This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Nordebo, Sven; Mirmoosa, Mohammad; Tretyakov, Sergei
On the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonances in lossy media

Published in:
Journal of Applied Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/1.5085721](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085721)

Published: 14/03/2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Nordebo, S., Mirmoosa, M., & Tretyakov, S. (2019). On the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonances in lossy media. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 125(10), [103105]. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085721>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

On the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonances in lossy media

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **125**, 103105 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085721>

Submitted: 14 December 2018 . Accepted: 17 February 2019 . Published Online: 14 March 2019

Sven Nordebo, Mohammad Mirmoosa , and Sergei Tretyakov

View Online

Export Citation

CrossMark

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Impedance-matched dielectric metasurfaces for non-discrete wavefront engineering](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **125**, 103106 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5087027>

[A theoretical study of collective plasmonic excitations in double-layer silicene at finite temperature](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **125**, 104302 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5083200>

[Quantitative analysis of gradient effective refractive index in silicon nanowires for broadband light trapping and anti-reflective properties](#)

Journal of Applied Physics **125**, 103102 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5083902>

Applied Physics Reviews
Now accepting original research

2017 Journal
Impact Factor:
12.894

On the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonances in lossy media

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **125**, 103105 (2019); doi: [10.1063/1.5085721](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085721)

Submitted: 14 December 2018 · Accepted: 17 February 2019 ·

Published Online: 14 March 2019

Sven Nordebo,^{1,a)} Mohammad Mirmoosa,^{2,b)} and Sergei Tretyakov^{2,c)}

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Linnæus University, 351 95 Växjö, Sweden

²Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University, P.O. Box 15500, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

Note: This paper is part of the Special Topic on: Dielectric Nanoresonators and Metamaterials.

^{a)}**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:** sven.nordebo@lnu.se

^{b)}mohammad.mirmoosa@aalto.fi

^{c)}sergei.tretyakov@aalto.fi

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses and analyzes the quasistatic optimal plasmonic dipole resonance of a small dielectric particle embedded in a lossy surrounding medium. The optimal resonance at any given frequency is defined by the complex valued dielectric constant that maximizes the absorption of the particle under the quasistatic approximation and a passivity constraint. In particular, for an ellipsoid aligned along the exciting field, the optimal material property is given by the complex conjugate of the pole position associated with the polarizability of the particle. In this paper, we employ the classical Mie theory to analyze this approximation for spherical particles in a lossy surrounding medium. It turns out that the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonance is valid, provided that the electrical size of the particle is sufficiently small at the same time as the external losses are sufficiently large. Hence, it is important to note that this approximation cannot be used for a lossless medium, and which is also obvious, since the quasistatic optimal dipole absorption becomes unbounded for this case. Moreover, it turns out that the optimal normalized absorption cross sectional area of the small dielectric sphere has a very subtle limiting behavior and is, in fact, unbounded even in full dynamics when both the electrical size and the exterior losses tend to zero at the same time. A detailed analysis is carried out to assess the validity of the quasistatic estimation of the optimal resonance, and numerical examples are included to illustrate the asymptotic results.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085721>

I. INTRODUCTION

The classical theories as well as most of the recent theoretical studies and experiments regarding the efficiency of plasmonic resonances reported in the literature are concerned with metal nanoparticles where the exterior domain is lossless (see, e.g., Refs. 1–8). As in Ref. 5, a variational approach is employed in connection with a generalized optical theorem for scattering, absorption, and extinction⁹ to obtain an upper bound on the absorption that can be achieved inside a scatterer with arbitrary geometry and with a given volume and material property. Furthermore, an upper bound on the dipole absorption of an electrically small particle with arbitrary geometry and structural parameters is given in Ref. 4. The bound [Ref. 4, Eq. (16), p. 937] is based on the optical theorem¹ and obtained by optimizing absorption directly in terms of the complex valued polarizability of the particle. Both results in Refs. 4 and 5 are

valid only for a lossless surrounding medium. There are also several mathematical results using layer potential techniques to rigorously define the notion of plasmonic resonances of a particle located in a lossless medium.^{7,8} In particular, an analysis on the shift and broadening of the plasmon resonance with changes in size and shape of the nanoparticles, as well as optimal bounds on the scattering and absorption cross-section enhancements, is given in Refs. 7 and 8.

However, there are many application areas of plasmonics where the exterior losses must be taken into account. One potential application in medicine is the localized electrophoretic heating of a bio-targeted and electrically charged gold nanoparticle (GNP) suspension as a radiotherapeutic hyperthermia based method to treat cancer (cf. Refs. 10–14 or with the related plasmonic photothermal therapy as proposed in Ref. 15). Other potential application areas include plasmon waveguides, aperture arrays,

extraordinary transmission, superlenses, artificial magnetism, negative refractive index, and surface-enhanced biological sensing with molecular monolayer spectroscopy (see, e.g., Ref. 3).

There have been a number of investigations devoted to the scattering, absorption, and extinction of small particles embedded in a lossy medium (see, e.g., Refs. 16–21). This topic has even been subjected to some controversy due to the difficulties to define a general theory encompassing the notion of a cross section when the surrounding medium is lossy (see, e.g., Refs. 18–21). In contrast, for a lossless surrounding medium, the absorption cross section can be defined from the power flowing into a conceptual sphere surrounding the particle at an arbitrary radius, and which enables the derivation of an optical theorem valid for arbitrary geometries¹ (pp. 71 and 140). For a lossy medium, this theory is no longer valid, which is due to the fact that the absorption in the surrounding medium depends on the geometry of the scatterer. Hence, the optical theorems for lossy media are typically given only for spheres. As in Ref. 22, new fundamental upper bounds on the multipole absorption and scattering of a rotationally invariant sphere embedded in a lossy surrounding medium are given and are derived based on the corresponding generalized optical theorem, as given in Ref. 20 [Eq. (7) on p. 1276].

We are concerned here with the optimal absorption of an electrically small spherical object embedded in a lossy surrounding medium when the near-field distribution can be found using the quasistatic approximation. For simplicity, we are considering only the important special case of non-magnetic, dielectric materials that are common in plasmonic applications. Magnetic materials can be treated similarly. We are also considering only a single electric dipole resonance, and we are discarding any possibilities of having an unbounded absorption due to multiple mode super resolution effects, etc. (see, e.g., Refs. 23–25). A quasistatic theory has been developed in Refs. 13 and 14 giving the optimal plasmonic dipole resonance of small dielectric ellipsoids in terms of an optimal conjugate match with respect to the background loss. However, an important limitation of this theory is that it does not give the correct physical answers when the background medium becomes lossless or has very small losses. This limitation is obvious since the quasistatic optimal absorption becomes unbounded in the case when the external losses vanish [cf., e.g., Ref. 14, Eq. (33), p. 5], in contrast to the well known fact that the absorption cross section of a small dipole scatterer in a lossless exterior medium is bounded by $3\lambda^2/8\pi$ [cf. Ref. 4, Eq. (16), p. 937].

