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Let \( X \) be a quasi-Banach function space over a doubling metric measure space \( \mathcal{P} \). Denote by \( \alpha_X \) the generalized upper Boyd index of \( X \). We show that if \( \alpha_X < \infty \) and \( X \) has absolutely continuous quasinorm, then quasiverypoint is a generalized Lebesgue point of a quasicontinuous Hajłasz function \( u \in \mathcal{M}^{s,\mathcal{P}} \). Moreover, if \( \alpha_X < (Q + s)/Q \), then quasiverypoint is a Lebesgue point of \( u \). As an application we obtain Lebesgue type theorems for Lorentz–Hajłasz, Orlicz–Hajłasz, and variable exponent Hajłasz functions.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let \( \mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}, d, \mu) \) be a doubling metric measure space. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, almost every point of a locally integrable function is a Lebesgue point. As expected, for smoother functions, the set of non-Lebesgue points is smaller. In [1], Kinnunen and Latvala showed that, for a quasicontinuous Hajłasz–Sobolev function \( u \in \mathcal{M}^{1,p}(\mathcal{P}), p > 1 \), there exists a set \( E \) of \( \mathcal{M}^{1,p} \)-capacity zero such that

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} u(y) \, d\mu(y) = u(x) \tag{1}
\]

for every \( x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus E \). The case \( p = 1 \) was studied in [2, 3]. Recently, in [4] and independently in [5], it was shown that if \( \mu(B(y, r)) \leq C \mu(B(x, R)) \) for every \( x \in \mathcal{P}, 0 < r \leq R \) and \( y \in B(x, R) \), then the inequality (1) holds outside a set of \( \mathcal{M}^{1,p} \)-capacity zero.

If we replace integral averages in (1) by medians, then the result holds true also for small \( p > 0 \). For \( 0 < \gamma < 1, A \subset \mathcal{P}, \) and \( u \in L_0^\gamma \), denote

\[
m^\gamma_u(A) = \inf \{ a \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{ x \in A : u(x) > a \}) < \gamma \mu(A) \} . \tag{3}
\]

If \( p > 0 \) and \( u \in \mathcal{M}^{s,p} \) is quasicontinuous, then by [4, Theorem 1.2], there exists a set \( E \subset \mathcal{P} \) of \( \mathcal{M}^{s,p} \)-capacity zero such that

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} m^\gamma_u(B(x, r)) = u(x) \tag{4}
\]

for every \( x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus E \) and \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1/2 \).

In this paper, we will study the existence of (generalized) Lebesgue points for functions \( u \) whose Hajłasz gradient belongs to a general quasi-Banach function space \( X \). This approach allows us to simultaneously cover, for example, Orlicz–Hajłasz, Lorentz–Hajłasz, and variable exponent Hajłasz functions.

If \( \mu \) be doubling. Suppose that \( X \) has absolutely continuous quasinorm and that, for every \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) and for every ball \( B \subset \mathcal{P} \), there exists a constant \( C \) such that

\[
\| (\mathcal{M}^\gamma u) \chi_B \|_X \leq C \| u \|_X \tag{6}
\]
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for every $g \in X$. Let $0 < s \leq 1$. Then, for every quasicontinuous $u \in M^{\infty, X}(\mathcal{P})$, there exists a set $E \subset \mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{M^{\infty, X}}(E) = 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\lim_{r \to 0} m^X_r(B(x, r)) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to 0} m^X_r(B(x, r)) = u(x)
\end{equation}
for every $x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus E$ and $0 < y < 1$.

We say that a point $x \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying (7) for every $0 < y < 1$ is a generalized Lebesgue point of $u$.

The (restricted) Hardy–Littlewood maximal function of a locally integrable function $u$ is
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{M}u(x) = \sup_{0 < r \leq R} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |u(y)| d\mu(y).
\end{equation}

As usual, we denote $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\infty$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and let $\mu$ satisfy (2). Denote $q = Q/(Q+s)$. Suppose that $X$ has absolutely continuous quasinorm and that, for every ball $B \subset \mathcal{P}$, there exists a constant $C$ such that
\begin{equation}
\left\| (\mathcal{M}^q f)^{1/q} \right\|_X \leq C \|g\|_X
\end{equation}
for every $0 \leq g \in X$. Then, for every quasicontinuous $u \in M^{\infty, X}(\mathcal{P})$, quasievery point is a Lebesgue point of $u$.

For any quasi-Banach function space $X$ over $\mathcal{P}$, define $\Phi_X : (0, 1) \to [1, \infty]$
\begin{equation}
\Phi_X(y) = \sup_{f \neq 0} \|\mathcal{M}^q f\|_X.
\end{equation}
The generalized upper Boyd index of $X$ is
\begin{equation}
\alpha_X = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\log \Phi_X(y)}{\log (1/y)}.
\end{equation}
The generalized upper Boyd index was introduced in [20], where it was shown that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\alpha_X < 1$. As a corollary of Theorems 1 and 2 we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that $X$ has absolutely continuous quasinorm and that $\mu$ satisfies (2). Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and let $u \in M^{\infty, X}(\mathcal{P})$ be quasicontinuous.

(i) If $\alpha_X < \infty$, then quasievery point is a generalized Lebesgue point of $u$.

(ii) If $\alpha_X < (Q + s)/Q$, then quasievery point is a Lebesgue point of $u$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic Assumptions. In this paper, $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}, d, \mu)$ is a metric measure space equipped with a metric $d$ and a Borel regular, doubling outer measure $\mu$, for which the measure of every ball is positive and finite. The doubling property means that there exists a constant $c_d > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c_d \mu(B(x, r))
\end{equation}
for every ball $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathcal{P} : d(x, y) < r\}$, where $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $r > 0$.

The doubling condition is equivalent to the existence of constants $c$ and $Q$ such that (2) holds for every $0 < r \leq R$, $x \in \mathcal{P}$, and $y \in B(x, R)$.

The integral average of a locally integrable function $u$ over a set $A$ of positive and finite measure is
\begin{equation}
u_A = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A u \, d\mu.
\end{equation}

By $\chi_E$, we denote the characteristic function of a set $E \subset \mathcal{P}$ and by $\mathbb{R}$, the extended real numbers $[-\infty, \infty]$. $L^0 = L^1(\mathcal{P})$ is the set of all measurable, almost everywhere finite functions $u : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$. In general, $C$ and $c$ are positive constants whose values are not necessarily same at each occurrence. When we want to stress that the constant depends on other constants or parameters $a, b, \ldots$, we write $C = C(a, b, \ldots)$.

