This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Sarabadani, Jalal; Ikonen, T.; Ala-Nissila, Tapio

Theory of polymer translocation through a flickering nanopore under an alternating driving force

Published in:
Journal of Chemical Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/1.4928743](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928743)

Published: 01/01/2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Sarabadani, J., Ikonen, T., & Ala-Nissila, T. (2015). Theory of polymer translocation through a flickering nanopore under an alternating driving force. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 143(1), 1-10. [074905].
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928743>

Theory of polymer translocation through a flickering nanopore under an alternating driving force

Jalal Sarabadani, Timo Ikonen, and Tapio Ala-Nissila

Citation: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **143**, 074905 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4928743

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928743>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/143/7?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Translocation of a polymer through a nanopore modulated by a sticky site](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 094906 (2013); 10.1063/1.4792934

[Polymer translocation through a nanopore: The effect of solvent conditions](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 164904 (2010); 10.1063/1.3400650

[Simulation study on the translocation of polymer chains through nanopores](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 044904 (2007); 10.1063/1.2757174

[Langevin dynamics simulations of polymer translocation through nanopores](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 124901 (2006); 10.1063/1.2357118

[Polymer translocation through a long nanopore](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 7112 (2003); 10.1063/1.1560932

The cover of the journal 'AIP Applied Physics Reviews' is shown on the left. It features a white background with an orange header and footer. The title 'AIP Applied Physics Reviews' is in orange. Below the title is a small image of a green and yellow grid. The right side of the banner has a blue background with a bright light source and the text 'NEW Special Topic Sections' in large white letters. Below this, in orange, is 'NOW ONLINE' and in white is 'Lithium Niobate Properties and Applications: Reviews of Emerging Trends'. The AIP Applied Physics Reviews logo is in the bottom right corner.

NEW Special Topic Sections

NOW ONLINE
Lithium Niobate Properties and Applications:
Reviews of Emerging Trends

AIP Applied Physics Reviews

Theory of polymer translocation through a flickering nanopore under an alternating driving force

Jalal Sarabadani,^{1,a)} Timo Ikonen,² and Tapio Ala-Nissila^{1,3}

¹Department of Applied Physics and COMP Center of Excellence, Aalto University School of Science, P.O. Box 11000, FI-00076 Aalto, Espoo, Finland

²VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd., P.O. Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT, Finland

³Department of Physics, Brown University, P.O. Box 1843, Providence, Rhode Island 02912-1843, USA

(Received 25 May 2015; accepted 7 August 2015; published online 20 August 2015)

We develop a theory for polymer translocation driven by a time-dependent force through an oscillating nanopore. To this end, we extend the iso-flux tension propagation theory [Sarabadani *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys. **141**, 214907 (2014)] for such a setup. We assume that the external driving force in the pore has a component oscillating in time, and the flickering pore is similarly described by an oscillating term in the pore friction. In addition to numerically solving the model, we derive analytical approximations that are in good agreement with the numerical simulations. Our results show that by controlling either the force or pore oscillations, the translocation process can be either sped up or slowed down depending on the frequency of the oscillations and the characteristic time scale of the process. We also show that while in the low and high frequency limits, the translocation time τ follows the established scaling relation with respect to chain length N_0 , in the intermediate frequency regime small periodic, fluctuations can have drastic effects on the dynamical scaling. The results can be easily generalized for non-periodic oscillations and elucidate the role of time dependent forces and pore oscillations in driven polymer translocation. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928743>]

I. INTRODUCTION

Polymer translocation through a nanopore, which has rapidly emerged as one of the most active research areas in biological and soft matter physics, plays an important role in many biological processes such as RNA transport through a nuclear membrane pore and α -hemolysin channels,^{1,2} virus injection into cells, and transportation of protein through membrane channels.³ It also has many technological applications such as rapid DNA sequencing,^{2,4–8} drug delivery,⁴ and gene therapy and has been motivation for many theoretical and experimental studies.^{4,9–38} So far, most of the theoretical studies have been focused on the case of pore-driven translocation of a polymer chain through a nanopore with a constant radius and by a constant driving force, which is inherently a far-from-equilibrium phenomenon.^{28,30–38} However, some theoretical and experimental works have also been done where the width of the pore changes during the translocation process. For example, the active translocation of a polymer chain through a flickering pore has been investigated by means of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to show that translocation through the pore with an alternating width and sticky walls exhibits more efficient translocation as compared to the static pore.³⁹ There are some biological examples of this such as the nuclear pore complex, which plays an important role in nucleocytoplasmic transport in eukaryotes.⁴⁰ Another example is the exchange of molecules between mitochondria and the rest of cell which is controlled by the twin-pore protein

translocase (TIM22 complex) in the inner membrane of the mitochondria.⁴¹ On the experimental side, it has been shown that the translocation of DNA through a nanochannel can be modulated by dynamically changing the cross section of an elastomeric nanochannel device by applying mechanical stress.^{42–44} Moreover, the width of the nanopore can be tuned by using thermally driven nanoactuation of the polyNIPAM brushes inside the nanopore.⁴⁵

The external driving force can also be time-dependent during the translocation process.^{7,46–50} As an example, polymer translocation has been studied for a time-dependent alternating driving force by means of Langevin dynamics simulations. It has been shown that when the polymer-pore interaction is attractive, resonant activation occurs at an optimal frequency of the alternating driving force.⁴⁶ There are some biological applications for the alternating driving force case such as translocation of linear and α -helical peptides through an α -hemolysin pore in the presence of an AC field,⁴⁹ and monitoring the escape of the DNA from an α -hemolysin pore by using an alternating current signal.⁵¹ Moreover, using an alternating electric field in the nanopore has been suggested as a method for DNA sequencing.⁷

On the theoretical side, over the last few years, a consistent theory of driven translocation has been developed based on the idea of *tension propagation* (TP)¹⁷ that may also have some applications in DNA breathing.^{52,53} Within the TP theory, the dynamics of driven translocation can be described by considering a tension force that propagates along the backbone of the chain. The dynamics is dominated by the drag of the *cis* side of the polymer chain, leading to asymptotic scaling

^{a)}Electronic address: jalal.sarabadani@aalto.fi

of the average translocation time $\tau \propto N_0^{1+\nu}$ as a function of the chain length (number of monomers) N_0 ,^{27,28,38,54} where the Flory exponent ν is exactly 3/4 in two and approximately 0.59 in three dimensions, respectively.⁵⁵ In addition, the theory reveals that the pore friction η_p plays an important role for chains of finite length, leading to a large correction-to-scaling term such that the translocation time actually scales as $\tau(N_0) = c_1 N_0^{1+\nu} + c_2 \eta_p N_0$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants.^{28,54} This scaling form has most recently been theoretically derived using the iso-flux Brownian dynamics tension propagation (IFTP) theory of driven polymer translocation.^{25,54}

