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ABSTRACT

Context. The flat-spectrum radio quasar 4C +71.07 is a high-redshift (z = 2.172), γ-loud blazar whose optical emission is dominated by thermal radiation from the accretion disc. Aims. 4C +71.07 has been detected in outburst twice by the AGILE γ-ray satellite during the period from the end of October to mid-November 2015, when it reached a γ-ray flux of the order of F(E > 100 MeV) = (1.2 ± 0.3) × 10−8 photons cm−2 s−1 and F(E > 100 MeV) = (3.1 ± 0.6) × 10−8 photons cm−2 s−1, respectively, allowing us to investigate the properties of the jet and the emission region. Methods. We investigated its spectral energy distribution by means of almost-simultaneous observations covering the cm, mm, near-infrared, optical, ultraviolet, X-ray, and γ-ray energy bands obtained by the GASP-WEKT Consortium and the Swift, AGILE, and Fermi satellites. Results. The spectral energy distribution of the second γ-ray flare (whose energy coverage is more dense) can be modelled by means of a one-zone leptonic model, yielding a total jet power of about 4 × 1047 erg s−1. Conclusions. During the most prominent γ-ray flaring period our model is consistent with a dissipation region within the broad-line region. Moreover, this class of high-redshift, flat-spectrum radio quasars with high-mass black holes might be good targets for future γ-ray satellites such as e-ASTROGAM.
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1. Introduction

Among the active galactic nuclei (AGNs), blazars show the most extreme properties. Their variable emission spans several decades of energy, from the radio to the TeV energy band, with variability timescales ranging from a few minutes, such as PKS 2155–304 (Aharonian et al. 2007) and 3C 279 (Ackermann et al. 2016), to a few years (e.g. BL Lacertae Raiteri et al. 2013). Radio observations often reveal superluminal motion and brightness temperatures exceeding the Compton limit. These features can be explained by assuming that blazars emit mainly non-thermal radiation (synchrotron at low energies and inverse-Compton at high energies) coming from a relativistic plasma jet oriented close to the line of sight, with consequent Doppler beaming (e.g. Urry & Padovani 1995). Therefore, the study of blazar emission is mainly an investigation of the properties of plasma jets in AGNs. The blazar class includes flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) and BL Lac objects. FSRQs show evidence of additional unbeamed emission contributions from the nucleus, i.e. thermal radiation from the accretion disc in the rest-frame UV, and broad emission lines due to fast-rotating gas clouds surrounding the disc known as the broad-line region (BLR).

The flat-spectrum radio quasar 4C +71.07 (S5 0836+710; z = 2.172, Stickel & Kuehr 1993) is known as a γ-ray emitter since its detection by the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) instrument on board the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO; Hartman et al. 1999), and it is one of the γ-loud blazars monitored by the GLAST-AGILE Support Program (GASP) of the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope (WEBT) Collaboration (Villata et al. 2008). This allows us to study its multi-wavelength flux behaviour on a long timescale. In the last seven years the optical and millimetre light curves have shown several flares that do not seem to be correlated, at least not in a straightforward way. Moreover, the correlation between the optical flux variations and those observed in the γ-ray energy band...
by the *Fermi* satellite appears to be complex. Complex correlations between emission in various bands may reflect unprocessed processes and/or a jet structure in this source that make a detailed multi-frequency study worthwhile (see Akyuz et al. 2013, for a long-term, multi-wavelength monitoring study of this source). Another peculiarity of 4C +71.07 is its relatively high redshift (it is the most distant FSRQ in the GASP–WEBT sample) and an intervening system at z = 0.914 (Stuckel & Kuehr 1993). Intervening systems producing Mg II λ2796,2803Å absorption lines in the AGN spectrum may be due to a chance alignment, for example of a galaxy with respect to the AGN light of sight.

The spectral energy distribution (SED) of 4C +71.07 presents a double-hump morphology typical of blazars, with a synchrotron peak in the far-infrared band and an inverse Compton peak at about 10$^{30}$–10$^{31}$ Hz (Sambruna et al. 2007; Akyuz et al. 2013). Moreover, it shows a strong blue bump peaking at about 10$^{14.9}$ Hz, which is the signature of an accretion disc, whose luminosity is comparable to the highest values observed in type I QSO (Raiteri et al. 2014).