2.2. Quasi-Banach Function Spaces. A quasinorm on a subspace of $L^0(\mathcal{P})$ is a functional $\| \cdot \|$ such that
\begin{itemize}
\item[(i)] $\|f\| = 0 \iff f = 0$ a.e.;
\item[(ii)] $\|af\| = |a|\|f\|$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$;
\item[(iii)] there exists a constant $c_\alpha$ such that $\|f + g\| \leq c_\alpha (\|f\| + \|g\|)$.
\end{itemize}

A quasi-Banach function space $X$ over $\mathcal{P}$ is a subspace of $L^0(\mathcal{P})$ equipped with a complete quasinorm $\| \cdot \|_X$ that has the following properties:
\begin{itemize}
\item[(i)] $g \in X$ and $|f| \leq |g|$ a.e. $\implies f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$;
\item[(ii)] $\mu(E) < \infty \implies \|\chi_E\|_X < \infty$;
\item[(ii)] $0 \leq f_k \uparrow f$ a.e. $\implies \|f_k\|_X \uparrow \|f\|_X$.
\end{itemize}

By the Aoki–Rolewicz theorem ([25, 26]), there exists a constant $0 < \rho \leq 1$ such that
\begin{equation}
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k \right\|_X \leq 4^{1/\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|f_k\|_X^\rho \right)^{1/\rho}
\end{equation}
for all $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in X$.

A quasinorm $\| \cdot \|_X$ on $X$ is absolutely continuous if $\|f\|_X \to 0$ whenever $f \in X$ and $(E_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of sets such that $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k = \emptyset$.

2.3. Hajłasz Spaces. Let $0 < s < \infty$. A measurable function $g : \mathcal{P} \to [0, \infty]$ is an $s$-gradient of a function $u \in L^0(\mathcal{P})$ if there exists a set $E \subset \mathcal{P}$ with $\mu(E) = 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{P} \setminus E$,
\begin{equation}
|u(x) - u(y)| \leq d(x, y)^s (g(x) + g(y)).
\end{equation}
The collection of all $s$-gradientes of $u$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(u)$.
The homogeneous Hajłasz space \( M^{sX} = M^{sX}(\mathscr{P}) \) consists of measurable functions \( u \) for which

\[
\|u\|_{M^{sX}} = \inf_{g \in \mathscr{D}(u)} \|g\|_X
\]  

(16)

is finite. The Hajłasz space \( M^{sX} = M^{sX}(\mathscr{P}) \) is \( M^{sX} \cap X \) equipped with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{M^{sX}} = \|u\|_X + \|u\|_{M^{sX}}.
\]  

(17)

Hajłasz spaces \( M^{sP}(\mathscr{P}) = M^{sL^p}(\mathscr{P}) \) were introduced in [27] for \( s = 1, p \geq 1 \) and in [28] for fractional scales. Recall that, for \( p > 1 \), \( M^{1P}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{1P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) (see [27]), whereas for \( n/(n+1) < p \leq 1 \), \( M^{1P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) coincides with the Hardy–Sobolev space \( H^{1P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) by [29, Thm 1].

Next two lemmas for \( s \)-gradients follow easily from the definition; see [30, Lemmas 2.4] and [1, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 4.** Let \( u, v \in L^1(\mathscr{P}), g \in \mathscr{D}(u) \), and \( h \in \mathscr{D}(v) \). Then \( \max\{g,h\} \) is an \( s \)-gradient of \( \max\{u,v\} \) and \( \min\{u,v\} \).

**Lemma 5.** Let \( u_i \in L^1(\mathscr{P}) \) and \( g_i \in \mathscr{D}(u_i), i \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( u = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} u_i \) and \( g = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \). If \( u \in L^1(\mathscr{P}) \), then \( g \in \mathscr{D}(u) \).

The following lemma is essential [4, Lemma 7.2].

**Lemma 6.** Let \( 0 < s \leq 1 \) and let \( S \subset \mathscr{P} \) be a measurable set. Let \( u : \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a measurable function with \( g \in \mathscr{D}(u) \) and let \( \varphi \) be a bounded \( L \)-Lipschitz function supported in \( S \). Then

\[
h = \left( \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + (2 \|\varphi\|_{\infty})^{1-s} L^s|u| \right) \chi_S \in \mathscr{D}(u \varphi) \]  

(18)

Consequently, there exists a constant \( C = C(s, \|\varphi\|_{\infty}, L) \) such that

\[
\|u \varphi\|_{M^{sX}} \leq C \left( \|u \chi_S\|_X + \inf_{g \in \mathscr{D}(u)} \|g \chi_S\|_X \right)
\]  

(19)

for every \( u \in M^{sX} \).

**Lemma 7.** Let \( 0 < s \leq 1 \) and suppose that \( X \) has absolutely continuous quasinorm. Then \( s \)-Hölder continuous functions are dense in \( M^{sX} \).

**Proof.** Let \( u \in M^{sX}, g \in \mathscr{D}(u) \cap X \) and let \( E \) be the exceptional set for (15). Then \( u \) is \( s \)-Hölder continuous with constant \( 2\lambda \) in the set \( E_\lambda = \{ x \in \mathscr{P} \setminus E : g(x) \leq \lambda \} \). By [31], there is an extension \( u_{|E_\lambda} \) to \( \mathscr{P} \) such that \( u_{|E_\lambda} \) is \( s \)-Hölder continuous with constant \( 2\lambda \). It is easy to see that \( (g+3\lambda) \chi_{E \setminus E_\lambda} \in \mathscr{D}(u-u_{|E_\lambda}) \); see [32, Proposition 4.5]. By the absolute continuity of \( \|\cdot\|_X, \|\cdot + 3\lambda\chi_{E \setminus E_\lambda}\|_X \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \|u-u_{|E_\lambda}\|_X \leq 2\|u \chi_{E \setminus E_\lambda}\|_X \rightarrow 0 \) as \( \lambda \rightarrow 0 \).

2.4. \( \gamma \)-Median. Let \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \). The \( \gamma \)-median of a measurable function \( u : \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) over a set \( A \) of finite measure is

\[
m_{\gamma}^u(A)
\]  

(20)

Note that if \( u \in L^1(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( 0 < \mu(A) < \infty \), then \( m_{\gamma}^u(A) \) is finite.

In the following lemma, we list some basic properties of the \( \gamma \)-median. Properties (a), (b), (d), (f), and (g) follow from [21, Propositions 1.1 and 1.2] and (h) and (i) from [21, Theorem 2.1]. The remaining properties (c) and (e) follow immediately from the definition.

**Lemma 8.** The \( \gamma \)-median has the following properties:

(a) If \( \gamma \leq \gamma' \), then \( m_{\gamma}^u(A) \geq m_{\gamma'}^u(A) \).

(b) If \( u \leq v \) almost everywhere, then \( m_{\gamma}^u(A) \leq m_{\gamma}^v(A) \).

(c) If \( A \subset B \) and \( \mu(B) \leq C\mu(A) \), then \( m_{\gamma}^u(A) \leq m_{\gamma}^{u\chi_B}(B) \).

