB86 exchange-correlation functional and the same color coding has been used in all panels. B atoms are depicted with large and N with small spheres.

The results from our two sets of calculations with Quantum ESPRESSO (QE) and the PBE+TS treatment (“PBE+TS,” “PBE+TS 120 Ry”) are independent of the cutoff energy used, indicating a good convergence already at the lower value. Also increasing the number of layers from four to seven, tested with the “vdW-DF-optB88” treatment of the XC, leads to the same results. Scaling the lattice constant of the substrate by 11/12 in “vdW-DF-optB88-comm” (compared to the equilibrium lattice constant of the bulk), so that the h -BN is close to its equilibrium, leads to a very different structure, with almost no preference of the lateral registry. Reducing the number of k points to a grid of 8×8 points leads to small changes in the relative adsorption energies and corrugation.

C. DFT: 12-on-11 h -BN/Ir(111) moiré superstructure

We model the moiré structure as commensurate, such that 12×12 cells of h -BN match 11×11 cells of Ir(111); we use the notation 12-on-11 in this case. We focus here on the most central results from the DFT calculation, the height of the B and N atoms above the substrate and the corrugation within the h -BN layer, given in Fig. 4. With some treatments of the XC, we include two results, originating from the two different procedures of atomic relaxation described in the SM. We also include the experimental XSW determination [43] of the minimal and maximal height of the h -BN layer with dashed horizontal lines and the corrugation extracted from the nc-AFM experiments described above; these act as reference values for the DFT results.

FIG. 3. DFT results on the (1×1) commensurate h -BN/Ir(111). Binding energy E_b (top) and height z_N of N above the topmost substrate layer (bottom) of (1×1) commensurate layer, at the experimental lattice constant of Ir(111) from QE-DFT calculations; thus h -BN is stretched.

We note that, to be more consistent with the experimental XSW analysis, we should use distances from the outermost layer coordinates of the substrate that have been extrapolated from the bulk coordinates rather than the actual relaxed surface coordinates. We do, however, refer to the latter in trying to minimize the possible confusion of different values, and since the difference is small: for example, with the CP2k code and the vdW-DF2-rB86 treatment of the XC approximation, the average distance between the two outermost substrate layers turns out to be 2.20 Å, whereas in bulk the distance is 2.22 Å. Also the magnitude of the corrugation is independent of the reference point of the individual minimum and maximum.

We begin to decipher the results with two general observations that characterize our qualitative DFT results. (i) There is a wide range of heights depending on the different treatments of the XC; the h -BN layer is furthest away from the substrate with the same approximations to XC as in the (1×1) structure in Fig. 3, namely vdW-DF and vdW-

DF2. In addition, BEEF-DF2 leads to a large average height. (ii) With some treatments of the XC, we find two structures where the forces vanish. Thus there is one stable and one metastable structure. These differ in the magnitude of the corrugation in the h -BN layer.

The large variance of the adsorption heights indicates that the approximations to the exchange-correlation term are not reliable *per se*, but a “calibration” with good experimental data is necessary. Afterwards, the transferability of the chosen approximation needs to be tested.

That there are two structures which are (meta)stable in the calculations is an interesting result. This raises the question if both structures are indeed realistic. The approximations that yield the two structures are PBE+D2 and PBE+D3. Some approximations, in particular, vdW-DF-rB86, vdW-DF2-C09 and vdW-DF2-rB86, only yield the structure with large corrugation after ionic relaxation. These functionals also result in the best agreement with the experimental corrugation and minimal and maximal heights as measured with nc-AFM and

FIG. 4. DFT results on the 12-on-11 and 13-on-12 *h*-BN/Ir(111). Height of all B and N atoms in 12-on-11 structure above the average height of the topmost layer of the substrate: top panel, experimental; bottom panel, DFT-derived lattice constant; the XSW result by Farwick zum Hagen *et al.* from Ref. [43] is marked with the lateral dashed lines, minimum and maximum. Blue horizontal lines mark the minimum and maximum values for a 13-on-12 structure using the revPBE+D3 approximation from Ref. [47], and the blue vertical bar on the right indicates the corrugation obtained from the analysis of the AFM data in this publication. With some treatments of the XC we have performed two calculations with different starting geometries—please see the SM; in this case, the second structure is drawn with green markers. The gray-shadowed regions refer to calculations in the 13-on-12 cell and the blue to different calculations with the same approximation.

