This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Roverato, E.; Kosunen, M.; Cornelissens, K.; Korhonen, Teuvo; Samsom, H.; Borremans, M.; Ryyänen, J.

Power-Scalable Dynamic Element Matching for a 3.4-GHz 9-bit $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DAC in 16-nm FinFET

Published in:

IEEE Solid State Circuits Letters

DOI:

[10.1109/LSSC.2018.2875823](https://doi.org/10.1109/LSSC.2018.2875823)

Published: 01/01/2018

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Please cite the original version:

Roverato, E., Kosunen, M., Cornelissens, K., Korhonen, T., Samsom, H., Borremans, M., & Ryyänen, J. (2018). Power-Scalable Dynamic Element Matching for a 3.4-GHz 9-bit RF-DAC in 16-nm FinFET. *IEEE Solid State Circuits Letters*, 1(5), 126-129. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LSSC.2018.2875823>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Power-Scalable Dynamic Element Matching for a 3.4-GHz 9-bit $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DAC in 16-nm FinFET

Enrico Roverato, Marko Kosunen, *Member, IEEE*, Koen Cornelissens, Teuvo Korhonen, *Member, IEEE*, Hans Samsom, Marc Borremans, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Jussi Ryyänen, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This letter presents a hardware-efficient technique to scale the power consumption of dynamic element matching (DEM) DACs with the static back-off level of the digital input signal. Unlike previous DEM techniques, the proposed power-scalable approach disables parts of the DEM encoder and DAC elements when the digital signal level is decreased from full-scale, thus resulting in reduced power consumption and lower mismatch noise at the DAC output. Power-scalable DEM is particularly useful in digital-intensive RF transmitters, where 30–50 dB of signal power control may be performed in the digital domain. The concept is demonstrated for a 3.4-GHz 9-bit I/Q RF-DAC, utilizing bandpass delta-sigma modulation and DEM with programmable center frequency. The circuit is fabricated in a 16-nm FinFET process. When changing the digital back-off level of an LTE20 carrier from 0 to –18 dB, measurement results show a 72% reduction in total power consumption and 4.5-dB lower mismatch noise, achieved without performing any bias tuning or gain control in the analog domain. The digital delta-sigma modulator and DEM encoder consume less than 20 mW in full-scale mode.

Index Terms—Delta-sigma modulation, digital gain control, dynamic element matching (DEM), power back-off, RF-DAC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamic element matching (DEM) continues to be a prime choice to improve the static nonlinearity of high-resolution DACs [1], [2]. By scrambling the order of the DAC elements on a sample-by-sample basis, DEM converts the distortion caused by static amplitude, timing, and pulse-shape mismatches into white or spectrally shaped noise. Because DEM is a purely digital algorithm, its implementation becomes increasingly attractive in the latest CMOS technology nodes, where high-speed low-cost digital logic can be successfully exploited to compensate for the larger analog device mismatches.

One important application of high-performance DEM DACs is in radio transmitters for wireless communications [3]–[5], where the aforementioned digitalization trend has strongly emerged during the past two decades. Modern radio standards utilize complex modulation schemes, wide channel bandwidths and stringent out-of-band (OOB) emission limits, thus imposing formidable speed and linearity requirements on the D/A conversion. Moreover, an additional design aspect in radio transmitters is the wide range of static signal power control required at the antenna, which can be as much as 75 dB for 3G user equipment. It is common to distribute such large gain control through the entire transmit signal chain, with 30–50 dB typically implemented in the digital domain [6]–[8]. Hence, in addition to high speed and linearity, the D/A conversion should also be optimized for signals with digital back-off, i.e., amplitude level smaller than the full-scale input range of the DAC.

Although the general idea of controlling a shuffler based on the signal amplitude was recently reported in one patent [9], to the authors' best knowledge no details have been published on how

Fig. 1. I/Q $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DAC with digital gain control and power-scalable DEM.

to optimize an existing DEM algorithm for the scenario of digital back-off. In all previous circuit implementations, the DEM encoder operates always by assuming a full-scale input signal, thus scrambling all DAC elements although only a fraction of them would be required to perform the conversion. As explained in this letter, such non-optimal operation leads to wasted power, reduced effectiveness of the DEM algorithm, as well as higher mismatch noise at the DAC output. To solve these problems, we introduce a new power-scalable DEM technique, based on the segmented tree-structure encoder [10], where parts of the digital logic and DAC elements can be efficiently bypassed and disabled in back-off mode. The concept is experimentally validated for a prototype 9-bit I/Q $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DAC, with block diagram shown in Fig. 1. Measurement results demonstrate that DEM operates effectively with up to –18 dB of static digital back-off, while achieving a reduction in system power consumption of up to 72% compared to the full-scale scenario. Even though this work discusses power-scalable DEM in the context of digital-intensive RF transmitters, the proposed method can be straightforwardly adopted in any DEM DAC application that utilizes digital gain control.