(d) If \( c \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( m_{\gamma}^{u+c}(A) = m_{\gamma}^u(A) + c \).

(e) If \( c > 0 \), then \( m_{\gamma}^{u+c}(A) = cm_{\gamma}^u(A) \).

(f) \( |m_{\gamma}^u(A)| \leq \min_{|x|<\gamma} \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{A})} \).

(g) \( m_{\gamma}^{u\chi_B}(A) \leq m_{\gamma}^u(B) + m_{\gamma}^u(A) \).

(h) If \( u \) is continuous, then for every \( x \in \mathscr{P} \),

\[
\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m_{\gamma}^u(B(x,r)) = u(x).
\]  

(21)

(i) If \( u \in L^1(\mathscr{P}) \), then there exists a set \( E \) with \( \mu(E) = 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m_{\gamma}^u(B(x,r)) = u(x)
\]  

(22)

for every \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) and \( x \in \mathscr{P} \setminus E \).

2.5. Discrete Maximal Functions. In this subsection, we define "discrete" versions of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function

\[
\mathcal{M}_u(x) = \sup_{0<r<\mathbb{R}} |u|_{B(x,r)}.
\]  

(23)

and the median maximal function

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^u(x) = \sup_{0<r<\mathbb{R}} m_{\gamma}^u(B(x,r)).
\]  

(24)

We first recall the definition of a discrete convolution. Discrete convolutions are basic tools in harmonic analysis in homogeneous spaces; see, for example, [33, 34]. The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 9.** For every \( r > 0 \), there exists a collection of balls \( \{B_i = B(x_i, r) : i \in I\} \), where \( I \subset \mathbb{N} \), and functions \( \varphi_i : \mathscr{P} \rightarrow [0,1], i \in I \), such that the following properties hold:

(a) The balls \( B(x_i, r/2), i \in I \), cover \( \mathscr{P} \).

(b) \( \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i \leq C \).

(c) For every \( i \in I \), \( \varphi_i \) is \( C/r\)-Lipschitz, \( \varphi_i \geq C^{-1} \) on \( B_i \), and \( \varphi_i = 0 \) outside \( 2B_i \).

(d) \( \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i = 1 \).

Here, the constant \( C \) depends only on the doubling constant \( c_d \).
For each scale $r > 0$, we choose a collection of balls $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ and a collection of functions $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$ satisfying conditions (a)--(d) of Lemma 9.

**Definition 10.** The discrete convolution of a locally integrable function $u$ at the scale $r$ is

$$u_r = \sum_{i \in I} u_{B_i} \varphi_i,$$  (25)

where $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$ are the chosen collections of balls and functions for the scale $r$.

The discrete maximal function of $u$ is $\mathcal{M}^u : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{M}^u(x) = \sup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < q < r} |u(\cdot)|_{L^q}(x).$$  (26)

The discrete maximal function, which can be seen as a smooth version of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, was introduced in [1].

Similarly, we define median versions of a discrete convolution and a discrete maximal function.

**Definition 11.** Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. The discrete $\gamma$-median convolution of a function $u \in L^0$ at scale $r > 0$ is

$$u^\gamma_r = \sum_{i \in I} m^\gamma_u (B_i) \varphi_i,$$  (27)

where $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$ are as in Definition 10.

The discrete $\gamma$-median maximal function of $u$ is $\mathcal{M}^\gamma_R u : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{M}^\gamma_R u(x) = \sup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < q < r} |u^\gamma(\cdot)|_{L^q}(x).$$  (28)

As a supremum of continuous functions, the discrete maximal functions are lower semicontinuous and hence measurable.

**Lemma 12.** Let $0 < R \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $C = C(c_d) \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \mathcal{M}^u_{R/2} \leq \mathcal{M}^u_R \leq C \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_3 R u$$  (29)

for every $u \in L^1$ and

$$\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{R/2} u \leq C \mathcal{M}^{\gamma/C}_{3 R} u,$$  (30)

$$\mathcal{M}^{\gamma/C}_{3 R} u \leq C \mathcal{M}^{\gamma/C}_{R} u$$

for every $u \in L^0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$.

**Proof.** We will prove (30). The proof of (29) is similar. Let $x \in \mathcal{P}, r > 0$, and let $u^\gamma_r = \sum_{i \in I} m^\gamma_u (B_i) \varphi_i$ be as in Definition 11. If $x \in 2 B_i$, then $B_i \subset B(x, 3r)$. By the doubling property, $\mu(B(x, 3r))) \leq C \mu(B(x, r))$, and so, by Lemma 8(c), $m^\gamma_u (B_i) \leq m^\gamma_u (B(x, 3r)))$. Since $\sum_{i \in I} \chi_{2B_i}(x) \leq C$, it follows that

$$u^\gamma_r (x) \leq C m^\gamma_u (B(x, 3r)).$$  (31)

On the other hand, since the balls $(1/2)B_i, i \in I$, cover $\mathcal{P}$, there is $i \in I$ such that $B(x, r/2) \subset B_i$. By the doubling property, $\mu(B_i)) \leq C \mu(B(x, r/2))$, so, by Lemma 8(c), $m^\gamma_u (B(x, r/2)) \leq m^\gamma_u (B(x, r))$. Since $\varphi_i \geq C^{-1}$ on $B_i$, we have that

$$m^\gamma_u (B(x, r/2)) \leq C \mu(B(x, r/2)).$$  (32)

The claim (30) follows immediately from these estimates. □

### 3. Capacity

In this section, we define the $M^\gamma X$-capacity and prove some of its basic properties.

**Definition 13.** Let $0 < s < \infty$. The $M^s X$-capacity of a set $E \subset \mathcal{P}$ is

$$C_{M^s X}(E) = \inf \{\|u\|_{M^s X} : u \in \mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E)\},$$  (33)

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E) = \{u \in M^s X : u \geq 1 \text{ on a neighbourhood of } E\}$$  (34)

is a set of admissible functions for the capacity. We say that a property holds quasi-everywhere if it holds outside a set of $M^s X$-capacity zero.

**Remark 14.** Lemma 4 easily implies that

$$C_{M^s X}(E) = \inf \{\|u\|_{M^s X} : u \in \mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E)\},$$  (35)

where $\mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E) : 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$.

**Remark 15.** It is easy to see that the $M^s X$-capacity is an outer capacity, which means that

$$C_{M^s X}(E) = \inf \{C_{M^s X}(U) : U \supset E, U \text{ is open}\}.$$  (36)

The $M^s X$-capacity is not generally subadditive, but for most purposes, it suffices that it satisfies inequality (37) below.

**Lemma 16.** Let $0 < s < \infty$ and let $p$ be the constant from (14). Then

$$C_{M^s X} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i \right)^p \leq 8 \sum_{i \in I} C_{M^s X}(E_i)^p$$  (37)

whenever $E_i \subset \mathcal{P}, i \in I \subset \mathbb{N}$.