XSW. This suggests that they have the highest “accuracy” in the present system and obtaining two different structures is probably unrealistic.

The value of the binding energy per BN unit, defined as

$$E_b = \{E(h\text{-BN/Ir}) - [E(h\text{-BN}) + E(\text{Ir})]\}/n_{\text{BN}},$$

with the total energies E from the relaxed calculations of the adsorbate system and the free constituents, is -0.164 , -0.106 , and -0.145 eV with the DFT+D3, vdW-DF, and vdW-DF2-rB86 treatments of the XC effects, respectively.

These values are intermediate of the results in the (1×1) cell above. The values are somewhat smaller than the -0.174 eV obtained in recent calculations [43].

D. DFT: 13-on-12 *h*-BN/Ir(111) moiré superstructure

Even if the closest commensurate periodicity of the aligned moiré pattern has now been established to be 12-on-11 (Refs. [43,47]), we studied also the larger 13-on-12 moiré pattern to compare with an earlier DFT calculation [47]. The

FIG. 5. DFT results on the 10-on-9 gr/Ir(111). Height of C atoms above the average topmost layer of the substrate in the 10-on-9 structure of gr/Ir(111): top panel, experimental; bottom panel, DFT-derived lattice constant; the LEED- $I(V)$ result by Hämäläinen *et al.* from Ref. [39] is marked with the dashed lines, minimum and maximum. The blue bar on the right indicates the corrugation obtained from the analysis of the AFM data in Ref. [39], the red one from the SXRD data in Ref. [45], and the green ones the extremal values from XSW data in Ref. [41].

results are also illustrated in Fig. 4; the numerical values are also listed in the SM. The resulting geometry is practically the same in both 12-on-11 and 13-on-12 structures with a given treatment of the XC term. Thus it is clear that the origin of the different corrugations in different DFT calculations is the XC term, and not the moiré periodicity as was suggested earlier [43] (with a possible contribution from the different numerical parameters in the calculations).

E. DFT: 10-on-9 gr/Ir(111) moiré superstructure

We have also checked the structure of graphene on Ir(111) with a variety of XC functionals and treatments of vdW interactions. Here, we focus on periodicity 10-on-9 (calculations on 1×1 structure can be found in the SM). The height of the carbon atoms above the outermost layer of Ir(111) are shown in Fig. 5 together with the results from the experimental derivation of the atomic heights from the LEED- $I(V)$, XSW,

and nc-AFM experiments [39,43]. Again several treatments of the XC term have been employed, some at the experimental and some at the equilibrium DFT value of the lattice constant.

Most of the treatments of the exchange-correlation term yield reasonably accurate adsorption heights. The variation in the heights is less pronounced than in the case of h -BN/Ir(111), due to the overall smaller corrugation of the graphene layer. Still the same approximations lead to too weak binding, and thus too large adsorption heights (including vdW-DF, vdW-DF2, and BEEF-DF2), therefore binding too little in both types of investigated moiré structures.

As the lateral unit cell is here smaller than in h -BN/Ir(111), the importance of the proper k point sampling in the Brillouin zone is enhanced; also the calculations with more k points are less expensive. We used QE in convergence studies, by increasing the cutoff energy and k point sampling to 2×2 . The former does not lead to any noticeable difference in the height of the carbon atoms, whereas increasing the k

point sampling leads to a quantitative difference, where some carbon atoms are adsorbed closer to the surface than with only the Γ point.

V. DISCUSSION: EVALUATION OF THE DIFFERENT APPROACHES

With the present results the structure of h -BN on Ir(111) becomes clearer, resolving most of the controversies in the past literature: two different moiré structures, one with smaller, one with larger corrugation, can be found with some approximations to the exchange and correlation effects in the DFT calculations. The details of the calculations, whether the consistently optimized DFT-XC lattice constant or 12-on-11 or 13-on-12 lateral cell is used, do not affect the results much.