This limitation, which may even appear as a contradiction, can be understood simply by realizing that the quasistatic model disregards radiation damping. Moreover, the plasmonic singularity of the sphere ($\epsilon = -2$ in vacuum) exists only in the sense of a limit as the size of the particle approaches zero.⁶ In fact, it turns out that the dipole resonance of a small sphere has a very subtle limiting behavior as the electrical size approaches zero, and, as in Ref. 6, Padé approximants are used to reveal new scattering aspects of small spherical particles. In this paper, an asymptotic analysis based on the Mie theory is employed to study the limiting behavior of the quasistatic optimal resonance,¹³ as the electrical size of the sphere, as well as the external losses, tends to zero. The limitation of the quasistatic theory is then finally assessed by providing explicit asymptotic formulas for the validity of the quasistatic

model of the optimal resonance. We explicitly find the validity region of the quasistatic model, which is determined by the scattering loss factor.

To summarize our findings, we begin by recognizing the practical convenience of computation and physical interpretation that is associated with the quasistatic expressions for the polarizability and cross sections of small particles [cf., e.g., the Rayleigh scattering phenomenon in the atmosphere (Ref. 1, p. 132)]. However, we have found that it is also very important (and perhaps surprising) to observe that the quasistatic expressions for absorption cannot be reliably optimized with respect to the particle material, *unless the particle is sufficiently small at the same time as the exterior medium is sufficiently lossy*. This is an observation that may be of great importance in the applications of plasmonics where the exterior losses must be taken into account, as mentioned above.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. A description of the quasistatic optimal plasmonic resonance of an ellipsoid embedded in a lossy background medium is given in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we develop a detailed full electrodynamic analysis with explicit asymptotic results concerning the special case with a sphere. Numerical examples are given in Sec. IV and the vector spherical waves are defined in the Appendix.

II. THE QUASISTATIC OPTIMAL PLASMONIC RESONANCE OF AN ELLIPSOID IN A LOSSY BACKGROUND MEDIUM

A. Notation and conventions

The following notations and conventions are used in this paper. Classical electrodynamics is considered where the electric and magnetic field intensities $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are given in SI-units.²⁶ The time convention for time harmonic fields (phasors) is given by $e^{-i\omega t}$, where ω is the angular frequency and t is the time. Consequently, the relative permittivity ϵ of a passive isotropic dielectric material has a positive imaginary part. Let μ_0 , ϵ_0 , η_0 , and c_0 denote the permeability, the permittivity, the wave impedance, and the speed of light in vacuum, respectively, and where $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$ and $c_0 = 1/\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$. The wavenumber of vacuum is given by $k_0 = \omega\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$. The wavenumber of a homogeneous and isotropic medium with relative permeability μ and permittivity ϵ is given by $k = k_0\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}$ and the wavelength λ is defined by $k\lambda = 2\pi$. The wave impedance of the same medium is given by $\eta_0\eta$, where $\eta = \sqrt{\mu/\epsilon}$ is the relative wave impedance. In the following, we will consider only non-magnetic, homogeneous, and isotropic dielectric or conducting materials, and hence, $\mu = 1$ from now on. The spherical coordinates are denoted by (r, θ, ϕ) , the corresponding unit vectors $(\hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})$, and the radius vector $\mathbf{r} = r\hat{r}$. Finally, the real and the imaginary parts and the complex conjugate of a complex number ζ are denoted by $\text{Re}\{\zeta\}$, $\text{Im}\{\zeta\}$, and ζ^* , respectively.

B. Optimization under the quasistatic approximation

The maximal absorption of a small dielectric ellipsoid under the quasistatic approximation can readily be calculated as follows (see also Refs. 13 and 14). Consider a small, homogeneous, and isotropic dielectric ellipsoid with relative permittivity ϵ that is embedded in a lossy dielectric background medium with relative

permittivity ϵ_b . In the quasistatic approximation, the polarizability of the ellipsoid with a uniform excitation $E_i = E_0 \hat{e}$ along one of its axes is given by the expression

$$\alpha = V \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_b}{\epsilon_b + L(\epsilon - \epsilon_b)}, \quad (1)$$

where $0 < L < 1$ is the corresponding depolarizing factor and V is the volume of the ellipsoid (cf. e.g., Ref. 1). Note that $L < 1/3$, $L = 1/3$, and $L > 1/3$ for a prolate spheroid, the sphere, and an oblate spheroid, respectively. The dipole moment of the small ellipsoid is given by $p = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_b \alpha E_i = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon_0 (\epsilon - \epsilon_b) E dv$, where Ω denotes the ellipsoidal domain, and since the resulting internal field E of the ellipsoid is a constant vector parallel to E_i ,¹ it follows readily that

$$E = \frac{\epsilon_b \alpha}{V(\epsilon - \epsilon_b)} E_i = \frac{\epsilon_b}{L(\epsilon + \epsilon_b \frac{(1-L)}{L})} E_i. \quad (2)$$

The power absorbed in the ellipsoid can now be calculated from Poynting's theorem as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{abs}} &= \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \text{Im}\{\epsilon\} \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 dv \\ &= \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{L^2} \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon + \epsilon_b \frac{(1-L)}{L}|^2} |E_0|^2 V \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

or

$$P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2 \text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon_b + L(\epsilon - \epsilon_b)|^2} |E_0|^2 V. \quad (4)$$

As, e.g., for the sphere ($L = 1/3$), the absorption cross section C_{abs} is obtained by normalizing with the power intensity of the incoming plane wave, yielding

$$C_{\text{abs}} = \frac{P_{\text{abs}}}{I_i} = 12\pi k_0 a^3 \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b|^2}, \quad (5)$$

where $I_i = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{E_0 H_0^*\} = |E_0|^2 \text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}/2\eta_0$ and where $H_0 = E_0/\eta$.