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that $\sum_{i \in I} C_{M^s X}(E_i)^p < \infty$. There are functions $u_i \in \mathcal{A}_{M^s X}(E_i)$ with $g_i \in \mathcal{P}^i(u_i)$ such that

$$\left(\|u\|_{X} + \|g\|_{X}\right)^p < C_{M^s X}(E_i)^p + 2^{-i} \varepsilon.$$  (38)
By Lemma 5, \( u = \sup_{i \in I} u_i \in A_{M^{s,x}}(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i) \) and \( g = \sup_{i \in I} g_i \in D'(u) \). Hence

\[
C_{M^{s,x}}\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i \right)^p \leq (\|u\|_X + \|g\|_X)^p \leq \|u\|_X^p + \|g\|_X^p \leq 4 \sum (\|u_i\|_X + \|g_i\|_X) \leq 8 \sum (\|u_i\|_X + \|g_i\|_X)^p \leq 8 \left( \varepsilon + \sum_{i \in I} C_{M^{s,x}}(E_i)^p \right)
\]

and the claim follows by letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

A function \( u : \mathcal{P} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) is quasi-continuous if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a set \( E \subset \mathcal{P} \) such that \( C_{M^{s,x}}(E) < \varepsilon \) and the restriction of \( u \) to \( \mathcal{P} \setminus E \) is continuous. By Remark 15, the set \( E \) can be chosen to be open.

The following lemma follows from a result of Kilpeläinen [35].

**Lemma 17.** Suppose that \( u \) and \( v \) are quasi-continuous. If \( u = v \) almost everywhere in an open set \( U \), then \( u = v \) quasieverywhere in \( U \).

**Lemma 18.** Suppose that \( X \) has absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Then, for every \( u \in M^{s,x} \), there exists a quasi-continuous \( u^* \) such that \( u = u^* \) almost everywhere.

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( u \in M^{s,x} \). By Lemma 7, there are continuous functions \( u_i \in M^{s,x} \) converging to \( u \) in \( M^{s,x} \) such that

\[
\|u_i - u_{i+1}\|_{M^{s,x}} < 2^{-2i}
\]

for every \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, by [36, Lemma 3.3], we may assume that \( u_i \to u \) pointwise almost everywhere. Denote \( E_i = \{ x \in \mathcal{P} : |u_i(x) - u_{i+1}(x)| > 2^{-i+1} \} \) and \( F_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \). Then

\[
|u_j - u_k| \leq \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} |u_i - u_{i+1}| \leq \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} 2^{-i} = 2^{-j}
\]

in \( \mathcal{P} \setminus F_j \) for every \( j > k \). Hence \( (u_i) \) converges pointwise in \( \mathcal{P} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \) and the convergence is uniform in \( \mathcal{P} \setminus F_j \). By continuity, \( 2^j|u_j - u_{i+1}| \in D_{M^{s,x}}(E_i) \) and so

\[
C_{M^{s,x}}(E_i)^p \leq 2^i \|u_i - u_{i+1}\|_{M^{s,x}} < 2^{-i}
\]

for every \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, by Lemma 16,

\[
C_{M^{s,x}}(F_j)^p \leq 8 \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} C_{M^{s,x}}(E_i)^p \leq C 2^{-jp},
\]

which implies that \( C_{M^{s,x}}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j) = 0 \). It follows that the function \( u^* = \limsup_{i \to \infty} u_i \) is quasi-continuous. Moreover, \( u^* = \lim_{i \to \infty} u_i = u \) almost everywhere.

Suppose then that \( u \in M^{s,x} \). Let \( x \in \mathcal{P} \). For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( \varphi_k \) be a Lipschitz function of bounded support such that \( \varphi_k = 1 \) in \( B(x, k) \). Then, by Lemma 6, \( u_k = u \varphi_k \in M^{s,x} \). By the first part of the proof, there exists quasicontinuous \( u_k^* \) such that \( u_k^* = u_k \) almost everywhere. Since \( u_{k+1} = u_k \) almost everywhere in \( B(x, k) \), Lemma 17 implies that there exists \( E_k \) with \( C_{M^{s,x}}(E_k) = 0 \) such that \( u_{k+1}^* = u_k^* \) in \( B(x, k) \setminus E_k \). It follows that the limit \( \lim_{k \to \infty} u_k^* \) exists in \( \mathcal{P} \setminus E \), where, by Lemma 16, \( E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \) is of \( M^{s,x} \)-capacity zero. Define \( u^* = \limsup_{k \to \infty} u_k^* \). Then, clearly \( u^* = u \) almost everywhere. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). For every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( U_k \) with \( C_{M^{s,x}}(U_k) < 2^{-k} \varepsilon \) such that \( u_k^* \upharpoonright \partial U_k \) is continuous. It follows that \( u^* \upharpoonright \partial U_k \) is continuous and, by Lemma 16, \( C_{M^{s,x}}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \cup E) < \varepsilon \).

The following lemma gives a useful characterization of the capacity in terms of quasi-continuous functions. The proof of the lemma is essentially same as the proof of [37, Theorem 3.4]. For \( E \subset \mathcal{P} \), denote

\[
\mathcal{Q}_{A_{M^{s,x}}}(E) = \{ u \in M^{s,x} : u \text{ is quasi-continuous and } u \geq 1 \text{ quasi-everywhere in } E \}.
\]

**Lemma 19.** Suppose that \( X \) has absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Then

\[
\mathcal{C}_{M^{s,x}}(E) \leq C_{M^{s,x}}(E) \leq c_2 \mathcal{C}_{M^{s,x}}(E)
\]

for every \( E \subset \mathcal{P} \).

**Proof.** To prove the first inequality, let \( u \in A_{M^{s,x}}(E) \) and let \( u^* \) be a quasi-continuous representative of \( u \). Since \( u \geq 1 \) in some open set \( U \) containing \( E \) and \( u^* = u \) almost everywhere, it follows that \( \min(0, u^* - 1) = 0 \) almost everywhere in \( U \). Since \( \min(0, u^* - 1) \) is quasi-continuous, the equality actually holds quasi-everywhere in \( U \). Hence \( u^* \geq 1 \) quasi-everywhere in \( U \), which implies that \( u^* \in \mathcal{Q}_{A_{M^{s,x}}}(E) \).