In this paper, our main goal is to investigate the influence of an alternating external driving force and a flickering pore on the dynamics of the driven translocation process. To this end, we employ the IFTP theory to theoretically study polymer translocation in the presence of a time dependent driving force through a flickering nanopore. Here, the time dependent driving force, $f(t)$, is incorporated into the theory directly, while the effect of the flickering pore is implemented by a time dependent pore friction coefficient $\eta_p(t)$. Using the IFTP theory modified in this manner allows us to explicitly derive the TP equations for the present setup. The formalism also allows us to investigate both the influence of thermal fluctuations from the solvent by introducing randomness into the effective driving force^{27,38,54} and the influence of the distribution of the initial configurations of the chain on the *cis* side.⁵⁴

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we show how to model pore-driven polymer translocation in the context of the iso-flux Brownian dynamics tension propagation formalism when the pore friction is time dependent and the driving force is alternating. Sections III A and III B present the finite-size scaling form for the translocation time in the presence of an alternating driving force and time dependent pore friction, respectively. Sections IV and V are devoted to the results on the average translocation time, the waiting time distribution, and time evolution of the translocation coordinate, respectively. Conclusions and discussion are in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL

For the sake of brevity, we use dimensionless units denoted by tilde as $\tilde{Z} \equiv Z/Z_u$, with the units of length $s_u \equiv a$, time $t_u \equiv \eta a^2/(k_B T)$, velocity $v_u \equiv a/t_u = k_B T/(\eta a)$, force $f_u \equiv k_B T/a$, monomer flux $\phi_u \equiv k_B T/(\eta a^2)$, and friction $\Gamma_u \equiv \eta$, where a is the segment length, η is the solvent friction per monomer, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature of the system.

To elucidate the parameter values chosen in this paper, we compare them with those in real physical systems and also with those used in MD simulations in reduced Lennard-Jones (LJ) units.^{46,54} Here, parameters without tilde such as external driving force, F , pore friction, η_p , and time, t , are in LJ units. In MD simulations, the scale of time, mass, and energy can be fixed by the LJ parameters m , σ , and ϵ which are the mass and diameter of each bead, and the strength of the interaction, respectively. We have chosen $k_B T = 1.2$ which is dimensionless and the time is scaled by $t_{LJ} = (m\sigma^2/\epsilon)^{1/2}$. In

our model, the interaction strength is 3.39×10^{-21} J at room temperature ($T = 295$ K), the mass of each bead is about 936 amu, and the size of each bead corresponds to the single-stranded DNA Kuhn length, which is $\sigma \approx 1.5$ nm. Therefore, the LJ time scale is 32.1 ps. Here, the thickness of the pore is set to σ . By assuming the effective charge of $0.094e$ for each unit charge, three unit charges per bead,^{56,57} and with a force scale of 2.3 pN, an external driving force of $F = 5$ corresponds to a voltage of 375 mV across the pore.⁴⁶

We use Brownian dynamics (BD) in the overdamped limit as the basic theoretical framework.^{27,38} The time evolution of the translocation coordinate $\tilde{s}$ which is the chain length on the *trans* side is governed by the BD equation that can be written as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{t}) \frac{d\tilde{s}}{d\tilde{t}} = (1 - \gamma') \left[\frac{1}{N_0 - \tilde{s}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}} \right] + \tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{t}) \equiv \tilde{f}_{\text{tot}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{t})$ is the effective friction, the first term in the right hand side is the entropic force and γ' is the surface exponent ($\gamma' \approx 0.95, \approx 0.69$ for self-avoiding chains in two and three dimensions, respectively, and $\gamma' = 0.5$ for ideal chains), N_0 is the chain length or the total number of beads in the chain (here, the contour length of the polymer chain is $L = aN_0$), $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t})$ is the external driving force, $\tilde{f}_{\text{tot}}$ is the total force, and the Gaussian white noise, $\tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{t})$, satisfies $\langle \zeta(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \zeta(t) \zeta(t') \rangle = 2\Gamma(t) k_B T \delta(t - t')$.

As both the *cis* side subchain and the pore friction contribute to the effective friction $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{t})$, it can be written as $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{\text{cis}}(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})$. For a fully flexible self-avoiding polymer chain, the dynamical contribution of the *trans* side to the dynamics has been shown to be insignificant^{27,28,38,58,59} and it can be absorbed into the pore friction $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})$. Note that here the pore friction $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})$ is assumed to be a function of time. We solve the dynamics of the *cis* side by using the TP equations. Following the arguments of Rowghanian and Grosberg,²⁵ we are able to derive the TP equations analytically. We assume that the monomer flux, $\tilde{\phi} \equiv d\tilde{s}/d\tilde{t}$, is constant in space on the mobile domain of the *cis* side and through the pore, but evolves in time. The tension front which is the boundary between the immobile and mobile domains is located at the distance $\tilde{x} = -\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})$ from the pore. The external driving force inside the mobile domain is mediated by the backbone of the chain from the pore located at $\tilde{x} = 0$ to the last mobile monomer N which is located at the tension front. Using the force-balance relation for the differential element $d\tilde{x}$ located between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{x} + d\tilde{x}$, the magnitude of the tension force at the distance $\tilde{x}$ from the pore can be obtained. One can integrate this force-balance relation over the distance from the entrance of the pore to $\tilde{x}$ to obtain the tension force at $\tilde{x}$ as $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \tilde{f}_0 - \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{x}$, where $\tilde{f}_0 \equiv \tilde{f}_{\text{tot}} - \tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})$. $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})$ is the force at the entrance of the pore (see Appendix A of Ref. 54 for technical details). As the drag of all the preceding monomers diminishes, the mediated force closer to the tension front becomes smaller and it vanishes at the tension front.

