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Evidence based residential ventilation: sizing procedure and system solutions addressed by REHVA Residential Ventilation Task Force
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Abstract. Wider application of heat recovery ventilation in residential buildings brings attention to knowledge and regulatory gaps which call for research and other actions. In many technical questions there is no consensus in national regulations of EU Member States (MS). This applies for instance for air flow rates, i.e. how much ventilation is needed, restrictions of the use of some heat exchangers types, connection of cooker hoods to ventilation system and placement of exhaust air devices. While European standards are well detailed in these aspects for non-residential ventilation, there is very limited information available for residential ventilation systems. Recent European Guidebook REHVA GB No 25 has made an attempt to collect evidence based best practice technical solutions and design principles for residential ventilation. In this paper a recent evidence and common assumptions behind REHVA airflow rate selection procedure is discussed. Best practice solutions and open research questions related to cooker hood connection to heat recovery and compensation to enable balanced operation of ventilation in an airtight building are analyzed. The paper summarizes existing evidence in these questions and defines open research questions for future residential ventilation research agenda.

1 Introduction

Energy performance of buildings has been continuously and systematically improved in Europe with next step of transition to nearly zero energy buildings (NZEB) in 2019-2021. Well insulated and airtight NZEB provide challenges or opportunities – depending on point of view – for ventilation systems. Heat recovery ventilation may be expected to be major ventilation solution because in Continental and Nordic climates, it is simply impossible to build nearly zero energy buildings without heat recovery. In warmer climates, co-benefits of heat recovery ventilation units as filtration of pollen and carcinogenic particulate matter (IARC 2014) [1] as well as sound insulation make it very strong alternative to conventional airing solutions, however this existing evidence is not yet widely accepted and understood (JRC 2016) [2]. The role of ventilation is twofold, in addition to energy saving contribution the main task of ventilation is to provide fresh air so that indoor air quality (IAQ) and thermal comfort are ensured. In well insulated and airtight buildings, the importance of controlled ventilation is stressed, because air infiltration through building envelope is practically missing and opening of windows during heating season will waste a big amount of energy.

In addition to supplying proper air flow rates, balanced operation and compensation of cooker hood, fireplace or central vacuum cleaner have new meaning in airtight buildings, that can be called as a security issue: if not properly designed for instance children are not able to open doors because of high pressure differences.

Wider application of heat recovery ventilation brings attention to knowledge and regulatory gaps which call for research and other actions. In EU level there have currently been no binding ventilation and IEQ requirements. From a regulatory point of view these remain under the competencies and responsibilities of the EU Member States, but the situation is changing. In the recent review process of Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD), the assessment of the implementation status of the EPBD by the EU MS in terms of ventilation and indoor air quality criteria was conducted by European Commission Joint Research Center [2]. This assessment confirmed that there still exist MS without binding ventilation requirements and many inadequate ventilation problems have been reported especially from renovations, where insulation, improvement of air tightness and replacement of windows has often stopped air change because adequate ventilation
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systems have not been installed. On the other hand, the scientific literature review done by JRC [2] provided new evidence that mechanical heat recovery ventilation systems have led to an overall improvement of the IAQ and reduction of reported comfort and health related problems if properly designed and operated. This reveals that highly performing and healthy buildings do exist in EU and have improved occupant comfort and satisfaction. Revised EPBD stresses the importance of ventilation by requiring adequate ventilation in order to optimise health, IAQ and comfort levels defined by MS, which provides clear mandate to MS to establish minimum ventilation requirements for new buildings and major renovations to implement the directive.

In many technical questions there is no consensus in national regulations of EU Member States (MS). This applies for instance for air flow rates, i.e. how much ventilation is needed, restrictions of the use of some heat exchangers types, connection of cooker hoods to ventilation system and placement of exhaust air devices. While European standards are well detailed in these aspects for non-residential ventilation, there is very limited information available for residential ventilation systems. Recent European Guidebook (REHVA GB No 25) [3] have made an attempt to collect evidence based best practice technical solutions and design principles for residential ventilation. In this paper existing evidence on ventilation is assessed and airflow sizing procedure extending the existing ones is proposed.

Still huge research need remains, experience of the use of advanced ventilation systems is needed for further development of components, system solutions, control and operation strategies as well as proper sizing.