For the second inequality, let \( v \in \mathcal{Q}_{A_{M^{s,x}}}(E) \). By truncation, we may assume that \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \). Fix \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \), and choose an open set \( V \) with \( C_{M^{s,x}}(V) < \varepsilon \) so that \( v = 1 \) on \( E \setminus V \) and that \( v \) is continuous in \( \mathcal{P} \setminus V \). By continuity, there is an open set \( U \subset \mathcal{P} \) such that

\[
|v(x) > 1 - \varepsilon| \setminus V = U \setminus V.
\]

Clearly, \( E \setminus V \subset U \setminus V \). Choose \( u \in A_{M^{s,x}}(V) \) such that \( \|u\|_{M^{s,x}} < \varepsilon \) and that \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \). Define \( w = v(1 - \varepsilon) + u \). Then \( w \geq 1 \) on \( (U \setminus V) \cup V = U \setminus V \) which is an open neighbourhood of \( E \). Hence \( w \in A_{M^{s,x}}(E) \) and so

\[
C_{M^{s,x}}(E) \leq \|u\|_{M^{s,x}} + C_\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \right) \|w\|_{M^{s,x}} + \varepsilon.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( v \in \mathcal{Q}_{A_{M^{s,x}}}(E) \) are arbitrary, the desired inequality \( C_{M^{s,x}}(E) \leq c_2 C_{M^{s,x}}(E) \) follows.
4. Generalized Lebesgue Points

In this section, we prove the first main result of the paper, Theorem 1. The main ingredient of the proof of is a capacitary weak type estimate, Theorem 21.

Lemma 20. Let $0 < s \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1/2$, and $0 < R < \infty$. Let $u \in L^0$ and $g \in D(\gamma | u |) \cap L^0$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $C.M_{\gamma}^{\gamma} g$ is an $s$-gradient of $M^{\gamma/s}_{\gamma}$.

Proof. Let $r > 0$. By the definition of the discrete $\gamma$-median convolution $u^\gamma_{\gamma}$ and the properties of the functions $\varphi_i$, 

$$u^\gamma_{\gamma} = u + \sum_{i=1}^\gamma (m^\gamma_{\varphi_i}(B_i) - u) \varphi_i,\quad (48)$$

By Lemma 6, function

$$(g + C r^{-s} |u - m^\gamma_{\gamma}(B_i)|) \chi_{B_i},\quad (49)$$

is an $s$-gradient of function $(m^\gamma_{\varphi_i}(B_i) - u) \varphi_i$ for each $i$.

Let $x \in 2B_i \setminus E$, where $E$ is the exceptional set for (15). Using Lemma 8 and the facts that $B_i \subset B(x, 3r)$ and $\mu(B(x, 3r)) \leq C \mu(B_i)$, we obtain

$$|u(x) - m^\gamma_{\gamma}(B_i)| \leq m^{\gamma}_{[w_{\gamma-\gamma}(x)]}(B_i) \leq C r^\gamma (m^\gamma_{\varphi_i}(B_i) + g(x)) \leq C r^\gamma (m^\gamma_{\varphi_i}(B_i) + g(x)) \leq C r^\gamma (g(x) + M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g(x)).\quad (50)$$

Since $g(x) \leq M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g(x)$ for almost every $x$ and since the balls $2B_i$ have bounded overlap, it follows that

$$C.M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g \in D(\gamma | u^\gamma_{\gamma} |),\quad (51)$$

for every $r > 0$. Consequently, by Lemma 5,

$$C.M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g \in D(\gamma | u^\gamma_{\gamma} |),\quad (52)$$

as desired.

Theorem 21. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are in force. Then, for every ball $B = B(x_0, r)$ and for every $0 < \gamma < 1$, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$C.M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g \leq \lambda^{-1} \|u\|_{M^{\gamma}_{\gamma}},\quad (53)$$

for every $u \in M^{s,x}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Since $M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} g \leq M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} u$ when $\gamma \leq \gamma$, it suffices to prove the claim for $0 < \gamma \leq 1/2$. Let $u \in M^{s,x}$ and let $\varphi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Lipschitz function such that $\varphi = 1$ in $B(x_0, r + 4/3)$ and $\varphi = 0$ outside $B(x_0, r + 2)$. Then $M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} u = M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} (u \varphi)$ in $B$ and so

$$\{x \in B : M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} u (x) > \lambda\} \subset \{x \in \mathcal{P} : M^{\gamma}_{\gamma} (u \varphi) (x) > \lambda\}.\quad (54)$$

By (30) and (6),

$$\|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi)\|_X \leq C \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} u\|_X \leq C \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi)\|_X \leq C \|u\|_X \quad (55)$$

and, by Lemmas 20 and 6 and (6),

$$\|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi)\|_{M^{s,x}} \leq C \inf_{g \in D(\gamma | u \varphi |)} \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} g\|_X \leq C \inf_{g \in D(\gamma | u \varphi |)} \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u + g) \varphi\|_X \leq C \inf_{g \in D(\gamma | u \varphi |)} \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u + g) \varphi\|_X \leq C \inf_{g \in D(\gamma | u \varphi |)} \|u + g\|_X \leq C \|u\|_{M^{s,x}}.\quad (56)$$

Hence, $M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi) \in M^{s,x}$. Since $M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi)$ is lower semicontinuous, $\lambda^{-1} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}_{M^{s,x}}(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi) > \lambda\})$.

Thus,

$$C.M^{s,x}_{\gamma} \left(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi) > \lambda\}\right) \leq \lambda^{-1} \|M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u \varphi)\|_{M^{s,x}} \leq C \lambda^{-1} \|u\|_{M^{s,x}}\quad (57)$$

and the claim follows.

Lemma 22. Suppose that $X$ has absolutely continuous quasinorm and that $B$ is a ball such that, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$, 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} C.M^{s,x}_{\gamma} \left(\{x \in B : \limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (u(x, r)) > \lambda\}\right) = 0.\quad (58)$$

whenever $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|u_k\|_{M^{s,x}} = 0$. Then, for every quasicontinuous $u \in M^{s,x}$, quasievery point in $B$ is a generalized Lebesgue point of $u$.

Proof. By Lemma 7, continuous functions are dense in $M^{s,x}$. Let $u \in M^{s,x}$ be quasicontinuous and let $v_k \in M^{s,x}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, be continuous such that

$$\|u - v_k\|_{M^{s,x}} \to 0\quad (59)$$

as $k \to \infty$. Denote $w_k = u - v_k$. Fix $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. By Lemma 8, 

