This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Maurício da Fonseca, Maria João; Jurak, Edita; Kataja, Kim; Master, Emma R.; Berrin, Jean Guy; Stals, Ingeborg; Desmet, Tom; Van Landschoot, Anita; Briers, Yves

Analysis of the substrate specificity of α -L-arabinofuranosidases by DNA sequencer-aided fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis

Published in:

Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology

DOI:

[10.1007/s00253-018-9389-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9389-3)

Published: 01/12/2018

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Published under the following license:

Unspecified

Please cite the original version:

Maurício da Fonseca, M. J., Jurak, E., Kataja, K., Master, E. R., Berrin, J. G., Stals, I., Desmet, T., Van Landschoot, A., & Briers, Y. (2018). Analysis of the substrate specificity of α -L-arabinofuranosidases by DNA sequencer-aided fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 102(23), 10091–10102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9389-3>

**Analysis of the substrate specificity of α -L-
arabinofuranosidases by DNA sequencer-aided
fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis**

Maria João Maurício da Fonseca¹, Edita Jurak², Kim Kataja², Emma R. Master^{2,3}, Jean-
Guy Berrin⁴, Ingeborg Stals⁵, Tom Desmet¹, Anita Van Landschoot¹, Yves
Briers^{1*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

²Department of Biotechnology and Chemical Technology, Aalto University, Espoo,
Finland

³Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁴INRA, Aix Marseille Univ., BBF, Marseille, France

⁵Department of Materials, Textiles and Chemical Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent,
Belgium

* Corresponding author

Email address: Yves.Briers@UGent.be

Tel.: +32 9 243 24 53

Abstract

Carbohydrate-active enzymes discovery is often not accompanied by experimental validation, demonstrating the need for techniques to analyze substrate specificities of carbohydrate-active enzymes in an efficient manner. DNA sequencer-aided fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis (DSA-FACE) is utmost appropriate for the analysis of glycoside hydrolases that have complex substrate specificities. DSA-FACE is demonstrated here to be a highly convenient method for the precise identification of the specificity of different α -L-arabinofuranosidases for (arabino)xylo-oligosaccharides ((A)XOS). The method was validated with two α -L-arabinofuranosidases (EC 3.2.1.55) with well-known specificity, specifically a GH62 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Aspergillus nidulans* (AnAbf62A-m2,3) and a GH43 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* (BaAXH-d3). Subsequently, application of DSA-FACE revealed the AXOS specificity of two α -L-arabinofuranosidases with previously unknown AXOS specificities. PaAbf62A, a GH62 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Podospira anserina* strain S mat⁺, was shown to target the O-2 and the O-3 arabinofuranosyl monomers as side chain from mono-substituted β -D-xylosyl residues, whereas a GH43 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from a metagenomic sample only removes an arabinofuranosyl monomer from the smallest AXOS tested. DSA-FACE excels ionic chromatography in terms of detection limit for (A)XOS (picomolar sensitivity), hands-on and analysis time and the analysis of the degree of polymerization and binding site of the arabinofuranosyl substituent.

Keywords: α -L-arabinofuranosidases; substrate specificity; DSA-FACE; HPAEC-PAD; enzyme analysis.

Introduction

Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) are often featured by a high substrate specificity that depends on the specific composition of the carbohydrate polymer, the degree and nature of substituents, and the degree of polymerization of the polymer. α -L-arabinofuranosidases (EC 3.2.1.55) (ABF) release L-arabinofuranosyl residues from arabinose-containing oligo- and polysaccharides. In particular, ABFs (also termed arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolases (AXHs)) active on (glucurono)arabinoxylan or their oligosaccharides can specifically target the *O*-2 and the *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl monomers from mono-substituted β -D-xylosyl residues and are therefore labeled with the suffix-m2,3. The GH62 family for example contains only ABFs-m2,3 that are active on short oligosaccharides, *para*-nitrophenyl- α -L-arabinofuranoside (*p*NPA) and polysaccharides (Wilkens et al. 2017). Other ABFs are only able to cleave the *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl monomers from di-substituted β -D-xylosyl residues and are labeled with the suffix -d3, respectively (Kormelink et al. 1991a; Kormelink et al. 1991b; Pitson et al. 1996; Van Laere et al. 1999; Saha 2000; Sørensen et al. 2006; Pouvreau et al. 2011; Sakamoto et al. 2013; Wilkens et al. 2017). ABFs-d3 have only been found in the GH43 family, which is a quite diverse family in terms of substrate specificity. Mewis et al. (2016) have therefore divided the GH43 family into 37 subfamilies with subfamily 36 containing enzymes with ABF-d3 activity. ABFs that remove arabinofuranosyl monomers from both mono- and disubstituted β -D-xylosyl residues (ABF-m,d) have also been reported in GH51 (Broberg et al. 2000; Borsenberger et al. 2014) and GH54 (Sakamoto et al. 2013) families.

Analysis of (arabino)xylan-oligosaccharides ((A)XOS) produced by ABFs is generally done by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD), matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry

(MALDI-TOF-MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Pastell et al. 2008; Lagaert et al. 2010; Pouvreau et al. 2011; Borsenberger et al. 2014; McCleary et al. 2015; Koutaniemi and Tenkanen 2016; Wang et al. 2017). Although these techniques are very useful for the identification of (A)XOS structures, MS- and NMR-based techniques require dedicated instrumentation, in-depth instrumental knowledge and expertise (Duus et al. 2000; Mantovani et al. 2018). HPAEC-PAD requires long analysis runs (Kabel et al. 2006) and does not always allow resolution of isomeric structures and differentiation between different patterns of substitution and molecular weights of carbohydrate oligosaccharides as shown for AXOS (Rantanen et al. 2007; Pastell et al. 2008) and arabino-oligosaccharides (Westphal et al. 2010b). Capillary electrophoresis (CE) has been proposed to be a superior method in comparison to HPAEC in terms of resolution and analysis time for the analysis of complex oligosaccharides as arabino-oligosaccharides (Westphal et al. 2010a), AXOS (Kabel et al. 2006), konjac glucomannan oligosaccharides (Albrecht et al. 2009) and xyloglucan structures (Hilz et al. 2006), allowing the study of degradation profiles of carbohydrates reacted with (putative) CAZymes by CE (Cairo et al. 2011; Alvarez et al. 2013). DNA sequencer-aided fluorophore-assisted carbohydrate electrophoresis (DSA-FACE) (later also called DNA sequencer-Assisted Saccharide analysis in High throughput, DASH), which couples the separation of fluorescently labeled oligosaccharides by CE with detection by laser-induced fluorescence, offers a valuable alternative to analyze the substrate specificity of carbohydrate-active enzymes, especially those with a complex substrate specificity (Defrancq et al. 2004; Li et al. 2013). APTS (8-aminopyrene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt) is generally used as fluorescent label of the substrate or reaction products because of its negative charge, which confers electrophoretic mobility to the carbohydrates, and its compatibility with the 488-nm argon-ion laser present in many

