This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Hodge, M. A.; Lister, M. L.; Aller, M. F.; Aller, H. D.; Kovalev, Y. Y.; Pushkarev, A. B.; Savolainen, T.

MOJAVE XVI

Published in:
The Astrophysical Journal

DOI:
[10.3847/1538-4357/aacb2f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacb2f)

Published: 01/08/2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Hodge, M. A., Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., Kovalev, Y. Y., Pushkarev, A. B., & Savolainen, T. (2018). MOJAVE XVI: Multiepoch Linear Polarization Properties of Parsec-scale AGN Jet Cores. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 862(2), [151]. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacb2f>

MOJAVE XVI: Multiepoch Linear Polarization Properties of Parsec-scale AGN Jet Cores

M. A. Hodge¹ , M. L. Lister¹ , M. F. Aller² , H. D. Aller² , Y. Y. Kovalev^{3,4,5} , A. B. Pushkarev^{6,3}, and T. Savolainen^{7,8,5}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, 525 Northwestern Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA; hodge2@purdue.edu, mlister@purdue.edu

² Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 311 West Hall, 1085 S. University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

³ Astro Space Center of Lebedev Physical Institute, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, 117997 Moscow, Russia

⁴ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Institutsky per., 9, Moscow region, 141700, Russia

⁵ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

⁶ Crimean Astrophysical Observatory, 298409 Nauchny, Crimea[†], Russia

⁷ Aalto University Department of Electronic and Nanoengineering, PL15500, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

⁸ Aalto University Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Metsähovintie 114, FI-02540 Kylmäla, Finland

Received 2018 April 27; revised 2018 June 2; accepted 2018 June 5; published 2018 August 2

Abstract

We present an analysis of the core linear polarization properties of 387 parsec-scale active galactic nuclei (AGNs) jets. Using 15 GHz VLBA data, we revisit the conclusions of the first paper in this series with multiepoch measurements and more detailed analysis of a larger AGN sample that spans a broader range of synchrotron peak frequencies. Each AGN has been observed for at least five epochs between 1996 and 2017. We find that BL Lac objects have core electric vector position angles (EVPAs) that tend toward alignment with the local jet direction; compared to flat spectrum radio quasars, their EVPAs are also less variable over time. The AGN cores that are most fractionally polarized and least variable in polarization have EVPAs that are closely aligned with the local jet direction; they also have low variability in EVPA. These results support the popular model of a standing transverse shock at the base of the jet that collimates the jet magnetic field perpendicular to the jet direction, increasing the fractional polarization and leading to greater polarization stability over time. High-synchrotron-peaked BL Lac objects form a low luminosity, low fractional polarization population. The five narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies in our sample have low fractional polarization and large EVPA-jet misalignments. Although AGNs detected at γ -rays are thought to be more Doppler boosted than nondetected AGNs, we find no significant differences in fractional polarization based on detection by *Fermi*-LAT; the γ -loud AGNs are, however, more variable in core EVPAs.

Key words: BL Lacertae objects: general – galaxies: active – galaxies: jets – polarization – quasars: general – radio continuum: galaxies

Supporting material: machine-readable tables

1. Introduction

In the first paper in this series, we published a VLBA 15 GHz study of the parsec-scale linear polarization properties of 133 jets associated with compact radio loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs; Lister & Homan 2005). A wealth of information has subsequently become available, but relatively few radio surveys have focused on the multiepoch polarization properties of large numbers of AGNs. Polarization is a useful tool for determining the magnetic field structure of the jet and may be particularly important in assessing the inherent differences between subcategories of blazars. As jet emission can be highly variable—down to minute timescales in some bands—multiepoch measurements can show drastic changes.

In the case of synchrotron radiation, the fractional polarization can theoretically reach as high as 70% of the total intensity flux (Longair 2011). The observed amount and characteristics are highly dependent on viewing angle, due to relativistic boosting and aberration (Lyutikov et al. 2005; Pushkarev et al. 2005). According to radio unification theory, blazars and radio galaxies are the same objects, with blazars oriented at a closer angle to the line of sight. Furthermore, it is generally believed that the blazar optical subclasses, BL Lacs and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs), are

linked to FR-type I and II galaxies, respectively (Urry & Padovani 1995). Correspondingly, BL Lacs and FSRQs have been found to have noticeably different polarization properties (Cawthorne et al. 1993; Pollack et al. 2003; Lister & Homan 2005). Possible factors that could cause this dissimilarity include jet speeds and shock strength, leading to differing magnetic field order (Lister & Homan 2005), viewing angle (Agudo et al. 2014), the rate of emerging new jet components (Gabuzda et al. 2000), and the amount of Faraday depolarization (Taylor 2000; Kravchenko et al. 2017). In addition, these polarization properties have been found to be dependent on synchrotron SED peak frequency and association with a γ -ray source (Hovatta et al. 2010; Lister et al. 2011; Angelakis et al. 2016)—characteristics that are not equally spread between optical subclasses.

This paper focuses on the parsec-scale radio cores of AGNs (Zensus 1997), which are generally associated with the bright, unresolved, optically thick feature near the base of the jet in VLBA images. The polarization properties of the downstream jet emission will be presented in a future paper in this series. The cores typically exhibit a lower fractional polarization ($m = (Q^2 + U^2)^{1/2}/I$; where I , Q , and U are intensities in each Stokes parameter) than the downstream jet (Lister & Homan 2005). Selection effects may be a partial reason for this finding (Wardle 2013), but it is mainly thought to be a result of magnetic fields becoming more ordered with distance down the

[†] While the AAS journals adhere to and respect UN resolutions regarding the designations of territories (available at <http://www.un.org/press/en>), it is our policy to use the affiliations provided by our authors on published articles.

Table 1
General Properties of the AGN Sample

Source (1)	Alias (2)	1.5 Jy (3)	LAT (4)	Optical Class (5)	SED Peak Class (6)	$\log(\nu_{\text{peak}})$ (Hz) (7)	Redshift (8)	References (9)
0003+380	S4 0003+38	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.2	0.229	Schramm et al. (1994)
0003-066	NRAO 005	Y	N	B	LSP	13.1	0.3467	Jones et al. (2005)
0006+061	CRATES J000903+062820	N	Y	B	LSP	13.8	1.56263	Albareti et al. (2017)
0007+106	III Zw 2	Y	N	G	LSP	13.3	0.0893	Sargent (1970)
0010+405	4C +40.01	N	N	Q	LSP	13.0	0.256	Thompson et al. (1992)
0011+189	RGB J0013+191	N	Y	B	LSP	13.9	0.477	Shaw et al. (2013)
0015-054	PMN J0017-0512	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.7	0.226	Shaw et al. (2012)
0016+731	S5 0016+73	Y	N	Q	LSP	12.7	1.781	Lawrence et al. (1986)
0019+058	PKS 0019+058	N	Y	B	LSP	13.2	...	Shaw et al. (2013)
0027+056	PKS 0027+056	N	N	Q	LSP	12.8	1.317	Schneider et al. (1999)
0044+566	GB6 J0047+5657	N	Y ^a	B	...	...	0.747	Sowards-Emmerd et al. (2005)
0048-071	OB -082	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.3	1.975	Wright et al. (1983)
0048-097	PKS 0048-09	Y	Y	B	ISP	14.5	0.635	Landoni et al. (2012)
0055+300	NGC 315	N	N	G	ISP	14.0	0.0165	Huchra et al. (1999)
0059+581	TXS 0059+581	Y	Y ^a	Q	LSP	12.9	0.644	Sowards-Emmerd et al. (2005)
0106+013	4C +01.02	Y	Y	Q	LSP	13.0	2.099	Hewett et al. (1995)
0106+612	TXS 0106+612	N	Y ^a	Q	LSP	13.5	0.783	Vandenbroucke et al. (2010)
0106+678	4C +67.04	N	Y ^a	B	LSP	15.0	0.29	Meisner & Romani (2010)
0109+224	S2 0109+22	Y	Y	B	LSP	13.5	...	Paiano et al. (2017)
0109+351	B2 0109+35	Y	N	Q	LSP	13.0	0.45	Hook et al. (1996)
0110+318	4C +31.03	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.1	0.603	Wills & Wills (1976)
0111+021	UGC 00773	N	N	B	LSP	12.7	0.047	Wills & Wills (1976)
0113-118	PKS 0113-118	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.1	0.671	Shaw et al. (2012)
0116-219	OC -228	N	Y	Q	LSP	13.2	1.165	Wright et al. (1983)