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (w_k(x, r)) \leq \limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (w_k(x, r)) \leq \limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (w_k(x, r)) \leq \limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (B(x, r)) \leq \limsup_{r \to 0} M^{\gamma}_{1/3} (B(x, r)) + |w_k(x)|.\quad (60)$$
Hence, by Lemma 16,
\[
C_{M^\gamma}( \left\{ x \in B : \limsup_{r \to 0} m^\gamma_{|u-u(x)|} (B(x,r)) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} )^\rho \\
\leq 8C_{M^\gamma}( \left\{ x \in B : \limsup_{r \to 0} m^\gamma_{|u|} (B(x,r)) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} )^\rho \\
+ 8C_{M^\gamma}( \left\{ x \in B : w_k(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} )^\rho.
\]
By assumption,
\[
C_{M^\gamma}( \left\{ x \in B : \limsup_{r \to 0} m^\gamma_{|u-u(x)|} (B(x,r)) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} ) \to 0 \quad (62)
\]
as \( k \to \infty \). Since \(|w_k|\) is quasicontinuous, Lemma 19 gives
\[
C_{M^\gamma}( \left\{ x \in B : w_k(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} ) = 0 \quad (64)
\]
for every \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \). Denote
\[
E = \left\{ x \in B : \limsup_{r \to 0} m^\gamma_{|u-u(x)|} (B(x,r)) > 0 \right\}
\]
(65)
and so, by Lemma 16, \( C_{M^\gamma}(E) = 0 \). Since, by Lemma 8,
\[
\min_{\|u\| \leq 1} m^\gamma_{|u-u(x)|} (B(x,r)) \\
\leq m^\gamma_{|u-u(x)|} (B(x,r)),
\]
the claim follows.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \( u \in M^\gamma \) be quasicontinuous. Fix \( x \in D \) and, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( \phi_k : D \to [0,1] \) be a Lipschitz function of bounded support such that \( \phi_k = 1 \) in \( B(x,k) \). Then, by Lemma 6, \( u_k = u \phi_k \in M^\gamma \). By Theorem 21 and Lemmas 12 and 22, for every \( k \), quasi(every point is a generalized Lebesgue point of \( u_k \). Hence, for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), quasicontinuous point of \( u \). Thus, by Lemma 16, quasi(every point is a generalized Lebesgue point of \( u \).

5. Lebesgue Points

In this section we prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 23. Suppose that \( u \) satisfies (2). Let \( 0 < s \leq 1, R > 0, u \in L^s \), and \( g \in \mathcal{D}'(u) \cap L^1_{\text{loc}} \) where \( q = Q/(Q+s) \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that \( C(\mathcal{M}_{s,R}g^q)^{1/q} \) is an \( s \)-gradient of \( \mathcal{M}_{s,R}u \).

Proof. By the Sobolev–Poincaré inequality ([8, Lemma 2.2]), \( u \) is locally integrable and there exists a constant \( C \) such that
\[
\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} |u - c|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq C r^s \left( (g^q)_{\mathbb{R},2r} \right)^{1/q}
\]
for every \( x \in D \) and \( r > 0 \).

Fix \( r > 0 \). By the definition of the discrete convolution \( u_s \) and the properties of the functions \( f \) and \( L \),
\[
\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} |u - c|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq C r^s \left( (g^q)_{\mathbb{R},2r} \right)^{1/q}
\]
for every \( x \in D \) and \( r > 0 \).

By Lemma 6, function
\[
(g + C r^s) \|u - u_R\| \chi_{2B},
\]
is an \( s \)-gradient of the function \( u \). For almost every \( x \in D \),
\[
|u(x) - u_R| \leq C (\mathcal{M}_{s,R}g^q(x))^{1/q}.
\]
Since \( g(x) \leq (\mathcal{M}_{s,R}g^q(x))^{1/q} \), for almost every \( x \), and since the balls \( 2B \) have bounded overlap, it follows that
\[
C (\mathcal{M}_{s,R}g^q)^{1/q} \in \mathcal{D}'(u)
\]
for every \( x \in D \).

The claim follows by Lemma 5.

Lemma 24. Let \( u \in M^\gamma \) be such that \( u = 0 \) outside \( B = B(x_0,r) \) and suppose that there exists \( y_0 \in D \) such that \( d(x_0,y_0) = 3r \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that
\[
\|u\|_{M^\gamma(D)} \leq C \inf_{g \in \mathcal{D}'(u)} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2B} \cap X}
\]
for every \( x \in D \).

Proof. Let \( g \in \mathcal{D}'(u) \). Then \( \mathcal{H}^{2B} + r^s u \in \mathcal{D}'(u) \). Indeed, if \( x, y \in 2B \), then, by definition,
\[
|u(x) - u(y)| \leq d(x,y)^s (g(x) + g(y)),
\]
and if one of the points, say \( x \), does not belong to \( 2B \), then
\[
|u(x) - u(y)| = |u(y)| \leq d(x,y)^s r^s |u(y)|.
\]
Hence, it suffices to show that \( \|u\|_X \leq C \|\mathcal{H}^{2B} \|_X \). For almost every \( x \in B \),
\[
|u(x)| \leq 5^s r^s (g(x) + \inf_{y \in 4B \cap 2B} g(y)).
\]
Since \( B(y_0,r) \subset 4B \setminus 2B \), we have that \( \mu(4B \setminus 2B) > 0 \). Clearly,
\[
\left( \inf_{y \in 4B \setminus 2B} g \right) \|\mathcal{H}^{2B} \|_X \leq \|g\|_X.
\]
Hence
\[
\|u\|_X = \|u_\alpha\|_X \leq C \left( \|g_\alpha\|_X + \left( \text{ess inf}_{g} g \right) \|\lambda\|_X \right) \\
\leq C \left( \|g_\alpha\|_X + \frac{\|\lambda\|_X}{\|\lambda\|_{4,2}} \|g_\alpha\|_X \right) \\
\leq C \|g_\alpha\|_X .
\] (77)

**Theorem 25.** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2 are in force. Let \( B = B(x_0, r) \) be a ball and assume that the sphere \( \{y : d(y, x_0) = 6r\} \) is nonempty. Then there exist constants \( C \geq 1 \) and \( R > 0 \) such that
\[
C_{M} (\{x \in B : M_\mu^* u (x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-1} \|u\|_{M^\infty} 
\] (78)
for every \( u \in M^\infty \) and \( \lambda > 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( R = (1/9) \inf \{1, r\} \) and let \( \varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1] \) be a Lipschitz function such that \( \varphi = 1 \) in \( B(x_0, r + 4R) \) and \( \varphi = 0 \) outside \( B(x_0, r + 5R) \). Then, by Lemma 6, \( u \varphi \in M^\infty \) and
\[
\|u \varphi\|_{M^\infty} \leq C \|u\|_{M^\infty} .
\] (79)

If \( x \in B \), then \( M_\mu^* u(x) = M_\mu^* (u \varphi)(x) \). Hence,
\[
\|u \varphi\|_{M^\infty} \leq C \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\mu} \left( M_{\mu_\varphi} (g) \right)^{1/q} \|\lambda g\|_X ,
\] (81)
where \( q = Q/(Q + s) \). Since \( M_\mu^* (u \varphi) \) is lower semicontinuous, it follows that
\[
C_{M^\infty} (\{x \in B : M_\mu^* u (x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-1} \|u \varphi\|_{M^\infty} 
\] (82)
By the fact that \( 6R < 1 \), assumptions (9) and (79) imply that
\[
\inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\mu} \left( M_{\mu_\varphi} (g) \right)^{1/q} \|\lambda g\|_X \leq \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\mu} \left( M_{\mu_\varphi} (g) \right)^{1/q} \|\lambda g\|_X \leq \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\mu} \|g\|_X 
\] (83)
and the claim follows. \( \Box \)

The proof of the next lemma, which is very similar to the proof of Lemma 22, will be omitted.