According to the blob theory, the shape of the mobile part of the chain can be classified into three different regimes. For a moderate external driving force, i.e., $N_0^{-\nu} \ll \tilde{f}_0 \ll 1$, the monomer number density close to the pore is greater than unity, and the chain shape resembles a trumpet- (TR) like

FIG. 1. (a) A schematic of the translocation process in the propagation stage, i.e., $\tilde{t} < \tilde{t}_{tp,T}$, for the trumpet regime. The time dependent external driving force $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t})$ acts only on the polymer bead in the pore towards the *trans* side. N_0 is the length of polymer and translocation coordinate, $\tilde{s}$, is the number of beads that have already been translocated into the *trans* side. $\tilde{l} + \tilde{s}$ is the number of beads influenced by the tension force on the *cis* side, which is less than N_0 during the propagation stage. The location of the tension front is determined by $\tilde{R}$. $\tilde{d}(\tilde{t})$ is the pore width that is varying in time during the translocation process when the pore is flickering. (b) The translocation process for the trumpet regime during the post-propagation stage that is the regime starting after which the tension front reaches the chain end. Panels (c) and (d) are the same as panel (a) but for the stem-flower and strong-stretching regimes, respectively. The propagation times for the trumpet, stem-flower, and strong-stretching regimes are defined by $\tilde{t}_{tp,T}$, $\tilde{t}_{tp,SF}$, and $\tilde{t}_{tp,SS}$, respectively.

shape with the narrow end closer to the pore. This regime is called TR which is depicted in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). For a stronger external driving force, $1 \ll \tilde{f}_0 \ll N_0^\nu$, the force can only straighten a small part of the chain which is called the stem, and the part following it is called the flower. This regime is called *stem-flower* (SF) (see Fig. 1(c)). Finally, for a very strong driving force, i.e., $\tilde{f}_0 \gg N_0^\nu$, the force is strong enough to straighten the whole moving part of the chain, which is called the *strong-stretching* (SS) regime (see Fig. 1(d)). In the TR and SF regimes, the location of the tension front is at the farthest blob from the pore, while in the latter one, i.e., SS, the tension front is located at the farthest mobile bead of the chain from the pore as can be seen in Fig. 1.

The monomer flux can be written as a function of the total force and linear size of the mobile part by integrating the force balance equation over the whole mobile part,

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\tilde{f}_{tot}(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})}. \quad (2)$$

The effective friction can be obtained as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{R}(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}), \quad (3)$$

by combining the definition of the flux, $\tilde{\phi} \equiv d\tilde{s}/d\tilde{t}$, with Eqs. (1) and (2).

Eqs. (1)–(3) together with the knowledge of $\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})$ determine the full solution for $\tilde{s}$. Therefore, the only equation remaining is the equation of motion for $\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})$ that must be derived separately for the *propagation* and *post-propagation* stages. In the propagation stage, as shown in Figs. 1(a), 1(c), and 1(d), the tension has not reached the last monomer of the chain, i.e., $N = \tilde{l} + \tilde{s} < N_0$, where N is the number of total beads that have been already influenced by the tension force and $\tilde{l}$ is the number of dragged monomers in the *cis* side. Using the scaling relation of the end-to-end distance of the self-avoiding chain, $\tilde{R} = \tilde{A}_\nu N^\nu$, where $\tilde{A}_\nu$ is a constant prefactor and N is the last monomer inside the mobile domain at the tension front, together with the blob theory and $N = \tilde{l} + \tilde{s}$ (see Appendix B of Ref. 54 for details), the equation of motion for the tension front in the propagation stage can be derived as

$$\dot{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\nu \tilde{A}_\nu^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})}{1 - \nu \tilde{A}_\nu^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}}}, \quad (4)$$

for the SS regime, and

$$\dot{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\nu \tilde{A}_\nu^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \{(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a)[\dot{\tilde{f}}_{tot}(\tilde{t}) - \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\dot{\tilde{\eta}}_p(\tilde{t})] + \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\}}{1 + \nu \tilde{A}_\nu^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a \times \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})}, \quad (5)$$

for the TR and SF regimes. Here, the subscript “a” in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a$ stands for the trumpet regime as TR₊ and TR_− correspond to positive and negative values of $\tilde{\phi}$, respectively. For the stem-flower regime “a” denotes SF. The time derivative of $\tilde{f}_{tot}$ is $\dot{\tilde{f}}_{tot}$. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a$ are given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{TR+} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})} \left\{ -\frac{\nu}{(2\nu-1)\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})^2} [\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{2\nu-1}{\nu}} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})} [\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \right\} : \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) > 0, \quad (6a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{TR-} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})} \left\{ \frac{\nu}{(2\nu-1)\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})^2} [-\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{2\nu-1}{\nu}} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})} [-\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \right\} : \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) < 0, \quad (6b)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{TR+} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})} [\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} : \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) > 0, \quad (6c)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{TR-} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})} [-\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} : \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) < 0, \quad (6d)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{SF} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})} + \frac{\nu-1}{(2\nu-1)[\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{R}(\tilde{t})]\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})^2}, \quad (6e)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{SF} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})}. \quad (6f)$$

In the post-propagation stage which is schematically shown in Fig. 1(b) for the TR regime, all monomers on the *cis* side have already been affected by the tension force. Therefore, the correct closure relation for the post-propagation stage is $\tilde{l} + \tilde{s} = N_0$. Therefore, one can derive the equation of motion for the tension front as

$$\dot{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{t}) = -\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}), \quad (7)$$

for the SS regime, while for the TR and SF regimes, it can be written as

$$\dot{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a)[\dot{\tilde{f}}_{tot}(\tilde{t}) - \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})\dot{\tilde{\eta}}_p(\tilde{t})] + \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_a}. \quad (8)$$

For more details, the interested reader is referred to Appendix B of Ref. 54.

Equations (1)–(5) must be solved self-consistently to find the solution for the model in the propagation stage, while in the post-propagation stage, one must solve the set of Eqs. (1)–(3), (7), and (8).

III. SCALING OF TRANSLATION TIME FOR SS REGIME

A. Static pore and oscillating force

To obtain analytical results, we assume that only the external driving force has contribution to the total force in the BD equation (1), i.e., we ignore both the entropic and thermal noise terms here. We choose the external driving force as a combination of a constant force $\tilde{F}$ and an oscillatory term $\tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t})$ as

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{tot}} = \tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}), \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, and $\tilde{a}_f$, $\tilde{\omega}_f$ and ψ_f are the amplitude, frequency, and initial phase of the force, respectively. For simplicity, we first consider a static pore with a constant pore friction, i.e., $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0}$. Then, Eq. (2) reduces to $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) = [\tilde{F} + \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t})]/[\tilde{R}(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\eta}_{p0}]$. In the strong-stretching regime considered here, the number of mobile monomers on the *cis* side is given by $\tilde{l}_{\text{SS}} = \tilde{R}$. Knowing the external driving force as a function of time and pore friction together with the conservation of mass, $N = \tilde{s} + \tilde{l}$, the propagation time $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}}$ can be solved by integration of N from 0 to N_0 .^{36,54} The result is

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\int_0^{N_0} \tilde{R}(N) dN + \tilde{\eta}_{p0} N_0 \right] - \Delta \tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Delta \tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^2(N_0) + \tilde{\eta}_{p0} \tilde{R}(N_0) + \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} \right]. \quad (11)$$

