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Pre-treatment with sodium silicate, sodium hydroxide, ionic liquids or methacrylate resin to reduce the set-recovery and increase the hardness of surface-densified Scots pine

Benedikt Neyses (1), Lauri Rautkari (2), Akio Yamamoto (2), Dick Sandberg (1)

The hardness of the outer regions of solid wood can be improved by surface densification, and this opens up new fields of application for low-density species. So far, surface densification relies on time- and energy-consuming batch processes, and this means that the potential advantages over more expensive hardwood species or non-renewable materials are reduced. Using fossil-based plastics or applying wood densification processes with a high energy consumption has adverse effects on the environment. In a previous study, it was shown that the surface of wood can be densified by a continuous high-speed process, adopting a roller pressing approach. The desired density profiles could be obtained at process speeds of up to 80 m min\(^{-1}\), but an equally simple and fast method to eliminate the moisture-induced set-recovery of the densified wood cells is still required. For this reason, the goal of the present study was to evaluate the effect on the set-recovery and hardness of surface-densified Scots pine after a fast pre-treatment with solutions of sodium silicate, sodium hydroxide, methacrylate resin, and ionic liquids. The Scots pine specimens were pre-treated by applying the chemical treatment and impregnation agents to the wood surface with a paper towel, before the specimens were densified. For each type of treatment, 15 specimens were densified in a hot press. The set-recovery was measured after two wet-dry cycles, and 30 Brinell hardness measurements were carried out on each group of specimens. In general, the effect of the treatments on the set-recovery was rather low. Ionic liquid solutions appear to work as a strong plasticiser and the treatment led to a reduction in set-recovery by 25%. The treatments with sodium silicate, ionic liquids and methacrylate resin led to a greater hardness than in untreated and densified specimens. Further experiments are needed to improve the depth of penetration of the treatment solutions into the wood surface, as this was identified as one of the main causes of the rather weak effects.
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Introduction

Beginning in the middle of the 20th century, the amount of resources used by the human population started to exceed the long-term resource capacity of the earth. Today, a growing number of people recognise that this trend cannot go on forever. The available resources need to be used sustainably and the use of renewable resources, such as wood, is growing in popularity. Even though wood is widely available all over the world and will remain so in the future if managed properly, certain wood species are largely associated with cost-driven products. This applies especially to many softwood species, such as pine or spruce, which are predominantly found in boreal and temperate forests. Through wood modification it is possible to improve the properties of these wood species, so that they can be used for performance-driven products.

The first patents for compressed wood were issued to Sears (1900) in the United States. Various techniques were developed in the course of the 20th century, including mechanical and hydrostatic compression with added steam and/or heat, but these...
never became a commercial success. In Eu-
rope, a German patent was issued in 1923 (Holzveredelung 1923) for the permanent 
fixation of density, which was made possible by a continuous roller pressing process with a speed of up to 80 m/min. This process could compress the surface of Scots pine to such an extent that the density profiles with a strong increase in density just beneath the surface were achieved. When the load was removed from the compressed wood, the elastic deformation was instantaneously recovered and this recovery is called spring-back. The remaining deformation can be released if the wood is exposed to humidity in the absence of an external force, and the densified wood then almost completely returns to its initial dimensions prior to the densification. This re-shaping of wood cells is called compression-set recovery, set-recovery, or shape memory (Moring 2000, Navi & Sandberg 2012). The fixation of compressive deformation in wood products, in particular the set-recovery, is a challenge. The set-recovery can be reduced or eliminated by thermodrying treatments or chemical modification, but is still one of the major obstacles, preventing the large-scale commercialization of surface-densified wood products.

In 1941, Stamm and Seborg developed a product called "Compreg": highly densified wood impregnated with phenol-formaldehyde resin, which successfully eliminates the set-recovery (Stamm & Seborg 1941). Compreg has an even higher hardness and compressive strength than Staypak, but is more brittle and problematic from an environmental perspective. Gong et al. (2010) were able to eliminate the set-recovery of surface densified aspen almost entirely by a combined steam and heat post-treatment at 200 °C for 3 hours. Laine et al. (2013b) achieved similar results for surface densified Scots pine at the same treatment temperature. Acetylation of wood before densification resulted in a reduction of the set-recovery from 70 to 20% (Laine et al. 2016).