**Lemma 26.** Suppose that \( \| \cdot \|_X \) is absolutely continuous and that \( B \subset \mathcal{P} \) is ball such that, for every \( \lambda > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} C_{M^\infty} \left( \left\{ x \in B : \sup_{r \to 0} \|u\|_{B(x, r)} > \lambda \right\} \right) = 0
\] (84)
whenever \( \lim_{r \to 0} \|u\|_{M^\infty} = 0 \). Then, for every quasicontinuous \( u \in M^\infty \), quasievery point in \( B \) is a Lebesgue point of \( u \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We may assume that \( \mathcal{P} \) contains at least two points. Then \( \mathcal{P} \) can be covered by balls \( B_k = B(x_k, r_k) \), \( k \in I \), where \( I \subset \mathbb{N} \), such that the spheres \( \{y : d(x_k, y) = 6r_k\} \) are nonempty.

Let \( u \in M^\infty \) be quasicontinuous and, for \( k \in I \), let \( \varphi_k \) be a Lipschitz function of bounded support such that \( \varphi_k = 1 \) in \( B(x_k, r_k) \). Then, by Lemma 6, \( u \varphi_k \in M^\infty \) and, by Theorem 25 and Lemmas 12 and 26, for every \( k \in I \), quasievery point in \( B_k \) is a Lebesgue point of \( u \varphi_k \) and hence of \( u \). Hence, by Lemma 16, quasievery point in \( \mathcal{P} \) is a Lebesgue point of \( u \). \( \Box \)

### 6. Proof of Theorem 3

If \( \alpha_X < \infty \), then clearly \( M^\mathcal{P} \) is bounded on \( X \). Hence, the first part of Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1. The second part follows from Theorem 2 via the following lemma.

**Lemma 27.** Let \( p \leq 1 \). If \( \alpha_X < 1/p \), then the operator \( u \mapsto (M|u|^p)^{1/p} \) is bounded on \( X \).

**Proof.** By assumption, there are constants \( \alpha < 1/p \) and \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\|M_\mu^* u\|_X \leq C \gamma^{-\alpha} \|u\|_X .
\] (85)
for every \( u \in X \) and \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \). Denote by \( v^* \) the decreasing rearrangement of a function \( v \), that is,
\[
v^*(t) = \inf \{a > 0 : \mu (\{x \in \mathcal{P} : |v(x)| > a\}) < t\} .
\] (86)
Then, for every ball \( B \), we have
\[
\int_B |u|^p d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_0^{\mu(B)} (u \varphi_k)^*(t)^p dt \\
= \int_0^1 (u \varphi_k)^*(\gamma \mu(B))^p dy \\
= \int_0^1 m_{\mu}^\gamma (B)^p dy .
\] (87)
Hence,
\[
M |u|^p (x) \leq \int_0^1 M^\mathcal{P} u (x)^p dy \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i} M^{\mathcal{P}^2} u (x)^p .
\] (88)
Let \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \) be such that \( \alpha < \varepsilon/p \) By the Hölder inequality, we obtain
\[
(M |u|^p (x))^{1/p} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i/p} .
\] (89)
Thus, by (85) and (14),
\[
\left\| (M |u|^p)^{1/p} \right\|_X \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i/p} \|\mathcal{M}^{2^{-i}} u\|_X \right).
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i/p} \|\mathcal{M}^{2^{-i}} u\|_X^{1/\sigma} \right)^{\sigma/ \sigma} \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i/\sigma (p-\alpha)} \|u\|_X \right)^{\sigma/ \sigma} \leq C \|u\|_X.
\]

\[\square\]

7. Examples

7.1. Lorentz Spaces. For \(0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty\), and \(u \in L^0\), denote
\[
\|u\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left( \int_0^\infty \lambda^q \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : |u(x)| > \lambda\})^{q/p} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{1/q},
\]
when \(0 < q < \infty\) and
\[
\|u\|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : |u(x)| > \lambda\})^{1/p}.
\]

Then \(L^{p,q} = \{u \in L^0 : \|u\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty\}\) equipped with \(\|u\|_{L^{p,q}}\) is a quasi-Banach function space. If \(0 < p,q < \infty\), quasinorm \(\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}}\) is absolutely continuous.

Lemma 28. There exists a constant \(C = C(c_d)\) such that
\[
\mu(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}^\alpha u(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-1} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}^\alpha |u(x)| > \lambda\})
\]
for every \(u \in L^0\) and \(\lambda > 0\).

Proof. It follows easily from the definitions that, for every \(u \in L^0, x \in \mathcal{P}, 0 < \gamma < 1\), and \(\lambda > 0\), we have
\[
\mathcal{M}^\gamma u(x) > \lambda \iff \mathcal{M}^\gamma |u(x)| > \lambda^\gamma.
\]
Hence, the claim follows from the well-known weak type inequality for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. \(\square\)

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 28.

Lemma 29. Let \(0 < p < \infty\) and \(0 < q \leq \infty\). There exists a constant \(C = C(c_d, p)\) such that
\[
\|\mathcal{M}^\gamma u\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C \gamma^{-1/p} \|u\|_{L^{p,q}}
\]
for every \(u \in L^{p,q}\) and \(0 < \gamma < 1\). Consequently, \(\alpha_{L^{p,q}} \leq 1/p\).

Lemma 29 and Theorem 3 imply the following result for Lorentz–Hajłasz functions.

Theorem 30. Let \(0 < s \leq 1, 0 < p,q \leq \infty\), and let \(u \in M^{L^{p,q}}\) be quasicontinuous. Then quasievery point is a generalized Lebesgue point of \(u\). Moreover, if \(p > Q/(Q + s)\), then quasievery point is a Lebesgue point of \(u\).

7.2. Orlicz Spaces. Let \(\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) be a continuous increasing function and suppose that there exists a constant \(C \geq 1\) such that
\[
\Phi(\frac{t}{C}) \leq \frac{\Phi(t)}{2}
\]
for every \(t > 0\). Then
\[
L^\Phi = \left\{ u \in L^0 : \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Phi(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}) d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\}
\]
equipped with a quasinorm
\[
\|u\|_{L^\Phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathcal{P}} \Phi(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}
\]
becomes a quasi-Banach function space.

It is easy to see that (96) is equivalent to the existence of constants \(C_0 \geq 1\) and \(\beta > 0\) such that
\[
\Phi(\frac{t}{C_0}) \leq C_0 \left(\frac{t}{C_0}\right)\beta \Phi(t)
\]
everywhere \(0 < s \leq t < \infty\).