In the post-propagation stage, one sets the condition $dN/d\tilde{t} = 0$ and integrates $\tilde{R}$ from $\tilde{R}(N_0)$ to 0 to obtain the post-propagation time, $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{pp}}$, as

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{pp}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^2(N_0) + \tilde{\eta}_{p0} \tilde{R}(N_0) - \int_{\tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}}}^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} \right]. \quad (12)$$

Finally, the total translocation time is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} &= \tilde{\tau}_{\text{ip}} + \tilde{\tau}_{\text{pp}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\int_0^{N_0} \tilde{R}(N) dN + \tilde{\eta}_{p0} N_0 - \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} \right] \\ &= \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\tilde{A}_v}{(1+\nu)\tilde{F}} N_0^{1+\nu} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{p0}}{\tilde{F}} N_0 \quad (14)$$

is the translocation time in the absence of the oscillatory term in the external driving force.^{28,54} Here, we have used the same value of the amplitude $\tilde{A}_v = 1.15$ as in Ref. 38.

In Fig. 2, we plot the translocation time from Eq. (13) normalized with Eq. (14), as a function of the normalized frequency $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$ for various values of ψ_f in the SS regime ($F = 25$ and $a_f = 2.5$). Here, $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 2\pi/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$ is the frequency

FIG. 2. Analytic approximation for the normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for various values of initial phases of $\psi_f = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$ in the SS regime. Here, the pore is static with constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 25$ and $a_f = 2.5$. The green diamonds show the normalized translocation time for the full IFTP model which includes the entropic force term. The magenta dashed-dashed-dotted curve presents the normalized translocation time in the small amplitude approximation.

related to the whole translocation process when the pore is not flickering, i.e., $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0}$ and the external driving force is constant, i.e., $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F}$. For comparison, we also show results from the full deterministic IFTP model (green diamonds) where the entropic force term has been included. It can be seen that the agreement with the analytic approximation in the SS regime is very good for a wide range of frequencies.

Using the explicit form of $\tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, we can now examine Eq. (13) in different limits. First, in the high frequency limit, i.e., $\tilde{\omega}_f \gg \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$, the integral $\int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t}$ simply vanishes and the total translocation time in the presence of the oscillatory term is the same as the translocation time in the absence of it, i.e., $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as can also be seen in the high-frequency limit of Fig. 2.

In the opposite limit of a very small frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f \ll \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$, the integral gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f) d\tilde{t} \\ &= -\frac{\tilde{a}_f}{\tilde{F} \tilde{\omega}_f} [\cos(\psi_f) - \cos(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{\tau} + \psi_f)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The expansion of $\cos(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{\tau} + \psi_f)$ to first order yields $\cos(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{\tau} + \psi_f) \sim \cos(\psi_f) - \tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{\tau} \sin(\psi_f)$ and thus

$$\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}}{[1 + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\psi_f)/\tilde{F}]}. \quad (16)$$

As can be seen from Eq. (13), the scaling form for the average translocation time is the same for both static and high frequency limits. In the low frequency limit, the scaling with respect to the chain length is also the same, but the scaling form with respect to the external driving force becomes $\tilde{\tau} \sim [\tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\psi_f)]^{-1}$.

Finally, if the amplitude of the oscillating term, $\tilde{a}_f$, is much smaller than the static force, $\tilde{F}$, then we can use a small amplitude approximation (SAA), where the value of the upper

FIG. 3. (a) The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for fixed value of the initial phase of $\psi_f = 0$. The pore is static with a constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 25.0$ and $a_f/F = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$, and 0.8 (from top to bottom). Solid line curves show the results for the full deterministic model while the other curves present the results for the small amplitude approximation (SAA). (b) The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{SAA}}$, as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$. Here, the values of the initial phase, pore friction, chain length, and the time dependent external driving force are the same as in panel (a).

limit in the integral over time in Eq. (13) can be replaced by $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$. The translocation time for SAA can then be written as

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{SAA}} = \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} \left[1 + \frac{\tilde{a}_f}{2\pi\tilde{F}} \frac{\cos(2\pi \frac{\tilde{\omega}_f}{\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}} + \psi_f) - \cos(\psi_f)}{\frac{\tilde{\omega}_f}{\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}}} \right]. \quad (17)$$

This equation predicts an oscillatory dependence of the translocation time on the driving frequency. In Fig. 2, we plot the small amplitude expansion result for the case where the amplitude ratio $a_f/F = 0.1$ (magenta dashed-dashed-dotted line). For this relatively small ratio, the agreement with the solution of the full deterministic model (green diamonds) is good for a wide range of frequencies.

To investigate the regime where the small amplitude approximation is well behaved, in Fig. 3(a), the normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, has been plotted as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for the full deterministic model (solid line curves) and also for SAA (dashed line curves). Here, the pore is static with constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 25.0$, $a_f/F = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4$, and 0.8 , and $\psi_f = 0$. The difference between the results of the full deterministic model and SAA increases by increasing the ratio a_f/F . To show this more clearly, in Fig. 3(b), the ratio of the translocation time for the full deterministic model and for SAA in Eq. (17), $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{SAA}}$, has been plotted as a function of the normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$. The values of the initial phase, pore friction, chain length, and the time dependent external driving force are the same as in panel (a). As it can be seen, the ratio $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{SAA}}$ is a function of $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$ and also of a_f/F . By increasing the ratio a_f/F , the difference between the magnitude of $\tilde{\tau}_f/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{SAA}}$ and unity increases. To show the sensitivity of the normalized translocation time on the chain length, N_0 in Fig. 4, the normalized translocation time based on the deterministically solved IFTP model, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, has been plotted as a function of the normalized force frequency, the same as in Fig. 3(a) but for fixed value of $a_f/F = 0.4$ and different values of the chain length $N_0 = 50-5000$. All curves collapse on a single master curve. Similar results have been confirmed for other values of the ratio a_f/F .