When the background medium as well as the shape of the ellipsoid are fixed, the expression (3) can be maximized as follows. Let ϵ_{opt} denote a fixed complex-valued constant with $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_{\text{opt}}\} > 0$ and consider the real-valued function

$$f(\epsilon) = \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\text{opt}}^*|^2}, \quad (6)$$

where ϵ is a complex-valued variable with $\text{Im}\{\epsilon\} > 0$ and $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugate. It can be shown that the function $f(\epsilon)$ has a local maximum at ϵ_{opt} [cf. Ref. 13, Sect. 2.5, Eqs. (15)–(17)]. It is now observed that (3) is consistent with (6), and hence that the maximizer of P_{abs} for the ellipsoid is given by

$$\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = -\epsilon_b^* \frac{(1-L)}{L}, \quad (7)$$

and, in particular, for the sphere, $\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = -2\epsilon_b^*$ (cf. Refs. 13 and 14).

The corresponding maximal absorption is given by

$$P_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}(\epsilon_b, L) = \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \frac{1}{4L(1-L)} \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\}} |E_0|^2 V, \quad (8)$$

and for the sphere, we obtain the optimal absorption cross section

$$C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}} = \frac{3\pi}{2} k_0 a^3 \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\} \text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\}}. \quad (9)$$

The solution (7) is referred to as an optimal conjugate match and can be interpreted in terms of an optimal plasmonic resonance for the ellipsoid.^{13,14} Note that (8) is unbounded in the cases when the exterior domain becomes lossless and $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\} \rightarrow 0$, as well as when the ellipsoid collapses and $L \rightarrow 0$ or $L \rightarrow 1$.

When both media parameters ϵ and ϵ_b are fixed, expression (4) can be maximized with respect to the shape parameter L . By straightforward differentiation, it is found that the maximizing shape parameter L_{opt} is given by

$$L_{\text{opt}} = \text{Re}\left\{\frac{\epsilon_b}{\epsilon_b - \epsilon}\right\}, \quad (10)$$

and the corresponding maximal absorption is given by

$$P_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}(\epsilon_b, \epsilon) = \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2 \text{Im}\{\epsilon\} |\epsilon - \epsilon_b|^2}{(\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b \epsilon\})^2} |E_0|^2 V. \quad (11)$$

In the limiting case when the exterior region becomes lossless and $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\} \rightarrow 0$, the expression (11) simplifies to

$$P_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}(\epsilon_b, \epsilon) = \frac{\omega \epsilon_0}{2} \frac{|\epsilon - \epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}} |E_0|^2 V, \quad (12)$$

and which agrees with the upper bound given in Ref. 5 [Eq. (32b) on p. 3345 and Eq. (41) on p. 3349] under the same quasistatic assumption (incident field is uniform).

What is important to note at this point is the unboundedness of the quasistatic maximal absorption (8), as the external loss factor $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\}$ tends to zero. Obviously, this is in contradiction to the well known bound on the absorption of an arbitrary electric dipole scatterer in a lossless background medium given by

$$C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dip}} = \frac{3\pi}{2} \frac{1}{k_b^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $k_b = k_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_b}$ [cf. Ref. 4, Eq. (16), p. 937]. As we will see later, this apparent contradiction is due simply to the fact that the quasistatic approximation does not take the scattering loss into account. In particular, in Sec. III, we will see that the fully dynamical model for the normalized absorption cross section area of a small dielectric spherical dipole has a very subtle limiting behavior and is in fact unbounded when $\epsilon = -2\epsilon_b^*$ and both the electrical size and the exterior losses tend to zero at the same time. To this end, it is also interesting to observe that the limit of (11) as $(\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}, \text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\}) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ will depend on how this limit is taken. In particular, (12) is obtained for fixed ϵ ($\text{Im}\{\epsilon\} > 0$) as $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\} \rightarrow 0$ and (12) is then unbounded as $\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}$ approaches zero. On the other hand, (11) approaches zero for fixed $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_b\} > 0$ as $\text{Im}\{\epsilon\} \rightarrow 0$ (and $\text{Re}\{\epsilon\} \neq 0$).

The conclusion of this discussion is that one cannot optimize (with respect to ϵ) the absorption of an ellipsoid under the quasi-static assumption when the exterior domain is lossless. The natural question that arises is then under which circumstances this optimization model is valid for a lossy exterior domain. This is the topic of Sec. III, where we restrict the analysis to the absorption of a dielectric sphere in a lossy medium.

III. THE ABSORPTION OF A SMALL DIELECTRIC SPHERE IN A LOSSY BACKGROUND MEDIUM

A. Electrodynamical solution

The complete electrodynamical solution for the internal absorption of a small dielectric sphere in a lossy background medium is analyzed below. The definition of the spherical vector waves, the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions, and the related Lommel integrals are given in the Appendix; see also Ref. 13.

Consider the scattering of the electromagnetic field due to a homogeneous dielectric sphere of radius a , complex-valued permittivity ϵ , and wavenumber $k = k_0\sqrt{\epsilon}$. The medium surrounding the sphere is characterized by the permittivity ϵ_b and the wavenumber $k_b = k_0\sqrt{\epsilon_b}$. The incident and the scattered fields for $r > a$ are expressed as in (A1) with multipole coefficients $a_{\tau ml}$ and $b_{\tau ml}$, respectively, and the interior field is similarly expressed using regular spherical vector waves for $r < a$ with multipole coefficients $a_{\tau ml}^{\text{int}}$. By matching the tangential electric and magnetic fields at the boundary of radius a , it can be shown that

$$b_{\tau ml} = t_{\tau l} a_{\tau ml}, \quad (14)$$

$$a_{\tau ml}^{\text{int}} = r_{\tau l} a_{\tau ml}, \quad (15)$$

for $\tau = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, \dots$, and $m = -l, \dots, l$, and where $t_{\tau l}$ and $r_{\tau l}$ are transition matrices for scattering and absorption, respectively [see, e.g., Ref. 1, Eqs. (4.52) and (4.53), p. 100]. In particular, for the internal fields of the sphere, the corresponding electric multipole coefficients are given by

$$r_{2l} = \frac{\{j_l(k_b a)[k_b a h_l^{(1)}(k_b a)]' - h_l^{(1)}(k_b a)[k_b a j_l(k_b a)]'\} \sqrt{\epsilon_b \epsilon}}{-h_l^{(1)}(k_b a)[k a j_l(k a)]' \epsilon_b + j_l(k a)[k_b a h_l^{(1)}(k_b a)]' \epsilon}. \quad (16)$$

