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Abstract: Direct driven hydraulic drives (DDH) have the advantages of compact high power density in hydraulic systems and flexible control of electric motors. These advantages can benefit non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) applications. However, maintaining high efficiency while working in sub-zero conditions with NRMM is challenging. Therefore, this paper investigates the effect of hydraulic oil on the efficiency of a DDH in a cold environment for an NRMM application. In the DDH setup, the speed and position control of a double-acting cylinder was implemented directly with an electric motor drive in a closed-loop system without the conventional control valves. Efficiency measurements of the DDH setup with two oils (conventional multi-grade and high-performance) were conducted under different operating conditions (speed and payload) and environmental conditions (temperature in °C). The paper provides an evaluation of the electro-hydraulic system and a discussion on the usage of hydraulic oil by non-road mobile working machines in sub-zero conditions. An experimental investigation demonstrated an improvement in efficiency of 5%-unit at 22 °C, from 2%-unit to 5%-unit at 3 °C, and of almost a 10%-unit at temperatures below zero (−10 °C) by changing oil.
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1. Introduction

Efficiency and operational costs are key factors driving the non-road mobile machine (NRMM) industry today. However, the European Union (EU) [1] members and the United States (USA) [2], among other countries, are demanding new solutions by applying tighter regulations. In response, there is an increasing amount of proposals arising for more eco-friendly technological solutions. The academic and industrial sectors are actively proposing solutions for mining, goods manufacturing, forest harvesting, cargo logistics, construction, and agriculture. For instance, academic studies related to construction are concentrating on electrification and hybridization [3–7]. In response to these demands, the NRMM market has created new products with fully and partially electrified machines [8–11]. However, most of these market examples concentrate on meeting regulation demands while at the same time achieving attractive cost reductions, whereas academic studies mostly concentrate on efficiency. Commonly applied methods to improve efficiency are electrifying the power train [12] and/or changing the working hydraulics in order to capture potential and/or kinetic energy [7,13], and applying control-based energy-saving strategies [5]. All of these mentioned methods require significant changes in NRMM and monitoring of expenses.
To overcome these limitations, this work addresses alternative methods and investigates the effect of hydraulic oil on system efficiency as an affordable solution. In references [14–16], the authors demonstrated that the proper selection of fluid with an optimal viscosity–temperature relationship could improve efficiency and reduce gasoline consumption in excavators and forklifts. In reference [17], it was demonstrated that hydraulic fluids play a major role in this context in temperature ranges above 0 °C. It should be considered that the NRMM ambient operating outdoor temperatures may vary between +50 °C and −40 °C, depending on the location in the world. Therefore, the utilized test temperature range appears to be inappropriate and unrealistic.

It is apparent that research related to sub-zero (0 °C) conditions in this area is rather limited. For instance, a research series in arctic conditions was undertaken in Finland at the end of the 1980s. This research included testing different pumps [18], hydraulic motors [19], cylinder seals [20], O-rings [21], and hose assemblies [22] in an outdoor environment with a temperature range of −20 °C to −50 °C. Another independent experimental study [23,24] from Krakow University demonstrated that operating in low temperatures required the proper selection of hydraulic fluids and careful design of the pump suction systems.

Therefore, attempting to find solutions to maintain the high efficiency of an NRMM in sub-zero conditions is both attractive and challenging. In this study, it was proposed to utilize a simplified version of an NRMM—the direct driven hydraulic drive (DDH). It was shown in earlier publications that the DDH drive benefits NRMM as a compact solution, which provides high hydraulic power and flexible control of the electric motor [25–27].

Therefore, this paper addresses the effect of hydraulic oil on system efficiency for sub-zero conditions with the example of the direct driven hydraulic drive. The remainder of this paper is organized in the following manner. Section 2 describes the scheme and principles of the DDH system and the experimental procedures used to obtain the measurements. Measurements with conventional multi-grade and high-performance oils were carried out at different temperatures. The results are illustrated in Section 3. The differences in efficiency between the different temperature ranges are discussed from an energy-saving point of view in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are given.

2. Test Case and Experimental Procedure

This section contains a description of the test setup and experimental procedure and the definitions of various efficiencies.

Figure 1 illustrates the simplified experimental test setup of DDH. The setup used a speed-controlled electric servo motor and a frequency converter. The electric motor rotated two hydraulic pump/motors in order to directly control the position of the double-acting cylinder in an open loop control. The lifting–lowering movement cycle, which occurred at different speeds and payloads, was enabled with a program for the electric drive which controlled both the electrical and hydraulic sides of the DDH setup.