standard capillary DNA sequencer devices (Evangelista et al. 1995). Here, we use DSA-FACE to study the (A)XOS specificity of ABFs without the need of a dedicated software and/or internal standards and compare the performance of DSA-FACE to HPAEC-PAD. The method was validated by confirming the AXOS specificity of a GH43 and a GH62 ABF from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* (*BaAXH-d3*) and *Aspergillus nidulans* (*AnAbf62A-m2,3*), respectively. The DSA-FACE approach is more rapid and convenient than the initial methods that were used for determination of the specificity (HPAEC-PAD and ¹H-NMR spectroscopy analysis in the case of *BaAXH-d3* (Van Laere 1997) and ¹H-NMR analysis and polysaccharide analysis by carbohydrate gel electrophoresis (PACE) for *AnAbf62A-m2,3* (Wilkins et al. 2016). Subsequently, the unknown AXOS specificities of a GH62 ABF from *Podospora anserina* (*PaAbf62A*) (39% amino acid identity with *AnAbf62A-m2,3*) and a novel GH43 enzyme from a metagenomic sample were identified (25% amino acid identity with *BaAXH-d3*), demonstrating the applicability of DSA-FACE to reveal precise cleavage specificity of unknown ABFs in an efficient way.

Materials and methods

Structures and abbreviations used for (A)XOS

The one-letter code system proposed by Fauré et al. (2009) is used to refer to the different structures of (A)XOS. The names, structures and abbreviations of the (A)XOS used in this research are described in Table S1. All (A)XOS used in this research were supplied by Megazyme (Megazyme International Ireland, Bray, Ireland) and have a minimum purity of 95% except for A²XX and A³XX, which have a minimum purity of 90%, and for XA²⁺³XX, which has a minimum purity of 85%.

124 **Enzymes**

125 The GH43 ABF from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* (BaAXH-d3, 200 U/mL, #E-
126 AFAM2) and GH62 ABF from *Aspergillus nidulans* (AnAbf62A-m2,3, 500 U/mL, #E-
127 ABFAN) purified to electrophoretic homogeneity were purchased from Megazyme
128 (Bray, Ireland). Both enzymes are produced with Megazyme recombinant strains.

129 PaAbf62A (GenBank ID: CAP62336.1) was produced as previously described in
130 Couturier et al. (2011).

131 The gene encoding a GH43 enzyme from a metagenomic sample (sequence information
132 in note 1 of the supplementary material, GenBank ID: MH220205 from the natural
133 sequence and MH577298 from the codon optimized sequence) without a signal peptide
134 (aa residues 1–23) was synthesized and codon optimized for expression in *Escherichia*
135 *coli* from the pET-29b+ plasmid (Genscript, NJ, USA). *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was
136 transformed with the corresponding plasmid for protein production. The corresponding
137 transformant was grown at 37°C in 500 mL of Lysogeny Broth (LB) containing 10 g/L
138 tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L sodium chloride, 50 µg/mL kanamycin, 0.5 M D-
139 sorbitol, and 2.5 mM glycine betaine. When the cultivation reached an OD₆₀₀ value of
140 approximately 0.6, the culture was cooled to 15°C and induced for 16 h with 1 mM
141 isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000
142 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was then suspended in 40 mL lysis buffer (20 mM
143 HEPES pH 7.4 and 500 mM NaCl, containing the Pierce™ protease inhibitor #A32965
144 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, US) used according to the manufacturer's instructions)
145 and the cells were disrupted using a EmulsiFlex-C3. Cell debris was removed by
146 centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C.

147 The cell lysate was mixed with 2 mL HisTrap HP (GE Healthcare). After overnight
148 incubation with horizontal rotation at 4°C, the matrix was transferred to a polypropylene

SPE tube with a 20 μ m porosity PE frit, and connected to a Preppy™ 12-Port vacuum manifold (Sigma Aldrich Inc., SL, US). The matrix was washed with at least 10 column volumes of wash buffer (20 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing increasing concentrations of imidazole (1 mM, 5 mM, and 10 mM). Protein fractions were then collected using the wash buffer containing 25 to 500 mM imidazole. Resulting protein fractions were analyzed by 10% SDS-PAGE; selected fractions were pooled and then dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) with 10% glycerol using 30 kDa Vivaspın 20 centrifugal devices (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). The purified sample was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Analysis of AXOS sensitivity and resolution by HPAEC-PAD

A series of dilutions between 10 μ M and 0.01 μ M were made for a mixture of A²XX, A²⁺³XX, XA³XX and XA²⁺³XX in ultrapure water. Samples were filter sterilized with 0.2 μ m VWR centrifugal filters with a modified nylon membrane (VWR International) and analyzed in triplicate by HPAEC-PAD using a Dionex™ ICS-3000 system (Thermo Scientific™). The ICS-3000 system is equipped with a Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ CarboPac™ PA-100G guard column (2x50 mm), a Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ CarboPac™ PA100 column (2x250 mm) and a pH-Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Data were analyzed with Chromeleon™ 6.8 chromatography data system software.

Mobile phase solutions were degassed through sonication for 30 min and kept at 0.250 mL/min under nitrogen during the complete run. 0.1 M NaOH was used as eluent A and 0.5 M CH₃COONa (Merck Millipore) and 0.05 M NaOH (prepared from 50% NaOH from Sigma-Aldrich) as eluent B. The elution gradient was adapted from (Rantanen et al. 2007) with the exception that the linear gradient was performed until 76% A and the second isocratic phase was done at 76% A.

174

175 **Carbohydrate labeling with APTS**

176 To analyze DSA-FACE sensitivity and resolution, an amount of 10 pmol AXOS is freeze-
177 dried and labeled with APTS (Acros Organics) as described in Callewaert et al. (2001).
178 Briefly, sugars were incubated overnight at 37°C with 1 µL of labeling solution consisting
179 of a 1:1 mixture of 20 mM APTS in 1.2 M citric acid (Acros Organics) and 1 M sodium
180 cyanoborohydride (Sigma-Aldrich) in dimethyl sulfoxide (VWR) . The labeled AXOS
181 were quenched with ultrapure water to a final concentration of 20 nM.