The flat-spectrum radio quasar 4C +71.07 was detected in a flaring state by AGILE at the end of October and at the beginning of November 2015, (Bulgarelli et al. 2015; Pittori et al. 2015) and was followed up by the *Neil Gehrels Swift* Observatory (Vercellone et al. 2015a,b) and the GASP–WEBT. These observations allow us to investigate its SED during both γ-ray flares by means of almost-simultaneous data, and to study their possible modulations and correlations.

This paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the AGILE, *Fermi*-LAT, *Swift*, *XMM-Newton*, and GASP–WEBT data analysis and results. In Sect. 3 we present the simultaneous multi-wavelength light curves, the SEDs of the two γ-ray flares, and we discuss the results. In Sect. 4 we draw our conclusions. Throughout this paper the quoted uncertainties are given at the 1σ level, unless otherwise stated, and we adopt a Λ cold dark matter cosmology (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016).

2. Observations and data analysis

2.1. AGILE

The AGILE satellite (Tavani et al. 2009) is a mission of the Italian Space Agency (ASI) devoted to high-energy astrophysics. The AGILE scientific instrument combines four active detectors yielding broad-band coverage from hard X-ray to γ-ray energies: a Silicon Tracker (ST; Prest et al. 2003, 30 MeV–50 GeV), a co-aligned coded-mask hard X-ray imager, Super-AGILE (SA; Feroci et al. 2007, 18–60 keV), a non-imaging CsI γ-ray Earth albedo contamination. We used the latest version (BUILD-23) of the calibration matrices (I0025), with the updated version of the γ-ray diffuse emission model (Giuliani et al. 2004). A multi-source maximum likelihood analysis (ALIKE, Bulgarelli et al. 2012) based on the Test Statistic method as formulated by Mattox et al. (1996) was carried out with an analysis radius of 10° and GAL–ISO parameters (indicating the relative weights of the Galactic and isotropic diffuse components) fixed at the values calculated during the two weeks preceding the analysed AGILE dataset (2015 October 1–2015 October 14).

In order to produce the AGILE light curve (see Fig. 1), we divided the data collected in the period 2015 October 14–2015 November 15 (MJD 57309.0–57327.0) in 48 h bins. The ALIKE was carried out by fixing the position of the source to its nominal position (l, b) = (143.54°, 34.43°) (Myers et al. 2003). We performed the spectral analysis of the activity at the two γ-ray peaks, corresponding to the periods between 2015 October 26 (MJD 57321.0) and 2015 November 1 (MJD 57327.0), and between 2015 November 7 (MJD 57333.0) and 2015 November 13 (MJD 57339.0), labelled flare-1 (F1) and flare-2 (F2), respectively, and marked as grey dashed areas. Moreover, we accumulated the average spectrum between 2015 October 14 (MJD 57309.0) and 2015 November 15 (MJD 57341.0).

Table 1 shows the γ-ray fluxes obtained by integrating in the whole AGILE energy band (100 MeV–50 GeV) and the photon indices obtained by a fit with a power law. We restricted the γ-ray

![Fig. 1. AGILE-GRID (blue circles) and *Fermi*-LAT (red squares) light curves (48h-bins) covering the period 2015 October 15–2015 November 15. Downward arrows represent 2σ upper limits. The grey dashed areas mark the time interval used to accumulate the almost-simultaneous SEDs.](image)

**Table 1. AGILE-GRID and *Fermi*-LAT γ-ray fluxes and spectral indices.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>$T_{\text{on}}$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{off}}$</th>
<th>$F_{E,&gt;100\text{MeV}}$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2015-10-26</td>
<td>2015-11-01</td>
<td>(1.2 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>(1.7 ± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2015-11-07</td>
<td>2015-11-13</td>
<td>(3.1 ± 0.6)</td>
<td>(2.3 ± 0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole period</td>
<td>2015-10-14</td>
<td>2015-11-15</td>
<td>(0.94 ± 0.15)</td>
<td>(2.1 ± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2015-10-26</td>
<td>(1.18 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>(2.72 ± 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2015-11-07</td>
<td>(3.4 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>(2.54 ± 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole period</td>
<td>2015-10-14</td>
<td>(1.30 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>(2.64 ± 0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** All dates are at 00:00:00 UTC. (a)Fluxes ($E > 100$ MeV) in units of $10^{-6}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. 
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spectral analysis to three energy bins: 100–200, 200–400, and 400–1000 MeV. The γ-ray photon indices are consistent within the errors.