Note. Columns are as follows: (1) B1950 name, (2) other name, (3) MOJAVE 1.5 Jy catalog inclusion, (4) status of *Fermi*-LAT detection, (5) optical classification where Q = FSRQ, B = BL Lac, G = radio galaxy, N = narrow line Seyfert 1, and U = unidentified, (6) class based on synchrotron peak frequency, (7) rest-frame log synchrotron peak frequency in Hz (for sources with no known redshift, $z = 0.3$ was used), (8) redshift, (9) reference for redshift (or optical class, for BL Lacs without known redshift).

^a Located within 10 degrees of the galactic plane; omitted from γ -ray comparisons.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

Table 2
Optical and Synchrotron Peak Classifications

Optical Class	LSP	ISP	HSP	Unknown	Total
FSRQ	223	0	0	16	239
BL Lac	64	30	21	3	118
Radio Galaxy	14	0	0	3	17
NLSy1	5	0	0	0	5
Unidentified	6	0	0	2	8
Total	312	30	21	24	387

jet. In this respect, the electric vector position angle ($\text{EVPA} = 0.5 \arctan(UQ^{-1})$) plays an important role in analysis; depending on the optical thickness and speed of the relativistic plasma within the jet (Lyutikov et al. 2005), the EVPA indicates the direction and orderedness of the magnetic field. Transverse shocks within the jet can order the magnetic field and orient the EVPA parallel to the jet direction (Laing 1980), while the emergence of bright moving jet features with arbitrary magnetic field direction at the core may rotate the EVPA (Cohen et al. 2018) and affect the observed polarization fraction. In addition, the EVPA can be an essential indicator of the underlying magnetic field structure, either toroidal or helical (Gabuzda 2003). In order to explore these

aspects of jet physics, we have carried out a multiepoch linear polarization study of the MOJAVE AGN sample with observations gathered during the last three decades with the VLBA. We adopt a cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, and $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (Komatsu et al. 2009). All position angles are quoted in degrees east of north.

2. AGN Sample and Observational Data

In this paper, we analyze the parsec-scale radio core polarization and total intensity properties of 387 AGNs selected from the MOJAVE survey that have at least five VLBA epochs at 15 GHz between 1996 January 19 and 2016 December 31. These AGNs all have $\gtrsim 100 \text{ mJy}$ of correlated VLBA flux density at 15 GHz, and are derived from several flux-density limited and representative samples. The MOJAVE 1.5 Jy sample encompasses all 181 AGNs above J2000 declination -30° known to have exceeded 1.5 Jy in VLBA 15 GHz flux density at any epoch between 1994.0 and 2010.0 (Lister et al. 2013). With the launch of the *Fermi* observatory in 2008, two new γ -ray-based AGN samples were added to MOJAVE. The 1FM sample (Lister et al. 2011) consists of all 116 AGNs in the 1FGL catalog (Abdo et al. 2010) above declination -30° and galactic latitude $|b| > 10^\circ$ with average integrated *Fermi*/LAT $> 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ energy flux above $3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In 2013,

Table 3
VLBA 15 GHz Core Measurements

Source (1)	Epoch (2)	I (Jy beam ⁻¹) (3)	m (%) (4)	EVPA (deg) (5)	PA (deg) (6)
0003+380	2006 Mar 09	0.52	2.5	81	110
	2006 Dec 01	0.32	0.8	130	112
	2007 Mar 28	0.39	<0.3	...	115
	2007 Aug 24	0.39	<0.2	...	117
	2008 May 01	0.55	0.7	180	118
	2008 Jul 17	0.50	0.9	182	119
	2009 Mar 25	0.31	0.9	138	118
	2010 Jul 12	0.31	1.4	137	120
	2011 Jun 06	0.45	0.3	104	115
	2013 Aug 12	0.53	1.1	135	116
	2003 Feb 05	1.32	5.1	14	351
	2004 Jun 11	1.16	7.8	20	10
	2005 Mar 23	0.87	4.9	8	5
	2005 Jun 03	0.84	4.1	11	4
0003-066	2005 Sep 16	0.76	4.5	3	2
	2006 Jul 07	0.65	2.2	-4	358
	2007 Jan 06	0.66	2.9	2	356
	2007 Apr 18	0.73	3.7	16	358
	2007 Jul 03	0.65	2.7	17	358
	2007 Sep 06	0.65	2.9	21	359
	2008 Jul 30	0.88	1.9	2	353
	2009 May 02	1.11	6.5	5	355
	2009 Oct 27	1.04	8.1	21	0
	2010 Aug 06	0.87	9.0	22	2

Note. Columns are as follows: (1) B1950 name, (2) observation date, (3) Stokes I intensity in Jy/beam, (4) fractional polarization in %, (5) electric vector position angle in degrees, (6) inner jet position angle in degrees; unless otherwise noted, measured as the position angle of the closest downstream Gaussian jet component with respect to the core.

^a PA measurement method: flux-weighted position angle average of clean components near the core.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

a new hard spectrum MOJAVE sample of 132 AGNs was added, which had declination $> -20^\circ$, total 15 GHz VLBA flux density > 0.1 Jy, and mean *Fermi* 2LAC catalog (Ackermann et al. 2011) or 3LAC catalog (Ackermann et al. 2015) spectral index harder than 2.1.

Of the 387 AGNs presented in this paper, 304 are members of one or more of the above samples. An additional 78 AGNs are members of either the pre-cursor survey to MOJAVE (the 2 cm VLBA survey; Kellermann et al. 1998), the low-luminosity MOJAVE AGN sample (Lister et al. 2013), the 3rd EGRET γ -ray catalog (Hartman et al. 1999), the 3FGL *Fermi*/LAT γ -ray catalog (Acero et al. 2015), or the ROBOPOL optical polarization monitoring sample (Pavlidou et al. 2014). Finally, we have included five AGNs that were originally candidates for the above samples, but did not meet the final selection criteria. All AGNs have 15 GHz VLBI flux density greater than 100 mJy and at least five epochs of observation with polarization.