B. Flickering pore and oscillating force

We consider next the most general case of an oscillating force and a flickering pore in the SS regime. We again neglect both the entropic force and thermal noise, and take the oscillatory force to be the same as in Sec. III A. The flickering pore has the following time dependent pore friction:

$$\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{t}), \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{A}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{t} + \psi_p)$ and $\tilde{a}_p$, $\tilde{\omega}_p$, and ψ_p are the amplitude, frequency, and initial phase of the pore friction, respectively. Then, Eq. (2) reduces to $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) = [\tilde{F} + \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t})]/[\tilde{R}(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{t})]$. As in Sec. III A, we solve the propagation time by integration of N from 0 to N_0 and the post-propagation time by integration of $\tilde{R}$ from $\tilde{R}(N_0)$ to 0. The total translocation time is then given by

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{N_0} \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{t}) dN. \quad (19)$$

Using the explicit form of $\tilde{A}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{t} + \psi_p)$, at the high frequency limit, $\tilde{\omega}_p \gg \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$, and for very long chains,

FIG. 4. The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for a fixed value of the initial phase of $\psi_f = 0$ and different values of the chain length $N_0 = 50-5000$. The pore is static with constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, and the time dependent external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 25.0$ and $a_f/F = 0.4$.

the integral $\int_0^{N_0} \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{r}) dN$ vanishes and the whole translocation time in the presence of the oscillatory force is the same as the translocation time with a constant pore friction $\tilde{\eta}_{p0}$. We note, however, that for short chains, the integral $\int_0^{N_0} \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{r}) dN$ can be non-zero due to the interaction of the polymer beads with the pore.³⁹

In the opposite limit of a low frequency, the integral gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{N_0} \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{r}) dN &= \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \int_0^{N_0} \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{r} + \psi_p) dN \\ &= \frac{\tilde{a}_p \sin(\psi_p)}{\tilde{F}} N_0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} &= \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\int_0^{N_0} \tilde{R}(N) dN + \{\tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\psi_p)\} N_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r} \right] = \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\tilde{a}_p \sin(\psi_p) N_0 - \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{A}_f(\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

As we can see in Eq. (21), at the low frequency limit, the pore behaves like a static pore with pore friction $\tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\psi_p)$.

Equations (19) and (21) show that the scaling form for the average translocation time for a very long chain at the high and also at the low pore frequency limits is the same as in the case where only the driving force is alternating and the pore is static (as discussed in Subsection III A). For a short chain at both the high and the low pore frequency limits, the scaling may be affected by the value of the integral $(1/\tilde{F}) \int_0^{N_0} \tilde{A}_p(\tilde{r}) dN$.

When the driving force is constant, if the amplitude of the oscillating term, $\tilde{a}_p$, is much smaller than the static pore friction, $\tilde{\eta}_{p0}$, then we can also use here a small amplitude approximation, where the value of the time in the second integral in Eq. (19) must be replaced by $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\eta}_{p0})$. Then, for $\tilde{A}_p(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{r} + \psi_p)$, Eq. (19) can be cast into

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} + \frac{\tilde{a}_p}{\nu \tilde{F} A_p^{1/\nu}} \int_0^{\tilde{R}(N_0)} d\tilde{R} \tilde{R}^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}} \sin[\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{r}(\tilde{R}) + \psi_p], \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{r}(\tilde{R}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{F}} \left[\frac{\tilde{R}^{\frac{1+\nu}{\nu}}}{(1+\nu)A_p^{1/\nu}} - \frac{\tilde{R}^2}{2} + \left(\frac{\tilde{R}^{1/\nu}}{A_p^{1/\nu}} - \tilde{R} \right) \tilde{\eta}_{p0} \right]$. The result of Eq. (22) has been checked and it matches very well with the result of the full deterministic model in a wide range of frequencies.

IV. AVERAGE TRANSLOCATION TIME

Next, we consider the average translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}$, in all the different regimes using the full IFTP theory. To this end, we numerically solve the IFTP Eqs. (1)–(5), (7), and (8) to obtain the total translocation time. We study the behavior of the translocation time first for a time-dependent force, time-dependent pore friction, and finally when both the force and the pore friction are functions of time.

In Fig. 5, the normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, has been plotted as a function of normalized frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for various values of the initial phases of $\psi_f = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. The pore is static with the pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent external driving force is given by $\tilde{f}(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{r} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 5.0$

FIG. 5. The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of normalized force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for various values of initial phases of $\psi_f = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. Here, the pore is static with a constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{r} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 5.0$ and $a_f = 0.5$. The black circles and green squares show the normalized translocation time for $\psi_f = 0$ and $3\pi/2$, respectively, for the full model which includes thermal noise and initial shape distribution of the chain.

and $a_f = 0.5$. For $\psi_f = 0$ (black solid curve) at the very low frequencies, the magnitude of the translocation time is the same as of the static force case. At the low frequency limit, the translocation process occurs during the first half of the period of the oscillating force. During this first half of the cycle, the value of the force increases from its static value to its maximum and back to the static value at $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$, being always greater than its static value. Therefore, the translocation time gradually decreases for small frequencies and has a minimum at $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$. For frequencies higher than $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$, the chain starts experiencing the second half of the cycle where the value of the force is always smaller than its static limit, and thus there is a first maximum at $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 1$. For higher frequencies, i.e., $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} > 1$, the chain again experiences the following half of the cycle, i.e., $\tilde{T}_f < \tilde{r} < 3\tilde{T}_f/2$ ($\tilde{T}_f = 2\pi/\tilde{\omega}_f$), where again the value of the force is greater than its static limit and the translocation time increases. With increasing frequency, the translocation time displays oscillations between minima and maxima with a decreasing amplitude upon approaching the high-frequency limit, where the rapidly oscillating force component averages to zero, as discussed in Sec. III. For comparison, we have also solved the full stochastic IFTP model for the initial phases $\psi_f = 0$ and $3\pi/2$, with results shown in black circles and green squares, respectively, in Fig. 5. In these cases, we take into account both thermal noise and the initial shape distribution of the chain as explained in detail in Ref. 54. The results are in very good agreement with the deterministically solved equations.

Next, we consider the case of a flickering pore with a constant drive. In Fig. 6, the normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, has been plotted as a function of normalized frequency of the flickering pore, $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for a constant driving force, $F = 5.0$, chain length of $N_0 = 128$, and time dependent pore friction $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{r} + \psi_p)$ with static pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ and amplitude $a_p = 1.275$, for various values of the initial phases of $\psi_p = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. As we can see for

FIG. 6. The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of normalized frequency of the flickering pore, $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for various values of initial phases of $\psi_p = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. Here, the driving force is constant, $F = 5.0$, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the time dependent pore friction is $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{t} + \psi_p)$, where $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ and $a_p = 1.275$. The blue circles show the normalized translocation time for the full model which includes thermal noise and initial shape distribution of the chain. The arrows indicate which way the pore moves at the beginning of the drive, due to the initial phase ψ_p .