2.2. Fermi/LAT

4C +71.07 data were retrieved using the Fermi data access service\(^2\). We selected PASS8 data centred at the position of the source with a radius of 25\(^\circ\) covering the same time interval as the AGILE data. We analysed the data using the Fermi Science Tools version v11r5p3 and with the P8R2_SOURCE_V6 instrument response function (IRF)\(^3\). In order to analyse the data we also made use of the user contributed package Enrico\(^4\).

In our work we adopted the current Galactic diffuse emission model (gll_iem_v06.fits) and the current isotropic emission model (iso_P8R2_SOURCE_V6_v06.txt) within the likelihood analysis. We took into account nearby sources that are present in the Fermi Large Area Telescope Third Source Catalog (gll_psc_v16.fit, Acero et al. 2015). We selected an energy range between 100 MeV and 300 GeV, and filtered the events for the source class. We limited the reconstructed zenith angle to be less than 90\(^\circ\) to greatly reduce the gamma rays coming from the limb of the Earth’s atmosphere. We selected the good time intervals of the observations by excluding events that were taken while the instrument rocking angle was larger than 52\(^\circ\).

Our analysis was done in two steps. In the first step, all sources within 10\(^\circ\) angular distance to our source of interest had their spectral parameters free, while the sources at greater distance and up to 25\(^\circ\) had their parameters fixed. A likelihood analysis was performed to fit the parameters using a Minuit optimizer. In the second step, we fixed the nearby source parameters to those fitted in the previous step and ran again the likelihood analysis with the NewMinuit optimizer. In both steps our source of interest had its parameters free, and both Galactic diffuse and isotropic emission parameters were fixed.

These steps were performed as explained in three different analyses: one for the whole period, one for the first gamma-ray flare, and one for the second gamma-ray flare.

2.3. XMM-Newton

In order to best constrain the uncertainty in the absorption as derived from the relatively short Swift observations, we reanalysed archival XMM-Newton observations with the Science Analysis Software\(^5\) (SAS) version 14.0.0 following standard prescriptions and corresponding calibration. XMM-Newton pointed at the source on 2001 April 12–2001 April 13 (rev. 246). The total exposure time was 36 714 s.

The European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) on board XMM-Newton carries three detectors: MOS1, MOS2 (Turner et al. 2001), and pn (Strüder et al. 2001). The MOS and pn observations were performed in Large Window and Full Frame Mode, respectively, all with a Medium filter. We processed the data with the emproc and epproc tasks; high-background periods were removed by asking that the count rate of high-energy events (\(E > 10\) keV) be less than 0.35 and 0.40 cts s\(^{-1}\) on the MOS and pn detectors, respectively. The final exposure time was about 27–28 ks for the MOS detectors, and about 12 ks for the pn. We extracted source counts from a circular region with 35 arcsec radius and background counts from a source-free circle with 70 arcsec radius. We selected the best-calibrated single and double events only (PATTERN c<4), and rejected events next to the edges of the CCDs or next to bad pixels (FLAG=0). The absence of pile-up was verified with the epatplot task.

We grouped each spectrum with the corresponding background, redistribution matrix (RMF), and ancillary (ARF) files with the task grppha, setting a binning of at least 25 counts for each spectral channel in order to use the chi-squared statistics. The three spectra were analysed with Xspec version 12.9.0, fitting them all together in the 0.3–10 keV energy range. We adopted a Galactic absorption column of \(N_H = 3.30 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\), indicated by solid lines of the same colour. The ratio of the data to the folded model is plotted in the bottom panel.

Data from the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004; Target ID 36376) were collected by activating two dedicated target-of-opportunity (ToO) observations triggered as follow-up of AGILE detections (Bulgarelli et al. 2015; Pittori et al. 2015). The Swift data were processed and

\(^{2}\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov

\(^{3}\) For more information and details about IRFs, see the Fermi instrument publications.