182

183 **Analysis of (A)XOS sensitivity and resolution by DSA-FACE**

184 A series of dilutions between 10 nM and 1.2 pM for a mixture of APTS labeled A²XX,
185 A²⁺³XX, XA³XX and XA²⁺³XX was prepared from 10 nM AXOS labeled stocks in
186 ultrapure water. To analyze the AXOS detection limit with DSA-FACE, 10 µL of each
187 dilution was analyzed in triplicate.

188 To study the DSA-FACE capacity to resolve (A)XOS, 10 µL of 1.25 nM of a mixture of
189 APTS labeled AXOS, 1.25 nM of a mixture of APTS labeled XOS and 1.25 nM of each
190 independently APTS labeled AXOS were analyzed by DSA-FACE in triplicate.

191 DSA-FACE was performed on an Applied BiosystemsTM 3130 Genetic Analyzer with 36
192 cm capillaries filled with Applied BiosystemsTM POP-7TM polymer. The settings used for
193 each run are described in Table 1. The dye set chosen was the G5 dye/filter and peaks are
194 detected in the blue channel. Data were analyzed using the GeneMapper® Software
195 Version 4.0. Limits of detection (LOD) were calculated based on the linear calibration
196 curves as in Herrick (1996).

197

198 **Enzymatic reactions**

199 Ten micromolar of each non-labeled substrate was mixed with 0.2 U/mL *AnAbf62A*-
200 m2,3 in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5 or with 0.2 U/mL *BaAXH-d3* in 0.1 M
201 sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 or with 14.3-143 ng/mL of *PaAbf62A* in 0.1 M sodium
202 phosphate buffer pH 6.0 or with 100 µg/mL novel GH43 enzyme from the metagenomic
203 sample in 50 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.0. Reactions were performed at 40°C and 750 rpm
204 for 3 h with *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 and *BaAXH-d3* and for 24 h and 750 rpm with *PaAbf62A*
205 and the novel GH43 enzyme. Buffers, pH values and reaction time were selected
206 according to the recommendations of Megazyme or empirically evaluated for completed
207 reactions.

208 All reactions were made in triplicate and were stopped by heat inactivation (80°C) for 30
209 min. A heat inactivated control, a substrate and enzyme control (in the appropriate buffer
210 for each control) were run in parallel. Enzymatic reactions with non-labeled substrate
211 were diluted to a mixture with approximately 1 µM carbohydrate, labeled and quenched
212 as described above and further diluted to 2.5-6.25 nM. Control reactions were diluted
213 similarly for comparison.

214 To obtain 100 µM of labeled AXOS for reactions with labeled substrate, ten fractions
215 containing 25 nmol sugar were freeze-dried and resuspended in 4 µL of 50 mM APTS.
216 Afterwards, labeling reactions were quenched by adding 46 µL of ultrapure water and the
217 ten aliquots were mixed. The resulting 500 µL of labeled sugar were concentrated to a
218 final volume of 100 µL by evaporation. When 2 µM labeled substrate was used, the same
219 enzyme concentration and buffer were used as above and reactions were run for 18 h.
220 Enzymatic reactions with fluorescently labeled substrate were also diluted to an
221 approximate carbohydrate concentration of 2.5 nM. 10 µL of each sample was analyzed
222 by DSA-FACE along with 1.25 nM labeled ladder of (A)XOS. There was no reaction

with 10 μ M labeled substrate, which may indicate that other components present in the labeling reaction inhibit the activity of *BaAXH*-d3 (but not *AnAbf62A*-m2,3). Data were analyzed and interpreted using the peak scanner (CE fragment sizing) tool of the Thermofisher Cloud.

Results

An AXOS mobility pattern can be simply inferred by DSA-FACE

A mixture of AXOS (A^2XX , A^3XX , $A^{2+3}XX$, XA^2XX , XA^3XX and $XA^{2+3}XX$) was successfully separated by DSA-FACE. The electrophoretic mobility of each sample is given in comparison to a ladder of XOS with known degree of polymerization (DP) expressed in xylose units. For (A)XOS from DP 3 to DP 6, AXOS with a DP of x have an electrophoretic mobility between x-1 and x xylose units of XOS. For instance, A^2XX , which is a xylotriose with an arabinofuranosyl substituent at the first xylosyl residue, has a DP 4 and shows an electrophoretic mobility between the xylotriose (DP 3) and xylotetraose (DP 4). This demonstrates that addition of an arabinofuranosyl substituent to a xylotriose backbone decreases the electrophoretic mobility, but less than a xylosyl residue that extends the same backbone (Fig. 1). Additionally, the *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl substituent (e.g. A^3XX , Fig. 1) decreases the electrophoretic mobility slightly more than the corresponding *O*-2 arabinofuranosyl substituent (A^2XX , Fig. 1). The effect differences between an *O*-2 and *O*-3 substituent on mobility become more pronounced for XA^2XX and XA^3XX , which have a higher DP and a substituent at the second xylosyl residue, resulting in a further improved resolution (Fig. 1). The *O*-2 and *O*-3 double arabinofuranosyl substituents have a larger effect than the mono-substituents. This effect on mobility is again significantly less pronounced than the extension of the same backbone with one xylosyl residue (e.g. $A^{2+3}XX$ and $XA^{2+3}XX$, Fig. 1).

For comparison, the same AXOS were also analyzed with HPAEC-PAD. Here, like with DSA-FACE, AXOS with a single arabinofuranosyl substituent have a lower retention time than the corresponding AXOS with double substituents, e.g. A²XX and A³XX elute before A²⁺³XX (Figure S1). Also like with DSA-FACE, AXOS with same DP but with an *O*-2 substituent show a lower retention time than the ones with a *O*-3 substituent (e.g. A²XX and A³XX) (Figure S1). However, A²⁺³XX shows a longer retention time than XA²⁺³XX, although it has a lower DP (Figure S1).