$\psi_p = 0$, in the low frequency limit, the value of the translocation time reduces to its static value, where $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} = 1$. As the pore oscillation frequency increases, the value of the pore friction also increases, which means that the pore width, $\tilde{d}(\tilde{t})$, decreases (see Fig. 1(a)). Therefore, the effective interaction between the pore and the beads becomes stronger and the result is that the value of the translocation time grows and reaches its maximum at $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$. At this frequency, the translocation process occurs during the first half period of the pore motion. During this first half of the cycle, the radius of the pore decreases from its static value to its minimum and back to the static limit at $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$, being always smaller than the radius of the static pore. Therefore, the translocation time gradually increases for low frequencies, and then it has a maximum

at $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$. For frequencies higher than $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5$, the chain experiences the second half of the cycle where the radius of the pore is larger than the radius of the static pore, and thus there is a strong minimum at $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 1$. For even higher frequencies, i.e., $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} > 1$, the chain experiences the next half of the cycle, i.e., $\tilde{T}_p < \tilde{t} < 3\tilde{T}_p/2$ ($\tilde{T}_p = 2\pi/\tilde{\omega}_p$), where the radius of the pore is again smaller than its static value and the translocation time increases. This alternation of high and low pore friction creates successive minima and maxima in a way similar to the case of the time-dependent drive, except that increasing the pore friction leads to increasing translocation time, while increasing the drive leads to more rapid translocation. To compare, we have also solved the full stochastic IFTP model⁵⁴ for the initial phase $\psi_f = \pi$, with results shown in blue circles in Fig. 6. The results are in very good agreement with the deterministically solved equations.

Finally, we consider the combination of an oscillating drive and pore. The fact that increasing the drive or increasing friction work in the opposite directions with respect to the translocation time suggests that it could be minimized by out-of-phase combination of the two forces. In Fig. 7(a), we present the normalized translocation time as a function of either the normalized pore frequency or force frequency, for mixed initial phases $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = 3\pi/2$, $\psi_f = 3\pi/2$ and $\psi_p = \pi/2$, $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = \pi$, and $\psi_f = \pi$ and $\psi_p = \pi/2$. Here, the pore is flickering and the pore friction is given by $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{t} + \psi_p)$, where $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ and $a_p = 1.275$ while the oscillating external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$ where $F = 5.0$ and $a_f = 0.5$. The pore frequency is equal to the force frequency during the translocation process here. For fixed values of F , a_f , η_{p0} , and a_p , the minimum value of the normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{min}}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, occurs at very low frequency limit when the force phase is $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and pore phase is $\psi_p = 3\pi/2$, because the driving force has its maximum value and the pore friction has its minimum value. Then, the normalized translocation time gets its maximum value, $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{max}}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, when $\psi_f = 3\pi/2$ and pore phase is $\psi_p = \pi/2$, because the driving force has its minimum

FIG. 7. (a) The normalized translocation time, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, as a function of either normalized pore frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, or force frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, for mixed initial phases $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = 3\pi/2$, $\psi_f = 3\pi/2$ and $\psi_p = \pi/2$, $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = \pi$, and $\psi_f = \pi$ and $\psi_p = \pi/2$. The black circles show the normalized translocation time for $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = 3\pi/2$, for the full model which includes thermal noise and initial shape distribution of the chain. Here, the pore is flickering and the pore friction is given by $\tilde{\eta}_p(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{\eta}_{p0} + \tilde{a}_p \sin(\tilde{\omega}_p \tilde{t} + \psi_p)$, where $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ and $a_p = 1.275$ while the oscillating external driving force is $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 5.0$ and $a_f = 0.5$. (b) The normalized translocation time as a function of either $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$ or $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, but for the identical initial pore and force phases $\psi_f = \psi_p = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$, with the same parameters as of (a). The arrows show the direction of the motion of a point on the pore wall at the starting of the translocation process. For both panels (a) and (b), the chain length is $N_0 = 128$ and $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = \tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$.

value while the pore friction has its maximum value. All other values of the normalized translocation times, $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$, for the other values of the force and the pore phases at different force or pore frequencies are between these two extremum values, i.e., $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{min}}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} < \tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}} < \tilde{\tau}_{\text{Max}}/\tilde{\tau}_{\text{stat}}$. For comparison, we have also solved the full stochastic IFTP model⁵⁴ for the initial phases $\psi_f = \pi/2$ and $\psi_p = 3\pi/2$, with results shown in black circles in Fig. 7(a). The results are in very good agreement with the deterministically solved equations.

In Fig. 7(b), we show the same quantity as a function of either $\tilde{\omega}_p/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$ or $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}$, but the initial pore and force phases are now identical, and $\psi_f = \psi_p = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$, with the same parameters as of Fig. 7(a). Both the pore friction and the driving force are time dependent with the same forms and the same parameters as of Fig. 7(a). As expected, the two effects now work against each other, and there is an almost complete cancellation in the low and high frequency limits. As the effect of time dependent driving force and pore friction are dynamically coupled to each other, it is very difficult to find parameters in such a way that at low frequency limit, they exactly cancel the effect of each other. For intermediate frequencies, there are some oscillations due to the fact that the total effective friction, Eq. (3), is determined dynamically by tension propagation on the *cis* side chain and cannot be completely controlled externally. In the end, adding a time-dependent component to the pore friction and the drive is fundamentally different.

Finally, it is interesting to see how the (effective) translocation exponent α , defined as $\alpha(N_0) = d \ln \tilde{\tau} / d \ln N_0$, is affected by the presence of an alternating external driving force. For a static driving force, the correction-to-scaling term due to the pore friction is large here and α slowly approaches the value $1 + \nu \approx 1.588$ with increasing chain length, as shown in Fig. 8. For the oscillating force,

FIG. 8. The effective translocation exponent, $\alpha(N_0)$, as a function of the chain length, N_0 , for two different values of initial phases $\psi_f = 0$ (red dashed curve) and $\pi/2$ (green dashed-dotted curve). The black solid circle-line curve shows the static case where both the pore friction and the external driving force are constant with the values $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ and $F = 5.0$. Red and green curves are for the cases where the pore friction is constant, $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, but the external driving force is time-dependent and given by $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, with $F = 5.0$, $a_f = 0.5$, and fixed $\tilde{\omega}_f = 0.5 \tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}(N_0 = 1000) = 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$. The horizontal blue solid line is the asymptotic value of the translocation exponent which is $1 + \nu$ in the limit of very long chains.