We gathered observer frame synchrotron peak frequency values from the literature (mainly Hervet et al. 2015) where possible. For roughly half the sample, we used the ASDC SED Builder tool (Stratta et al. 2011) to determine the peak frequency from a parabolic fit to published multiwavelength

data in the $(\log \nu, \log(\nu F_\nu))$ plane, where F_ν is the flux density at frequency ν . In the case of 24 sources, there were insufficient available data to determine a synchrotron peak frequency. We assigned an SED peak classification based on the rest-frame peak values ν_p as follows: low-synchrotron-peaked (LSP; ν_p below 10^{14} Hz); intermediate-synchrotron-peaked (ISP; ν_p between 10^{14} Hz and 10^{15} Hz); and high-synchrotron-peaked (HSP; ν_p above 10^{15} Hz). For 46 BL Lacs without known redshifts, and eight optically unidentified sources, we assumed a redshift of 0.3 in calculating the rest-frame SED peak value. The known AGN redshifts and their literature references are listed in Table 1, along with optical class and SED peak classification. Table 2 contains a breakdown of sample AGNs by optical and SED peak classification. The single-epoch polarization results published in Lister & Homan (2005) were based on a flux-density limited sample of 135 AGNs, of which four were ISP and the remainder were LSP. This paper covers an additional 252 AGNs, for a final total of 312 LSP, 30 ISP, and 21 HSP AGNs, with the latter two categories consisting entirely of BL Lacs.

We reduced the polarimetric data (listed in Table 3) according to the procedures described in Lister & Homan (2005) and Lister et al. (2018). The core was in almost all cases distinguishable as the most compact feature in the radio map, located at the bright end of the jet (see the Appendix and Lister et al. 2016 for notes on individual sources). We determined its position by fitting Gaussians to the (u,v) visibility data using Difmap (Shepherd 1997). The mean I , Q , and U of the nine contiguous 0.1 mas wide image pixels centered on the core were then used to determine the core's linear fractional polarization and EVPA. The fractional polarization was considered an upper limit equal to five times the P rms noise level divided by I when P fell below the lowest contour of the relevant image presented in Lister et al. (2018). The latter were chosen at a level where the amount of spurious blank sky polarization was minimal. Approximately 12% of the available fractional polarization measurements are upper limits, and thus have an unknown corresponding EVPA. The uncertainty in fractional polarization is approximately 7% of the given values, due to uncertainties of 5% in I and P , and the EVPA is accurate within 5° , based on comparisons with near-simultaneous single-dish measurements taken at The University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory (UMRAO; Aller et al. 2003). A previous MOJAVE study found that the core rotation measures are variable in time, and at 15 GHz, the median core EVPA rotation was less than 4° (Hovatta et al. 2012). For these reasons, we do not correct our measurements for Faraday rotation. A detailed description of the observational sampling is available in Lister et al. (2018).

3. Data Analysis and Discussion

3.1. Statistical Tests

The MOJAVE program observes each individual AGN with a cadence that is appropriate for its angular jet expansion speed, thus some AGNs are more densely sampled in time than others. The unequal span of coverage time and frequency of sampling therefore affects some statistics; for example, any measures of maximum polarization or of flux variability are dependent on how long and how frequently the AGN has been observed, since greater time coverage creates more opportunity for the

Table 4
Derived Core Quantities

Source (1)	m_{med} (%) (2)	m_{max} (%) (3)	m_{var} (4)	I_{med} (Jy beam ⁻¹) (5)	I_{var} (6)	$EVPA_{\text{var}}$ (deg) (7)	$(EVPA - PA)_{\text{var}}$ (deg) (8)	$ EVPA - PA _{\text{med}}$ (deg) (9)
0003+380	0.8	0.9	...	0.42	0.22	...	...	19
0003-066	5.0	9.0	0.06	0.75	0.08	8	10	10
0006+061	2.1	4.5	0.49	0.13	0.10	5	4	17
0007+106	...	...	...	0.67	...	...	...	66
0010+405	...	0.3	...	0.47	0.11	...	...	24
0011+189	3.6	4.6	0.09	0.10	0.05	10	9	15
0015-054	1.6	2.1	0.21	0.20	0.43	44	38	43
0016+731	1.8	...	...	1.21	...	...	...	30
0019+058	0.8	3.0	0.90	0.25	0.08	30	32	48
0027+056	2.1	2.7	0.30	0.44	0.10	8	8	53
0044+566	6.5	13.9	0.42	0.14	0.10	4	4	70
0048-071	1.9	3.1	0.34	0.84	0.01	8	8	19
0048-097	1.8	2.8	...	0.64	0.63	...	...	35
0055+300	...	...	...	0.20	...	...	...	...
0059+581	1.7	3.2	0.43	2.20	0.18	26	32	26
0106+013	1.8	...	...	1.44	...	...	...	56
0106+612	1.0	3.2	0.70	0.34	0.27	24	25	70
0106+678	2.0	...	...	0.15	...	...	...	8
0109+224	3.1	3.2	0.78	0.43	0.20	43	46	17
0109+351	1.1	...	...	0.57	...	...	...	76
0110+318	1.0	3.5	0.69	0.52	0.06	48	48	33
0111+021	...	...	...	0.29	...	...	...	...
0113-118	0.4	...	...	0.74	...	...	...	10
0116-219	1.1	2.5	0.37	0.46	0.30	26	26	36
0118-272	5.0	...	...	0.18	...	...	...	2
0119+115	3.7	...	...	0.97	...	...	...	9
0122-003	0.8	1.0	...	0.29	0.15	...	...	72
0130-171	1.9	2.5	0.74	1.46	0.32	22	20	70

Note. Columns are as follows: (1) B1950 name, (2) median fractional polarization in %, (3) maximum fractional polarization in %, (4) fractional polarization variability, (5) median total (Stokes I) intensity in Jy/beam, (6) total intensity variability, (7) EVPA variability in degrees, (8) EVPA variability computed relative to jet PA in degrees, (9) and median absolute difference between EVPA and jet PA in degrees.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

AGN to be observed in a flaring state. On the other hand, median statistics (m_{med} , I_{med} , and $|EVPA - PA|_{\text{med}}$) are less dependent on these factors and so we calculate them using all available epochs on the source. For all other derived quantities, we compensate for sampling biases by using only five epochs of observation spanning a standardized time length of 2.3 years. This time range represents the median total coverage time of all the AGNs that had only five polarization image epochs. For each source we use the most recent period with at least five epochs of observation; when a source has more than five observations during that time range, we choose five epochs at random and use these consistently for each calculation. Of the 387 AGNs in the sample, 86 do not have a period that qualifies, i.e., at least five observations within any 2.3 year period. We further omit AGNs from statistical quantities where five epoch measurements are not available to be used in the calculation—for example, the EVPA statistics require five epochs with measured polarization values (not upper limits). We tabulate all the derived quantities in Table 4. We computed two-tailed Kolgoromov–Smirnov (KS) tests and correlation tests with Kendall’s tau coefficient using the R core package (R Core Team 2017); for censored data comparisons, we used the Peto and Peto modification of the Gehan–Wilcoxon test

(Lee 2017). In what follows, “significant” statistical test results refer to those whose chance probability is below 5%.

3.2. Fractional Polarization

We describe the magnitude of polarization of each AGN core with two statistical quantities: the median fractional polarization (m_{med}) and the maximum fractional polarization (m_{max}). In Figure 1, we show distributions of median fractional polarization, over all epochs of each AGN, for the most common categories of optical/SED class (50 AGNs are omitted due to too many upper limit measurements to ascertain a confident median; an additional five narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies and nine AGNs of unknown optical class are not shown). The maximum fractional polarization distributions of the BL Lacs and FSRQs are shown in Figure 2.