DSA-FACE has a detection limit in the picomolar (pM) range and is a reproducible method for AXOS profiling

The sensitivity for AXOS detection was compared between the PAD and the fluorescence detection coupled to the capillary electrophoresis system. A dilution series of a mixture of AXOS was analyzed in triplicate with both techniques. In case of DSA-FACE, there is a linear response between 78 pM and 625 pM with a correlation coefficient of approximately 0.99 for all AXOS (Figure S2). In the non-linear region the fluorescence of A²⁺³XX is significantly higher than the fluorescence of other AXOS tested ($P < 0.01$), indicating a better APTS-labeling efficiency or better excitation. The PAD response is linear for AXOS between 0.3 and 10 μ M and equal for all compounds with a high correlation coefficient for all AXOS (> 0.99) (Figure S3). For the AXOS studied in ultrapure water, the limit of detection (LOD) varied for DSA-FACE from 38 pM (XA²⁺³XX) to 55 pM (A²XX), whereas for HPAEC-PAD from 51 nM (XA³XX) to 126 nM (A²XX) (Table 2). It can thus be concluded that DSA-FACE is at least 10^3 times more sensitive than HPAEC-PAD.

The repeatability in terms of electrophoretic mobilities/retention times of the DSA-FACE and HPAEC-PAD, respectively, was compared for different concentrations of AXOS in

ultrapure water. In general, the coefficients of variation for both techniques are low and both DSA-FACE and HPAEC-PAD show a similar repeatability (Tables S2 and S3).

DSA-FACE requires less hands-on time and analysis time than HPAEC-PAD

In terms of hands-on and analysis time, DSA-FACE outperforms HPAEC-PAD to analyze AXOS profiles. When using HPAEC-PAD it is necessary to regenerate and equilibrate the resin at the start of each run, which takes a considerable amount of time. The DSA-FACE on its turn does not need any regeneration/equilibration step and does not require a regular maintenance as is the case for HPAEC-PAD since the CE polymer is replaced between each analysis reducing the risk of cross-contamination. Samples for HPAEC-PAD require filtering, whereas samples for DSA-FACE must be labeled. In total, DSA-FACE has an about 3x shorter hands-on time and a 3-7x faster analysis per four samples compared to HPAEC-PAD (Table 2).

DSA-FACE is a convenient method to reveal α -L-arabinofuranosidases substrate specificity

The substrate specificity was first analyzed by DSA-FACE for two commercially available, recombinant ABFs (*BaAXH*-d3 and *AnAbf62A*-m2,3) with known specificities. Both enzymes were incubated with substrates with a single or double substituent on the non-reducing xylosyl residue (A^2XX , A^3XX and $A^{2+3}XX$) or at the second xylosyl starting from the non-reducing end (XA^2XX , XA^3XX and $XA^{2+3}XX$). The reaction mixtures were analyzed by DSA-FACE and compared with the electrophoretic mobility of an (A)XOS ladder. *BaAXH*-d3 is only active on double substituted xylosyl residues as $A^{2+3}XX$ and $XA^{2+3}XX$ (Fig. 2a) and generates A^2XX and XA^2XX after reaction, respectively. It should be noted that the peak corresponding to the released

298 arabinose has a too high electrophoretic mobility to be observed. *AnAbf62A*-m2,3
 299 completely converts A²XX to xylotriose and XA²XX and XA³XX to xylotetraose (Fig.
 300 2b), respectively. Similar to *BaAXH*-d3, *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 is not affected by the non-
 301 reducing end xylosyl (Fig. 2b). DSA-FACE could thus successfully validate these
 302 substrate specificities, but with a less laborious approach than for their initial
 303 identification.

304 Subsequently, two ABFs with unknown AXOS substrate specificities were selected.
 305 DSA-FACE analysis of *PaAbf62A* with different specific AXOS demonstrated that
 306 *PaAbf62A* can hydrolyze *O*-2 and *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl substituents from A³X, A²XX,
 307 A³XX, XA²XX, XA³XX (Fig. 3). *PaAbf62A* does not have a preference for a non-
 308 reducing end arabinofuranosyl residue or for one at an internal xylosyl residue. Notably,
 309 it was not possible to completely inactivate this enzyme at 80°C for 30 min as seen in the
 310 heat inactivated controls, indicating a high thermostability (Fig. 3, in the case of A²XX,
 311 A³XX, XA²XX and XA³XX).

312 A second ABF with unknown substrate specificity was selected from a metagenomic
 313 sample isolated from pulp mill anaerobic granules enriched for over four years on
 314 pretreated poplar wood fiber (unpublished results). The GH43 ABF was identified
 315 following CAZyme assignments of the assembled metagenome, as reported in Wong et
 316 al. (2017). A³X, a mixture of A²XX and A³XX and XA²XX and XA³XX, A²⁺³XX and
 317 XA²⁺³XX were used as substrate for the novel GH43 ABF and the reaction mixture was
 318 analyzed with DSA-FACE. The only accepted substrate was the smallest substrate (A³X),
 319 which was partially converted to xylobiose (Fig. 4 and Figure S4).

320 *BaAXH*-d3 (Figure S5), *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 (Figure S6), *PaAbf62A* (Figure S7) and the
 321 novel GH43 ABF identified from a metagenomic sample (Figure S8) were also analyzed
 322 after reaction with XOS (XXXX, XXXXX and XXXXXX and also XX and XXX for the

novel GH43 enzyme), and they all showed no endo-xylanase activity since the XOS hydrolysates electropherograms remain unchanged compared to the substrate and heat inactivated controls.

AXH-d3 α -L-arabinofuranosidases hydrolysates must be labeled after hydrolysis

In terms of experimental set-up and enzyme kinetics it would be advantageous if the enzymatic reaction could also be performed with APTS-labeled substrate. A prior labeling of AXOS would significantly reduce the hands-on time after the enzymatic reactions as only a limited number of AXOS stocks must be labeled. In this particular case, it would reduce the overall hands-on time for DSA-FACE analysis to approximately 0.5 h in case of the analysis of four samples (Table 2). The background in the electropherograms would also be reduced since only a pure substrate would be labeled and not the whole hydrolysate including enzyme and buffer components.

*Ba*AXH-d3 activity on A²⁺³XX is clearly affected by the label at the reducing end of the sugar. The enzyme hydrolyzes the *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl substituent but another peak with DP 4 is also present (Fig. 5). Different trials by spiking with XXXX, A²⁺³XX, A²XX and A³XX did not give a reliable identification of the additional peak (data not shown). In contrast, the *An*Abf62A-m2,3 substrate specificity on A²XX is not affected by the APTS labels since the same electrophoretic mobility profiles are obtained for both enzymatic reactions (Figure S9). The APTS has thus only an influence on the AXH-d3 reaction which might indicate that APTS changes the interaction between the *O*-2 and/or *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl substituents of the substrate and the active site of the enzyme and/or the orientation of the substrate towards the enzyme.