$\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$ with $F = 5.0$, $a_f = 0.5$, constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, and fixed $\tilde{\omega}_f = 0.5 \tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}(N_0 = 1000)$, we see a very strong oscillatory behavior of α . The chosen frequency $\tilde{\omega}_f = 0.5 \tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}}(N_0 = 1000) = 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$ is moderate compared to the translocation time of the chain of length $N_0 = 1000$, low for a short chain such as $N_0 = 40$, and very high for a long chain such as $N_0 = 10^5$. By fixing the frequency when the chain length is changed, the limits of low, moderate, and high frequencies are all explored. At the very short and very long chain limits, the translocation exponent is the same as for constant driving and independent of the value of the initial phase ψ_f . However, close to $N_0 = 1000$, the translocation exponent oscillates due to the oscillation of the translocation time (see Fig. 5). It is worth noting that while the amplitude of the exponent's oscillation depends on the ratio of a_f/F , even with a moderate value of the ratio of $a_f/F = 0.1$, the exponent varies between 1.40 and 1.74, going well beyond $1 + \nu$. In addition, the oscillation amplitude decreases towards long chains, as the system effectively enters the high frequency limit and the average driving force approaches the static force value.

V. DYNAMICS OF THE TRANSLOCATION PROCESS

To understand the effect of the oscillating pore and force on the translocation process, it is necessary to examine also the dynamics of the process and not just the resulting translocation time. Often the translocation process has been characterized by calculating the time evolution of the translocation coordinate $\tilde{s}$. However, a more sensitive measure is the monomer waiting time distribution that measures the time a monomer spends inside the pore. The tension propagation (increasing waiting time) and post-propagation (decreasing waiting time) stages are also easy to distinguish from the distribution. For the oscillating force and static pore, the waiting time distributions are shown in Fig. 9. For the oscillating pore, the waiting times are not shown, but they are analogous to the oscillating force and consistent with the results discussed in Sec. IV.

In Fig. 9(a), the waiting time, $w(\tilde{s})$, is plotted as a function of the translocation coordinate, $\tilde{s}$, for various values of initial force phases $\psi_f = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. Here, the alternating external driving force is given by $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 5$ and $a_f = 0.5$, the pore friction is constant, $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, and the force frequency is $\omega_f = 0$. The chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the black solid curve has been plotted for the completely static case, where the external driving force is constant, $F = 5$, and the constant pore friction is $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$. With the initial phases $\psi_f = 0$ and π , the waiting time distribution curves collapse on the waiting time distribution curve for the static case, and as a result, the corresponding translocation times for these two initial phases are exactly the same as it can be seen in Fig. 5. With $\psi_f = \pi/2$, the value of the force at $\tilde{\omega}_f = 0$ is greater than its value for the static case and therefore the waiting time is consistently below the static force curve and the overall translocation time is smaller. For $\psi_f = 3\pi/2$ the opposite is true.

In Figs. 9(b)–9(d), the waiting time distributions are shown for low, intermediate, and high frequencies. In the low frequency regime, the waiting time gradually deviates from

FIG. 9. (a) The waiting time, $w(\tilde{s})$, as a function of the translocation coordinate, $\tilde{s}$, for various values of initial force phases $\psi_f = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, and $3\pi/2$. Here, the alternating external driving force is given by $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 5$ and $a_f = 0.5$, the pore friction is constant, $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, and the force frequency is $\tilde{\omega}_f = 0$. Panels (b)–(d) are the same as (a) but for different values of normalized force frequencies $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.2, 1$, and 4 , respectively. In all panels, the chain length is $N_0 = 128$, and the black solid curve has been plotted for completely static case where the external driving force is constant, $F = 5$, and the constant pore friction is $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$.

the static force distribution, while for the high frequency, the distribution oscillates between the large and small force values. As the translocation time is the integral of the waiting time, it is clear from the high frequency distribution (Fig. 9(d)) why the effect of the oscillating force is averaged out. On the other hand, for the intermediate frequencies, the waiting time and thus the translocation time can be higher or lower depending on the initial phase.

In addition to the waiting time, we have also solved for the time evolution of the translocation coordinate $\tilde{s}$. In this

case, we have used the full stochastic model including the fluctuations due to thermal noise and initial configuration such that we can extract the variance of $\tilde{s}$ in addition to the mean value. In addition, we have also increased the driving force and the amplitude to make the oscillations more visible. The simulation parameters are thus $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$, where $F = 7$, $a_f = 3$, $\psi_f = \pi$, pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, and chain length $N_0 = 128$. The results for the mean value $\langle \tilde{s}(t) \rangle$ and the variance $\langle \delta \tilde{s}^2(t) \rangle = \langle \tilde{s}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \tilde{s}(t) \rangle^2$ are shown in Figs. 10(a)–10(c). The oscillations in the mean value of $\tilde{s}(t)$ are

FIG. 10. (a) The averaged translocation coordinate, $\tilde{s}(t)$, as a function of time, t , for the static case with constant external driving force $F = 7$ and constant pore friction $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$ (solid black curve), and also when the external driving force is alternating with $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{F} + \tilde{a}_f \sin(\tilde{\omega}_f \tilde{t} + \psi_f)$ where $F = 7$, $a_f = 3$ and $\psi_f = \pi$, and the constant pore friction is $\eta_{p0} = 3.5$, for various values of force frequencies $\tilde{\omega}_f/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{stat}} = 0.5, 1, 2$, and 4 (red dashed, green dashed-dotted, blue dashed-dotted-dotted, and pink dashed-dashed-dotted curves, respectively). Panels (b) and (c) present the variance $\langle \delta \tilde{s}^2(t) \rangle = \langle \tilde{s}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \tilde{s}(t) \rangle^2$ as a function of time for the same parameters as of panel (a) in normal-normal and log-log scales, respectively. The chain length is $N_0 = 128$ in all panels.

visible for the intermediate frequencies similar to the waiting time distribution.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have extended the recently developed iso-flux tension propagation theory to polymer translocation driven by a time-dependent external force and through an oscillating nanopore. Specifically, we take into account a periodically oscillating term in the external driving force and describe the flickering of the pore with a similar term in the pore friction. We have derived analytical approximations for the translocation time in the low and high frequency limits, and also in the intermediate frequency regime for small oscillation amplitudes. The analytical expressions can be used to interpret the numerical results obtained from solving the full model. The theory can also be easily generalized for other types of oscillations, including non-periodic ones.

We have shown that in the low and high frequency limits, the dynamics of the translocation process reduces to the non-oscillating cases; the translocation time is found to scale similarly to the static force and pore simulations, following the finite size scaling relation $\tilde{\tau} = c_1 N_0^{1+\nu} + c_2 \eta_p N_0$. However, in the intermediate frequency regime, determined by the characteristic time scale of the process, the effective scaling exponent, $\alpha(N_0) = d \ln \tilde{\tau} / d \ln N_0$, is shown to be extremely sensitive to small periodic fluctuations. The results indicate that such periodic fluctuations can indeed be used to either speed up or slow down the translocation process. Additionally, it is proposed that such control can be achieved either by tuning the oscillations in the driving force or in the pore characteristics. On the other hand, it is plausible that such oscillations may have significant influence on the experimentally measured translocation times and scaling exponents.