This letter is organized as follows. Section II introduces the concept of power-scalable DEM and its hardware-efficient realization. Section III describes the circuit-level implementation of the $\Delta\Sigma$ DEM RF-DAC. Measurement results are presented in Section IV, and Section V concludes the letter.

II. POWER-SCALABLE DEM

Fig. 2(a) shows the block diagram of a 6-bit tree-structure DEM encoder with signed data input [5], segmented into 4 unary-weighted MSBs and 2 binary-weighted LSBs. It consists of a cascade of switching blocks (SBs) [Figs. 2(b)–(d)] that iteratively split and switch their input signal $c[n]$ on a sample-by-sample basis, thus steering the data towards a different set of DAC elements at every clock cycle. Scrambling is performed within each SB by a pseudorandom sequence $s[n]$, which must satisfy

$$s[n] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c[n] \text{ is even} \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } c[n] \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for segmenting and nonsegmenting SBs, and

$$s[n] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c[n] \text{ is odd} \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } c[n] \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for first-layer SBs.

Manuscript received July 25, 2018; revised August 23, 2018; accepted September 3, 2018. (*Corresponding author: Enrico Roverato.*)

E. Roverato, M. Kosunen and J. Ryyänen are with the Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University School of Electrical Engineering, 02150 Espoo, Finland (e-mail: enrico.roverato@aalto.fi).

K. Cornelissens, H. Samsom and M. Borremans are with Huawei Technologies, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.

T. Korhonen is with Huawei Technologies, 00180 Helsinki, Finland.

Fig. 2. (a) Tree-structure DEM encoder with signed data input and 4 MSB + 2 LSB segmentation, along with internal architecture of (b) segmenting, (c) nonsegmenting, and (d) first-layer SBs.

Fig. 3. Disabling parts of tree encoder and DAC elements when the input signal is digitally scaled to (a) 1/2 and (b) 1/4 of the full-scale amplitude, corresponding to -6 and -12 dB of power back-off, respectively.

In a scenario of digital back-off, the input signal amplitude spans only a subset of the encoder's full range $\{-32, \dots, +32\}$, leading to the following issues. First, as the signal can still propagate through any SB, the entire tree encoder must stay enabled and waste dynamic power. Moreover, in a differential DAC, a small-amplitude signal is formed by setting nearly half of the always-active DAC elements to +1 and the rest to -1, meaning that also the DAC power consumption does not scale with digital back-off. Second, the low signal amplitude forces the $s[n]$ sequences constrained by (1) to be zero most of the time. If the sequences are spectrally shaped, such constraint will decrease the effective quantizer gain in the $\Delta\Sigma$ loops used for sequence generation. This in turn leads to closed-loop transfer function degradation or even instability, thus compromising the mismatch-shaping action of DEM. Third, because all DAC elements are active, their mismatch-noise contributions to the analog output is constant regardless of the decreased signal power, leading to non-optimal signal-to-noise ratio.

All of the above challenges can be simultaneously solved as follows. Consider, for example, a digital back-off of -6 dB. Because this corresponds to 1/2 of the full-scale amplitude, the 6-bit input signal could be converted with a 5-bit DEM DAC without causing overflows. Thanks to the modularity of the tree encoder, a 5-bit DEM DAC with

Fig. 4. Bypass of N nonsegmenting SBs without inserting multiplexers.

Fig. 5. Top-level block diagram of a single quadrature branch.

3+2 segmentation can be readily reconfigured from the original 6-bit system, by rerouting the connections like in Fig. 3(a). The resulting 5-bit tree encoder still operates as explained in [10], thus scrambling the active DAC elements to ensure conversion of static mismatches into pseudorandom noise. Furthermore, the excluded SBs can be disabled by the means of conventional digital power-saving techniques (e.g., clock gating), while the unused DAC elements can be also turned off if their internal circuitry allows it. The described method can be trivially extended to a digital back-off of -12 dB [Fig. 3(b)] or any multiple of -6 dB.