Discussion

We have presented here DSA-FACE as a convenient method to analyze the AXOS specificity of ABFs. Our approach is based on the AXOS mobility pattern that can be easily inferred by DSA-FACE. The electrophoretic mobility of AXOS generally decreases with their DP, but the nature of the substituent affects this decrease (Fig. 1). The substituent effects can be explained by differences in hydrodynamic volume, even when the charge to mass ratio of these carbohydrates is the same. Hydrodynamic volume of sugars differs depending on DP and type of linkages (Herrick 1996; Mittermayr and Guttman 2012), but it cannot be excluded that also internal interactions, depending on the position of the substituents, may influence the charge to mass ratio and thus the mobility. When analyzing AXOS by HPAEC-PAD, no set of easy rules could be defined to reveal the AXOS structure in contrast to DSA-FACE. Therefore, DSA-FACE is more appropriate to study AXOS substrate specificity of ABFs than HPAEC-PAD. DSA-FACE can detect as low as 38 pM (picomolar range) of released AXOS after labeling, which allows the study of substrate specificities of enzymes available in small amounts or to detect minor activities. DSA-FACE is approximately 10^3 more sensitive than HPAEC-PAD (nanomolar range). The repeatability of DSA-FACE data is high, however, there is some remaining variability that is likely explained by the electrokinetic injection mechanism of the samples. Factors like temperature, sample matrix, viscosity of the polymer and presence of protein in the matrix affect electrokinetic injection and consequently migration times and peak areas vary from run to run (Sepaniak 2000). *BaAXH-d3* and *AnAbf62A-m2,3* with known substrate specificities were used as a proof of concept to show the applicability of DSA-FACE in the study of the substrate specificities of ABFs. The substrate specificity of native *BaAXH-d3*, a GH43 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* was earlier described with the

373 help of HPAEC-PAD and ^1H -NMR (Van Laere et al. 1997; Van Laere et al. 1999). Native
 374 *BaAXH*-d3 releases *O*-3 arabinofuranosyl residues from *O*-2 and *O*-3 doubly-substituted
 375 xylosyl monomers from wheat flour arabinoxylan, A^{2+3}XX and $\text{XA}^{2+3}\text{XXX}$ but not from
 376 single-substituted AXOS, soy arabinogalactan and sugar-beet arabinan and their
 377 oligosaccharides. While native *BaAXH*-d3 apparently shows no detectable activity
 378 towards *p*NPA, recombinant *BaAXH*-d3 was able to release *p*-nitrophenol from this
 379 substrate at a very low rate (van den Broek et al. 2005). *AnAbf62A*-m2,3, a recombinant
 380 GH62 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Aspergillus nidulans*, removes both *O*-2 and *O*-3
 381 arabinofuranosyl substituents from single-substituted xylosyl monomers of AXOS and
 382 AX as determined by ^1H -NMR analysis and polysaccharide analysis by carbohydrate gel
 383 electrophoresis (PACE) (Wilkens et al. 2016). From the (A)XOS studied, *BaAXH*-d3 is
 384 only active on double substituted xylosyl residues as A^{2+3}XX and XA^{2+3}XX . *O*-3 linked
 385 arabinofuranosyl substituents are removed and the non-reducing end xylosyl present in
 386 XA^{2+3}XX does not inhibit efficient arabinose removal. *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 was proved to
 387 remove the *O*-2 and *O*-3 linked arabinofuranosyl substituents and not to be affected by
 388 the non-reducing end xylosyl, as well (Fig. 2b). DSA-FACE could thus successfully
 389 validate these substrate specificities, but with a less laborious approach than for their
 390 initial identification. Subsequently, the substrate specificity of *PaAbf62A* was for the first
 391 time demonstrated with (A)XOS by DSA-FACE. *PaAbf62A* was identified before as a
 392 GH62 ABF in the genome of the ascomycete *Podospora anserina*, a coprophilous fungus
 393 acting on recalcitrant polysaccharides (Couturier et al. 2016).
 394 Its crystal structure was determined in complex with arabinose and cellobiose (PDB
 395 4N2Z, 4N4B) (Siguier et al. 2014). Weak arabinofuranosidase activity was detected with
 396 the chromogenic substrate *p*NPA. In addition, it was shown with HPAEC-PAD that
 397 *PaAbf62A* releases solely arabinose from wheat arabinoxylan and sugar beet arabinan

398 and not from debranched or linear arabinan (Wong et al. 2017). PaAbf62A could now be
399 specified as ABF-m2,3, removing O-2 and O-3 arabinofuranosyl substituents of
400 monosubstituted AXOS. Similar to PaAbf62A, the GH43 ABF was shown before to
401 release arabinose from pNPA, however, substrate preferences using AXOS were still
402 unknown. An unusual substrate specificity for a small substrate (A³X) was discovered for
403 this novel enzyme identified from a metagenomic sample using DSA-FACE. Sequence
404 alignments (Blastp) between the metagenomic GH43 enzyme and the 154 characterized
405 GH43 enzymes in the CAZymes database revealed only four significant hits with
406 coverages between 93% and 85%: two arabinofuranosidases from *Bacteroides*
407 *thetaiotaomicron* VPI-5482 (accession numbers AAO78760.1 and AAO76128.1) to
408 which the metagenomic GH43 sample shares 27% and 45% identity, respectively; an
409 endo-1,4- β -xylanase from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* ATCC8483 (accession number
410 BAF40308.1) with 25% shared identity and a glycosyl hydrolase from *Bacteroides ovatus*
411 ATCC 8483 (accession number EDO10792.1) with a shared identity of 26%. The
412 arabinofuranosidases from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* VPI-5482 belong to
413 subfamilies 19 and 18 and the endo-1,4- β -xylanase and the *Bacteroides ovatus* glycosyl
414 hydrolase belong to subfamilies 22 and 12, respectively. Due to their low homology to
415 the metagenomic GH43 enzyme and their variability in substrate specificity and
416 subfamily classification, an accurate prediction on the subfamily classification and
417 substrate specificity of the metagenomic GH43 enzyme is not possible. In earlier reports,
418 specificities have sometimes been determined with labeled substrates (Wang et al. 2011;
419 Eda et al. 2014). Although the use of labeled substrates would save a significant amount
420 of time and reduce the background signal, caution should be taken since prior labeling of
421 the substrates may bias the reaction outcome, resulting in a misannotation of the enzyme
422 specificity.