It can be shown that the multipole expansion coefficients for a plane wave $E_i(\mathbf{r}) = E_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_b \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ are given by

$$a_{\tau ml} = 4\pi i^{l-\tau+1} E_0 \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}^*(\hat{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (17)$$

for $\tau = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, \dots$, and $m = -l, \dots, l$, and where the vector spherical harmonics $\mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$ are defined as in Subsection 1 of the Appendix [cf. e.g., Ref. 27, Eq. (7.27), p. 374]. Without loss of generality, for a homogeneous and isotropic sphere, we may assume that $\mathbf{k} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and hence, from Ref. 27 [Eq. (7.29) on p. 375], it follows that

$$\sum_{m=-l}^l |a_{\tau ml}|^2 = 2\pi(2l+1) |E_0|^2. \quad (18)$$

Based on Poynting's theorem and the orthogonality of the spherical

vector waves, the absorbed power can now be calculated as

$$P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{2} \omega \epsilon_0 \text{Im}\{\epsilon\} \int_{V_a} |\mathbf{E}|^2 d\mathbf{v} \\ = \pi |E_0|^2 \omega \epsilon_0 \text{Im}\{\epsilon\} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau=1}^2 (2l+1) W_{\tau l}(k, a) |r_{\tau l}|^2, \quad (19)$$

where V_a denotes the spherical volume of radius a and where $W_{\tau l}(k, a) = \int_{V_a} |\mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(k\mathbf{r})|^2 d\mathbf{v}$ is the volume integral of the regular spherical vector waves (cf. (A13) and (A14)). In (19), relations (15) and (18) have also been employed.

The absorption cross section ($C_{\text{abs}} = P_{\text{abs}}/I_i$) based solely on the dominating Transverse Magnetic (TM) dipole fields ($\tau = 2$ and $l = 1$) can now be expressed as

$$C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} = 6\pi k_0 \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} W_{21} |r_{21}|^2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$r_{21} = \frac{\{j_1(k_b a)[k_b a h_1^{(1)}(k_b a)]' - h_1^{(1)}(k_b a)[k_b a j_1(k_b a)]'\} \sqrt{\epsilon_b \epsilon}}{-h_1^{(1)}(k_b a)[k a j_1(k a)]' \epsilon_b + j_1(k a)[k_b a h_1^{(1)}(k_b a)]' \epsilon} \quad (21)$$

is given by (16), and

$$W_{21} = \frac{a^2 \text{Im}\{k[2j_1(ka)j_0'(ka) + j_3(ka)j_2'(ka)]\}}{3 \text{Im}\{k^2\}} \quad (22)$$

is obtained from (A15) and (A16).

B. Asymptotic analysis

To analyze the asymptotic properties of the dynamic solution $C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$, the expression (20) is first rewritten in the following area normalized form:

$$Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} = \frac{C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}}{\pi a^2} = 6k_0 a \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{W_{21}}{a^3} |r_{21}|^2. \quad (23)$$

An asymptotic analysis can now be carried out based on the asymptotic expansions of the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions for small arguments (see, e.g., Ref. 28). Furthermore, with $\epsilon = \epsilon' + i\epsilon''$ and $\epsilon_b = \epsilon'_b + i\epsilon''_b$ and small loss factors ϵ'' and ϵ''_b , it is readily seen that

$$\begin{cases} ka = k_0 a \sqrt{\epsilon} = k_0 a (\sqrt{\epsilon'} + \mathcal{O}\{\epsilon''\}), \\ k_b a = k_0 a \sqrt{\epsilon_b} = k_0 a (\sqrt{\epsilon'_b} + \mathcal{O}\{\epsilon''_b\}), \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where $\mathcal{O}\{\cdot\}$ denotes the big ordo (Ref. 29, p. 4), and where it is assumed that $\epsilon' \neq 0$ and $\epsilon'_b \neq 0$ are fixed. From this observation, it is also noted that for integer m ,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\{(ka)^m\} = \mathcal{O}\{(k_0 a)^m\}, \\ \mathcal{O}\{(k_b a)^m\} = \mathcal{O}\{(k_0 a)^m\}, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

independent of the (small) values of ϵ'' and ϵ''_b .

Based on the asymptotic tools mentioned above, the different factors of (23) can now be analyzed in detail. We start by studying the normalized factor

$$\frac{W_{21}}{a^3} = \frac{1}{3k_0 a} \frac{\text{Im}\{F(k_0 a, \epsilon)\}}{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$F(k_0a, \epsilon) = \sqrt{\epsilon} [2j_1(k_0a\sqrt{\epsilon})j_0'(k_0a\sqrt{\epsilon}) + j_3(k_0a\sqrt{\epsilon})j_2'(k_0a\sqrt{\epsilon})] \quad (27)$$

is given by (22). Note that the spherical Bessel functions $j_n(x)$ of order n are analytic functions with a Taylor series expansion starting at the polynomial order n . The functions $j_n(x)$ are furthermore odd for odd orders and even for even orders. Hence, it is readily seen that the function $F(k_0a, \epsilon)$ has a convergent power series expansion in terms of ϵ and ϵ^* with real valued coefficients, and that

$$F(k_0a, \epsilon) = k_0a \frac{2}{3} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^3\} \mathcal{O}\{\epsilon\} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\text{Im}\{F(k_0a, \epsilon)\} = k_0a \frac{2}{3} \epsilon'' + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^3\} \mathcal{O}\{\epsilon''\}. \quad (29)$$

This means that the expression in (26) is well behaved when k_0a as well as ϵ'' approaches zero, and that

$$\frac{W_{21}}{a^3} = \frac{2}{9} + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^2\}, \quad (30)$$

independent of ϵ'' . This observation can also be derived directly from the definition (A16) and the regularity of the spherical Bessel function $j_1(x)$.

A detailed asymptotic study of (21) shows that

$$r_{21} = \frac{3\epsilon_b + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^2\}}{\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b + (k_0a)^2C + (k_0a)^3D + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^4\}}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C = (\epsilon_b - \epsilon)(\epsilon_b + \epsilon/10), \\ D = i\frac{2}{3}\epsilon_b\sqrt{\epsilon_b}(\epsilon_b - \epsilon). \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

It can safely be assumed that $C \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$ since $\epsilon_b = \epsilon$ is not an interesting case and $\epsilon_b + \epsilon/10 \neq 0$ for lossy and passive media. For fixed ϵ and ϵ_b with $\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b \neq 0$, and by using (30) and (31), it is now concluded that (20) is given by

$$C_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} = 12\pi k_0a^3 \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b|^2} + \mathcal{O}\{(k_0a)^2\}, \quad (33)$$

which is in agreement with the quasistatic approximation given in (5).