Wood modification using silicon compounds has been studied by many researchers in order to improve fire retardancy, biological resistance and dimensional stability (Furuno & Imamura 1998, Mai & Militz 2004, Kartal et al. 2007, Altun et al. 2010, Ghosh et al. 2012). Sodium silicate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$) solution, so-called “waterglass”, is water-soluble and highly alkaline. By applying Na$_2$SiO$_3$ to solid wood various changes in wood properties can be achieved. Basically, it is essential to fill the lumina of wood cells with the chemical (Mai & Militz 2004).

Recently, so-called “ionic liquids” have been studied for dissolving cellulose and wood (Switalski et al. 2002, Kipléla et al. 2007, Sun et al. 2009). Ionic liquids are seen as “green solvents” and are organic salts with a melting temperature below 100 °C. Apart from their ability to dissolve cellulose, several studies report positive effects on antifungal and antimicrobial activity, and on fire and UV degradation resistance (Pernak et al. 2003, Patacha et al. 2012, Foksowicz-Flaczek & Walentowska 2013, Miyafuji & Fujivara 2013). Ou et al. (2014) used various ionic liquids to plasticise poplar wood and measured the level of plasticisation with the help of a nonisothermal compression technique. According to the authors, treatment with ionic liquids transformed the wood – including crystalline cellulose – into a thermoplastic material, which means that upon reaching a certain temperature it can be deformed plastically, without or only little elastic deformation. In this way, the set-recovery can possibly be reduced, or even prevented from occurring in the first place. 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride apparently had the strongest effect as a plasticiser of the four ionic liquids tested.

In alignment to the development of a high-speed surface densification process, the objective of the present study was to assess the efficacy of fast pre-treatment techniques for surface-densified Scots pine in order to reduce the set-recovery and increase the hardness:

- Sodium silicate to fill the cell lumen and subsequently polymerise and stabilise the densified wood and increase its hardness.
- Methacrylate ester monomers to fill the cell lumen, and subsequently polymerise and stabilise the densified wood and increase its hardness. Generally, this impregnation treatment is supposed to work in a similar way as the treatment with Sodium silicate.
- Sodium hydroxide for the activation of...
hydroxyl groups to lower the set-recovery.
• Ionic liquid (1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride) to eliminate internal stresses by plasticising crystalline cellulose. The expected plasticising effect could lead to extreme deformations, which would have a positive effect on the hardness.

Materials and methods

Specimen preparation

Specimens were cut from Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) boards obtained from northern Sweden and conditioned in a climate chamber at a temperature of 20 °C and 65% relative humidity to reach an average moisture content (MC) of 13-14%. The conditioned specimens were sawn to a width of 25 mm (tangential) and planed to a thickness of 17 mm (radial). The two different specimen lengths of 149 mm and 120 mm were chosen because of the plate dimensions of the two different hot presses used for the surface densification. The specimens were cut so that the densified side did not contain any heartwood or knots. The annual growth rings were oriented between 0° (horizontal) and approx. 30° to the surface to be densified, and the density of the untreated specimens varied between 420 kg m⁻³ and 520 kg m⁻³. After cutting the specimens, they were randomised and grouped according to the type of treatment. The densification and chemical treatments were carried out in two different experiments, one at Aalto University in Finland, the other at Luleå University of Technology in Sweden (Fig. 1).

Experimental procedure

All the treatments were applied to one side of the specimens before the surface densification. The specimens were densified in the radial direction in an open system, consisting of two platens attached to a press, of which the lower platen can be heated and cooled (Fig. 2). By compressing the specimens to a target thickness of 15 mm, a compression ratio of 12% was achieved. The specimens were grouped according to their respective treatments:
• CA: untreated control specimens at Aalto University;
• CL: untreated control specimens at Luleå University;
• DA: untreated, densified specimens at Aalto University;
• DL: untreated, densified specimens at Luleå University;
• SS 20-100: treated with sodium silicate at 20, 40, 50, 80 or 100% concentration by volume, no densification;
• DSS 20-100: treated with sodium silicate at 20, 40, 50, 80 or 100% concentration by volume, surface-densified;
• DSH 0.4-8: treated with sodium hydroxide at 0.4, 4 or 8% concentration by volume, surface-densified;
• DI 10-20: treated with ionic liquid at 10 or 20% concentration by weight, surface-densified; 
• DM: treated with methacrylate resin, surface-densified.