The energy difference ΔE between these two kinds of structures indicates how delicate the geometry is: with the semiempirical methods, sometimes the larger corrugation is preferred— a_{xc} -PBE+D2 ($\Delta E = -0.13$ eV), a_{xc} -PBE+D2/13-on-12 ($\Delta E = -0.26$ eV), sometimes the smaller corrugation a_{xc} -PBE+D3 ($\Delta E = +0.13$ eV), a_{exp} -PBE+D3 ($\Delta E = +0.13$ eV), and a_{exp} -PBE+D2 ($\Delta E = +0.18$ eV). Where the vdW functionals yield two structures, they are energetically almost degenerate, with the ΔE difference being -40 meV or smaller in favor of the larger corrugation. That the ΔE is always so small, at most ≈ 0.2 eV in magnitude in such a large moiré cell, indicates that the energy balance is indeed very sensitive, and we cannot exclude that even slightest numerical issues, or already a finite but low temperature, might turn the balance toward the other kind of corrugation. Thus far, there is no evidence for the experimental observation of the lower corrugation, and it is not clear if it can be realized at all. In the case of h -BN on Rh(111), experiments suggest that transition metal adatoms are able to partially weaken the bonding between h -BN and metal surface in the moiré depressions [59]. This relatively easy vertical modification of the h -BN hints at two energetically relatively close-lying structures at different corrugations, and a similar effect could be present in h -BN/Ir(111) as well.

Overall, there are in both approaches to model the vdW forces in DFT, semiempirical and nonlocal, treatments that yield good or very good agreement with the experiment and some that miserably fail. In particular, the original vdW-DF and vdW-DF2 yield too weak interaction between the h -BN or gr and the substrate, respectively. While this underbinding transfers from one system to the other for certain vdW DFT methods, there is no general trend in the transferability. This is highlighted in the results with vdW-DF-optB88, which show good agreement for gr but completely underestimate the corrugation for h -BN. Similarly, while none of the semiempirical methods performs particularly well for h -BN/Ir(111), PBE+D3 yields very good agreement in the case of gr/Ir(111). Within our study, only vdW-DF-rB86, vdW-DF2-rB86, and PBE+rVV10 among the nonlocal treatments yielded good to very good agreement with the experimental results for both gr and h -BN. However, that there is overall such a large scatter in the calculated structures is somewhat worrying, and suggests that this is not a universal result.

The corrugations between the adsorption of h -BN at the different high-symmetry lattice sites in the (1×1) - h -BN/Ir(111) unit cell are similar to the range of adsorption heights in the moiré cell when the h -BN has been stretched to be commensurate with the lattice of the substrate, but the results are very different when the h -BN is allowed to keep its equilibrium distance and the lattice constant of the metal is changed instead. Therefore, we conclude that the more realistic approach to describe the full moiré structure is to stretch the h -BN instead of the substrate, as the electronic structure of the latter is considerably changed. On the other hand, this could be used in epitaxial, thin metal-on-support overlayers, where the substrate layer has had its in-plane lattice constant changed and thus its electronic structure significantly modified also, to derive new h -BN adsorption structures.

In a previous study of gr on Ni(111) and Pt(111) it was found [33] that results obtained with vdW-DF-C09 or vdW-DF2-C09 agree best with the experimental adsorption height, while the original vdW-DF and vdW-DF2 yield considerable underbinding. In our case, vdW-DF2-C09 works well for h -BN, but considerably overestimates the corrugation in gr/Ir(111). The original vdW-DF2 also severely underbinds in our study.

Results closer to the experiments are nowadays obtained mainly by “tuning” the approximation used in the exchange term, even if the vdW interactions originate purely from the correlation, due to the unpredictable error cancellation between the exchange and correlation. This kind of “fitting” to obtain correct structures is an indication of the challenge that the community currently faces while looking for an efficient yet most accurate and “reliable” approximation to use. A recent example of comparison of different approaches in solids [60] supports our own conclusions. Overall, it is thus not sufficient to state that the calculations were performed using a treatment of the vdW effects, as this is no guarantee of the accuracy of the results.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have performed DFT calculations on h -BN and graphene adsorbed on Ir(111) using different approximations to incorporate the vdW interactions. Overall, we find a large variation in the corrugation and distance of the h -BN layer from the substrate with different treatments of the vdW interactions. This supports the “common knowledge” that the choice of the treatment is to some degree more “*ad hoc*” than “predicted,” and the DFT community is in the process of searching for the “best” approach, much like the GGAs have been “fitted” over the years—and like there, the approach to be “preferred” can depend on the kind of system under study. Recent attempts try to approach the problem via fulfilling rigorous conditions known for the exact vdW density functional; studies addressing their accuracy in practical cases like the present ones are needed. Future progress requires both quantitative experiments and developments in vdW DFT methodology.