The DDH setup (illustrated in Figures 1 and 2) was tested under various conditions in a cold chamber. The cold chamber used for these tests had an adjustable ambient temperature range from −50 °C to +30 °C. The selected ambient temperatures for the experimental investigations were +22 °C, +3 °C, and −10 °C. For each temperature, a set of measurements were performed by varying the payload (0 kg to 120 kg) and motor speed (600 rpm, 800 rpm, 1000 rpm). Thermocouples were used to monitor the initial temperatures on the surfaces and within the test setup at the beginning of each measurement sequence. Each measurement sequence consisted of five actuator lifting–lowering cycles. Each measurement set was only repeated twice due to time constraints related to cold chamber use.
Due to unpredictable noises in the measurement signals caused by the electric drive, the average values of the calculated efficiencies were used.

The total efficiency of the DDH system was calculated using the equation below, where \( \eta_{system\ total} \) is the total system efficiency during the lifting stage of the cycle:

\[
\eta_{system\ total} = \frac{P_{Mechanical\ load}}{P_{Electric\ motor}}
\]
where \( P_{\text{Electric motor}} \) is the power input into the system by the electric motor and drive in W, and \( P_{\text{Mechanical load}} \) is the output power of the system to lift the payload in W. Mechanisms changing geometry during the lifting cycle and its own mass components and inertias were considered in the calculations of the mechanical power output.

The electro-hydraulic efficiency \( \eta_{\text{electro-hydraulic}} \) was calculated with the following equation:

\[
\eta_{\text{electro-hydraulic}} = \frac{P_{\text{Hydraulic}}} {P_{\text{Electric motor}}}
\]  

where \( P_{\text{Hydraulic}} \) is the hydraulic power in the system in W.

The hydro-mechanical efficiency \( \eta_{\text{hydro-mechanical}} \) was calculated with the following equation:

\[
\eta_{\text{hydro-mechanical}} = \frac{P_{\text{Mechanical load}}}{P_{\text{Hydraulic}}}
\]  

\( \eta \) is the lifting system total efficiency with oils A and B under various payloads and with an ambient temperature of +22°C.

3. Results of the Experimental Investigation

Figure 2 illustrates the effect of temperature on the lifting system total efficiency with oils A and B under various payloads and with an ambient temperature of +22°C.

The following section demonstrates the results of the experimental investigation.
Figure 3 shows an improvement of total system efficiency due to Oil B at all motor speeds and loads at the ambient temperature of 22°C. There was on average a 35%-unit increase in efficiency due to an increase in the payload. With the change of oil under zero payload, there was a 5%-unit increase in efficiency.

Figure 4 illustrates the effect of temperature on the lifting system total efficiency with oils A and B under various payloads and with an ambient temperature of 3°C.
In Figure 4, an increase from 2%-unit to 5%-unit in efficiency with 0 kg of payload can be seen. However, due to technical measurement issues, the new oil measurements for a payload of 120 kg were not available. The difference in efficiencies between zero load and 120 kg load was approximately 29%-unit. The total system efficiency under all payloads and motor speeds dropped with a change of 22 °C to −10 °C in ambient temperature.

Figure 5 illustrates the lifting system total efficiency with oils A and B under various payloads and with an ambient temperature of −10 °C.

Figure 6 illustrates the lifting system total efficiency with oils A and B under various payloads and with an ambient temperature of −10 °C.

Table 1. Oil analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Oil A Fresh</th>
<th>Oil A after test</th>
<th>Oil B Fresh</th>
<th>Oil B after test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>32.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(KV) @ 40 °C, mm²/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>6.335</td>
<td>6.965</td>
<td>6.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@ 100 °C, mm²/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI (viscosity index)</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour Point, °C</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−54</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookfield % @ −26 °C, mPas</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data from [13].

Table 1 illustrates that Oil A has a very high viscosity index (VI), which is expected to provide a high mechanical efficiency. Oil B has a moderate VI and excellent low temperature properties, both of which enhance mechanical efficiency.

Figure 7 illustrates an example of the torque curves of two movement cycles of the electric motor of the DDH actuator with both oils at 22 °C and −10 °C and with 120 kg payload at 600 rpm.
2019 by a reduction in diameter, and cylinder losses. According to Figure 7, the hydro-mechanical efficiency was the same at 0 °C, the system used less torque than Oil A. At -10 °C, the system needed a higher torque in order to force the actuator to move downwards. In this case, the electric machine was working in the motoring mode quadrant (negative speed and negative torque). This mode was not required for operation at 22 °C. This applied to both oils.

Figure 6, the dotted line corresponds to Oil A, and the solid line corresponds to Oil B. Figure 6 shows that at the same temperature, with Oil A, the system used less torque than Oil B. At -10 °C, the system had a minor decrease in electro-hydraulic efficiency.

Figure 7 demonstrates the effect of oil on the lifting system efficiency with oils A and B at an ambient temperature of +3 °C. In Figure 7, electro-hydraulic losses comprise mechanical (shaft, motor, gear) and electric and pump losses. Hydro-mechanical losses in the DDH system were composed of pipe friction losses, elbows and other fittings, entrance and exit losses, losses from changes in pipe size by a reduction in diameter, and cylinder losses. According to Figure 7, the hydro-mechanical efficiency with Oil B at ambient temperature +3 °C increased compared to values obtained with Oil A, and at the same time there was a minor decrease in electro-hydraulic efficiency.