Untreated and densified specimens: DA and DL

The specimens in groups DA and DL were not treated before densification. Group DA was densified at 130 °C with a closing speed of 0.067 mm s⁻¹, followed by a holding time of 60 s, and a cooling period of about 60 s, to a temperature below 100 °C. Densifying Scots pine in this way leads to a densification of the wood cells beneath the surface that is in contact with the heated press platen, while the core and the wood cells close to the opposite surface largely remain in their initial, uncompressed state. Due to limitations regarding the minimum closing speed of the pressing equipment at Luleå University, the densification regime was adjusted. At first, the specimens were put on the heated platen (150 °C) for 30 s without pressure, after which a pressure of 1 MPa was applied with a closing speed of 2.5 mm s⁻¹ and held for 45 s. Subsequently the pressure was increased to 4 MPa with a closing speed of 2.5 mm s⁻¹, which resulted in compression to the target thickness of 15 mm. The pressure was held for 30 s, followed by cooling to below 100 °C, which took another 90 s. The thickness of the specimens was measured with a digital calliper before and after the densification process, so that the spring-back after densification could be determined. The groups DA and DL consisted of 15 specimens each.

Sodium silicate treatment: SS 20-100 and DSS 20-100

The sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) solution as supplied by the producer (Merck KGaA 105621 sodium silicate solution) contained 35% of pure sodium silicate. This solution was dissolved in water at different volume concentrations (20, 40, 50, 80 and 100% sodium silicate solution) prior to the treatment. The resulting aqueous solutions were applied to the wood surface with a paper towel, so that the surface was entirely wetted. After 30 s waiting time, excess liquid on the wood surface was wiped off with another dry paper towel. To determine the mass gain, the specimens were weighed before and after impregnation.

The groups DSS 20-100 were densified in the same way as group DA, as described above. The groups SS 20-100 consisted of 5 specimens each, while the groups DSS 20-100 consisted of 15 specimens per concentration.

Methacrylate resin impregnation: DM

The methacrylate resin (TurnTex Wood
works Cactus Juice Resin) was applied with a dry paper towel until the wood surface was completely wetted. After 5 minutes, the procedure was repeated, followed by another 5 minutes waiting time.

The densification process was carried out in the same way as for group DL, as described above. Group DM consisted of 15 specimens.

**Sodium hydroxide treatment: DSH 0.4-8**
The sodium hydroxide (NaOH – Merck 106495.0250) was dissolved in water to obtain aqueous solutions with volume concentrations of 0.4, 4 and 8%. The sodium hydroxide solutions were applied in the same way as the sodium silicate solutions and the densification process was carried out as for group DA, described above. The groups DSH 0.4-8 consisted of 15 specimens for each concentration.

**Ionic liquid treatment: DI 10-20**
1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride of 97% purity was dissolved in ethanol to obtain weight concentrations of 10 and 20%. As the expected effect of the ionic liquid solution is compromised by the presence of water, it was applied to oven-dried specimens with the help of a dry paper towel until the wood surface was completely wetted. After 10 minutes, the procedure was repeated, followed by another 10 minutes waiting time.

The densification process was carried out as for group DL, but at a temperature of 200 °C, to ensure that the treated wood would be sufficiently plasticised. Due to the higher press platen temperature, the cooling period lasted for approx. 120 s. The groups DI 10-20 consisted of 15 specimens for each concentration.