Additional data and materials are available [61].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research made use of the Aalto Nanomicroscopy Center (Aalto NMC) facilities and was supported by the Academy of Finland (Projects No. 311012 and No. 314882,

and Academy professor funding No. 318995 and the European Research Council (ERC 2017 AdG No. 788185). A.P.S. acknowledges the computational resources at CSC, Espoo, Project No. 2000606, and Centro Svizzero di Calcolo Scientifico (CSCS), Lugano, Project No. uzh11.

-
- [1] K. Yang, W. Setyawan, S. Wang, M. B. Nardelli, and S. Curtarolo, *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 614 (2012).
- [2] S. Lebègue, T. Björkman, M. Klintonberg, R. M. Nieminen, and O. Eriksson, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 031002 (2013).
- [3] A. Jain, Y. Shin, and K. A. Persson, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **1**, 15004 (2016).
- [4] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. G. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. I. Aroyo, and B. A. Bernevig, *Nature (London)* **547**, 298 (2017).
- [5] N. Mounet, M. Gibertini, P. Schwaller, D. Campi, A. Merkys, A. Marrazzo, T. Sohier, I. E. Castelli, A. Cepellotti, G. Pizzi, and N. Marzari, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **13**, 246 (2018).
- [6] J. Behler, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 170901 (2016).
- [7] T. L. Jacobsen, M. S. Jørgensen, and B. Hammer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 026102 (2018).
- [8] V. L. Deringer, C. J. Pickard, and G. Csányi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 156001 (2018).
- [9] T. Yamashita, N. Sato, H. Kino, T. Miyake, K. Tsuda, and T. Oguchi, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2**, 013803 (2018).
- [10] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, *Nature (London)* **499**, 419 (2013).
- [11] K. S. Novoselov, A. Mishchenko, A. Carvalho, and A. H. Castro Neto, *Science* **353**, aac9439 (2016).
- [12] J. J. Rehr, E. Zaremba, and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev. B* **12**, 2062 (1975).
- [13] P. Pyykkö, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **43**, 4412 (2004).
- [14] I.-C. Lin, A. P. Seitsonen, M. Coutinho-Neto, I. Tavernelli, and U. Rothlisberger, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **113**, 1127 (2009).
- [15] J. Schmidt, J. VandeVondele, I.-F. W. Kuo, D. Sebastiani, J. I. Siepmann, J. Hutter, and C. J. Mundy, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **113**, 11959 (2009).
- [16] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature (London)* **556**, 43 (2018).
- [17] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature (London)* **556**, 80 (2018).
- [18] G. Ertl, *Reactions at Solid Surfaces* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2009).
- [19] A. Zangwill, *Physics at Surfaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1988).
- [20] J. Klimeš and A. Michaelides, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 120901 (2012).
- [21] K. Berland, V. R. Cooper, K. Lee, E. Schroeder, T. Thonhauser, P. Hyldgaard, and B. I. Lundqvist, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **78**, 066501 (2015).
- [22] S. Grimme, A. Hansen, J. G. Brandenburg, and C. Bannwarth, *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 5105 (2016).
- [23] J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler, and A. Michaelides, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **22**, 022201 (2010).
- [24] O. A. Vydrov and T. Van Voorhis, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **8**, 1929 (2012).
- [25] G. A. DiLabio, E. R. Johnson, and A. Otero-de-la Roza, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 12821 (2013).
- [26] T. Björkman, A. Gulans, A. V. Krashenninnikov, and R. M. Nieminen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 235502 (2012).
- [27] T. Björkman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 074708 (2014).
- [28] F. Mittendorfer, A. Garhofer, J. Redinger, J. Klimes, J. Harl, and G. Kresse, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 201401(R) (2011).
- [29] W.-B. Zhang, C. Chen, and P.-Y. Tang, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 044708 (2014).
- [30] P. Ganesh, J. Kim, C. Park, M. Yoon, F. Reboredo, and P. Kent, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **10**, 5318 (2014).
- [31] L. Shulenburger, A. Baczewski, Z. Zhu, J. Guan, and D. Tonánek, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 8170 (2015).
- [32] H. Yildirim, T. Greber, and A. Kara, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**, 20572 (2013).
- [33] I. Hamada and M. Otani, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 153412 (2010).
- [34] Y. Gamo, A. Nagashima, M. Wakabayashi, M. Terai, and C. Oshima, *Surf. Sci.* **374**, 61 (1997).
- [35] P. Sutter, J. T. Sadowski, and E. Sutter, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 245411 (2009).
- [36] W. Auwärter, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **74**, 1 (2019).
- [37] J. Wintterlin and M.-L. Bocquet, *Surf. Sci.* **603**, 1841 (2009).
- [38] C. Busse, P. Lazić, R. Djemour, J. Coraux, T. Gerber, N. Atodiresei, V. Caciuc, R. Brako, A. T. N'Diaye, S. Blügel, J. Zegenhagen, and T. Michely, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 036101 (2011).
- [39] S. K. Hämäläinen, M. P. Boneschanscher, P. H. Jacobse, I. Swart, K. Pussi, W. Moritz, J. Lahtinen, P. Liljeroth, and J. Sainio, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 201406(R) (2013).
- [40] E. N. Voloshina, E. Fertitta, A. Garhofer, F. Mittendorfer, M. Fonin, A. Thissen, and Y. S. Dedkov, *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1072 (2013).
- [41] S. Runte, P. Lazić, C. Vo-Van, J. Coraux, J. Zegenhagen, and C. Busse, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 155427 (2014).
- [42] M. Liu, Y. Li, P. Chen, J. Sun, D. Ma, Q. Li, T. Gao, Y. Gao, Z. Cheng, X. Qiu, Y. Fang, Y. Zhang, and Z. Liu, *Nano Lett.* **14**, 6342 (2014).
- [43] F. H. Farwick zum Hagen, D. M. Zimmermann, C. C. Silva, C. Schlueter, N. Atodiresei, W. Jolie, A. J. Martínez-Galera, D. Dombrowski, U. A. Schröder, M. Will, P. Lazić, V. Caciuc, S. Blügel, T.-L. Lee, T. Michely, and C. Busse, *ACS Nano* **10**, 11012 (2016).
- [44] A. Kumar, K. Banerjee, M. Dvorak, F. Schulz, A. Harju, P. Rinke, and P. Liljeroth, *ACS Nano* **11**, 4960 (2017).
- [45] F. Jean, T. Zhou, N. Blanc, R. Felici, J. Coraux, and G. Renaud, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245424 (2015).
- [46] A. T. N'Diaye, J. Coraux, T. N. Plasa, C. Busse, and T. Michely, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 043033 (2008).
- [47] F. Schulz, R. Drost, S. K. Hämäläinen, T. Demonchaux, A. P. Seitsonen, and P. Liljeroth, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 235429 (2014).