423 The Applied BiosystemsTM 3130 Genetic Analyzer used for the DSA-FACE analyses
424 offers the possibility to work in high-throughput. The presented method can be operated
425 in a 96-well plate format in around 14 h with the settings applied to analyze (A)XOS.
426 Overall, DSA-FACE can reveal the substrate specificity of ABFs without the use of an
427 internal standard, with a shorter analysis and hands-on time in comparison to HPAEC-
428 PAD and using representative AXOS. The convenience and the throughput potential of
429 DSA-FACE can accelerate the study of enzymatic activities by analyzing, for example, a
430 high number of putative enzymes from metagenomic samples or after directed evolution
431 experiments. In addition, it can also be of help to study the influence of different substrate
432 structures or different reaction conditions for a single enzyme.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mireille Haon (INRA, Aix Marseille Univ., BBF, Marseille, France) for the production and purification of the recombinant *PaAbf62A*.

Compliance with ethical standards

Funding: The research has been financially supported by the research fund of the University College Ghent and Ghent University (B/13845/01 'HS Annotatie enzymen').

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Albrecht S, van Muiswinkel GC, Schols HA, Voragen AG, Gruppen H (2009) Introducing capillary electrophoresis with laser-induced fluorescence detection (CE-LIF) for the characterization of konjac glucomannan oligosaccharides and their in vitro fermentation behavior. *J Agric Food Chem* 57:3867–76. doi: 10.1021/jf8038956
- Alvarez TM, Goldbeck R, dos Santos CR, Paixão DAA, Gonçalves TA, Franco Cairo JPL, Almeida RF, de Oliveira Pereira I, Jackson G, Cota J, Büchli F, Citadini AP, Ruller R, Polo CC, de Oliveira Neto M, Murakami MT, Squina FM (2013) Development and biotechnological application of a novel endoxylanase family GH10 identified from sugarcane soil metagenome. *PLoS One* 8:e70014. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070014
- Borsenberger V, Dornez E, Desrousseaux M-L, Massou S, Tenkanen M, Courtin CM, Dumon C, O'Donohue MJ, Fauré R (2014) A ¹H NMR study of the specificity of α -l-arabinofuranosidases on natural and unnatural substrates. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:3106–14. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.07.001
- Broberg A, Duus J, Thomsen KK, Ferre H (2000) A novel type of arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolase isolated from germinated barley. Analysis of substrate preference and specificity by nano-probe NMR. *Eur J Biochem* 267:6633–664. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01758.x
- Cairo JPLF, Leonardo FC, Alvarez TM, Ribeiro DA, Büchli F, Costa-Leonardo AM, Carazzolle MF, Costa FF, Leme AFP, Pereira GAG, Squina FM (2011) Functional characterization and target discovery of glycoside hydrolases from the digestome of the lower termite *Coptotermes gestroi*. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 4:50. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-4-50

483 Callewaert N, Geysens S, Molemans F, Contreras R (2001) Ultrasensitive profiling and
 484 sequencing of N-linked oligosaccharides using standard DNA-sequencing
 485 equipment. *Glycobiology* 11:275–81. doi: 10.1093/glycob/11.4.275

486 Couturier M, Haon M, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B, Lesage-meessen L, Berrin J (2011)
 487 *Podospira anserina* Hemicellulases Potentiate the *Trichoderma reesei* Secretome
 488 for Saccharification of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77:237–
 489 246. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01761-10

490 Couturier M, Tangthirasunun N, Ning X, Brun S, Gautier V, Bennati-Granier C, Silar P,
 491 Berrin J (2016) Plant biomass degrading ability of the coprophilic ascomycete
 492 fungus *Podospira anserina*. *Biotechnol Adv* 34:976–983. doi:
 493 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.05.010

494 Defrancq L, Callewaert N, Zhu J, Laroy W, Contreras R (2004) DSA-FACE: high-
 495 throughput analysis of the N-glycans of NS0-cell secreted antibodies. *Bioprocess Int*
 496 2:60-68.

497 Duus J, Gottfredsen CH, Bock K (2000) Carbohydrate structural determination by NMR
 498 spectroscopy: modern methods and limitations. *Chem Rev* 100:4589–4614. doi:
 499 10.1021/cr990302n

500 Eda M, Ishimaru M, Tada T, Sakamoto T, Kotake T, Tsumuraya Y, Mort AJ, Gross KC
 501 (2014) Enzymatic activity and substrate specificity of the recombinant tomato β -
 502 galactosidase 1. *J Plant Physiol* 171:1454–1460. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.06.010

503 Evangelista RA, Liu MS, Chen FTA (1995) Characterization of 9-aminopyrene-1, 4, 6-
 504 trisulfonate derivatized sugars by capillary electrophoresis with laser-induced
 505 fluorescence detection. *Anal Chem* 67:2239–2245. doi: 10.1021/ac00109a051

506 Fauré R, Courtin CM, Delcour JA, Dumon C, Faulds CB, Fincher GB, Fort S, Fry SC,
 507 Halila S, Kabel MA, Pouvreau L, Quemener B, Rivet A, Saulnier L, Schols HA,

508 Driguez H, O'Donohue MJ (2009) A brief and Informationally Rich Naming System
 509 for Oligosaccharide Motifs of Heteroxylans Found in Plant Cell Walls. *Aust J Chem*
 510 62:533–537. doi: 10.1071/CH08458

511 Guttman A, Herrick S (1996) Effect of the Quantity and Linkage Position of Mannose
 512 (α 1,2) Residues in Capillary Gel Electrophoresis of High-Mannose-Type.
 513 *Anal Biochem* 239:236–239. doi: 10.1006/abio.1996.0118

514 Hilz H, de Jong LE, Kabel MA, Schols HA, Voragen AG (2006) A comparison of liquid
 515 chromatography, capillary electrophoresis, and mass spectrometry methods to
 516 determine xyloglucan structures in black currants. *J Chromatogr A*. 1133:275–286.
 517 doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2006.08.024

518 Kabel MA, Heijnis WH, Bakx EJ, Kuijpers R, Voragen AGJ, Schols HA (2006) Capillary
 519 electrophoresis fingerprinting, quantification and mass-identification of various 9-
 520 aminopyrene-1,4,6-trisulfonate-derivatized oligomers derived from plant
 521 polysaccharides. *J Chromatogr A* 1137:119–26. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2006.10.058