LSP BL Lacs are the most fractionally polarized, with an average median over 3%, and are significantly different from both HSP BL Lacs (KS test result $p = 0.0097$) and FSRQs (KS test result $p = 2.7 \times 10^{-9}$). HSP BL Lacs and FSRQs are similar, however, and each of their median fractional polarization distributions has a mean below $\sim 2\%$. The median fractional polarization of BL Lacs is strongly anti-correlated with synchrotron SED peak frequency (shown in Figure 3;

Figure 1. Distributions of median fractional polarization m_{med} , grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification.

other optical classes are shown in Figure 4). This trend for BL Lacs was previously reported by Lister et al. (2011) and Linford et al. (2012b), of which the former theorized that AGNs with lower synchrotron peaks may be more Doppler boosted than their high synchrotron peaked counterparts, resulting in higher core polarization. This was partially based on the low core brightness temperatures of HSP BL Lacs, a relationship that still holds true (D. C. Homan et al. 2018, in preparation). An alternate explanation proposed by Angelakis et al. (2016) is based on a shock-in-jet model. In this scenario, the volume immediately downstream of the shock is the origin of emission near the synchrotron SED peak frequency and above. If the shock orders an underlying magnetic field, then

higher polarization might be expected closer to these frequencies. While Angelakis et al. (2016) use this theory to describe the same anticorrelation in optical polarization, at 15 GHz we are also probing closer to the peak frequency of LSP BL Lacs than HSP BL Lacs, which could explain the lower polarization of the latter.

In Lister et al. (2011) beam depolarization effects were given as a possible reason for the difference between LSP BL Lac and FSRQs, as the former have much lower redshifts on average. In our sample, there is a cohort of seven high-redshift FSRQs with fractional polarization that is lower than average, but no trend between redshift and fractional polarization exists below $z = 2.5$. The highest redshift FSRQs alone cannot

Figure 2. Distributions of maximum fractional polarization $m_{\max}$, grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification. Unfilled bins represent upper limit measurements.

therefore be responsible for the relatively low polarization of the class. In Lister & Homan (2005) and Aller et al. (1999), the (mostly LSP) BL Lacs also had greater core polarization than FSRQs; these authors suggested the cause was slower flow speeds in BL Lacs. Slower flows could create stronger transverse shocks compared to oblique ones in FSRQs, leading to a more ordered magnetic field and better alignment between the EVPA and the local jet direction. We note that m_{med} is unavailable for all of the 17 radio galaxies in our sample, of which all but III Zw 2 have at least half their core m measurements classified as upper limits. This suggests that their polarization as a class is low; in contrast, m_{med} is available for $\sim 60\%$ of HSP BL Lacs despite the fact that their l is typically

lower than that of the radio galaxies. This low polarization is likely due to higher angles to the line of sight (Wardle 2013).

3.3. EVPA Alignment With the Inner Jet

In order to investigate possible alignments between the core EVPA direction and each jet, we determined an inner jet position angle (jet PA) at each epoch using the VLBA data. For the majority of AGNs, we used the method described in Lister et al. (2013), which takes a flux-weighted position angle average of the components near the core generated by the CLEAN imaging algorithm (Högbom 1974). This method fails in cases where there is an emission gap between the core and

Figure 3. Rest-frame log synchrotron SED peak frequency vs. median fractional polarization m_{med} for BL Lacs in the sample. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, and blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs. From left to right, the most polarized outliers in each class are S5 0346+80, GB6 J0929+5013, and GB6 J0154+0823. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$ for no correlation.

downstream features; in the case of all epochs of 39 AGNs we therefore used the position angle of the closest downstream Gaussian-fitted jet feature, as was done in Lister & Homan (2005). In some individual epoch images, it was impossible to determine a jet PA due to a complete lack of apparent downstream jet emission. These are indicated in Table 3.

For the epochs described in Section 3.1, we rotated the EVPA to within 90° of the jet PA (by adding or subtracting 180°), subtracted it from the PA, and took the absolute value of this angle difference to get an EVPA offset value. The distribution of median offsets for each AGN class are shown in Figure 5. The EVPAs of BL Lacs are more often aligned with the local jet direction (i.e., low offset values), in contrast to FSRQs. A KS test between the LSP BL Lac and FSRQ offset distributions returns a p -value of 1.69×10^{-5} that they derive from the same parent population. None of the BL Lac subclass distributions are significantly different from each other. These findings on the difference in alignment between FSRQs and BL Lacs in general agree with the single-epoch results presented in Lister & Homan (2005), which contained only four ISP and no HSP AGNs. Beyond the aforementioned shocks, another suggested scenario is that both types of jets possess a helical magnetic field; the slower flow speeds of BL Lacs could lead to a different pitch angle such that they have a stronger toroidal component to their magnetic field, leading to better EVPA-jet alignment compared to FSRQs (Gabuzda et al. 2000; Asada

et al. 2002). It should be mentioned, though, that various other VLBI studies of radio core EVPA/PA alignment in AGN jets have reported contradictory findings on whether alignment, anti-alignment, or both cases are expected (see Agudo et al. 2018 and references therein).

Of the studies suggesting an anti-alignment between core EVPAs and the jet direction (e.g., Helmboldt et al. 2007), some at 5 GHz have found that only FSRQs, not BL Lacs, prefer an EVPA-jet PA offset of nearly 90° (Aller et al. 2003; Pollack et al. 2003). Our data show no apparent clustering at 90° , but the FSRQ distribution is skewed toward misalignment (median $|\text{EVPA} - \text{PA}|_{\text{med}} = 48^\circ$). Figure 6 shows that the most fractionally polarized AGN cores, mainly LSP BL Lacs, tend to have EVPAs closely aligned with the local jet direction—a trend that persists if each optical class is inspected individually. This supports a scenario where the magnetic field is more ordered when transverse to the jet. We further discuss the EVPA/PA and their time evolution in Section 3.6.

3.4. Fractional Polarization Variability

Because of the stochastic nature of AGN light curves, there has been no clear consensus on what constitutes an ideal statistical measure of flux or fractional polarization variability over time, as discussed by Richards et al. (2014). Many commonly used statistics depend on frequent and/or regular

Figure 4. Rest-frame log synchrotron SED peak frequency vs. median fractional polarization m_{med} for non-BL Lacs in the sample. Black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class. The highly polarized outlier is PKS 1236+077. There is no significant correlation.

observations of all sources, and are therefore not well-suited to the MOJAVE data. We use the variability index of Aller et al. (1999), and later adopted by Jorstad et al. (2007), defined by:

$$m_{\text{var}} = \frac{(m_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{max}}) - (m_{\text{min}} + \sigma_{\text{min}})}{(m_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{max}}) + (m_{\text{min}} + \sigma_{\text{min}})}. \quad (1)$$

The error for a particular epoch, σ , is approximately 7%, based on the definition of fractional polarization and the 5% errors in P and I as described in Lister et al. (2018). As discussed by Aller et al. (2003), this measure is not accurate when the minimum values fall below the signal-to-noise threshold. We therefore omit any AGNs where one or more of the five fractional polarization measurements discussed in Section 3.1 is an upper limit. We also throw out any cases where the errors are high enough to result in a negative variability index.

The variability of fractional polarization (Figure 7) is not significantly dependent on synchrotron peak frequency, although we note that FSRQs appear more variable than HSP BL Lacs (KS test result $p = 0.005$). Studies of fractional polarization variability tend to be rare, although Jorstad et al. (2007) found FSRQs cores to be more variable than (mostly LSP) BL Lacs in a small sample of 15 AGNs at 43 GHz. The AGN cores with the smallest fractional polarization variability are more likely to have small EVPA-jet misalignments (Figure 8).