2 Existing evidence on ventilation need in dwellings

Ventilation need in dwellings is determined by different criteria, at least body odour/bioeffluents from occupants, humidity generation, building material and other emissions are to be taken into account. Because of multiple origin of pollution sources and complex effects, epidemiological field studies conducted in dwellings may be seen as the most reliable way to combine different sources and effects. Starting from perceived air quality which has especially intensively used for sizing ventilation in non-residential buildings but applies also with some limitations for residential buildings the existing evidence can be summarised as follows:

- No effects on asthma and allergy when ≥0.37 ach (7 L/s pers) or CO2 ≤900 ppm above outdoors (Bornehag et al. 2005) [8]
- Mechanical ventilation has system reduced allergic symptoms and asthma and RH showing positive effects of higher air change rate (Kovesi et al. 2009) [9], (Xu et al. 2010) [10], (Wright et al. 2009) [11]
- Reduction of ventilation rate from 0.5-0.8 ach to 0.4-0.5 ach did not have negative effect on SBS symptoms, but air was perceived as stuffy/poor (Engvell et al. 2005) [12]
- CO2: 1600 ppm (total) no effects on acute health symptoms and mental performance (experiments to isolate bioeffluents, (Zhang et al. 2018) [13]
- Health based ventilation rate 4 L/s pers recommended for the condition in which the only source of pollution are human occupation emitting bio-effluents (Carrer et al. 2018, HealthVent project) [14]
- 6-7 L/s pers (summary by Carrer et al. 2015) should apply in occupied rooms (bedrooms, living rooms), but the same amount of extract air is needed from wet rooms and kitchen (source control) [15]

Therefore, experiments isolating bioeffluents show lower airflow rate as 1600 ppm CO2 corresponds to 4.5 L/s pers at normal 1.2 met activity level. In order to convert the air change rate values of field studies to L/s per person values, occupant density should be known that is not always the case. If the air volume of full apartment is calculated, air change rate of 0.5 ach usually corresponds to 7 L/s pers or more at common occupant densities. However, in bedrooms the air volumes are quite small and per person air flow rates can be smaller. For instance, in 15 m² 2 person bedroom 0.5 ach leads only to 5 L/s pers if no mixing with the rest of apartment air is not considered that would apply with closed door.

It can be summarized that existing evidence shows lower air flow rates of 4-4.5 L/s pers when bioeffluents are isolated or when acute health symptoms only are considered. Epidemiological studies representing realistic mix of sources in dwellings however reach up to the second indoor climate category specification of 7 L/s pers especially when perceived stuffy/poor air quality is considered, supporting the application of this value as a safe ventilation rate.

3 Room-based air flow rates for design of ventilation

Selection of air flow rates in dwellings has recently been updated in European and ISO standards, in EN 15251:2007 replaced with EN 16798-1:2018 [5] and ISO 17772-1:2017 [6]. However, the use of these standards in the sizing of air flow rates is not straightforward, because standards provide airflow in several indoor climate categories and supply airflow rates are given in L/s per person, while the number of occupants is typically not known information in the design.

To make a step forward, default occupancy, transfer air and indoor climate category II assumptions were
applied in the following in order to develop a room-based airflow rate selection procedure where L/s per room values for different type and size of rooms are calculated with these default assumptions. In this way it is possible to end up with room-based supply and extract airflow rates which can be applied in practical design. Based on Category II 7 L/s per person which is well supported by existing evidence, the room-based values shown in Table 1.

- Table 1. Minimum airflow rates in residences [3]. 1 L/s = 3.6 m\(^3\)/h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supply airflow rate L/s</th>
<th>Extract airflow rate L/s</th>
<th>Air velocity(^1) m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living rooms(^2)&gt;15 m(^2)</td>
<td>8+0.27 L/(s (m^2))</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrooms &gt;15 m(^2)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living rooms and bedrooms 11-15 m(^2)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrooms &lt;11 m(^2), 3rd and successive bedrooms in large apartments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom in one room apartment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility room</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wardrobe and storage room</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen(^3)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen(^3), one room apartment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen, cooker hood in operation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average airflow rate of a whole residence L/(s (m^2))</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staircase of an apartment building, ACH</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Maximum air velocity values apply at the design airflow rate and supply air temperature in heating season conditions, in boost mode higher velocities may be accepted, see section 2.2.

\(^2\)Transfer air from bedrooms may be reduced, 12 L/s is the minimum value

\(^3\)Airflow rate in the kitchen when cooker hood is not in operation

The airflow rate 0.42 L/(s \(m^2\)) correspond to 0.6 ACH when the ceiling height is 2.52 m. Depending on the floor plan, air supplied to bedrooms may serve the living room as transfer air. Air transferred from bedrooms may be subtracted from living room supply airflow rate, however the minimum value of the supply airflow rate is 12 L/s. Supply airflow rate in larger living rooms is calculated as a function of floor area. The bedroom value of 14 L/s allows for two persons in bedrooms larger than 15 m\(^2\). In large apartments or houses 8 L/s may be used for the third and subsequent bedrooms which are assumed to be designed for one person. If the smallest bedrooms (8 L/s) are occupied by two people, the airflow rate is 4 L/s per person that corresponds to satisfactory indoor climate category III.