522 Kormelink FJM, Searle-Van Leeuwen MJF, Wood TM, Voragen AGJ (1991a).
 523 Purification and characterization of a (1,4)- β -D-arabinoxylan
 524 arabinofuranohydrolase from *Aspergillus awamori*. *App Microbiol Biotechnol*
 525 35:753–754. doi: 10.1007/BF00169890

526 Kormelink FJM, Searle-Van Leeuwen MJF, Wood TM, Voragen AGJ (1991b) (1,4)- β -
 527 D-Arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolase: a novel enzyme in the bioconversion of
 528 arabinoxylan. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 35:231–232. doi: 10.1007/BF00184692

529 Koutaniemi S, Tenkanen M (2016) Action of three GH51 and one GH54 α -
 530 arabinofuranosidases on internally and terminally located arabinofuranosyl
 531 branches. *J Biotechnol* 229:22–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.04.050

532 Lagaert S, Pollet A, Delcour JA, Lavigne R, Courtin CM, Volckaert G (2010) Substrate

533 specificity of three recombinant α -L-arabinofuranosidases from *Bifidobacterium*
 534 *adolescentis* and their divergent action on arabinoxylan and arabinoxylan
 535 oligosaccharides. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 402:644–650. doi:
 536 10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.10.075

537 Li X, Jackson P, Rubtsov DV, Faria-Blanc N, Mortimer JC, Turner SR, Krogh KB,
 538 Johansen KS, Dupree P (2013) Development and application of a high throughput
 539 carbohydrate profiling technique for analyzing plant cell wall polysaccharides and
 540 carbohydrate active enzymes. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 6:1. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-6-
 541 94

542 Mantovani V, Galeotti F, Maccari F, Volpi N (2018) Recent advances in capillary
 543 electrophoresis separation of monosaccharides, oligosaccharides, and
 544 polysaccharides. *Electrophoresis* 39:179–189. doi: 10.1002/elps.201700290

545 McCleary BV, Mckie VA, Draga A, Rooney E, Mangan D, Larkin J (2015) Hydrolysis of
 546 wheat flour arabinoxylan, acid-debranched wheat flour arabinoxylan and arabino-
 547 xylo-oligosaccharides by β -xylanase, α -L-arabinofuranosidase and β -xylosidase.
 548 *Carbohydr Res* 407:79–96. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2015.01.017

549 Mewis K, Lenfant N, Lombard V, Henrissat B (2016) Dividing the large glycoside
 550 hydrolase family 43 into subfamilies: a motivation for detailed enzyme
 551 characterization. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82:1686–1692. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03453-
 552 15

553 Mittermayr S, Guttman A (2012) Influence of molecular configuration and conformation
 554 on the electromigration of oligosaccharides in narrow bore capillaries.
 555 *Electrophoresis* 33:1000–7. doi: 10.1002/elps.201100681

556 Pastell H, Tuomainen P, Virkki L, Tenkanen M (2008) Step-wise enzymatic preparation
 557 and structural characterization of singly and doubly substituted arabinoxylo-

558 oligosaccharides with non-reducing end terminal branches. Carbohydr Res
 559 343:3049–3057. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2008.09.013
 560 Pitson SM, Voragen AG, Beldman G (1996) Stereochemical course of hydrolysis
 561 catalyzed by arabinofuranosyl hydrolases. FEBS Lett 398:7–11. doi:10.1016/S0014-
 562 5793(96)01153-2
 563 Pouvreau L, Joosten R, Hinz SW, Gruppen H, Schols HA (2011) *Chrysosporium*
 564 *lucknowense* C1 arabinofuranosidases are selective in releasing arabinose from
 565 either single or double substituted xylose residues in arabinoxylans. Enzyme Microb
 566 Technol 48:397–403. doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2011.01.004
 567 Rantanen H, Virkki L, Tuomainen P, Kabel M, Schols H, Tenkanen M (2007) Preparation
 568 of arabinoxylobiose from rye xylan using family 10 *Aspergillus aculeatus* endo-1,4-
 569 β -D-xylanase. Carbohydr Polym 68:350–359. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2006.11.022
 570 Saha BC (2000) Alpha-L-arabinofuranosidases: biochemistry, molecular biology and
 571 application in biotechnology. Biotechnol Adv 18:403–23. doi:10.1016/S0734-
 572 9750(00)00044-6
 573 Sakamoto T, Inui M, Yasui K, Hosokawa S, Ihara H (2013) Substrate specificity and
 574 gene expression of two *Penicillium chrysogenum* α -L-arabinofuranosidases (AFQ1
 575 and AFS1) belonging to glycoside hydrolase families 51 and 54. Appl Microbiol
 576 Biotechnol 97:1121–1130. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-3978-3
 577 Schaeper JP, Sepaniak MJ (2000) Parameters affecting reproducibility in capillary
 578 electrophoresis. Electrophoresis 21:1421–1429. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1522-
 579 2683(20000401)21:7<1421::AID-ELPS1421>3.0.CO;2-7
 580 Shrivastava A, Gupta V (2011) Methods for the determination of limit of detection and
 581 limit of quantitation of the analytical methods. Chronicles Young Sci 2:21–25. doi:
 582 10.4103/2229-5186.79345

583 Siguier B, Haon M, Nahoum V, Marcellin M, Burlet-Schiltz O, Coutinho PM, Henrissat
 584 B, Mourey L, O'Donohue MJ, Berrin J-G, Tranier S, Dumon C (2014) First
 585 structural insights into α -L-arabinofuranosidases from the two GH62 glycoside
 586 hydrolase subfamilies. J Biol Chem 289:5261–73. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.528133
 587 Sørensen HR, Jørgensen CT, Hansen CH, Jørgensen CI, Pedersen S, Meyer AS (2006) A
 588 novel GH43 α -L-arabinofuranosidase from *Humicola insolens*: mode of action and
 589 synergy with GH51 α -L-arabinofuranosidases on wheat arabinoxylan. Appl
 590 Microbiol Biotechnol 73:850–861. doi: 10.1007/s00253-006-0543-y
 591 Van den Broek LA, Lloyd RM, Beldman G, Verdoes JC, McCleary BV, Voragen AG
 592 (2005) Cloning and characterization of arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolase-D3
 593 (AXHd3) from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* DSM20083. Appl Microbiol
 594 Biotechnol 67:641–7. doi: 10.1007/s00253-004-1850-9
 595 Van Laere KMJ, Beldman G, Voragen AGJ (1997) A new arabinofuranohydrolase from
 596 *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* able to remove arabinosyl residues from double-
 597 substituted xylose units in arabinoxylan. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 43:231–235.
 598 doi: 10.1007/s002530050918
 599 Van Laere KMJ, Voragen CHL, Kroef T, Van den Broek LAM, Beldman G, Voragen
 600 AGJ (1999) Purification and mode of action of two different arabinoxylan
 601 arabinofuranohydrolases from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* DSM 20083. Appl
 602 Microbiol Biotechnol 51:606–613. doi:10.1007/s002530051439
 603 Wang H, Squina F, Segato F, Mort A, Lee D, Pappan K, Prade R (2011) High-temperature
 604 enzymatic breakdown of cellulose. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:5199–5206. doi:
 605 10.1128/AEM.00199-11
 606 Wang W, Andric N, Sarch C, Silva BT, Tenkanen M, Master ER (2017) Constructing
 607 arabinofuranosidases for dual arabinoxylan debranching activity. Biotechnol Bioeng