3.5. Total Intensity Variability

We also use Equation (1), replacing m with Stokes I , to derive the variability index I_{var} for the total intensity. Figure 9 shows the I_{var} distributions for FSRQs and BL Lac subclasses. Interestingly, while HSP and LSP BL Lacs have similar distributions, ISP BL Lacs peak at a higher variability index—they are significantly different from HSP BL Lacs ($p = 0.009$) and nearly so for LSP BL Lacs ($p = 0.06$). With the exception of ISP BL Lacs, FSRQs are the most variable blazar class. Tests for a similar parent distribution produced results of $p = 0.03$ for FSRQs versus LSP BL Lacs and $p = 0.002$ for FSRQs versus HSP BL Lacs. Past radio studies of total intensity variability have shown somewhat mixed results; Aller et al. (2003) also found no significant differences between FSRQs and BL Lacs in their single dish, 5–15 GHz UMRAO study spanning 1984–2001. Richards et al. (2014) tested two samples, observed with the OVRO 40 m telescope at 15 GHz from 2008–2011, and found the same for their γ -ray selected AGNs, but that BL Lacs were significantly more variable in their radio-selected sample.

Due to the association between Doppler factor and variability (Tingay et al. 2001), in a scenario where a lower synchrotron peak frequency corresponds with higher Doppler beaming, LSP BL Lacs would be expected to have the highest level of variability among the subclasses. Richards et al. (2014) also reported a group of highly variable ISP BL Lacs, but

Figure 5. Distributions of median EVPA-jet PA offset $|EVPA - PA|_{med}$, grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification.

additionally found that LSP BL Lacs were significantly more variable than HSP BL Lacs.

Total intensity variability appears to be anti-correlated with fractional polarization in our sample, with a notable deficit of highly variable cores with high fractional polarization (Figure 10). We performed a Kendall tau nonparametric test of correlation, which yielded a $p = 0.04$ probability for no correlation. This trend disappears if the BL Lac and FSRQ populations are tested separately, making it likely that the anticorrelation is simply due to the presence of low variability, highly polarized LSP BL Lacs. Values for I_{var} are generally lower than those of m_{var} , indicating that the fractional polarization undergoes a greater percentage of change during the same time period. The two variability indices are correlated,

with a tau test yielding $p = 0.003$ for no correlation (see Figure 11). There is a lack of AGN cores that are variable in total intensity while remaining stable in fractional polarization. On the other hand, the fractional polarization can be highly variable even in cases where the total intensity shows little variability.

3.6. EVPA Variability

The characterization of EVPA variability is not straightforward due to the 180° ambiguity in the measurements, and requires a special procedure. We begin by adding or subtracting 180° from all the EVPA measurements for a particular AGN such that they lie within the range of 0° – 180° . We then add a

Figure 6. Median fractional polarization m_{med} vs. median EVPA-jet PA offset $|EVPA - PA|_{\text{med}}$ for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 5.3 \times 10^{-13}$ for no correlation.

$+180^\circ$ -shifted copy of each of these values, creating a total range of 0° – 360° . We bin this set of data using sequentially larger bins until at least one bin contains half the data. The midpoint of that bin is established as the mode of the EVPAs, and we then rotate the original (uncopied) set of EVPAs by the same amount (multiples of 180°) so that they lie within $\pm 90^\circ$ of this mode. Our $EVPA_{\text{var}}$ statistic is defined as the standard deviation of these angles. In Figures 12 and 13, we show examples of cores with low and high EVPA variability, respectively.

We plot the distributions of this statistic in Figure 14. Examining them by optical class, the FSRQ variabilities follow an approximate normal distribution, but BL Lacs are skewed toward lower variability (KS test p -value of 0.0005 between FSRQs and LSP BL Lacs). In the optical, Angelakis et al. (2016) reported an anticorrelation between synchrotron peak frequency and EVPA variability for a sample of 79 AGNs; the mean of our BL Lac distributions becomes progressively lower with increasing synchrotron peak, but none of the subpopulations are significantly different, and a statistical test between synchrotron peak frequency and EVPA variability showed no significant correlation ($p = 0.3$).

As was the case with the median EVPA-jet PA alignment, the EVPA variability is also anti-correlated with fractional polarization (Figure 15, $p = 1.9 \times 10^{-13}$) and positively correlated with fractional polarization variability (Figure 16, $p = 4.1 \times 10^{-7}$), i.e., AGNs with preferred EVPA directions

have greater fractional polarization, which is more stable over time. These results are consistent with a strong, standing transverse shock at the base of the jet. When evidence for standings shocks is observed, they frequently appear to be near the cores of blazars in VLBI images (Lister et al. 2013; Jorstad et al. 2017). It is possible that others may exist closer to the base of the jet, but are unseen due to the finite angular resolution of the VLBA at 15 GHz ($\simeq 0.5$ mas) (Gómez et al. 2016).

Our measure of EVPA variability does not include any information about the rotation of the EVPA with respect to the local jet direction. The jet PAs of MOJAVE AGNs typically do not vary on the level of the core EVPAs, but there are some individual exceptions where systematic changes of up to a few degrees per year are seen (Agudo et al. 2007; Lister et al. 2013). To address whether EVPA rotations coincide with rotations of the jet PA, we first subtracted the jet PA from the EVPA; essentially, taking all angles relative to the PA at each epoch rather than from the north direction on the sky. We then followed the method described for $EVPA_{\text{var}}$ to obtain $(EVPA - PA)_{\text{var}}$. We plot the empirical cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of $(EVPA - PA)_{\text{var}}$ and $EVPA_{\text{var}}$ for the main optical classes in Figure 17. For each of these classes, the CDF of $(EVPA - PA)_{\text{var}}$ is similar to that of $EVPA_{\text{var}}$, and the separation between classes is preserved, e.g., FSRQs are more variable than BL Lacs. As expected, taking into account the jet

Figure 7. Distributions of fractional polarization variability m_{var} , grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification.

PA variations only slightly changes the overall EVPA variability statistics.

3.7. EVPA Stability

We find that many of the EVPAs are fairly stable—24% of the AGNs with available values have an EVPA_{var} of less than 10° . In contrast, Aller et al. (2003) found “long-term stability” in only seven of their 62 sources at 14.5 GHz, potentially due to greater influence from components near the core that are not resolvable by a single-dish telescope (Aller et al. 1999). We have further investigated the possibility of AGNs with stable EVPAs by also computing EVPA_{var} based on all epochs, rather

than the relatively unbiased five epochs used in Section 3.6 and the majority of this paper. We constrain this calculation to AGNs with at least 10 measurements of EVPA; of the 171 AGNs that meet this criterion, only 10 (listed in Table 5) have an EVPA_{var} of less than 10° . Of these 10 AGNs, eight have an EVPA mode value within 20° of the mean jet PA. This relationship agrees with the trends regarding EVPA-jet PA alignment and EVPA variability reported in the previous section. In Figure 18, we show the histogram of EVPAs for OX 161, which is the least variable with an EVPA_{var} of 4.7° . For all AGNs with at least 10 measurements of EVPA, the median coverage time—from first epoch with EVPA to last epoch with EVPA—is 10.7 years. The percentage of AGNs with stable

Figure 8. Variability in fractional polarization m_{var} vs. median EVPA-jet PA offset $|\text{EVPA} - \text{PA}|_{\text{med}}$ for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 0.009$ for no correlation.

EVPA (EVPA_{var} under 10°) is 6%. This is a large decrease from the 24% result calculated from 2.3 year periods, and is more consistent with the findings of Aller et al. (2003), which were based on ~ 15 years of monitoring data. It is well-known that AGNs are variable on long-term timescales in addition to their short-term variability (Hovatta et al. 2007); the results presented here stress that long-term monitoring is essential to understanding the EVPA as well.