The values in Table 1 lead to different total supply and total extract airflow rates which should be balanced so that the lower value is increased to equal the higher value. In small apartments it is typically the extract airflow that defines the total airflow rate and the supply airflow has to be increased accordingly. In large apartments with many bedrooms the total supply airflow rate is typically higher and the extract airflow rates are increased to balance the ventilation. The average airflow rate of the whole residence may determine the total airflow rate in the case of very large rooms. This can occur, for example, in large detached houses.

The minimum requirement for cooker hood operation is 25 L/s and is particularly significant in the smallest apartments. In larger apartments the cooker hood boost airflow value of 30 L/s is recommended as it leads to 75% odour removal efficiency in the case of effective hoods (typically meeting the needs of a reasonably large air volume). A more accurate way to determine the required boost airflow rate is to use the airflow of a selected hood product that gives 75% odour extraction, as recommended in standard EN 13141-3 [16].

The determination of airflow rates is shown in following two examples. Table 2 provides a sizing procedure in a 3-bedroom apartment and Table 3 for a one-bedroom apartment. The plans and design airflow rates are shown in Fig. 1.
The extract airflow rates are smaller than the supply and they are to be increased by 11 L/s. In this case this is done by increasing kitchen extract, resulting in design extract airflow rates:

- WC 10 L/s
- Bathroom 15 L/s
- Kitchen 8 + 11 = 19 L/s

This results in design total supply and extract airflow rates 44 L/s. This total airflow rate of 44 L/s corresponds to 0.80 air changes per hour, which is quite high because of many small bedrooms and expected high occupant density in this 3-bedroom apartment.

### Table 2. Separate and mutual optimum envelope values, the white to dark grey backgrounds represent minimum to maximum values of the three

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Area, m²</th>
<th>Supply</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>General air change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Kitchen</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 (28.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Bedroom</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12 (43.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Bedroom</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12 (43.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Bathroom</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 (54.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 WC</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 (36.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Corridor</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 Bedroom</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8 (28.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 Living room</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12 (43.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire apartment</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79.3·0.42=33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44 (158.4)</td>
<td>33 (118.8)</td>
<td>33 (118.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this one bedroom apartment total supply and extract airflow rates are almost equal and one extract airflow rate has been increased by 1 L/s to balance the ventilation. The total design airflow rate of 24 L/s corresponds to 0.73 ach.

The total design airflow rates calculated in previous tables do not include the temporary boost from the cooker hood which is in the both cases 25 L/s. In 3-bedroom apartment this flow rate may be temporarily ‘borrowed’ from the other extracts if the cooker hood damper is opened and so a ventilation boost is not necessary. In small one-bedroom apartment boosting the ventilation and borrowing from other extracts is a reasonable solution to achieve 25 L/s cooker hood airflow which implementation is described in next section.

### Table 3. Airflow rate calculation in one bedroom apartment.

The determining airflow rate is marked with bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Area, m²</th>
<th>Supply</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>General air change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Room</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Kitchen</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 (28.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Living room</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12 (43.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Room</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Bathroom</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 (54.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Bedroom</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12 (43.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire apartment</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47.2·0.42=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (86.4)</td>
<td>23 (82.8)</td>
<td>20 (72.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this one bedroom apartment total supply and extract airflow rates are almost equal and one extract airflow rate has been increased by 1 L/s to balance the ventilation. The total design airflow rate of 24 L/s corresponds to 0.73 ach.

### 4 Ventilation system solutions for new low energy dwellings

Air flow selection can be seen as an important, but only the first step in ventilation system configuration selection and system sizing. General principle of source control first, means that wet rooms are to be equipped with extracts and kitchens with cooker hoods. Supply air to living rooms and bedrooms has to be transferred and removed by these extracts. If conventional cooker hoods with their own exhaust fans are used, very high negative pressures up to 200 Pa may be generated depending on the building leakage rate as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1. Calculated airflow rates and transfer air paths in three and one-bedroom apartments.](image)

![Fig. 2. Negative pressure generated by non-compensated cooker hoods, with flow rate of 25 L/s in a 35 m² apartment and 50 L/s in a 160 m² house, as a function of building leakage rate n<sub>50</sub>. Typical n<sub>50</sub> values in modern airtight buildings are expected to be below 1 (1/h). 30 Pa pressure difference limit (children cannot open doors) indicates that cooker hoods must be compensated in new buildings.](image)

Therefore, to avoid excessive negative pressures, cooker hoods should be installed so that the balanced
operation of ventilation system is ensured. This can be
done by connecting cooker hood to ventilation unit, in the
case plate heat exchanger the airflow from cooker hood
will go through heat exchanger, but rotary heat
exchangers are to be bypassed to avoid carry over of
contaminants and to keep wheels clean.