115:41–49. doi: 10.1002/bit.26445

Westphal Y, Kühnel S, Schols HA, Voragen AG, Gruppen H (2010a) LC/CE–MS tools for the analysis of complex arabino-oligosaccharides. *Carbohydr Res* 345:2239–2251. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2010.07.011

Westphal Y, Kühnel S, de Waard P, Hinz SW, Schols HA, Voragen AG, Gruppen H (2010b) Branched arabino-oligosaccharides isolated from sugar beet arabinan. *Carbohydr Res* 345:1180–1189. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2010.03.042

Wilkens C, Andersen S, Dumon C, Berrin J, Svensson B (2017) GH62 arabinofuranosidases: Structure, function and applications. *Biotechnol Adv* 35:792–804 doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2017.06.005

Wilkens C, Andersen S, Petersen BO, Li A, Busse-Wicher M, Birch J, Cockburn D, Nakai H, Christensen HE, Kragelund BB, Dupree P, McCleary B, Hindsgaul O, Hachem MA, Svensson B (2016) An efficient arabinoxylan-debranching α -L-arabinofuranosidase of family GH62 from *Aspergillus nidulans* contains a secondary carbohydrate binding site. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 100:6265–77 doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7417-8

Wong MT, Wang W, Couturier M, Razeq FM, Lombard V, Lapebie P, Edwards EA, Terrapon N, Henrissat B, Master ER (2017) Comparative metagenomics of cellulose- and poplar hydrolysate-degrading microcosms from gut microflora of the Canadian Beaver (*Castor canadensis*) and North American moose (*Alces americanus*) after long-term enrichment. *Front Microbiol* 8:1–14. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02504

Figure captions

Fig. 1 DSA-FACE electropherograms of (A)XOS. Electrophoretic mobility pattern of a mixture of XOS with DP 3 to 6 was compared to A²XX, a mixture of A²XX and A³XX, A²⁺³XX, XA³XX, a mixture of XA²XX and XA³XX and XA²⁺³XX. In yellow, green and pink are represented the DP regions of AXOS

Fig. 2 DSA-FACE analysis of *BaAXH*-d3 (a) and *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 (b) hydrolysates. Electropherograms of reactions with *BaAXH*-d3 and *AnAbf62A*-m2,3 and A²XX, A²⁺³XX, XA³XX, a mixture of XA²XX and XA³XX and XA²⁺³XX. Control reactions with heat inactivated enzyme, substrate and enzyme alone were included. All reactions per enzyme were performed under the same reaction conditions

Fig. 3 DSA-FACE analysis of *PaAbf62A* hydrolysates. Electropherograms of reactions with *PaAbf62A* and A³X, a mixture of A²XX and A³XX, a mixture of XA²XX and XA³XX, A²⁺³XX and XA²⁺³XX. Control reactions with enzyme incubated at 80°C, substrate and enzyme alone were included. All reactions were performed under the same reaction conditions

Fig. 4 DSA-FACE analysis of hydrolysates of a novel GH43 enzyme identified from a metagenomic sample. Electropherograms of the hydrolysates obtained after incubation of GH43 enzyme generated from metagenomic data and A³X and a mixture of A²XX and A³XX. Control reactions with heat inactivated enzyme, substrate and enzyme alone were included. All reactions were performed under the same reaction conditions

Fig. 5 DSA-FACE analysis of *BaAXH*-d3 hydrolysates when incubated with APTS-labeled A²⁺³XX. Electropherograms of *BaAXH*-d3 and APTS-labeled and non-labeled A²⁺³XX. Control reactions with enzyme incubated at 80°C, substrate and enzyme alone were included. Question mark is the unknown peak that appears after reaction with *BaAXH*-d3 and APTS-labeled A²⁺³XX

Tables

Table 1 Applied Biosystems™ 3130 Genetic Analyzer settings. All (A)XOS were run under the following conditions

Parameter	Value
Oven temperature	60°C
Current stability	5 µA
Pre-run voltage	15 kV
Pre-run time	180 s
Injection voltage	1.2 kV
Injection time	16 s
Voltage n° of steps	20 nk
Voltage step interval	15 s
Data delay time	60 s
Run voltage	15 kV
Run time	1200 s

Table 2 HPAEC-PAD and DSA-FACE comparison in terms of resolution, sensitivity, repeatability and total hands-on-time and analysis time. Hands-on time and analysis time are calculated for the analysis of 4 samples

Parameter	Technique	HPAEC-PAD	DSA-FACE
Resolution		Customized elution programs must be chosen for the complete separation of (A)XOS	Good separation for all (A)XOS studied
LOD		From 51 nM to 126 nM for the AXOS studied	From 38 pM to 55 pM for the AXOS studied
Retention time/ electrophoretic migration repeatability		Coefficient of variation: 0.09% - 3.45%,	Coefficient of variation: 0.3%
Hands-on time		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare and degas elution eluents (~1.3 h) - Regenerate and equilibrate column (~3 h) - Samples (dilution and) filter sterilization (~0.7 h) - Start analysis (~0.4 h) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare labeling solution (~0.5 h) - Dilute samples to ~1 µM (~0.25 h) - Labeling reaction (~0.3 h) - Stop labeling reaction and start the run (~0.7 h)
		Total time: ~5.4 h	Total time: ~1.75 h
Analysis time		~2 to 4 h (elution program dependent)	~0.6 h