3.8. Correlations with Luminosity

We have calculated the median core luminosity of each AGN with known redshift, assuming a flat spectral index, as:

$$L_{\text{med}} = \frac{4\pi d_L^2 I_{\text{med}}}{(1+z)}, \quad (2)$$

where d_L^2 is the luminosity distance and I_{med} is the median core intensity. The latter is approximately equal to the core flux density, since the cores are typically unresolved. In Figure 19, we plot the median luminosity versus the median fractional polarization for all available cores. The lowest core luminosities are associated with low core fractional polarization, and consist primarily of HSP BL Lacs. Given that the highly compact core emission is most likely Doppler boosted, this lends further support to the scenario described in Lister et al.

(2011), in which HSP BL Lacs are less beamed and also less polarized due to lower Doppler factors; this trend would also be expected based on the model of Angelakis et al. (2016). However, we find no relationship between our EVPA-based statistics and the median luminosity, which suggests that the low polarization is unrelated to inherent magnetic field properties.

3.9. Narrow Line Seyfert 1s

Five of the AGNs in our sample are classified as narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLSy1). All of them have a relatively low median fractional polarization, with the highest reaching only 1.14%. They also have EVPAs misaligned with the jet PA, with $|\text{EVPA} - \text{PA}|_{\text{med}}$ ranging from 44° to 80° . For four of these five, we were able to calculate the variability in total intensity. These values are also relatively low compared to blazars, with the highest I_{var} equal to 0.26 (the mean FSRQ value is 0.24). Due to the number of measurements qualified as upper limits, the fractional polarization variability and EVPA variability could only be determined for two of the NLSy1s.

3.10. Correlations with γ -ray Emission

In this section, we repeat the analysis of Sections 3.2 through 3.6 with a basis on AGN detection status by *Fermi*'s Large

Figure 9. Distributions of total intensity variability I_{var} , grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification.

Area Telescope (LAT). Because of the lower energy cutoff of the LAT instrument, it more readily detects AGNs with higher synchrotron peak frequencies. Conversely, AGNs selected on the basis of bright radio emission prefer lower peaked sources, representing a separate population (Lister et al. 2011). The *Fermi*-LAT AGN catalog is almost entirely dominated by blazars, which suggests that the brightest γ -ray AGNs have high Doppler beaming factors (Acero et al. 2015). Therefore, an AGN sample selected on the basis of low-frequency radio emission (e.g., the 3CR survey Bennett 1962), in which lobe-dominant objects are common, will have fewer LAT detections since it is nonorientation biased. In order to limit our analysis to radio-selected blazars, we only examine the LAT detection

statistics of AGNs included in the MOJAVE 1.5 Jy VLBA flux-density limited sample, and exclude the radio galaxies, NLSy1s, and optically unidentified sources. We also exclude the galactic plane region ($|b| > 10^\circ$) due to the greater difficulties of identifying reliable *Fermi* associations (Acero et al. 2015). We ultimately consider 155 AGNs with optical class as follows: 97 FSRQs and 24 BL Lacs associated with an LAT detection; and 33 FSRQs and 1 BL Lac with no *Fermi* third source catalog (Acero et al. 2015) association.

We begin by revisiting the conclusions of Hovatta et al. (2010), an early study that investigated γ -ray connections with radio polarization using a similar subset of the original 1.5 Jy Sample (a total of 123 AGNs) and the *Fermi*/LAT Bright

Figure 10. Median fractional polarization m_{med} vs. total intensity variability I_{var} for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 0.04$ for no correlation.

Source List (0FGL; Abdo et al. 2009). In Hovatta et al. (2010), 0FGL AGNs (those detected in the first three months of the *Fermi* mission) were found to have greater polarized flux than non-0FGL AGNs during the year post-*Fermi* launch. The median fractional polarization of 0FGL AGNs was also higher than non-0FGL AGNs in the same year, but no difference was found between medians calculated from the six years pre-launch. We have similarly divided our observations into pre- and post-launch periods (before and after 2008 August) and calculated the median fractional polarization for each AGN separately within the time frames. We plot the results in Figure 20. In contrast to Hovatta et al. (2010), we do not find LAT-detected AGNs to have a higher median fractional polarization during the LAT observing era. We also find no significant differences between the LAT-detected and non-LAT-detected AGNs in either era.

However, non-LAT-detected AGNs more often lack a measurable median fractional polarization due to higher numbers of upper limit measurements—12% of non-LAT-detected AGNs have unknown m_{med} in the pre-LAT era, and 20% in the post-LAT era. By comparison, 4% and 3% of LAT-detected AGNs have unknown m_{med} in the pre- and post-LAT eras, respectively. This agrees with the VLBA 5 GHz findings of Linford et al. (2012a), which claimed non-LAT-detected AGNs were more often unpolarized, but when their measurements were polarized enough to be qualified as detections, they

had comparable fractional polarization to LAT-detected AGNs. We investigated further by dispensing with the use of m_{med} and directly comparing the fractional polarization values, including censored points, of the five epochs per AGN described in Section 3.1. This was done without dividing the data into pre- and post-LAT eras. Our statistical test did not reveal significant differences ($p = 0.15$). In Section 3.2, we discussed differing fractional polarizations among optical classes, and the LAT-detected set of AGNs has a far greater ratio of BL Lacs to FSRQs. We note that the significance of the preceding results, or lack thereof, does not change when the analysis is limited to only FSRQs.

Previous research has suggested that LAT-detected AGNs are more variable in both total intensity (Richards et al. 2014) and fractional polarization (Hovatta et al. 2010). In our sample, both LAT-detected variability distributions have greater means, but we cannot confirm significant differences (KS test $p = 0.13$ for total intensity variability and $p = 0.13$ for fractional polarization variability as well). While we find no difference in median EVPA-jet PA offset based on LAT detection status, we do find a significant difference in EVPA variability. In Figure 21, we show that non-LAT-detected AGNs are skewed toward low values of EVPA_{var}, and a KS test results in a p -value of 0.005 for the same parent distribution ($p = 0.008$ if only FSRQs are compared).

Figure 11. Variability in fractional polarization m_{var} vs. variability in total intensity I_{var} for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 0.003$ for no correlation.

Figure 12. EVPAs used in calculating the standard deviation for GB6 J0929+5013. This AGN is an ISP BL Lac with low EVPA variability EVPA_{var} . The circular mean of the jet PAs measured at the same epochs is displayed as a vertical dashed line.

Higher EVPA variability in LAT-detected AGNs could be explained by a connection between γ -ray flaring and EVPA rotation. Optical EVPA rotation events have only been observed in γ -ray-loud AGNs (Blinov et al. 2018). The relationship between radio EVPA events and γ -ray flaring is

less certain; Jorstad et al. (2001) found a statistical link between periods of high γ -ray activity and the ejection of radio knots, partially through analysis of the radio polarization. Additionally, the radio polarization behavior of multiple AGNs has been modeled at the time of γ -ray flares with data from the UMRAO

Figure 13. EVPAs used in calculating the standard deviation for 3C 395. This AGN is an FSRQ with high EVPA variability EVPA_{var} . The circular mean of the jet PAs measured at the same epochs is displayed as a vertical dashed line.

(Aller et al. 2014, 2016). These shock-based models, however, also predict increases in fractional polarization and radio flux density during γ -ray flares. Greater variability in fractional polarization and total intensity might then be expected for LAT-detected AGNs, which is not seen to a significant degree in our data.