However, when ϵ' and ϵ'_b are fixed at $\epsilon' = -2\epsilon'_b$, and at the same time, k_0a as well as ϵ'' and ϵ''_b can be chosen freely, the normalized absorption cross section area (23) turns out to be unbounded. To study this behavior in detail, it is noted that to the lowest order in $(k_0a, \epsilon'', \epsilon''_b)$, the expression (23) can be approximated by

$$Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} \sim 12k_0a \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b + (k_0a)^2C_0|^2}, \quad (34)$$

where $C_0 = (\epsilon'_b - \epsilon')(\epsilon'_b + \epsilon'/10)$ and the symbol $\sim$ indicates an asymptotic approximation in the sense of Ref. 29 (p. 4). Obviously, for any small but finite value of $k_0a \neq 0$, the real part of the expression under the absolute-value sign can be brought to zero, and we see that in this approximation, the absorption cross section is unbounded at ϵ'' and ϵ''_b tending to zero. We again confirm that

the second-order correction is not enough to reveal the dynamic absorption bound. Interestingly, the absorption cross section can be unbounded even without tuning to the dynamically-corrected resonant value of the permittivity. In particular, by considering the special case of interest, i.e., the quasistatic optimal conjugate match where $\epsilon = -2\epsilon_b^* = -2\epsilon'_b + i2\epsilon''_b$ and $\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b = i4\epsilon''_b$, it follows that

$$Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} \sim \frac{3}{2} \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{k_0a\epsilon''_b}{\epsilon''_b + (k_0a)^4C_0^2/16}, \quad (35)$$

where $C_0 = 12\epsilon_b'^2/5$ and where it is assumed that $\epsilon'_b \neq 0$. Note that the assumption $\epsilon''_b \gg (k_0a)^2C_0/4$ will restore the expression for the maximal absorption given by (9). Hence, it is observed that the quasistatic theory (9) is valid provided that k_0a is sufficiently small at the same time as the background loss factor ϵ''_b is sufficiently large.

The factor governing the convergence of (35) and (23) is given by

$$F_1 = \frac{k_0a\epsilon''_b}{\epsilon''_b + (k_0a)^4C_0^2/16}. \quad (36)$$

By choosing

$$k_0a = A\epsilon''_b^\alpha, \quad (37)$$

where A is an arbitrary constant and $\alpha > 0$, this factor becomes

$$F_2 = \frac{A\epsilon''_b^{\alpha+1}}{\epsilon''_b + A^4\epsilon''_b^{4\alpha}C_0^2/16}. \quad (38)$$

A detailed study of expression (38) for small $\epsilon''_b > 0$ reveals the condition for convergence of (23), which can be summarized as

$$\begin{cases} \text{Convergence} & 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}, \\ \text{Divergence} & \frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1, \\ \text{Convergence} & \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

where $k_0a = A\epsilon''_b^\alpha$, ϵ'_b is fixed, and $\epsilon = -2\epsilon_b^*$ (the quasistatic optimal conjugate match).

For a fixed background loss parameter $\epsilon''_b > 0$, factor (36) can furthermore be maximized with respect to the electrical size k_0a , yielding

$$k_0a = \frac{2}{3^{1/4}C_0^{1/2}} \epsilon''_b^{1/2}. \quad (40)$$

For fixed ϵ''_b , the relation (40) expresses a stationary point for (35) regarded as a function of k_0a and hence an indicator of the domain of validity of the quasistatic approximation (9).

In conclusion, it has been shown that the normalized absorption cross section area (23) has a subtle limiting behavior and is in fact unbounded as $(k_0a, \epsilon'', \epsilon''_b) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0)$ for fixed ϵ' and ϵ'_b . It is also shown that if k_0a is sufficiently small, the optimal conjugate match $\epsilon = -2\epsilon_b^*$ defined in (7) yields an accurate quasistatic approximation (9) provided that

$$\epsilon''_b > \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{5} (k_0a)^2 \epsilon_b'^2, \quad (41)$$

where (40) and $C_0 = 12\epsilon_b'^2/5$ have been used. It should be noted that a direct maximization of (23) with respect to ϵ would be possible by numerical optimization techniques but is not necessary if the quasistatic approximation is valid. The validity of the quasistatic approximation can be assessed by checking the criterion (41) as well as by a direct comparison of (5) and (9) with the electrodynamic solution (23).

C. Optimal absorption of the small dielectric sphere in a lossy media

Finally, the asymptotic analysis above with (31) and (32) makes it possible to analyze the pole structure of (23) in full dynamics. By making the following Ansatz for the pole $\epsilon_p = a_0 + a_1 k_0 a + a_2 (k_0 a)^2 + a_3 (k_0 a)^3$ and identifying terms up to the third order in the denominator of (31), it is found that (23) can be approximated for small $k_0 a$ as

$$Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} \sim 12k_0 a \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{\text{Im}\{\epsilon\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon_p|^2}, \quad (42)$$

and where the pole is given by

$$\epsilon_p = -2\epsilon_b - \frac{12}{5}\epsilon_b(k_b a)^2 - i2\epsilon_b(k_b a)^3 + \mathcal{O}\{(k_b a)^4\}; \quad (43)$$

see also Refs. 4 and 6 [Eq. (11) on p. 3]. The expression (42) is of the form (6) where $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_p\} < 0$ for small ϵ_b'' , and hence, it can be concluded that the maximal absorption is approximately (asymptotically) achieved at $\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = \epsilon_p^*$, yielding

$$\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = -2\epsilon_b^* - \frac{12}{5}\epsilon_b^*(k_b^* a)^2 + i2\epsilon_b^*(k_b^* a)^3 + \mathcal{O}\{(k_b^* a)^4\}. \quad (44)$$

Note that the result (44) generalizes the previous quasistatic result $\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = -2\epsilon_b^*$ given by (7). The expression is valid for small $k_0 a$ as well as for small loss factors ϵ_b'' . In particular, the optimal absorption of the sphere is given by

$$Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn,opt}} \sim 3k_0 a \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{\text{Re}\{\sqrt{\epsilon_b}\}} \frac{1}{\text{Im}\{\epsilon_{\text{opt}}\}}, \quad (45)$$

and in the limit as the exterior losses vanish, we have that $\text{Im}\{\epsilon_{\text{opt}}\} \rightarrow 2\epsilon_b'^2 \sqrt{\epsilon_b'} (k_0 a)^3$ and

$$\lim_{\epsilon_b'' \rightarrow 0} Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn,opt}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{(k_b a)^2}, \quad (46)$$

where $k_b = k_0 \sqrt{\epsilon_b'}$, and which is in agreement with the upper bound (13) given in Ref. 4.