**Set-recovery determination**
The set-recovery of the specimens (R_s) was measured after one and two cycles of soaking in water for 24 h at 20 °C, followed by oven-drying at 103 °C for 24 h. The set-recovery is defined as (eqn. 1):

\[ R_s = \frac{R_c - R_0}{R_c} \]

where \( R_0 \) is the thickness of the specimen before densification, \( R_c \) is the thickness of the specimen after densification and \( R_c' \) is the thickness of the densified sample after the recovery period. Set-recovery can vary between 0% and 100%. \( R = 0\% \) indicates that there is no shape memory or that the compression-set is completely fixed, and when \( R = 100\% \), the recovery is total. When the specimen is not totally fixed, \( R \) will take some value between 0% and 100%. The problem in this way of calculating the set-recovery is that the initial, uncompressed oven-dry thickness was not known for specimens that were densified at a moisture content of 13-14%. For this reason, a test set of specimens, cut to the same dimensions and with the same annual ring orientation in the cross section was oven-dried. The average shrinkage percentage in the radial direction of these specimens was applied to the other specimens to extrapolate their oven-dry thickness before compression. In this way, the set-recovery phenomenon is separated from the regular moisture-induced shrinkage of wood. Applying the simulated average shrinkage to the test set resulted in a difference between real and simulated oven-dry thicknesses of less than 0.02 mm.

**Hardness determination**
The Brinell hardness measurements were made according to the standard EN 1534 but with several modifications. A Zwick Roell ZwickiLine 2.5 TS universal testing machine equipped with a 2.5 kN load cell and a steel ball with a diameter (D) of 10 mm was used. The force was increased at a rate of 4 kN per minute to a nominal force (F) of 1 kN, which was held for 25 s before being released.

According to the standard, the Brinell hardness is calculated from the diameter of the indentation in the specimen. In this case, however, the Brinell hardness was calculated from the depth of the indentation. The reason for this modification is the difficulty of measuring the diameter of the indentation in wood. In contrast to steel or other metals, wood does not leave an indentation with a clear border. The depth of the indentation (h) can be, however, measured with high accuracy and precision by the hardness testing equipment. For the same reason, Niemz & Stübi (2000) and Laine et al. (2013a) previously implemented a similar approach. Thus, the Brinell hardness (HB) is here defined as (eqn. 2):

\[ HB = \frac{F}{\pi D h} \]

A total of 30 hardness measurements were made on each group, except for those that were treated with sodium silicate, but not densified (SS 20-100). For these four groups, only 10 measurements each were made.

**Effect of treatments on wood colour**
Colour changes caused by the treatments and the densification process were assessed in a qualitative way by visual inspection.

**Results**
The objective of the study was to determine the effect of various chemical and impregnation treatments on the set-recovery and hardness of surface-densified Scots pine. The following sub-sections present the main results.
Elastic spring-back and set-recovery
The elastic spring-back upon releasing the pressure after the cooling period was between 10% and 20% for all specimens, regardless of the applied treatment. Fig. 3 presents the mean set-recovery after wet-dry cycling. For groups DA and DSS 20-100, the set-recovery decreased between the first and second wet-dry cycle. In general, the effect of the pre-treatment with sodium silicate was rather small. The treatment with sodium hydroxide led to a slightly greater reduction in set-recovery, and a high solution concentration seemed to slightly increase this effect. In the case of the sodium silicate treatment, there was no significant difference between the effects of the tested concentrations. Methacrylate resin impregnation reduced the set-recovery by 10%-15%.

The treatment with the ionic liquid led to the greatest reduction in set-recovery. After the second dry-wet cycle the set-recovery was about 65% in both groups and it remained stable between the first and second wet-dry cycles.

Brinell hardness
In Fig. 4 the mean hardness of all specimen groups is indicated by the left-hand ordinate. To cancel out the influence of density variations on the results, the right-hand ordinate shows the ratio between hardness and density (black diamonds).

The sodium silicate treatment did not influence the hardness of the undensified specimens. Densifying the specimens almost doubled the hardness compared to the undensified specimens. The group DSS 20 had a 10% higher hardness than the densified specimens without treatment (DA). Higher concentrations of sodium silicate led to a smaller increase in hardness. The specimens treated with sodium hydroxide had a slightly lower hardness than the group DA.

The hardness increase of group DL in relation to group CL is lower than the hardness increase of group DA in relation to group CA. Visual inspection of the cross-sections of the specimens showed that the density peaks of group DL were not as close to the surface as the density peaks of group DA. In relation to group DL, the specimens treated with ionic liquid solutions (DI 10 and DI 20) and methacrylate resin (DM) exhibited hardness increases of 20% and 50%, respectively. Taking into account the density of the specimens does not alter the observations related to the hardness.