4. Summary

We have investigated the linear polarization properties of a sample of 387 parsec-scale AGN jet cores, using 15 GHz VLBA data. In the first paper in the series, it was found that BL Lacs, in general, are more polarized than FSRQs and have EVPAs that are better aligned with the local jet direction (Lister & Homan 2005). We have confirmed these findings and expanded on them with a larger AGN sample representing a broader range of synchrotron peak frequencies. With new multiepoch measurements, we have also explored a variety of statistics related to AGN variability. Our conclusions are as follows:

1. Although HSP BL Lacs and FSRQs have similar median fractional polarization, LSP BL Lacs are significantly more polarized. Radio galaxies have too many censored data points for calculation of a median, which suggests that they have relatively low fractional polarization.
2. BL Lacs have EVPAs that tend toward alignment with the jet PA, while FSRQs are skewed toward misalignment. BL Lac populations have similar median EVPA-jet PA alignment regardless of synchrotron peak. The most polarized cores have EVPAs almost parallel with the jet PA.

3. HSP BL Lacs are less variable in fractional polarization than FSRQs, but we find no significant differences among other optical/SED peak classes. The least variable AGN cores tend to have EVPAs aligned with the local jet direction.
4. ISP BL Lacs appear to be the most variable in total intensity; the HSP and LSP BL Lac distributions are similar, and both less variable than FSRQs. Fractional polarization is more variable than total intensity, and the two variabilities are positively correlated.
5. The EVPAs of BL Lacs are less variable than the EVPAs of FSRQs, and they do not appear dependent on synchrotron peak frequency. AGN cores with low EVPA variability are more likely to have high fractional polarization and low variability in fractional polarization. Our results show little change when the jet PA is subtracted from the EVPA before calculation of the variability; in other words, the angle difference between the jet PA and EVPA is similar in variability to the EVPA alone.
6. Of the five NLSy1s in our sample, all have low fractional polarization compared to blazars. Additionally, their EVPAs are not aligned with the jet PA.
7. AGNs detected at γ -ray energies by *Fermi*-LAT are not significantly more fractionally polarized than nondetected AGNs. However, their EVPAs are significantly more variable.

Overall, we believe these results are indicative of inherent differences between BL Lacs and FSRQs, perhaps in shock strength and geometry; by contrast, differences in polarization based on synchrotron peak and γ -ray detection can generally be explained by Doppler boosting. Analysis of the downstream jet

Figure 14. Distributions of EVPA variability $EVPA_{var}$, grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification.

polarization properties will be presented in a future paper in this series.

The MOJAVE project was supported by NASA-Fermi grants NNX08AV67G, NNX12A087G, and NNX15AU76G. M.F.A. was supported in part by NASA-Fermi GI grants NNX09AU16G, NNX10AP16G, NNX11AO13G, NNX13AP18G, and NSF grant AST-0607523. Y.Y.K. and A.B.P. were supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 17-02-00197), the Basic Research Program P-28 of the Presidium of the Russian Academy

of Sciences, as well as by the government of the Russian Federation (agreement 05.Y09.21.0018). T.S. was supported by the Academy of Finland projects 274477 and 284495. The Long Baseline Observatory and the National Radio Astronomy Observatory are facilities of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. This work made use of the Swinburne University of Technology software correlator (Deller et al. 2011), developed as part of the Australian Major National Research Facilities Programme and operated under licence.

Figure 15. EVPA variability $EVPA_{\text{var}}$ vs. median fractional polarization m_{med} , for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ for no correlation.

Software: AIPS (Greisen 2003), Difmap (Shepherd 1997), R core package (R Core Team 2017), R NADA package (Lee 2017).

Appendix NOTES ON INDIVIDUAL SOURCES

0640+090 (PMN J0643+0857): The exact core location in this low galactic latitude ($b = 2.3^\circ$) quasar is uncertain. We assigned the core to the most compact feature. There are three jet features to the west, and one feature to the east of the putative core.

1118+073 (MG1 J112039+0704): We assigned the core location in this quasar to the most northeastern jet feature, which is not the most compact feature in the jet.

1148–001 (4C –00.47): We assigned the core location to the most compact feature in this quasar. At some epochs, a weak jet feature appears to be present to the northeast, whereas the bulk of the jet emission lies to the southwest.

1435+638 (VIPS 0792): We assigned the core location to the most northwestern feature in this quasar, which is not the most compact feature in the jet.

2234+282 (CTD 135): This BL Lac jet has numerous bright features within 1 mas of each other, making the core identification uncertain. The most southwestern feature, which we assign as the core, is the most compact feature in the MOJAVE epochs after 2009, and is the most compact feature in an unpublished 43 GHz VLBA image by T. An (2017, private communication).

Figure 16. EVPA variability, $EVPA_{var}$, vs. fractional polarization variability, m_{var} , for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 4.1 \times 10^{-7}$ for no correlation.

Table 5
AGNs with Stable Core EVPAs

Alias (1)	Number of Epochs (2)	Coverage Time (years) (3)	Optical/SED Class (4)	$EVPA_{var}$ (deg) (5)	EVPA Mode (deg) (6)	Mean PA (deg) (7)
NRAO 005	18	9.7	LSP B	8.5	18.5	2
GB6 J0929+5013	11	5.1	ISP B	8.3	103.0	111
B2 1040+24A	10	4.5	Q	8.5	96.0	87
OP 112	11	16.9	LSP B	6.4	35.0	40
OQ 240	11	7.1	HSP B	8.1	143.0	141
4C +14.60	11	14.6	LSP B	6.9	325.5	324
4C +56.27	26	14.6	LSP B	9.4	208.5	200
OV 591	11	6.1	Q	9.7	36.5	117
4C -02.81	16	15.3	Q	5.9	90.5	101
OX 161	10	7.8	Q	4.7	307.0	269

Note. Columns are as follows: (1) AGN name, (2) number of EVPA epochs used to calculate $EVPA_{var}$, (3) time in years between first and last epoch with EVPA, (4) optical/SED peak classification where Q = FSRQ and B = BL Lac (all FSRQs are low-synchrotron-peaked), (5) EVPA variability in degrees calculated with all available EVPA epochs, (6) EVPA mode in degrees (see Section 3.6) rotated to within 180° of the mean jet PA, (7) circular mean of the jet PA calculated with all available epochs.

Figure 17. Cumulative distribution functions of EVPA (solid lines) and EVPA-PA (dashed-dotted lines) variability (EVPA_{var} and $(\text{EVPA} - \text{PA})_{\text{var}}$), grouped by optical/synchrotron peak classification. HSP BL Lacs are purple, ISP BL Lacs are green, LSP BL Lacs are blue, and FSRQs are black.

Figure 18. EVPAs used in calculating the standard deviation over all epochs for OX 161. This AGN has the lowest EVPA variability EVPA_{var} in the sample when all epochs are used in calculation. The circular mean of the jet PAs measured over all epochs is displayed as a vertical dashed line.

Figure 19. Median luminosity L_{med} vs. median fractional polarization m_{med} for each AGN over time. Purple inverted triangles are HSP BL Lacs, green triangles are ISP BL Lacs, blue diamonds are LSP BL Lacs, black stars are NLSy1s, unfilled squares are FSRQs, and red circles have an unknown optical class or synchrotron peak frequency. There is no significant correlation. A Kendall tau test of correlation yields $p = 0.007$ for no correlation if FSRQs are excluded, however.

Figure 20. Distributions of median fractional polarization m_{med} , calculated separately using all epochs 2008 pre-August 4th (left) and all epochs after (right) based on the start of *Fermi*-LAT observations. AGNs are grouped as LAT-detected (top) or non-LAT-detected (bottom).