Our results shed light on the role that small oscillations in the external driving force and in the pore characteristics have on the dynamics of polymer translocation. The developed theory and the results may help in understanding both biological functions and experiments, which are being done on increasingly complex nanopore systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland through its Centres of Excellence Program (2012-2017) under Project No. 915804.

¹H. Salman, D. Zbaida, Y. Rabin, D. Chatenay, and M. Elbaum, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**, 7247 (2001).

²J. J. Kasianowicz, E. Brandin, D. Branton, and D. W. Deamer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**, 13770 (1996).

³B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (Garland Science, 2002).

⁴A. Meller, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **15**, R581 (2003).

⁵A. Meller, L. Nivon, and D. Branton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3435 (2001).

⁶K. Luo, T. Ala-Nissila, S.-C. Ying, and A. Bhattacharya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 058101 (2008).

⁷G. Sigalov, J. Comer, G. Timp, and A. Aksimentiev, *Nano Lett.* **8**, 56 (2008).

⁸J. A. Cohen, A. Chaudhuri, and R. Golestanian, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 021002 (2012).

⁹W. Sung and P. J. Park, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 783 (1996).

¹⁰M. Muthukumar, *J. Chem. Phys.* **111**, 10371 (1999).

¹¹J. Chuang, Y. Kantor, and M. Kardar, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 011802 (2001).

¹²R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *Biophys. J.* **85**, 2776 (2003).

¹³Y. Kantor and M. Kardar, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 021806 (2004).

¹⁴A. J. Storm *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **5**, 1193 (2005).

¹⁵A. Yu. Grosberg, S. Nechaev, M. Tamm, and O. Vasilyev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 228105 (2006).

¹⁶J. L. A. Dubbeldam, A. Milchev, V. G. Rostiashvili, and T. A. Vilgis, *Europhys. Lett.* **79**, 18002 (2007).

¹⁷T. Sakaue, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 021803 (2007).

¹⁸T. Sakaue, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **982**, 508 (2008).

¹⁹K. Luo, S. T. T. Ollila, I. Huopaniemi, T. Ala-Nissila, P. Pomorski, M. Karttunen, S.-C. Ying, and A. Bhattacharya, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 050901(R) (2008).

²⁰D. Branton, D. W. Deamer, A. Marziali *et al.*, *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**, 1146 (2008).

²¹K. Luo, T. Ala-Nissila, S.-C. Ying, and R. Metzler, *Europhys. Lett.* **88**, 68006 (2009).

²²E. Schadt, S. Turner, and A. Kasarskis, *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **19**, R227 (2010).

²³T. Sakaue, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041808 (2010).

²⁴A. Milchev, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **23**, 103101 (2011).

²⁵P. Rowghanian and A. Y. Grosberg, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **115**, 14127 (2011).

²⁶M. Muthukumar, *Polymer Translocation* (Taylor and Francis, 2011).

²⁷T. Ikonen, A. Bhattacharya, T. Ala-Nissila, and W. Sung, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 085101 (2012).

²⁸T. Ikonen, A. Bhattacharya, T. Ala-Nissila, and W. Sung, *Europhys. Lett.* **103**, 38001 (2013).

²⁹V. V. Palyulin, T. Ala-Nissila, and R. Metzler, *Soft Matter* **10**, 9016 (2014).

³⁰A. Bhattacharya, W. H. Morrison, K. Luo, T. Ala-Nissila, S.-C. Ying, A. Milchev, and K. Binder, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **29**, 423 (2009).

³¹V. Lehtola, R. P. Linna, and K. Kaski, *Europhys. Lett.* **85**, 58006 (2009).

³²A. Bhattacharya and K. Binder, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041804 (2010).

³³V. V. Lehtola, K. Kaski, and R. P. Linna, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 031908 (2010).

³⁴J. L. A. Dubbeldam, V. G. Rostiashvili, A. Milchev, and T. A. Vilgis, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 041801 (2012).

³⁵T. Saito and T. Sakaue, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **34**, 135 (2012).

³⁶T. Saito and T. Sakaue, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 061803 (2012).

³⁷T. Saito and T. Sakaue, e-print [arXiv:1205.3861](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3861) (2012).

³⁸T. Ikonen, A. Bhattacharya, T. Ala-Nissila, and W. Sung, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 051803 (2012).

³⁹J. A. Cohen, A. Chaudhuri, and R. Golestanian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 238102 (2011).

⁴⁰J. Yamada, J. L. Phillips, S. Patel *et al.*, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **9**, 2205 (2010).

⁴¹P. Rehling, K. Brander, and N. Pfanner, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **5**, 519 (2004).

⁴²D. Huh, K. L. Mills, X. Zhu *et al.*, *Nat. Mat.* **6**, 424 (2007).

⁴³E. Angeli, C. Manneschi, L. Repetto *et al.*, *Lab Chip* **11**, 2625 (2011).

⁴⁴P. Fanzio, C. Manneschi, E. Angeli *et al.*, *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 791 (2012).

⁴⁵B. Yameen, M. Ali, R. Neumann *et al.*, *Small* **5**, 1287 (2009).

⁴⁶T. Ikonen, J. Shin, W. Sung, and T. Ala-Nissila, *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 205104 (2012).

⁴⁷J. A. Cohen, A. Chaudhuri, and R. Golestanian, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 204911 (2012).

⁴⁸A. Fiasconaro, J. J. Mazo, and F. Falo, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 022113 (2015).

⁴⁹R. I. Stefureac, A. Kachayev, and J. S. Lee, *Chem. Commun.* **48**, 1928 (2012).

⁵⁰M. Bates, M. Burns, and A. Meller, *Biophys. J.* **84**, 2366 (2003).

⁵¹D. K. Lathrop, E. N. Ervin, G. A. Barrall *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 1878 (2010).

⁵²T. Ambjörnsson and R. Metzler, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 030901(R) (2005).

⁵³T. Ambjörnsson and R. Metzler, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **17**, S1841 (2005).

⁵⁴J. Sarabadani, T. Ikonen, and T. Ala-Nissila, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 214907 (2014).

⁵⁵M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, 1986).

⁵⁶A. F. Sauer-Budge, J. A. Nyamwanda, D. K. Lubensky, and D. Branton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 238101 (2003).

⁵⁷J. Mathe, H. Visram, V. Viasnoff, Y. Rabin, and A. Meller, *Biophys. J.* **87**, 3205 (2004).

⁵⁸J. L. A. Dubbeldam, V. G. Rostiashvili, and T. A. Vilgis, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 124112 (2014).

⁵⁹P. M. Suhonen, K. Kaski, and R. Linna, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 042702 (2014).