**Figure 7.** Electro-hydraulic efficiency and hydro-mechanical efficiency during lifting with a motor speed of 1000 rpm and zero payload with oils A and B at ambient temperature of +3 °C.

4. Discussion
4. Discussion

The above experiments demonstrated an improvement of the overall system efficiency due to Oil B at all motor speeds and loads and at all tested ambient temperatures. At +22 °C, the change of payload from 0 to 120 kg increased the total system efficiency by an average of 35%-unit. In addition, the difference between oils with 0 kg payload at the same temperature showed a 5%-unit increase in efficiency. At a temperature of +3 °C and 0 kg payload, an increase from 2%-unit to 5%-unit in efficiency was observed. At +3 °C, the increase of efficiencies between 0 and 120 kg payload was approximately 29%-unit. An overall reduction of efficiencies under all combinations of payload and motor speed was observed when lowering the ambient temperature from +22 °C to +3 °C. Also, at an ambient temperature of −10 °C, a significant drop in the overall system efficiency was observed compared to temperatures +22 °C and +3 °C. At −10 °C, despite the overall drop in efficiency, Oil B again gave a better efficiency with nearly a 10%-unit difference when compared to Oil A. Figure 6 illustrates that the electric motor applied less torque with Oil B than with Oil A at the same temperature. When operating at −10 °C, a motor with a higher torque was needed for both oils to move the actuator downwards due to the lower temperature, and the associated change in oil viscosity appeared to cause more stiffness in the system. It is a known fact that oil viscosity largely depends on the temperature. Cold temperatures are just as dangerous as overheating; extremely cold temperatures affect the lubrication of the system through changes in viscosity. Too high a viscosity in cold temperatures could cause a catastrophic failure of the pump at start-up, while too low a viscosity in high temperatures could accelerate the pump wearing due to compromising the thickness of the protective oil films, causing metal-to-metal contact. The degradation of the oil viscosity is accelerated with temperature variation. In conventional systems, excessive temperatures will oxidize the oil and lead to sludge deposits in the system. As shown in Figure 7, the hydro-mechanical efficiency with Oil B was better at +3 °C compared to Oil A. However, at the same time, there was a decrease in the electro-hydraulic efficiency.

Serious problems affecting cold weather operations are a direct result of the interaction between cold temperatures and moisture. For instance, running systems in low temperatures will allow condensation to occur in the reservoir, increasing the likelihood of pump cavitation. In addition, the possibility of thermal shocks, which result from a too rapid increase or decrease in temperature, exposes frame components to stress that can lead to damage or permanently reduced service life.

These results are applicable to most non-road mobile machinery for Scandinavian countries, Russia, Canada, and the USA (Alaska). Cold conditions are common in these regions, and considering the expansion of searches for natural resources and the tightening of TIER regulations, this research is becoming increasingly important. These results can help to create future NRMM that are suitable for extreme climate conditions. Furthermore, the investigation of potential aging effects of temperature cycles on selected components and oils with long-term tests and the creation of DDH models in extreme cold conditions are required.

5. Conclusions

Today’s governments of leading countries are applying tighter regulations for the NRMM industry. In response, there is a rising trend to produce more eco-friendly technological solutions. However, in order to meet regulations without significant changes to the NRMMs and without drastically increasing expenses, improving efficiency becomes an important topic to address.

To meet these requirements, this work addresses alternative methods and investigates the effect of hydraulic oil on system efficiency as an affordable solution. A considerable amount of literature on the subject demonstrated that research relating to sub-zero (0 °C) conditions in this area is rather limited. Therefore, to make results more realistic, the utilized temperature test range were set both above and below 0 °C in order to meet the NRMM ambient operating outdoor temperatures, which vary between +50 °C and −40 °C.

In this study, a simplified version of an NRMM—the direct driven hydraulic drive—was utilized.
This research concentrated on analyzing the efficiency of a DDH system in sub-zero environments. In DDH hydraulic systems, the oil flow is controlled directly by the rotational speed of the pump. An experimental investigation proved that the oil properties were important for DDH efficiency, and the efficiency of a DDH system in a cold environment could be significantly improved by switching to high-performance oil (Oil B). Efficiencies were improved by 5%-unit at +22 °C, by 2%-unit to 5%-unit at +3 °C, and by almost 10%-unit at temperatures below zero (−10 °C) by changing from Oil A to Oil B. The results of this study also demonstrated that with the high-performance oil (Oil B), the system used less torque than with the conventional multi-grade oil (Oil A) at the same temperature. However, at sub-zero temperatures (in this case, −10 °C), the system needed higher torque to force the actuator to move downwards in order to follow the requested actuator position. This phenomenon was not observed at 22 °C with either of the oils.
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