Figure 21. Distributions of EVPA variability $EVPA_{\text{var}}$. AGNs are grouped as LAT-detected (top) or non-LAT-detected (bottom). Unfilled bins represent the entire sample and red bins represent FSRQs.

ORCID iDs

M. A. Hodge <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1730-3003>
 M. L. Lister <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1315-3412>
 M. F. Aller <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2483-2103>
 H. D. Aller <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1945-1840>
 Y. Y. Kovalev <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9303-3263>
 T. Savolainen <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6214-1085>

References

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **700**, 597
 Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, **188**, 405
 Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, **218**, 23
 Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **743**, 171
 Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W. B., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **810**, 14
 Agudo, I., Bach, U., Krichbaum, T. P., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **476**, L17
 Agudo, I., Thum, C., Gómez, J. L., & Wiesenmeyer, H. 2014, *A&A*, **566**, A59
 Agudo, I., Thum, C., Ramakrishnan, V., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 1850
 Albareti, F. D., Allende Prieto, C., Almeida, A., et al. 2017, *ApJS*, **233**, 25
 Aller, M., Hughes, P., Aller, H., Hovatta, T., & Ramakrishnan, V. 2016, *Galax*, **4**, 35
 Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., & Hughes, P. A. 2003, *ApJ*, **586**, 33
 Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., Hughes, P. A., & Latimer, G. E. 1999, *ApJ*, **512**, 601
 Aller, M. F., Hughes, P. A., Aller, H. D., Latimer, G. E., & Hovatta, T. 2014, *ApJ*, **791**, 53
 Angelakis, E., Hovatta, T., Blinov, D., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **463**, 3365
 Asada, K., Inoue, M., Uchida, Y., et al. 2002, *PASJ*, **54**, L39
 Bennett, A. S. 1962, *MNRAS*, **125**, 75
 Blinov, D., Pavlidou, V., Papadakis, I., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **474**, 1296
 Cawthorne, T. V., Wardle, J. F. C., Roberts, D. H., & Gabuzda, D. C. 1993, *ApJ*, **416**, 519
 Cohen, M. H., Aller, H. D., Aller, M. F., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, in press arXiv:(180602870C)
 Deller, A. T., Brisken, W. F., Phillips, C. J., et al. 2011, *PASP*, **123**, 275
 Gabuzda, D. C. 2003, *NewAR*, **47**, 599
 Gabuzda, D. C., Pushkarev, A. B., & Cawthorne, T. V. 2000, *MNRAS*, **319**, 1109
 Gómez, J. L., Lobanov, A. P., Bruni, G., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **817**, 96
 Greisen, E. W. 2003, *ASSL*, **285**, 109
 Hartman, R. C., Bertsch, D. L., Bloom, S. D., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, **123**, 79
 Helmboldt, J. F., Taylor, G. B., Tremblay, S., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 203
 Hervet, O., Boisson, C., & Sol, H. 2015, *A&A*, **578**, A69
 Hewett, P. C., Foltz, C. B., & Chaffee, F. H. 1995, *AJ*, **109**, 1498
 Högbom, J. A. 1974, *A&AS*, **15**, 417
 Hook, I. M., McMahon, R. G., Irwin, M. J., & Hazard, C. 1996, *MNRAS*, **282**, 1274
 Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., et al. 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 105
 Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., Kovalev, Y. Y., Pushkarev, A. B., & Savolainen, T. 2010, *IJMPD*, **19**, 943
 Hovatta, T., Tornikoski, M., Lainela, M., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **469**, 899
 Huchra, J. P., Vogeley, M. S., & Geller, M. J. 1999, *ApJS*, **121**, 287
 Jones, D. H., Saunders, W., Read, M., & Colless, M. 2005, *PASA*, **22**, 277
 Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Mattox, J. R., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 738
 Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Morozova, D. A., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **846**, 98
 Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Stevens, J. A., et al. 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 799
 Kellermann, K. I., Vermeulen, R. C., Zensus, J. A., & Cohen, M. H. 1998, *AJ*, **115**, 1295
 Komatsu, E., Dunkley, J., Nolte, M. R., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, **180**, 330
 Kravchenko, E. V., Kovalev, Y. Y., & Sokolovsky, K. V. 2017, *MNRAS*, **467**, 83
 Laing, R. A. 1980, *MNRAS*, **193**, 439
 Landoni, M., Falomo, R., Treves, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **543**, A116
 Lawrence, C. R., Pearson, T. J., Readhead, A. C. S., & Unwin, S. C. 1986, *AJ*, **91**, 494
 Lee, L. 2017, NADA: Nondetects and Data Analysis for Environmental Data, r package version 1.6-1
 Linford, J. D., Taylor, G. B., Romani, R. W., et al. 2012a, *ApJ*, **744**, 177
 Linford, J. D., Taylor, G. B., & Schinzel, F. K. 2012b, *ApJ*, **757**, 25
 Lister, M. L., Aller, M., Aller, H., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **742**, 27
 Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., et al. 2013, *AJ*, **146**, 120
 Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., et al. 2016, *AJ*, **152**, 12
 Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., et al. 2018, *ApJS*, **234**, 12
 Lister, M. L., & Homan, D. C. 2005, *AJ*, **130**, 1389
 Longair, M. S. 2011, *High Energy Astrophysics* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
 Lyutikov, M., Pariev, V. I., & Gabuzda, D. C. 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 869
 Meisner, A. M., & Romani, R. W. 2010, *ApJ*, **712**, 14
 Paiano, S., Landoni, M., Falomo, R., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **837**, 144
 Pavlidou, V., Angelakis, E., Myserlis, I., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **442**, 1693
 Pollack, L. K., Taylor, G. B., & Zavala, R. T. 2003, *ApJ*, **589**, 733
 Pushkarev, A. B., Gabuzda, D. C., Vetukhnovskaya, Y. N., & Yakimov, V. E. 2005, *MNRAS*, **356**, 859
 R Core Team 2017, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing (Vienna: The R Foundation)
 Richards, J. L., Hovatta, T., Max-Moerbeck, W., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **438**, 3058
 Sargent, W. L. W. 1970, *ApJ*, **160**, 405
 Schneider, D. P., Schmidt, M., & Gunn, J. E. 1999, *AJ*, **117**, 40
 Schramm, K.-J., Borgeest, U., Kuehl, D., et al. 1994, *A&AS*, **106**, 349
 Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W., Cotter, G., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **748**, 49
 Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W., Cotter, G., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **764**, 135
 Shepherd, M. C. 1997, in ASP Conf. Ser. 125, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems VI*, ed. G. G. Hunt & H. E. Payne (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 77
 Sowards-Emmerd, D., Romani, R. W., Michelson, P. F., Healey, S. E., & Nolan, P. L. 2005, *ApJ*, **626**, 95
 Stratta, G., Capalbi, M., Giommi, P., et al. 2011, arXiv:1103.0749
 Taylor, G. B. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, 95
 Thompson, D. J., Djorgovski, S., Vigotti, M., & Grueff, G. 1992, *ApJS*, **81**, 1
 Tingay, S. J., Preston, R. A., Lister, M. L., et al. 2001, *ApJL*, **549**, L55
 Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, *PASP*, **107**, 803
 Vandenbroucke, J., Buehler, R., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, *ApJL*, **718**, L166
 Wardle, J. F. C. 2013, EPJWC, 06001
 Wills, D., & Wills, B. J. 1976, *ApJS*, **31**, 143
 Wright, A. E., Ables, J. G., & Allen, D. A. 1983, *MNRAS*, **205**, 793
 Zensus, J. A. 1997, *ARA&A*, **35**, 607