\(^{4}\) https://github.com/gammapy/enrico/

\(^{5}\) http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/

Fig. 2. X-ray spectra of 4C +71.07 acquired by the EPIC instrument on board XMM-Newton on 2001 April 12–13. Black, red, and green symbols represent MOS1, MOS2, and pn data, respectively. The folded model, an absorbed power law with \(N_H = 3.30 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\), is indicated by solid lines of the same colour. The ratio of the data to the folded model is plotted in the bottom panel.
analysed by using standard procedures within the FTOOLS software (v6.17) and responses in the calibration database CALDB (20150731).

### 2.4.1. Swift/XRT

A log of all X-ray Telescope (XRT, Burrows et al. 2005) observations triggered by our ToOs is given in Table 2. The XRT data were collected for the most part in photon-counting (PC) mode and were processed with the xrtpipeline (v.0.13.2). A moderate pile-up affected the data, and it was corrected by adopting standard procedures (Vaughan et al. 2006), i.e. by determining the size of the core of the point spread function (PSF) affected by pile-up by comparing the observed and nominal PSF, and excluding from the analysis all the events that fell within that region. The source events were thus extracted from an annulus with outer radius of 20 pixels (1 pixel ≈ 2.36") and inner radius of 3 pixels. Background events were extracted from a circular source-free region nearby. Average spectra were extracted from each XRT observation, and from the combined observations for the first ToO (046–053), and were binned to ensure at least 20 counts per energy bin, and fit in the 0.3–10 keV energy range.

We adopted the same spectral models as those used for the XMM-Newton data. The results of power-law fits with both free $N_H$ and absorption fixed to the Galactic value, are given in Table 2 and in Fig. 3, panel m, where we show the Swift/XRT (observed 0.3–10 keV) light curve derived with the free $N_H$ model in Table 2.

### 2.4.2. Swift/UVOT

The UV/Optical Telescope (UVOT, Roming et al. 2005) observed 4C +71.07 simultaneously with the XRT in all optical and UV filters. The data analysis was performed using the uvtimsum and uvsources tasks included in the FTOOLS. The latter task calculates the magnitude through aperture photometry within a circular region and applies specific corrections due to the detector characteristics. We adopted circular regions for source (5") radius and background (10") radius. Figure 3 shows the light curves of Swift/UVOT (v, b, u, w1, m2, w2 bands, panels g–l).

### 2.5. GASP-WEBT

Optical observations for the GASP-WEBT were performed at the following observatories: Abastumani, Belogradchik, Calar Alto\(^6\), Crimean, Mt. Maidanak, St. Petersburg, Roque de los Muchachos (LT), Rozhen, Southern Station of SAI, Teide (IAC80), and Astronomical Station Vidojevica. We calibrated the source magnitude with respect to the photometric sequences of Villata et al. (1997) and Doroshenko et al. (2014). Light curves in the Johnson-Cousins bands were carefully assembled. We corrected the near-infrared, optical, and UV data for Galactic reddening by adopting a value of 0.083 mag in the Johnson-V band.

### Table 2. XMM-Newton-EPIC and Swift/XRT observation log and results of fitting the spectra with power-law models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Start time (UT)</th>
<th>End time (UT)</th>
<th>Exposure (s)</th>
<th>$N_H$ (10(^{20}) cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
<th>$F_{x}$ (erg cm(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0003676046</td>
<td>2015-10-01 16:27</td>
<td>2015-10-03 03:07</td>
<td>2936</td>
<td>5.9 ± 11</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.12</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.15</td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003676048</td>
<td>2015-11-01 02:51:36</td>
<td>2015-11-01 23:59:54</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>7.4 ± 11</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003676051</td>
<td>2015-11-03 16:02:13</td>
<td>2015-11-03 23:50:55</td>
<td>5062</td>
<td>5.3 ± 11</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003676052</td>
<td>2015-11-05 01:17:50</td>
<td>2015-11-05 12:28:53</td>
<td>2329</td>
<td>4.6 ± 11</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003676053</td>
<td>2015-11-07 15:20:12</td>
<td>2015-11-07 18:34:53</td>
<td>2941</td>
<td>4.8 ± 11</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.661 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (046–053)</td>
<td>2015-10-30:16:27</td>
<td>2015-11-17:34:53</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>6.3 ± 11</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003676054</td>
<td>2015-11-10 16:37:03</td>
<td>2015-11-10 18:12:38</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>3.1 ± 11</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.40</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^{(a)}10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\), \(^{(b)}\)Observed flux in the 0.3–10 keV range (10\(^{-11}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)). \(^{(c)}\)Fit performed with an absorption fixed to Galactic value ($N_H = 2.76 \times 10^{20}$ cm\(^{-2}\)).
The data show not only the typical double-humped shape of the blazar SED, but also a prominent disc bump peaking in the UV energy band. Moreover, while the rising branch of the inverse Compton, the disc, and the poorly constrained synchrotron emission (but only marginally) are almost consistent with the non-simultaneous data (grey points), the high-energy peak ($E > 100$ MeV) is about one order of magnitude more intense with respect to the archival ones. In order to model the SED, we took into account a one-zone leptonic model. The emission along the jet is assumed to be produced in a spherical blob with co-moving radius $R_{\text{blob}}$ by accelerated electrons characterised by a broken power-law particle density distribution,

