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Observation of charge density waves in free-standing 1T-TaSe₂ monolayers by transmission electron microscopy
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While bulk 1T-TaSe₂ is characterized by a commensurate charge density wave (CDW) state below 473 K, the stability of the CCDW state in a 1T-TaSe₂ monolayer, although theoretically predicted, has not been experimentally confirmed so far. As charge density waves and periodic lattice distortions (PLDs) always come together, we evaluate the PLD in a 1T-TaSe₂ monolayer from low-voltage aberration-corrected high-resolution transmission electron microscopy experiments. To prevent fast degradation of 1T-TaSe₂ during exposure to the electron-beam, a 1T-TaSe₂/graphene heterostructure was prepared. We also perform the image simulations based on atom coordinates obtained using density functional theory calculations. From the agreement between the experimental and simulated images, we confirm the stability of the CCDW/PLD in a monolayer 1T-TaSe₂/graphene heterostructure at room temperature in the form of a \( \sqrt{13} \times \sqrt{13} \) superstructure. At the same time, we find that in comparison to multi-layer structures, the superstructure is less pronounced. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5052722

Materials with reduced dimensionality are nowadays an enormously increasing field of research, involving fundamental physics, materials science, and quantum technology. It has been predicted for instance that in graphene the quantum Hall effect can be reliably measured even at room temperature.¹ Likewise, high temperature superconductivity at interfaces and in single-layers were reported.²,³ Moreover, some of the bulk layered materials exhibit many-body quantum state features, associated with charge density wave (CDW) ordering and gap in the electronic spectrum.¹–⁶

CDWs are periodic modulations of the electron charge density observed preferably in low-dimensional metals, which depend on temperature, dimensionality, doping, and pressure. They often arise due to instabilities at the Fermi surface and give rise to metal-to-insulator transitions due to the opening of a bandgap.⁷,⁸ Due to electron-phonon coupling, the CDW state is always accompanied by a periodic lattice distortion (PLD) which is characterized by a periodic modulation of the atomic positions.⁹ Thus, CDW and PLD always come together, and we will call them CDW/PLD throughout the paper. The CDW/PLD structure can be either commensurate or incommensurate with respect to the undistorted lattice, depending on the transition temperature and the dimensionality of the system.⁴

Bulk transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are layered materials (either semiconductors or metals) with MX₂ as the common structural formula, where M stands for transition metals and X for chalcogens S, Se, and Te. The layers are coupled by van der Waals forces.¹⁰ Thus, bulk TMDs are mechanically and electrically extremely anisotropic.¹¹ The possibility of obtaining single-layers from layered bulk structures¹²,¹³ has raised great attention as many possibilities are envisaged for potential technological applications¹⁴–¹⁶ and for understanding solid state quantum phenomena.⁴–⁶,¹⁰

Bulk TMDs such as TaSe₂, TaS₂, and NbSe₂ are metals that exhibit a strong CDW/PLD.³,⁵ Obtaining defect-free single-layers from bulk CDW/PLD TMD materials and understanding their characteristics are active fields of research. Due to confinement effects, interesting properties are already predicted for the CDW/PLD state, e.g., for single-layer 1T-TaS₂ which obtains a triclinic stripe order or for single-layer 2H-NbSe₂ which shows an enhanced transition temperature.¹⁷,¹⁸ So far, there are different qualitative models for the CDW phase transition, e.g., the Fermi surface nesting,⁵,¹⁹,²⁰ Peierls distortion,⁷ and giant Kohn anomaly,⁴ but a “coherent and realistic microscopy theory has not yet emerged.”⁵

For 1T-TaSe₂, thickness- and temperature-dependent properties have already been reported in the commensurate charge density wave CCDW/PLD state: It is metallic in the bulk structure but insulating in a single-layer,¹¹,²² and the transition temperature to the commensurate (C)CDW/PLD phase in the bulk structure is 437 K, which is reduced with the decreasing thickness.²³,²⁴ However, no experimental evidence has been given for the formation of the CCDW/PLD state in freestanding monolayer 1T-TaSe₂ at room temperature.

In this paper, we investigate the CCDW/PLD structure of free-standing single-layer 1T-TaSe₂ using an 80 kV aberration-corrected high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (AC-HRTEM) at room temperature. The knock-on damage threshold for TaSe₂ is considerably higher, about (190–200) kV, calculated using the displacement threshold energy for Se atoms obtained within the framework of density functional theory (DFT)-based molecular dynamics for TaSe₂.²⁵ However, previous AC-HRTEM investigations of
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single-layer TMDs have shown that electron beam-induced damage can lead to rapid generation of structural defects through other mechanisms, which include electronic excitations, especially for insulating materials, and beam-induced chemical etching.\textsuperscript{26,27} The effects of electron beam-induced damage can be reduced by protecting the single-layer TMD with one graphene layer or sandwiching it between two graphene layers.\textsuperscript{27–29} Here, we investigate the single-layer 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2}/graphene heterostructure. Furthermore, we assume that heating of a few-layer thick sample by the electron beam at 80 kV can be neglected, as experiments and theory indicate.\textsuperscript{26,30,31}