In practice, a direct implementation of the approach of Fig. 3 would require inserting multiplexers along the signal path. This is undesirable because such multiplexers would prevent the synthesis software to infer a single optimized datapath cell for multiple SB adders, yielding lower quality-of-results (QoR). Fortunately, the extra multiplexers can be avoided altogether by utilizing the trick displayed in Fig. 4. By multiplying the input of a nonsegmenting SB by 2^N , the signal is passed untouched across the following N SBs, since all the $s[n]$ sequences are forced to zero by (1) and the factor 2^N is compensated by the $1/2$ gain blocks. By varying N , the signal is effectively rerouted to a different SB in the tree according to the programmed level of digital back-off, like in Fig. 3. Note that the factor 2^N can be realized by left-shifting the signal by N positions, thus no high-speed multiplier is needed on the signal path.

III. CIRCUIT IMPLEMENTATION

Without loss of generality, this work demonstrates the effectiveness of power-scalable DEM in the context of a 9-bit I/Q $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DAC, which is part of an all-digital transmitter [Fig. 1]. In the implemented system, the $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator and DEM encoder realize a bandstop transfer function with center frequency programmable over the entire Nyquist range. The purpose is to create a notch in the OOB spectral density at a specific frequency offset from the transmit band, in order to ease coexistence with nearby receivers [3], [5].

Fig. 5 depicts the top-level block diagram of a single quadrature branch. The 9-bit RF-DAC is segmented into 4 unary-weighted MSBs (i.e., 16 elements with weight 32) and 5 binary-weighted LSBs.

Fig. 6. Implementation details of the sequence generator. The $\text{LSB}(c[n])$ input is negated when the circuit is part of a first-layer SB.

To reduce complexity of the digital circuitry, only the 6 MSBs are scrambled by the power-scalable DEM encoder, whereas the 3 LSBs directly drive the corresponding RF-DAC elements, since their mismatch-noise contribution has been verified through simulations to be negligible. Pipeline registers are inserted along the signal path, enabling a sample rate equal to 1/4 the carrier frequency. The encoder supports four power-scaling modes for digital back-off levels smaller than 0, -6, -12, and -18 dB, with extensive clock-gating logic used to reduce power consumption of the disabled SBs. Digital power control is performed by adjusting the gain signal P_{CTRL} (visible also in Fig. 1) with the wanted resolution, followed by selecting the correct DEM power-scaling mode as shown in Fig. 5. Even though the range of P_{CTRL} can be as large as 50 dB [8], no additional modes for back-off levels lower than -18 dB are implemented, since the practical benefits of power-scalable DEM would be negligible at such low signal amplitudes. Because power control in a transmitter system is adjusted between radio frames, when no signal is being transmitted, any transient caused by switching the DEM encoder to a different power-scaling mode (e.g., supply noise) is not critical.

Fig. 6 discloses the implementation details of the sequence generator internal to each SB. It resembles a $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator without signal input, where the special quantizer is designed to satisfy (1) or (2). By modeling the quantizer as additive random error, it can be shown that the circuit generates a pseudorandom sequence shaped by

$$\text{NTF}(z) = \frac{1 - \alpha z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{1 - r\alpha z^{-1} + r^2 z^{-2}} \quad (3)$$

which is a bandstop transfer function with programmable coefficients α and r . The value of $\alpha = 2\cos(2\pi f_0/F_s)$ determines the notch offset f_0 for a given sample rate F_s , while r mainly affects the stability of the $\Delta\Sigma$ loop through the maximum magnitude of $\text{NTF}(z)$ in the passband [3]. All measurements in this work use $r = 0.75$, which is a good compromise between stability, spectral performance, and ease of implementation. Note that (3) is also the noise transfer function implemented by the $\Delta\Sigma$ modulator preceding the DEM encoder, which decreases the signal wordlength from 14 to 9 bits. Thanks to the constraint $s[n] \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$, the $-(1-r)\alpha$ and $1-r^2$ programmable taps in Fig. 6 are replaced by simple 3-way multiplexers with pre-computed inputs. The remaining arithmetic is arranged into a single multiply-accumulate operation, thus providing the best QoR in terms of propagation delay and hardware complexity.