$$n_e(\gamma) = \frac{K\gamma_b^{-1}}{(\gamma/\gamma_b)\alpha_l + (\gamma/\gamma_b)\alpha_h}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\gamma$ is the electron Lorentz factor varying between $20 < \gamma < 5 \times 10^3$, $\alpha_l$ and $\alpha_h$ are the pre- and post-break electron distribution spectral indices, respectively, and $\gamma_b$ is the break energy Lorentz factor. We assume that the blob contains a homogeneous magnetic field $B$ and that it moves with a bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma$ at an angle $\Theta_0$ with respect to the line of sight. The relativistic Doppler factor is $\delta = [\Gamma (1 - \beta \cos \Theta_0)]^{-1}$, where $\beta$ is the blob bulk speed in units of the speed of light. Our assumed and best fit parameters are listed in Table 3.

Our modelling of the 4C +71.07 high-energy emission is based on an inverse Compton (IC) model with three main sources of external seed photons. The first source is the accretion disc characterised by a black-body spectrum peaking in the UV with a bolometric luminosity $L_d$ for an IC-scattering blob at a distance $z_{\text{jet}}$ from the central part of the disc. The value of the black hole mass is based on the value computed by Tagliaferri et al. (2015), $M_{\text{BH}} = 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. From Raiteri et al. (2014) we can approximate the disc luminosity to $L_d \approx 2.2 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $T_d \approx 3 \times 10^{44} K$, computed by means of a black-body approximation of the disc model. Moreover, we assume the bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma = 20$ similar to the value reported in Paliya (2015). Our almost-simultaneous data marginally sample the synchrotron component of the SED, preventing us from deriving additional constraints from this portion of the SED.
Almost-simultaneous SEDs were previously modelled by Ghisellini et al. (2010) and Tagliaferri et al. (2015) in different emission states. We base our assumed parameters on those derived by these authors. In particular, we fix $z_{\text{jet}} = 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm (distance from the central black hole at which the emission takes place, intermediate between the values reported in the cited references); this is to be compared with $r_e = 2GM/c^2 \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15}$ cm.

The second source of external seed photons is the broad-line region, placed at a distance from the central black hole of $R_{\text{BLR}} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{18}$ cm, and assumed to reprocess $f_{\text{BLR}} = 3\%$ of the irradiating continuum (obtained by considering a BLR cloud-coverage factor of 30% and a 10% reflectivity factor for each single cloud). Given the relative locations of the disc, the emitting blob, and the BLR, we consider disc photons entering the blob from behind (therefore de-boosted), while the BLR photons can be considered isotropic within $R_{\text{BLR}}$ in the source frame (head-on, hence boosted, see Dermer & Schlickeiser 1994).

The third source of external seed photons is the dusty torus, assumed to be located at a distance of $R_{\text{Torus}} \approx 10^{19}$ cm, emitting in the infrared energy range with $T_{\text{Torus}} \approx 100$ K and assumed to reprocess a fraction $f_{\text{Torus}} = 50\%$ of the irradiating continuum.