The atomic structure of the CCDW/PLD in single-layer 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} is shown in Fig. 1 with Ta atoms colored blue and Se atoms orange. The Ta atoms show a 13-atom star-of-David-like pattern with a $\sqrt{13} \hat{a}_0 \times \sqrt{13} \hat{a}_0$ unit cell (marked in green), rotated by about 13.9° to the undistorted lattice. The superstructure lattice vectors are $\mathbf{a}_{\text{CDW}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\text{CDW}}$ with a length of $|\mathbf{a}_{\text{CDW}}| = |\mathbf{b}_{\text{CDW}}| = \sqrt{13} \hat{a}_0$. The main distortion of the atoms is governed by the Ta atoms with the largest displacement of Ta being about 0.33 Å (in-plane).\textsuperscript{5} The red arrows indicate the direction of the in-plane atom displacements. Se atoms are displaced mainly out of plane.\textsuperscript{5} In the incommensurate (I)CDW/PLD case, the rotation angle is between 0° and 13.9°, depending on the incommensurability, and a domain-like structure is formed.\textsuperscript{5}

Single-layers of 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} were mechanically exfoliated from the bulk material using the Scotch tape method (for more details, see supplementary material).\textsuperscript{32,33} The exact thicknesses of the 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} flakes were determined by the contrast measurement with an optical microscope.\textsuperscript{32,33} In Fig. 2(a), an optical microscopy image of a 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} flake on a SiO\textsubscript{2} substrate is shown. The flake is marked with a black solid line, and the monolayer area is marked with a dashed black line. A green channel image of the same flake is shown in Fig. 2(b) as green light is used for the contrast calculation of a 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} monolayer.

A line scan from A to B for the thickness determination is also indicated with a red line. The profile of the line scan is depicted in Fig. 2(c). The average values for the minimum and maximum are drawn as horizontal lines in the graph. The measured contrast is $C = 18\%$. This experimental value was compared to the calculated contrast for a 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} monolayer on SiO\textsubscript{2}, which was conducted analogously to contrast calculations for monolayers of graphene or TMDs.\textsuperscript{32,33} The calculated contrast $C$ for a single 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} layer on this Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} wafer was $C = 0.19(5)$ (values for calculation, see supplementary material). The confidence interval (brackets) was calculated from the propagation of the uncertainties of the physical quantities. Thus, we conclude that the layer marked with a dashed line in Fig. 2(a) is a monolayer. For HRTEM investigations, this 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} flake was transferred to a gold sputtered Quantifoil grid on which a graphene flake has already been transferred [see Fig. 2(d)]. The Au sputtered grids show better heat conductivity during cooling experiments, but they have the disadvantage of a very low contrast for monolayers in the optical microscope. A 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2}/graphene heterostructure was prepared to reduce electron beam-induced damages of the 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} layer during the HRTEM investigations. Furthermore, graphene acts as a support material for the few micrometer wide monolayers.

The experimental HRTEM image of the 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2}/graphene heterostructure is presented in Fig. 3(a). Due to the low contrast of graphene, the contrast is dominated by the 1T-TaSe\textsubscript{2} structure. We chose Scherzer defocus imaging conditions, and Ta (180.95 u) atoms have a higher contrast than Se (78.96 u) atoms due to their higher atomic mass. In the upper right corner of Fig. 3(a), the graphene lattice is
filtered out. This filtered inset does not show a visible difference to the unfiltered rest of Fig. 3(a). A contrast variation in the HRTEM image due to the CCDW/PLD can be revealed by reducing high-frequency information of the lattice in the image. This is realized by a convolution with a Gaussian function with an appropriate radius of decay Gaussian high-pass filter function of the Digital Micrograph software. The filtered image reveals a pronounced contrast variation [see Fig. 3(b)]. To show that this contrast variation originates from the commensurate $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure, a HRTEM image with the DFT-relaxed superstructure of the 1T-TaSe$_2$ monolayer is simulated [see Fig. 3(c)]. The simulated HRTEM image shows a pronounced star-of-David-like superstructure. One example of a star-of-David is marked in blue, and the superstructure lattice vectors $a_{\text{CDW}}$ and $b_{\text{CDW}}$ in green. The experimental HRTEM image [Fig. 3(a)] shows a less distinctive contrast modulation of the Ta and Se atoms in comparison to the simulated superstructure image [Fig. 3(c)]. In the upper right corner of Fig. 3(c), a Gaussian blur is applied on this part of the simulated image. The images with Gaussian blur have quantitatively the same contrast modulation [Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)] which indicates once again that the experimentally found superstructure is the expected $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure. To validate the superstructure, we analyzed it in the reciprocal space. The Fourier transformed pattern of the HRTEM image is depicted in Fig. 3(d), which reveals the main 1T-TaSe$_2$ reflections, marked in blue, satellite spots due to the $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure, marked in green, and graphene reflections, marked in red. Reflections originating from a convolution between the graphene and the 1T-TaSe$_2$ structure are marked in pink. As can be seen, the satellite spots are rotated about 13.9° against the 100 1T-TaSe$_2$ reflections, identifying the structure as commensurate. In the SAED pattern of the 1T-TaSe$_2$ monolayer on graphene [c, satellite spots of the CCDW/PLD are visible. (f) Simulated kinematic electron diffraction pattern of the CCDW/PLD in a single 1T-TaSe$_2$ layer. It shows satellite spots and the rotation angle for the commensurate superstructure. In the enlarged area, reciprocal lattice vectors of the superstructure $a_{\text{CDW}}$ and $b_{\text{CDW}}$ are shown.