A typical scheme is shown in Fig. 3, with plate heat exchangers which
allows the kitchen hood extract to be taken through the
heat exchanger. It is recommended that the exhaust be
ducted to the roof in order to avoid odour problems.
Exhaust through the façade may be used, if local
regulations allow, but in such a case exhaust air velocity
and distances to adjacent apartment windows must be
checked.

![Fig. 3. Typical single dwelling ventilation unit. Outdoor air is
taken directly from the façade, but exhaust is ducted to the
roof, because the cooker hood is connected to the ventilation
unit.](image)

Where ventilation units include a rotary heat
exchanger, the cooker hood will be connected after the
heat exchanger and these units incorporate an extra duct
connection for the cooker hood by-pass.

A typical centralized system layout is shown in Fig.
4, In such a system, plate heat exchangers are always used to avoid odour
transfer in the heat recovery section. In modern systems,
the air handling unit serves cooker hoods and constant
pressure is maintained halfway between that of the supply
and extract air main ducts. Opening the cooker hoods will
increase extract airflow rate in the dwellings and the
system increases the fan speed in order to keep constant
static pressure in the main ducts. Opening of the cooker
hood also causes some reduction in the other dwelling
extract airflow rates (so called ‘borrowing’ principle).
Ductwork is to be sized so as to at least achieve the
required 25 L/s from the cooker hood. A reasonable
design assumption is that 70% of cooker hoods are
operated at any one time. Typical pressure drops are
shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 4. Layout of the modern centralized system.](image)

![Fig. 5. Typical pressure drops in the ductwork.](image)

In order to enable cooker hood extract air flow
compensation, both in decentralized and centralized
systems, a motorized damper is needed in the supply air
ductwork. If the cooker hood damper is opened, the on/off
damper in the supply air duct will open and the supply
airflow rate is increased correspondingly, Fig. 6. To
match the flow in the main extract duct, a pressure sensor
in the main supply air duct will boost the centralized supply fan to maintain the constant pressure.

Fig. 6. Cooker hood extract airflow compensation principle with on/off damper and additional supply air damper.

Depending on the cooker hood used, it is possible to provide both general ventilation (min 8 L/s constant airflow) and boost (min 25 L/s) when the damper is open via the cooker hood. General ventilation airflow (with the damper closed) can be adjusted by, for example, a magnetic strip on a perforated damper, determining how many holes are open. In the boost mode the damper will be opened, and if equipped with timer, it will be closed again after 60 minutes, if the occupant has not already closed it. The operating range of an example product is shown in Fig 7.

Fig. 7. Cooker hood operation range with closed (depending on adjustment) and open damper. The switch on the left opens the damper and the switch on the right is for the light.

Robust and reliable residential ventilation systems with minimal maintenance need have been generally recommended to have a continuous operation (CAV) however there are many technical solutions available for demand-controlled ventilation. Recent research has revealed that demand-controlled ventilation should be controlled in addition to bioeffluents and humidity generation also by other emission sources (VOC etc.) which do exist all the time. When these sources are taken into account much longer ventilation operation is needed compared to simple CO2 control. Walker and Brennan (2008) [17] have shown that instead of 40% energy saving only the saving in between 0-8% can be achieved depending on occupancy pattern and climate. It is important to notice that with residential air change rates close to 0.5 ach decay of concentration takes much more longer time than that in the case of non-residential ventilation typically by factor 3-4 higher ventilation rates, illustrated in Fig. 8. when ventilation is switched off for 6 hours.

Therefore only small airflow reductions are possible during non-occupied hours and demand controlled ventilation systems should generally more focus to temporary boosting at high occupancy and overheating situations.

5 Conclusions

While European standards are well detailed for non-residential ventilation, there is very limited information available for residential ventilation systems. Recent European Guidebook REHVA GB No 25 has collected evidence based best practice technical solutions and design principles for residential ventilation. Still huge research need remains, as experience of the use of advanced ventilation systems is needed for further development of components, system solutions, control and operation strategies as well as proper sizing.

Selection procedure of air flow rates in dwellings has recently been updated in European and ISO standards EN 16798-1:2018 and ISO 17772-1:2017. However, the use of these standards in the sizing of air flow rates is not straightforward, because standards provide airflows in several indoor climate categories and supply air flow rates are given in L/s per person, while the number of occupants is typically not known information in the design. REHVA GB No 25 has made a step forward by developing a room-based airflow rate selection procedure providing L/s per room values for different type and size of rooms, which
have been calculated with default occupant density range and indoor climate category II assumptions.

It is demonstrated that there is no conflict between good indoor climate and energy efficiency targets in airtight buildings if heat recovery ventilation is used. NZEB requirements provide new opportunities for dedicated ventilation systems and also a lot of research and harmonisation is needed in order to ensure robust and reliable operation of ventilation satisfying high level of occupant health and comfort requirements.
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