The 9-bit RF-DAC implements a high-speed current-steering architecture. As shown Fig. 7(a), each unity-weighted conversion element consists of a digital mixing circuit, two AND gates for 25% duty-cycle generation, and two symmetrical current-steering branches built of a current source (CS) in series with a switch [5]. An element with weight W_i is formed by parallel connection of W_i units. The operating principle is illustrated by the waveforms in Fig. 7(b), where the 4x relation between sample period T_s and carrier period T_c can be observed. Depending on the selected power-scaling mode, the i -th DEM encoder output $b_i[n]$ either switches between +1 and -1, or is constant 0 [Fig. 3]. When $b_i[n] = \pm 1$, the element is enabled and performs digital-to-RF conversion normally. When $b_i[n] = 0$, both

Fig. 7. (a) Implementation details of the i -th RF-DAC element. (b) Time-domain waveforms illustrating the operating principle.

Fig. 8. Chip micrograph. The entire all-digital transmitter measures $0.7 \times 1.0 \text{ mm}^2$, out of which 0.23 mm^2 are occupied by the digital front-end, and 0.20 mm^2 by the I/Q RF-DAC (only partially shown) and on-chip balun.

Fig. 9. OOB spectra in different configuration modes, when transmitting a full-scale (+3 dBm) LTE20 carrier at 3.4 GHz.

current-steering branches are disabled, thus significantly decreasing RF-DAC current consumption in digital back-off.

IV. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The I/Q RF-DAC is integrated with an on-chip balun as part of a prototype cellular system-on-chip, targeted for the 3.4-4.9-GHz frequency band. The chip is fabricated in a 16-nm FinFET process, with micrograph shown in Fig. 8. The circuit utilizes three separate supply domains: 0.8 V for the synthesized digital part, 1.0 V for the digital mixers, and 1.2 V for the current-steering RF-DACs. The overall linearity of the transmitter is verified to comply to the specifications of the supported cellular standards. All measurements reported in this letter are performed with an LTE20 carrier at various levels of digital back-off from the full-scale output power of +3 dBm.

Fig. 9 shows the OOB spectra of the I/Q RF-DAC in three configuration modes: linear quantization (both $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation and DEM bypassed), $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation without DEM, and $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation

Fig. 10. OOB spectra for various levels of back-off from the full-scale output power of +3 dBm, when transmitting an LTE20 carrier at 3.4 GHz.

Fig. 11. Power consumption of circuit blocks during the measurement of Fig. 10. The bold numbers highlight the total reduction from full-scale power consumption, achieved without any analog bias tuning or gain control.

with DEM. The latter two cases are measured with the stopband tuned to 45 and 100-MHz offset from the 3.4-GHz transmit band. The results validate the basic operation of the system [3], highlighting a noise-floor improvement enabled by $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation and DEM of nearly 10 dB compared to using linear quantization. The notch depth is limited by phase noise originating from the long on-chip clock paths, which could be improved by utilizing stronger buffering.

Operation in digital back-off is demonstrated by the OOB spectra of Fig. 10, with the stopband offset tuned to 100 MHz. Because of the smaller number of active RF-DAC elements, the averaged noise floor over 18-MHz bandwidth decreases by up to 4.5 dB from the full-scale value. This is in contrast to the case of linear quantization, where the noise floor is constant regardless of the back-off level. The corresponding power consumptions of the I+Q signal paths are reported in Fig. 11, where the relative reductions from total full-scale power are also highlighted. The $\Delta\Sigma$ +DEM blocks consume 19.7 mW with no back-off, when clocked at 0.85 GS/s. This marks a 63% improvement compared to [5] (53 mW @ 0.9 GS/s), which has been achieved through several system and arithmetic optimizations as well as porting the design to a 16-nm technology. Thanks to the power-scalable DEM encoder, the digital consumption decreases to 9.1 mW for -18 dB of digital back-off (-54%), while the RF-DAC consumption also drops from 267 to 71 mW (-73%) without any bias tuning or gain control in the analog domain.

Table I compares the measured performance with the state-of-art $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DACs. This work demonstrates programmable bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation and DEM at the highest carrier frequency and output power, while achieving the largest reduction in power consumption by utilizing only digital back-off.