Finally, it should be noted that there are major discrepancies in the asymptotic analysis of the full dynamic solution performed in this section in comparison with the analysis of the quasistatic approximation as in (34) and (35), where ϵ' and ϵ_b' are fixed and $\epsilon'' = -2\epsilon_b'$. In essence, quasistatic approximation (7) is missing the second-order term giving a shift in the resonance frequency as well as the third-order term taking the scattering loss into account. These factors are negligible only when the external losses are large enough to make the second-order term redundant as expressed in (41), at the same time as the electrical size of the sphere is small

FIG. 1. The quasistatic optimal normalized absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}$ and the corresponding dynamic cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ as functions of the electrical size $k_0 a$. The break points are given by the asymptotic analysis (40). For comparison, the optimal dynamic $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn,opt}}$ is calculated based on (44). Here, $\epsilon_b' = 1$.

enough to make the scattering loss insignificant. Hence, when the external losses are too small in relation to the electrical size of the sphere, the quasistatic solution $\epsilon_{\text{opt}} = -2\epsilon_b^*$ is not the correct choice to maximize the absorption and one should instead use (44).

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In Figs. 1–4, we show the normalized absorption cross section area Q_{abs} and its behavior for $(k_0 a, \epsilon_b'')$ close to $(0, 0)$. Here, $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ denotes the electrodynamic solution given by (23) and $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}$ corresponds to the optimal quasistatic solution given by (9), both of which are calculated for the background permittivity $\epsilon_b = \epsilon_b' + i\epsilon_b''$ and the quasistatic optimal conjugate match $\epsilon = -2\epsilon_b^*$, yielding $\epsilon + 2\epsilon_b = i4\epsilon_b''$. In Fig. 1, we show also the normalized absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn,opt}}$ corresponding to the dynamic optimal solution (44), as well as the break points for the quasistatic approximation given by (40). As a comparison with the case with a lossless

FIG. 2. The quasistatic optimal normalized absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}$ and the corresponding dynamic cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ as functions of the background loss ϵ_b'' . Here, $\epsilon_b' = 1$.

FIG. 3. The normalized dynamic absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ as a function of the electrical size $k_0 a$ and the background loss ϵ_b'' , and where $\epsilon_b' = 1$. The blue dashed line shows the stationary (break) points given by the asymptotic analysis (40) indicating the region of validity (41) of the quasistatic approximation. Note also the asymptotes for divergence given by $\log k_0 a = \log A + \alpha \log \epsilon_b''$ with $\alpha = 1/3$ and $\alpha = 1$ as in (39).

background ($\epsilon_b'' = 0$), the optimal dipole absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dip}}$ corresponding to (13) is also shown in Fig. 1. In Figs. 1–3, the background is defined by $\epsilon_b' = 1$, and in Fig. 4, the background corresponds to a saline water with $\epsilon_b' = 80$.

As can be seen in Figs. 1–3, the normalized absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ has a very subtle behavior for $(k_0 a, \epsilon_b'')$ close to the plasmonic singularity at $(0, 0)$ where $\epsilon = -2$. Note that $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} \rightarrow 0$ as $k_0 a \rightarrow 0$ ($\epsilon_b'' > 0$ fixed) and $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon_b'' \rightarrow 0$ ($k_0 a > 0$ fixed) [cf. also the approximate expression in (35)]. However, as illustrated in Fig. 3, $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ is unbounded in any neighborhood where $(k_0 a, \epsilon_b'')$ is close to $(0, 0)$ in accordance with the analysis given in Sec. III B. It should be noted that this behavior is perfectly consistent with the

FIG. 4. The quasistatic optimal normalized absorption cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs, opt}}$ and the corresponding dynamic cross section $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ as functions of the background loss ϵ_b'' . Here, $\epsilon_b' = 80$, as with a biological tissue in the lower GHz region.

FIG. 5. Dielectric function of silver according to the Brendel-Bormann model fitted to experimental data.³²

bound for a lossless background $C_{\text{abs}} \leq 3\lambda^2/8\pi$ given in Ref. 4 since $C_{\text{abs}}/a^2 \leq (3/8\pi)/(a/\lambda)^2$ is unbounded as a/λ approaches zero.

Figures 1–3 illustrate how the approximation $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs, opt}} \sim Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ becomes valid when $k_0 a$ is sufficiently small at the same time as ϵ_b'' is sufficiently large. Figure 1 also illustrates that the break points given by (40) [or (41)] give a very accurate estimate for the validity of quasistatic approximation (9).

The whole feasibility investigation regarding the quasistatic approximation above can readily be executed similarly for any other background permittivity ϵ_b' . Figure 4 shows a comparison between $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn}}$ and $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs, opt}}$ with $\epsilon_b' = 80$ corresponding to the permittivity of the biological tissue for frequencies in the lower GHz region (cf. Ref. 30). In this frequency region, the corresponding dielectric losses will be at least $\epsilon_b'' > 10$. Hence, the evaluation shown in Fig. 4 verifies that the previous investigations made in Refs. 13 and 14 are safely in the quasistatic regime if, e.g., $k_0 a < 10^{-2}$, and

FIG. 6. Normalized absorption cross sections for a sphere with radius $a = 10$ nm with $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn, opt}}$ denoting the dynamic optimal absorption, $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs, opt}}$ the quasistatic optimal absorption, and $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{Ag}}$ the full dynamic dipole absorption corresponding to the Brendel-Bormann model for the dielectric function of silver³² (cf. also Fig. 5). Here, the background loss is given by $\epsilon_b = 1 + i10^{-1}$.

FIG. 7. Same plot as in Fig. 6, but here with the background loss given by $\epsilon_b = 1 + i10^{-3}$.

which is certainly the case with cellular (μm) structures in the GHz frequency range.