Figure 4 shows the overall SED fit (solid line) and each component, namely the synchrotron emission (dashed line), the torus photons (light grey dotted lines), the BLR photons entering the blob from behind (therefore de-boosted), while the BLR photons can be considered isotropic within $R_{\text{BLR}}$ in the source frame (head-on, hence boosted, see Dermer & Schlickeiser 1994).

Figure 4 clearly shows how the Compton part of the spectrum dominates over the synchrotron and the thermal parts.

The energetics of 4C +71.07 can be computed by estimating the isotropic luminosity in the $\gamma$-ray energy band, $L_{\text{iso}}$. For a given source with redshift $z$, the isotropic emitted luminosity in an energy band can be computed following Vercellone et al. (2010). Using the observed $\gamma$-ray flux and photon index for the second flare labelled F2 (see Table 1), for $E > 100$ MeV we obtain $L_{\gamma E > 100\text{MeV}} \approx 3 \times 10^{49}$ erg s$^{-1}$, while the Eddington luminosity is $L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 6 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (implying $L_\gamma/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.3$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$z_{\text{jet}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{BLR}}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{d}}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{47}$</td>
<td>erg s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_d$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{BLR}}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{BLR}}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{Torus}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{19}$</td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Torus}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{Torus}}$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_b$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $\Gamma$, $L_{\text{d}}$, and $T_d$ are assumed to be fixed.
Alternatively, we can estimate the jet power following \( P_{\text{jet}}\) (2015), \( P_{\text{jet}} \approx 1.3(0.2)M_\odot c^2 \approx 1.43 \times 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), assuming \( M \approx L_d/(\eta c^2)\), \( \eta = 0.3\), and \( L_d = 2.2 \times 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1}\). Combining this result with the previous one, we obtain that the jet power is in the range \((0.4-1.4) \times 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1}\).

The study of high-redshift blazars has a strong impact on cosmology providing crucial information about i) the formation and growth of super-massive black holes, ii) the connection between the jet and the central engine, and iii) the role of the jet in the feedback occurring in the host galaxies (Volonteri et al. 2011).

Recent hard X-ray surveys (Ajello et al. 2009, 2012; Ghisellini et al. 2010) have been demonstrated to be more effective in detecting high-redshift blazars compared to \(\gamma\)-ray surveys. The main reason is that the SEDs of these sources peak in the MeV region and detection becomes a difficult task for \(\gamma\)-ray instruments.

In this context, the e-ASTROGAM mission (proposed as a medium-sized mission in the ESA M5 call, De Angelis et al. 2017) will have a great potential in detecting these blazars taking advantage of its soft \(\gamma\)-ray band (0.3–3000 MeV) as compared with AGILE and Fermi, with a sensitivity in the energy range 1–10 MeV more than one order of magnitude better with respect to COMPTEL. In Fig. 4 is reported the e-ASTROGAM extra-galactic sensitivity for an integration time of 6 days, comparable to the AGILE integration time during the \(\gamma\)-ray flares. We can appreciate the e-ASTROGAM excellent performance in detecting this kind of object, providing crucial information both in the rising of the inverse Compton energy range and at its peak. High-redshift sources should have high accretion rates, close to the Eddington limit, yielding high Compton dominance (\(\approx 71.07\)). The e-ASTROGAM satellite will substantially advance our knowledge of MeV blazars up to redshift \(z = 4.5\), with implications for blazar physics, cosmology, and the study of both the extra-galactic background light and the inter-galactic magnetic field. These observations will be invaluable and complementary to the data from ATHENA (Barcons et al. 2017) for the study of super-massive black holes with the possibility to investigate how the two populations of AGNs (radio-quiet and radio-loud) evolve with redshift.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we presented the almost-simultaneous data collected on the high-redshift flat-spectrum radio quasar 4C +71.071. The AGILE, Fermi/LAT, Swift, and GASP-WEBT data allowed us to investigate both the non-thermal emission originating from the jet emerging from the central black hole and the properties of the \(\gamma\)-ray emitting region. We find that the data collected in our observing campaign can be modelled by means of a simple one-zone leptonic model with the emission zone within the broadband region. Moreover, we discussed how this class of sources might be suitable candidates for the proposed e-ASTROGAM \(\gamma\)-ray mission.