V. CONCLUSION

We presented a new technique to optimize the operation of DEM DACs for signals with varying level of digital back-off. Its effectiveness has been successfully demonstrated for a 3.4-GHz 9-bit I/Q

TABLE I
COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS $\Delta\Sigma$ RF-DACs

	ISSCC 2008 [6]	JSSC 2016 [11]	This work
Technology	65-nm CMOS	130-nm SiGe	16-nm FinFET
Active area	0.4mm ²	1.28mm ² (1)	0.43mm²
Power supplies	1.2V	1.5V, 1.8V, 2V, 2.3V, 3.3V	0.8V, 1.0V, 1.2V
Resolution	6.15 bits	3 bits	9 bits
Carrier freq.	2.4–2.7 GHz	0.5–2.25 GHz	3.4–4.9 GHz
$\Delta\Sigma$ modulator	lowpass	bandpass, highpass	tunable bandpass
Test signal	802.11g @ 2.45GHz	LTE20 @ 1GHz	LTE20 @ 3.4GHz
$\Delta\Sigma$ sample rate	4.9 GS/s	2 GS/s	0.85 GS/s
Max. P_{out}	+2.6 dBm	-10 dBm(2)	+3 dBm
OOB noise	-149 dBm/Hz(3) @ -500MHz	-131 dBm/Hz(2,4) @ 100MHz	-143 dBm/Hz @ 100MHz
Power cons.	254mW @ 0dB 191mW @ -7.6dB(5)	787mW(6)	287mW @ 0dB 170mW @ -6dB(7)

(1) $\Delta\Sigma$ modulators + RF-DACs

(2) 7-dB measurement loss de-embedded

(3) P_{out} = -4 dBm

(4) with single-tone signal

(5) analog+digital back-off

(6) excluding LVDS receivers

(7) only digital back-off

RF-DAC utilizing programmable bandpass $\Delta\Sigma$ modulation and DEM, but the results can be straightforwardly extended to any DEM DAC application that utilizes digital gain control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to F. Steininger for his advice on DSP optimization, to P. Stynen for the digital integration, and to K.-F. Bink for the lab support.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. T. Lin, H. Y. Huang, and T. H. Kuo, "A 12-bit 40 nm DAC achieving SFDR > 70 dB at 1.6 GS/s and IMD < -61dB at 2.8 GS/s with DEMDRZ technique," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 708–717, Mar. 2014.
- [2] S. Su and M. S. Chen, "A 12-bit 2 GS/s dual-rate hybrid DAC with pulse-error pre-distortion and in-band noise cancellation achieving >74 dBc SFDR and <-80 dBc IM3 up to 1 GHz in 65 nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 2963–2978, Dec. 2016.
- [3] E. Roverato, M. Kosunen, J. Lemberg, K. Stadius, and J. Rynänen, "RX-band noise reduction in all-digital transmitters with configurable spectral shaping of quantization and mismatch errors," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 3256–3265, Nov. 2014.
- [4] J. Kim, W. Jang, Y. Lee, S. Oh, J. Lee, and T. Cho, "A 12-b, 1-GS/s 6.1 mW current-steering DAC in 14 nm FinFET with 80 dB SFDR for 2G/3G/4G cellular application," in *IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits Symp. (RFIC)*, Jun. 2017, pp. 248–251.
- [5] E. Roverato *et al.*, "All-digital LTE SAW-less transmitter with DSP-based programming of RX-band noise," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 3434–3445, Dec. 2017.
- [6] A. Pozsgay, T. Zounes, R. Hossain, M. Boulemlakher, V. Knopik, and S. Grange, "A fully digital 65nm CMOS transmitter for the 2.4-to-2.7GHz WiFi/WiMAX bands using 5.4GHz $\Delta\Sigma$ RF DACs," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC)*, Feb. 2008, pp. 360–361.
- [7] P. Seddighrad, A. Ravi, M. Sajadieh, H. Lakdawala, and K. Soumyanath, "A 3.6GHz, 16mW $\Sigma\Delta$ DAC for a 802.11n / 802.16e transmitter with 30dB digital power control in 90nm CMOS," in *European Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ESSCIRC)*, Sep. 2008, pp. 202–205.
- [8] Z. Boos *et al.*, "A fully digital multimode polar transmitter employing 17b RF DAC in 3G mode," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC)*, Feb. 2011, pp. 376–377.
- [9] "Adaptive element shuffler," U.S. Patent 9 525 444, Dec. 20, 2016.
- [10] K. L. Chan, J. Zhu, and I. Galton, "Dynamic element matching to prevent nonlinear distortion from pulse-shape mismatches in high-resolution DACs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 2067–2078, Sep. 2008.