- [48] M. P. Boneschanscher, J. van der Lit, Z. Sun, I. Swart, P. Liljeroth, and D. Vanmaekelbergh, *ACS Nano* **6**, 10216 (2012).
- [49] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.084001> for details of the experimental methods, computational approaches, and additional calculated results.
- [50] F. J. Giessibl, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73**, 3956 (1998).
- [51] L. Bartels, G. Meyer, and K.-H. Rieder, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **71**, 213 (1997).
- [52] L. Gross, F. Mohn, N. Moll, P. Liljeroth, and G. Meyer, *Science* **325**, 1110 (2009).
- [53] F. Schulz, J. Ritala, O. Krejčí, A. P. Seitsonen, A. S. Foster, and P. Liljeroth, *ACS Nano* **12**, 5274 (2018).
- [54] J. Hutter, M. Iannuzzi, F. Schiffmann, and J. VandeVondele, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **4**, 15 (2014), <https://www.CP2k.org/>.
- [55] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos *et al.*, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 395502 (2009), <https://www.Quantum-ESPRESSO.org/>.
- [56] Z. Sun, S. K. Hämäläinen, J. Sainio, J. Lahtinen, D. Vanmaekelbergh, and P. Liljeroth, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 081415(R) (2011).
- [57] B. Schuler, W. Liu, A. Tkatchenko, N. Moll, G. Meyer, A. Mistry, D. Fox, and L. Gross, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 106103 (2013).
- [58] J. G. Díaz, Y. Ding, R. Koitz, A. P. Seitsonen, M. Iannuzzi, and J. Hutter, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **132**, 1350 (2013).
- [59] F. D. Natterer, F. Patthey, and H. Brune, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 066101 (2012).
- [60] F. Tran, L. Kalantari, B. Traoré, X. Rocquefelte, and P. Blaha, *Phys. Rev. Materials* **3**, 063602 (2019).
- [61] F. Schulz, P. Liljeroth, and A. P. Seitsonen, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* (2019), <http://www.apsi.me/Science/Projects/2D-layer/hBN-Ir111/index.html>.