Regardless of the type of treatment, the densification carried out at Luleå University yielded lower hardness values than the densification at Aalto University.

The raw data of the hardness tests were examined to extract information about the material behaviour during the application of the test force (Fig. 5). The shallower the slope of the curve, the higher is the resulting hardness.
curve of indentation depth with time is fairly straight for the specimens without pre-treatment densified at Aalto university (DA). In contrast, the specimens densified at Luleå University (DL) exhibit a rapid progression of the indentation depth during the first few seconds, after which the curves flatten to a slope similar to that of the specimens densified at Aalto University (DA). The curves of the specimens treated with ionic liquids, sodium silicate or methacrylate resin start at a rather shallow angle that becomes steeper during the second half of the force increase period.

Colour changes in the treated wood

Fig. 6, Fig. 7, and Fig. 8 show the colour changes caused by the pre-treatments. Because of the insignificance of the effects, DSS 10 (Fig. 6) was not further considered in any of the results. The treatment with sodium silicate resulted in a slight colour change towards a more golden hue, which increased with the concentration of the solution. The treatment with sodium hydroxide led to a slight darkening of the wood at the two lower concentrations. The 8% solution had a rather strong darkening effect. Similar changes were observed in the specimens treated with ionic liquid, whereas the treatment with methacrylate resin led to only a slight colour change towards a more yellowish hue.

Discussion

Elastic spring-back and set-recovery

It is surprising that the set-recovery of groups DA and DSS 20-100 decreased between the first and second wet-dry cycles. The existing data do not provide an explanation for this behaviour. The apparent reduction of the set-recovery by pre-treatment with sodium silicate or sodium hydroxide after one wet-dry cycle was very small after two cycles. This phenomenon was not observed with the specimens densified at Luleå University, despite the fact that all the specimens were cut from the same batch of boards. Nor was it possible to observe a clear correlation between the concentration of sodium silicate and the set-recovery. The higher viscosity of the highly concentrated sodium silicate solutions (DSS 80 and DSS 100) made it more difficult for the solution to penetrate into the wood material and the solution with the higher concentration had no effect on the set-recovery.

In the case of the treatment with sodium hydroxide, a high concentration seemed to slightly increase the effect on the set-recovery, at least between the groups DH 0.4 and DH 4. The treatment with ionic liquid solutions had the strongest effect on the set-recovery of all the studied treatments. The difference between the two concentration levels was however insignificant, but the results are in line with the hypothesis that ionic liquids are able to plasticise cellulose, and thus reduce internal stresses caused by the cell wall deformation during the densification process (Kilpeläinen et al. 2007, Ou et al. 2014). In a pre-study in which the ionic liquid treatment was tested without a cooling period after densification, the treatment reduced the elastic springback from 60% to 30%. This suggests that there is less elastic deformation in the cellulose that can recover, even though the surrounding lignin-hemicellulose matrix is still plasticised. The question is, however, to what extent the observed reduction in the set-recovery can be attributed to thermal degradation of wood components, caused by the press platen temperature of 200 °C.

The impregnation with methacrylate resin led only to a rather small reduction in set-recovery. As in the case of the very low efficacy of the treatment with sodium silicate, this could be due to insufficient penetration into the wood surface and insufficient weight gain. Both aspects could possibly be resolved with applying sodium silicate or the methacrylate resin in another way. Further studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

Brinell hardness

The results clearly show that a marked increase in hardness was achieved by densifying the specimens. The measured hardness of the group DA is in line with the values obtained by Laine et al. (2013a), even though the densification process parameters were not entirely the same. These authors suggested to reduce the pressing temperature and the press closing time to see whether this leads to even higher hardness values. In the present study, the pressing temperature was in fact 20 °C lower.
However, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of chemical and impregnation treatments on the resulting hardness of the densified wood. The effect of the sodium silicate treatment is somewhat complex to understand. Group DSS 20 had a ten percent higher hardness than group DA, although the density was marginally lower. The results for the groups DSS 50 and DSS 80 suggest that there is a reduction in hardness with increasing concentrations of sodium silicate. This observation is supported by the measured densities, which are almost identical between these groups. It can be explained by the lower mass gain with higher concentrations of sodium silicate. The lower mass gain is probably due to the high viscosity of the highly concentrated sodium silicate solutions, which hampers the penetration of the solution into the wood surface. The in-crease in steepness of the curve of indentation depth over time illustrated in Fig. 5 is in accordance with this hypothesis. For low concentrations of sodium silicate, where penetration did not seem to be an issue, the transition between the shallow and steep parts was rather smooth and less severe than at high concentrations (DI, DM, DSS – Fig. 5).