FIG. 3. (a) Experimental AC-HRTEM image of the 1T-TaSe$_2$-graphene heterostructure at 80 kV. Ta atoms show a higher contrast than Se atoms. In the upper right corner, the graphene lattice is filtered out, which does not show a difference to the unfiltered image. (b) To visualize the contrast variation due to the CCDW/PLD, a Gaussian blur is applied on the image, reducing only high-frequency information. (c) Simulated AC-HRTEM image of the CCDW/PLD in a single 1T-TaSe$_2$ layer. The star-of-David is marked in blue, and the superstructure lattice vectors $a_{\text{CDW}}$ and $b_{\text{CDW}}$ are in green. (d) The Fourier transformed pattern of the 1T-TaSe$_2$ structure and satellite spots due to the CCDW/PLD can be revealed. The angle between the satellite spots and the main 100 1T-TaSe$_2$ reflections is 13.9°, which proves that the superstructure is commensurate with the underlying lattice. Furthermore, a selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern originating from a larger sample shows the main reflections of the $1\text{I}-$structure and satellite spots of the $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure [see Fig. 3(e)]. Reflections of the graphene lattice are not visible as they have a much smaller intensity than the 1T-TaSe$_2$ reflections. For the sake of completeness, a simulated kinematic electron diffraction pattern is shown in Fig. 3(f), showing the reflections of the 1T-TaSe$_2$ structure and satellite spots due to the $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure. In the enlarged area, the reciprocal lattice vectors of the superstructure $a_{\text{CDW}}$ and $b_{\text{CDW}}$ are shown which have the length of $|a_{\text{CDW}}| = |b_{\text{CDW}}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13} a_0}$. The angle between the satellite spots and the main 100 reflections is 13.9°. The positions of the 1T-TaSe$_2$ reflections and satellite spots in the experimental Fourier transformation and the SAED pattern are the same as in the simulated diffraction pattern. This all identifies the superstructure in the single-layer 1T-TaSe$_2$ sample as the expected $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure; however, the experimental HRTEM image shows a less pronounced star-of-David-like contrast modulation. This structure is formed at about room temperature which confirms the assumed temperature behavior from bulk crystals down to monolayers.23
The stability of the CCDW/PLD in a single-layer of 1T-TaSe$_2$ is predicted by density functional theory (DFT) relaxations, which shows the same superstructure for a single-layer 1T-TaSe$_2$ as known for bulk 1T-TaSe$_2$. To see whether the graphene substrate has an influence on the 1T-TaSe$_2$ layer, we performed calculations of the CCDW/PLD phase on graphene, as described in detail below. In the alignment of Fermi levels of the systems, the graphene substrate will donate electrons to the 1T-TaSe$_2$ sheet, as shown in Fig. 4(a), but this does not result in any noticeable alterations of the CCDW/PLD structure. Although charge transfer between the 1T-TaSe$_2$ and the graphene layer does not completely destabilize the CCDW/PLD phase, it might affect its formation energy. To investigate this, we carried out a series of calculations with account for doping of the CCDW/PLD and the undistorted phase with a series of different fractional electron charges, computing the CCDW/PLD formation energy per formula unit as $E_f = E_{\text{(Pristine)}} - \frac{E_{\text{(CCDW/PLD)}}}{\sqrt{13}}$, and thus defined as the positive energy gained by the structure upon distortion. The results, for a range of large but possibly realizable surface electron charges, are shown in Fig. 4(b). The CCDW/PLD is further stabilized by electron doping (increasing formation energy), whereas hole doping tends to decrease the formation energy, although—at realistic doping concentrations—not enough to stabilize the undistorted phase. The sudden downturn of the formation energy curve in Fig. 4(b) around $7.5 \times 10^{13}$ electrons/cm$^2$ results from the complete filling of one additional, isolated empty band in the CCDW/PLD structure.

To sum up, we have unambiguously proven that the CCDW/PLD is stable in a monolayer of 1T-TaSe$_2$ at room temperature. We have shown that the expected commensurate $\sqrt{13}$-superstructure has been formed as we investigated not only the monolayer of 1T-TaSe$_2$ but also the heterostructure consisting of two monolayers (1T-TaSe$_2$ and graphene). We conclude that the underlying graphene has no influence on the commensurate $\sqrt{13}$ PLD in a monolayer of 1T-TaSe$_2$.

See supplementary material for experimental details.
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