A function \(\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) is doubling, if there exists a constant \(C\) such that
\[
\Phi(2t) \leq C \Phi(t)
\]
for every \(t > 0\). It is easy to see that if \(\Phi\) is doubling, then \(\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}\) is absolutely continuous.

Lemma 31. Let \(\Phi\) satisfy (99). Then there exists a constant \(C\) such that
\[
\|\mathcal{M}^\gamma u\|_{L^\Phi} \leq C \gamma^{-1/\beta} \|u\|_{L^\Phi}
\]
every \(u \in L^\Phi\) and \(0 < \gamma < 1\). Consequently, \(\alpha_{L^\Phi} \leq 1/\beta\).

Proof. We may assume \(\|u\|_{L^\Phi} \leq 1\). Since \(\Phi(\mathcal{M}^\gamma u) = \mathcal{M}^\gamma \Phi(u)\) and, by Lemma 28, \(\|\mathcal{M}^\gamma v\|_{L^\gamma} \leq C_1 \gamma^{-1/\beta} \|v\|_{L^\gamma}\) for all \(v \in L^1\), we have that
\[
\|\mathcal{M}^\gamma u\|_{L^\Phi} \leq C_0 \lambda^{-1/\beta} \|\Phi(\mathcal{M}^\gamma u)\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 \lambda^{-1/\beta} \|M^\gamma \Phi(u)\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 C_1 \lambda^{-1/\beta} \|\Phi(u)\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 C_1 \lambda^{-1/\beta} \|\Phi(u)\|_{L^1} \leq C_0 C_1 \lambda^{-1/\beta} \|u\|_{L^\Phi}
\]
when \(\lambda \geq (C_0 C_1)^{1/\beta} \gamma^{-1/\beta}\). \(\square\)
By combining Theorem 3 and Lemma 31, we obtain the following result for Orlicz–Hajłasz spaces $M^{\Phi,\beta} := M^{\Phi}$. 

**Theorem 32.** Suppose that $\mu$ satisfies (2) and that $\Phi$ is doubling and satisfies (96). Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and let $u \in M^{\Phi,\beta}$ be quasicontinuous. Then quasi-every point is a generalized Lebesgue point of $u$. Moreover, if $\Phi$ satisfies (99) with $\beta > Q/(Q + s)$, then quasi-every point is a Lebesgue point of $u$.

### 7.3. Variable Exponent Spaces.

Let $p : \mathcal{P} \to (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. The space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ consisting of functions $u$ for which

$$
\int_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) < \infty
$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ equipped with quasinorm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq 1 \right\}
$$

is a quasi-Banach function space.

A measurable function $p : \mathcal{P} \to (0, \infty)$ is locally log-Hölder continuous if there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$
|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_p}{\log(e + 1/d(x, y))}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$.

Denote

$$
p_- = \operatorname{ess inf}_x p(x),
$$

$$
p_+ = \operatorname{ess sup}_x p(x)
$$

We need the following result from [19].

**Lemma 33.** Let $p : \mathcal{P} \to (0, \infty)$ be locally log-Hölder continuous with $p_- > 0$ and $p_+ < \infty$. Suppose that there exist constants $\rho_{\infty} > 0$ and $0 < a < 1$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{P}} a^{1/p(x) - p_-} d\mu(x) < \infty.
$$

Then there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|\mathcal{M}^\ell u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C y^{-1/p_-} \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $0 < y < 1$. Consequently, $\alpha_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 1/p_-$. The next lemma follows from [38, Lemma 2.3], [38, Corollary 3.5] and from the fact that the function $t \mapsto 1/t$ is bi-Lipschitz from $[a, b]$ to $[1/b, 1/a]$ whenever $0 < a < b < \infty$.

**Lemma 34.** Suppose that $p : \mathcal{P} \to (0, \infty)$ is locally log-Hölder continuous and that $p_- > 0$ and $p_+ < \infty$. Then, for any ball $B \subset \mathcal{P}$, there exists a locally log-Hölder continuous extension $\tilde{p}$ of $p|_B$ such that $\tilde{p}_- = p_-, \tilde{p}_+ = p_+$ and

$$
\int_{\mathcal{P}} a^{1/\tilde{p}(x) - \tilde{p}_-} d\mu(x) < \infty.
$$

for some $\tilde{p}_\infty > 0$ and $0 < a < 1$.

**Theorem 35.** Suppose that $\mu$ satisfies (2) and that $p : \mathcal{P} \to (0, \infty)$ is locally log-Hölder continuous with $p_- < \infty$. Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and let $u \in M^{p(\cdot)} := M^{\Phi^{p(\cdot)}}$ be quasicontinuous.

(1) If $p_- > 0$, then quasi-every point is a generalized Lebesgue point of $u$.

(2) If $p_- > Q/(Q + s)$, then quasi-every point is a Lebesgue point of $u$.

**Proof.** (1) Since $p_- < \infty$, $L^{p(\cdot)}$ has absolutely continuous quasinorm. By Theorem 1, it suffices to show that, for every ball $B = B(x, r)$ and $0 < y < 1$, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|\mathcal{M}^1(g)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. By Lemma 34, $\rho_{B(x+r,1)}$ can be extended to $\bar{p}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\bar{p}_- < \infty, \bar{p}_+ > 0$, and (109) holds true for some $0 < a < 1$ and $\bar{p}_\infty > 0$. By Lemma 33, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|\mathcal{M}^\ell v\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C y^{-1/\bar{p}_-} \|v\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. Thus,

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{M}^\ell(g)\right)\chi_B\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \left\|\mathcal{M}^\ell\left(g\chi_{B(x+r,1)}\right)\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

$$
\leq C y^{-1/\bar{p}_-} \left\|g\chi_{B(x+r,1)}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

$$
\leq C y^{-1/\bar{p}_-} \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$.

(2) Denote $q = Q/(Q + s)$. By Theorem 2, it suffices to show that, for every ball $B = B(x, r)$, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{M}^1(g^{1/q})\right)^{1/q}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $0 \leq g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. By Lemma 34, $\rho_{B(x+r,1)}$ can be extended to $\bar{p}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\bar{p}_- < \infty, \bar{p}_+ > q$, and (109) holds true for some $0 < a < 1$ and $\bar{p}_\infty > 0$. Hence, by Lemma 33, $\alpha_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 1/\bar{p}_- < 1/q$ and so, by Lemma 27, operator $g \mapsto (\mathcal{M}|g|)^{1/q}$ is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$. Thus,

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{M}^1g\right)^{1/q}\chi_B\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C \left\|\mathcal{M}\left(g\chi_{B(x+r,1)}\right)^{1/q}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

$$
\leq C \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

for every $0 \leq g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$.
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