Finally, to illustrate the theory based on an application in plasmonics, we investigate the absorption in a silver nanosphere embedded in a slightly lossy medium. Figure 5 shows the dielectric function of silver according to the Brendel-Bormann (BB) model fitted to experimental data as presented in Ref. 31 [the dielectric model in Eq. (11) with parameter values from Tables 1 and 3]. The frequency axis is given here in terms of the photon energy $h\nu$ in units of electron volts (eV), where h is Planck's constant and ν is the frequency. Figures 6 and 7 present the normalized absorption cross section areas of a sphere with radius $a = 10$ nm and where the quasistatic optimal $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{qs,opt}}$ is given by (9), the dynamic optimal $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{dyn,opt}}$ is given by (23) together with (44), and $Q_{\text{abs}}^{\text{Ag}}$ is given by (23) together with the dielectric model of silver as illustrated in Fig. 5. The background medium is defined by $\epsilon_b = 1 + i\epsilon_b''$, where $\epsilon_b'' = 10^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_b'' = 10^{-3}$, respectively. What is interesting to observe here is that the absorption of silver is in fact rather close to being optimal (the peak at $h\nu = 3.4$ eV) with the larger loss factor $\epsilon_b'' = 10^{-1}$, and where the quasistatic and dynamic optimal solutions also agree rather well. With the smaller loss factor $\epsilon_b'' = 10^{-3}$, the absorption is no longer close to being optimal and the quasistatic and dynamic optimal solutions diverge. Here, we have chosen the loss factors so that $10^{-3} < \epsilon_{b,\text{break}}'' < 10^{-1}$, where $\epsilon_{b,\text{break}}'' = 0.03$ corresponds to the break point defined by (41) for resonance at $h\nu = 3.4$ eV.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

It has been demonstrated that the maximal dipole absorption of a small dielectric or conducting sphere is unbounded under the quasistatic approximation if the losses in the surrounding medium can be made arbitrarily small. This deviation has been rectified by using the general Mie theory and an asymptotic analysis to give explicit formulas to assess the validity of the quasistatic approximation. In particular, it turns out that the quasistatic theory is valid, provided that the electrical size of the sphere is sufficiently small at the same time as the exterior losses are sufficiently large. Moreover, it has been

shown that the optimal normalized absorption cross section area of the small dielectric sphere has a very subtle limiting behavior and is in fact unbounded when both the electrical size and the exterior losses tend to zero. Finally, an improved asymptotic formula based on full dynamics has been given for the optimal plasmonic dipole absorption of the sphere, which is valid for small spheres as well as for small losses. Numerical examples have been included to illustrate the asymptotic results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partly supported by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF) (Grant No. AM13-0011) under the program Applied Mathematics and the project Complex Analysis and Convex Optimization for EM Design.

APPENDIX: SPHERICAL VECTOR WAVES

1. Definition of spherical vector waves

In a source-free homogeneous and isotropic medium, the electromagnetic field can be expanded in spherical vector waves as

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l,m,\tau} a_{\tau ml} \mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr}) + b_{\tau ml} \mathbf{u}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr}) \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{i\eta_0\eta} \sum_{l,m,\tau} a_{\tau ml} \mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr}) + b_{\tau ml} \mathbf{u}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr}), \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr})$ are the regular and the outgoing spherical vector waves, respectively, and $a_{\tau ml}$ and $b_{\tau ml}$ are the corresponding multipole coefficients (see, e.g., Refs. 26, 27, 32, and 33). Here, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, is the multipole order, $m = -l, \dots, l$ is the azimuthal index, and $\tau = 1, 2$, where $\tau = 1$ indicates a transverse electric (TE) magnetic multipole and $\tau = 2$ a transverse magnetic (TM) electric multipole, and $\bar{\tau}$ denotes the dual index, i.e., $\bar{1} = 2$ and $\bar{2} = 1$.

The solenoidal (source-free) regular spherical vector waves are defined here by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{1ml}(\mathbf{kr}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \nabla \times [\mathbf{r} j_l(kr) Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})] \\ &= j_l(kr) \mathbf{A}_{1ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{2ml}(\mathbf{kr}) &= \frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{1ml}(\mathbf{kr}) \\ &= \frac{[kr j_l(kr)]'}{kr} \mathbf{A}_{2ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) + \sqrt{l(l+1)} \frac{j_l(kr)}{kr} \mathbf{A}_{3ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are the spherical harmonics, $\mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ the vector spherical harmonics, and $j_l(x)$ the spherical Bessel functions of order l (cf. Refs. 26–28, 32, and 33). Here, $(\cdot)'$ denotes a differentiation with respect to the argument of the spherical Bessel function. The outgoing (radiating) vector spherical waves $\mathbf{u}_{\tau ml}(\mathbf{kr})$ are obtained by replacing the regular spherical Bessel functions $j_l(x)$ above for the spherical Hankel functions of the first kind, $h_l^{(1)}(x)$ (see Refs. 26–28).

The vector spherical harmonics $\mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_{1ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \nabla \times [\mathbf{r} Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})], \\ \mathbf{A}_{2ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{A}_{1ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \\ \mathbf{A}_{3ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \hat{\mathbf{r}} Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $\tau = 1, 2, 3$, and where the spherical harmonics $Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are given by

$$Y_{ml}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} P_l^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi},$$

and where $P_l^m(x)$ are the associated Legendre functions.^{26,28,32} The associated Legendre functions can be obtained from

$$P_l^m(\cos \theta) = (-1)^m (\sin \theta)^m \frac{d^m}{d(\cos \theta)^m} P_l(\cos \theta), \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $P_l(x)$ are the Legendre polynomials of order l and $0 \leq m \leq l$ (see Refs. 26, 28, and 32). Important symmetry properties are $P_l^{-m}(x) = (-1)^m \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} P_l^m(x)$ and $Y_{-m,l}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_{ml}^*(\theta, \phi)$ where $m \geq 0$. Hence, the vector spherical harmonics satisfy the symmetry $\mathbf{A}_{\tau,-m,l}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = (-1)^m \mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$. The vector spherical harmonics are orthonormal on the unit sphere, and hence,

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \mathbf{A}_{\tau ml}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\tau' m' l'}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) d\Omega = \delta_{\tau\tau'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{ll'}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where Ω_0 denotes the unit sphere and $d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$.

2. First Lommel integral for spherical Bessel functions with the complex valued argument

Let $s_l(kr)$ denote an arbitrary linear combination of spherical Bessel and Hankel functions. Based on the first Lommel integral for cylinder functions [cf. Refs. 28 [Eq. (10.22.4), p. 241] and 34 [Eq. (8), p. 134]], the following indefinite Lommel integral can be derived for spherical Bessel functions

$$\int |s_l(kr)|^2 r^2 dr = r^2 \frac{\text{Im}\{k s_{l+1}(kr) s_l^*(kr)\}}{\text{Im}\{k^2\}}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

where k is complex valued ($k \neq k^*$) [cf. Ref. 13, Eq. (A.15), p. 11]. Furthermore, by using the recursive relationships

$$\begin{cases} \frac{s_l(kr)}{kr} = \frac{1}{2l+1} [s_{l-1}(kr) + s_{l+1}(kr)], \\ s'_l(kr) = \frac{1}{2l+1} [ls_{l-1}(kr) - (l+1)s_{l+1}(kr)], \end{cases} \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $l = 1, 2, \dots$ (cf. Ref. 28), it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left(\left| \frac{s_l(kr)}{kr} + s'_l(kr) \right|^2 + l(l+1) \left| \frac{s_l(kr)}{kr} \right|^2 \right) r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2l+1} \int [(l+1)|s_{l-1}(kr)|^2 + l|s_{l+1}(kr)|^2] r^2 dr; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

see also, e.g., Ref. 35 [Eq. (17) on p. 411] and 36 [Eqs. (36) and (47) on pp. 2359–2360].