The densified specimens treated with 100% sodium silicate solution (group DSS 100) showed however, an increase in hardness compared to the specimens treated with the 50% and 80% sodium silicate solutions. In this case, the solution possibly hardly penetrates the wood surface – because of its high viscosity – and polymerises to a thin but hard shell on top of it. This hard shell would work as an initial, resistant barrier, resulting in a slight overall hardness increase. The shape of the curve of indentation depth over time (Fig. 5; DSS) supports this hypothesis. Initially, for about five seconds, the curve is comparably shallow, before it becomes steeper in a somewhat abrupt manner. However, the available data are too weak to draw a definitive conclusion. Further chemical analyses are needed.

Pre-treatment of the densified specimens with sodium hydroxide appears to result in a lower hardness than the untreated and densified specimens. The weakest concentration of sodium hydroxide yielded the lowest hardness. However, taking into account the measured densities, the observed effect of the treatment on the hardness is at best very marginal. There might be an effect of wood degradation caused by the treatment, which is supported by the rather severe colour change in the specimens treated with the 8% sodium hydroxide solution.

Interestingly, the hardness values of the groups densified at Luleå University were in general lower than those obtained at Aalto University. The densification process itself is probably one of the causes for this. In the case of the specimens densified at Luleå University (DL), the density peak was not at the surface, but about 1-2 mm beneath it. The shape of the curve of indentation depth with time, shown in Fig. 5 with a steep first part that later becomes shallower, supports this observation (DL). The treatments with ionic liquid and methacrylate resin clearly had a positive effect on the location of the density peak and the overall hardness in relation to group DL.

Fig. 9 shows scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of a specimen treated with the 20% ionic liquid solution. The left-hand (a) side shows the undensified core, the right-hand side (b) shows identical densified cells close to the surface. It appears that the ionic liquid solution in fact works as a strong plasticizer, leading to strong increase in hardness. However, the hardness increase could potentially be higher with a deeper penetration into the wood surface. The hardness test curve indicates a behaviour similar to that of the sodium silicate treatment (DI and DSS – Fig. 5).

The positive effect on the hardness of the treatment with methacrylate is probably a result of a polymerised layer of the impregnation agent close to the densified wood surface. Based on the current data and past studies (Stamm & Seborg 1941), there is no reason to believe that the treatment affected the plasticity of the wood or caused any other type of chemical wood modification.

Conclusions

The objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of chemical and impregnation pre-treatments of Scots pine prior to surface densification to reduce the set-recovery and increase the hardness.

In general, the efficacy of the treatments with regard to the set-recovery was rather low, especially concerning sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide. The same applies to the impregnation treatment with methacrylate resin.

Chemically modifying the wood with an ionic liquid showed better results, despite an inadequate depth of penetration into the wood surface with the application method used. The reduction in set-recovery by almost 30%, an increase in hardness, and the strong plasticising effect certainly warrant further studies with this type of chemical.

The densification of the wood surface almost doubled the hardness compared to that of the undensified specimens. Pre-treatment of the wood with low concentrations of sodium silicate resulted in a small but significant increase in hardness after densification. Increasing the concentration level did not yield a higher hardness, probably because higher viscosities hindered penetration into the wood surface. Treatment with sodium hydroxide had no positive effect on the hardness.

Apart from leading to a reduction in set-recovery, the use of an ionic liquid as a plasticiser make possible a targeted increase in density very close to the surface of the densified wood. By controlling the depth of penetration, it should also be possible to control the depth of densification. These two factors potentially allow for a significant increase in hardness in comparison to untreated, densified wood.
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