3. Orthogonality of the regular spherical waves

Due to the orthonormality of the vector spherical harmonics (A7), the regular spherical vector waves are orthogonal over the unit sphere with

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}^*(k\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\tau' m' l'}(k\mathbf{r}) d\Omega = \delta_{\tau\tau'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{ll'} S_{\tau l}(k, r), \quad (\text{A11})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau l}(k, r) &= \int_{\Omega_0} |\mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(k\mathbf{r})|^2 d\Omega \\ &= \begin{cases} |j_l(kr)|^2 & \text{for } \tau = 1, \\ \left| \frac{j_l(kr)}{kr} + j'_l(kr) \right|^2 + l(l+1) \left| \frac{j_l(kr)}{kr} \right|^2 & \text{for } \tau = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

As a consequence, the regular spherical vector waves are also orthogonal over a spherical volume V_a with radius a yielding

$$\int_{V_a} \mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}^*(k\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\tau' m' l'}(k\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{v} = \delta_{\tau\tau'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{ll'} W_{\tau l}(k, a), \quad (\text{A13})$$

where

$$W_{\tau l}(k, a) = \int_{V_a} |\mathbf{v}_{\tau ml}(k\mathbf{r})|^2 d\mathbf{v} = \int_0^a S_{\tau l}(k, r) r^2 dr, \quad (\text{A14})$$

where $d\mathbf{v} = r^2 d\Omega dr$ and $\tau = 1, 2$.

For complex valued arguments k , $W_{\tau l}(k, a)$ is obtained from (A8) as

$$W_{1l}(k, a) = \int_0^a |j_l(kr)|^2 r^2 dr = \frac{a^2 \text{Im}\{k j_{l+1}(ka) j_l^*(ka)\}}{\text{Im}\{k^2\}}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

and from (A10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2l}(k, a) &= \int_0^a \left(\left| \frac{j_l(kr)}{kr} + j'_l(kr) \right|^2 + l(l+1) \left| \frac{j_l(kr)}{kr} \right|^2 \right) r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2l+1} [(l+1)W_{1,l-1}(k, a) + lW_{1,l+1}(k, a)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

REFERENCES

- C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983).
- S. Link and M. A. El-Sayed, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **19**, 409 (2000).
- S. A. Maier, *Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007).
- S. Tret'yakov, *Plasmonics* **9**, 935 (2014).
- O. D. Miller, A. G. Polimeridis, M. T. H. Reid, C. W. Hsu, B. G. DeLacy, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Soljacic, and S. G. Johnson, *Opt. Express* **24**, 3329 (2016).
- D. C. Tzarouchis, P. Ylä-Ojajala, and A. Sihvola, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 140301 (2016).
- H. Ammari, P. Millien, M. Ruiz, and H. Zhang, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **224**, 597 (2017).
- H. Ammari, M. Ruiz, S. Yu, and H. Zhang, *J. Differ. Equ.* **261**, 3615 (2016).

- ⁹D. R. Lytle, P. S. Carney, J. C. Schotland, and E. Wolf, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 056610 (2005).
- ¹⁰S. J. Corr, M. Raoof, Y. Mackeyev, S. Phounsavath, M. A. Cheney, B. T. Cisneros, M. Shur, M. Gozin, P. J. McNally, L. J. Wilson, and S. A. Curley, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 24380 (2012).
- ¹¹E. Sassaroli, K. C. P. Li, and B. E. O'Neil, *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **45**(7), 075303 (2012).
- ¹²C. B. Collins, R. S. McCoy, B. J. Ackerson, G. J. Collins, and C. J. Ackerson, *Nanoscale* **6**, 8459 (2014).
- ¹³S. Nordebo, M. Dalarsson, Y. Ivanenko, D. Sjöberg, and R. Bayford, *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **50**, 155401 (2017).
- ¹⁴M. Dalarsson, S. Nordebo, D. Sjöberg, and R. Bayford, *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **50**, 345401 (2017).
- ¹⁵X. Huang, P. K. Jain, I. H. El-Sayed, and M. A. El-Sayed, *Lasers Med. Sci.* **23**, 217 (2008).
- ¹⁶W. C. Mundy, J. A. Roux, and A. M. Smith, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **64**, 1593 (1974).
- ¹⁷P. Chylek, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **67**, 561 (1977).
- ¹⁸C. F. Bohren and D. P. Gilra, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **72**, 215 (1979).
- ¹⁹A. Lebedev, M. Gartz, U. Kreibig, and O. Stenzel, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **6**, 365 (1999).
- ²⁰I. W. Sudiarta and P. Chylek, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **18**, 1275 (2001).
- ²¹S. Durant, O. Calvo-Perez, N. Vukadinovic, and J.-J. Greffet, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **24**, 2943 (2007).
- ²²S. Nordebo, G. Kristensson, M. Mirmoosa, and S. Tretyakov, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 054301 (2019).
- ²³C. A. Valagiannopoulos, J. Vehmas, C. R. Simovski, S. A. Tretyakov, and S. I. Maslovski, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 245402 (2015).
- ²⁴S. I. Maslovski, C. R. Simovski, and S. A. Tretyakov, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 013034 (2016).
- ²⁵C. A. Valagiannopoulos and S. A. Tretyakov, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 125117 (2016).
- ²⁶J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999).
- ²⁷G. Kristensson, *Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves by Obstacles* (SciTech Publishing, Edison, NJ, 2016).
- ²⁸F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010).
- ²⁹F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions* (A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 1997).
- ³⁰S. Gabriel, R. W. Lau, and C. Gabriel, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **41**, 2251 (1996).
- ³¹A. D. Rakic, A. B. Djuricic, J. M. Elazar, and M. L. Majewski, *Appl. Opt.* **37**, 5271 (1998).
- ³²G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 5th ed. (Academic Press, New York, 2001).
- ³³R. G. Newton, *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles*, 2nd ed. (Dover Publications, New York, 2002).
- ³⁴G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995).
- ³⁵E. A. Marengo and A. J. Devaney, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* **47**, 410 (1999).
- ³⁶S. Nordebo and M. Gustafsson, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **54**, 2357 (2006).