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The study of high-index dielectric nanoparticles currently attracts a lot of attention. They do not suffer from absorption but promise to provide control of the properties of light comparable to plasmonic nanoparticles. To further advance the field, it is important to identify versatile dielectric nanoparticles with unconventional properties. Here, we show that breaking the symmetry of an all-dielectric nanoparticle leads to a geometrically tunable magnetoelectric coupling, i.e., an omega-type bianisotropy. The suggested nanoparticle exhibits different backscattering and, as an interesting consequence, different optical scattering forces for opposite illumination directions. An array of such nanoparticles provides different reflection phases when illuminated from opposite directions. With a proper geometrical tuning, this bianisotropic nanoparticle is capable of providing a $2\pi$ phase change in the reflection spectrum while possessing a rather large and constant amplitude. This allows the creation of reflectarrays with near-perfect transmission out of the resonance band due to the absence of a usually employed metallic screen.
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Nanophotonics has attracted enormous research interest due to its potential to control light-matter interaction at the nanoscale [1–4]. Being usually connected with a strong light confinement in metal-dielectric and plasmonic structures, nanophotonics offers remarkable opportunities due to the local field enhancement [5–7]. However, applications of plasmonic nanophotonics are often limited by Ohmic losses at optical frequencies [8–12]. An alternative strategy in nanophotonics is to use high-index dielectric materials for building blocks that control light-matter interaction [13–15]. Dielectric nanoparticles have attracted considerable attention due to their appealing applications [16–22].

For these nanoparticles to be versatile, usually the multipolar composition of their scattered field is tailored. A high-index dielectric nanoparticle that supports both electric and magnetic resonant responses, where the exact composition of the two contributions can be tuned by means of geometrical modifications, has been recently suggested [23–25]. Interesting optical features such as directional scattering pattern with zero backscattering or an optical pulling force can be achieved by proper tuning of these responses [26–30]. Nanoparticles with higher multipolar responses, e.g., electric and magnetic quadrupoles may provide even more control of the scattering properties [31,32] when compared to nanoparticles with only dipolar responses. However, they require more complicated theoretical considerations and a range of dissimilar materials [32]. Therefore, it is a challenge to bring more control of the scattering features of nanoparticles without adding such complexities. Here, we use bianisotropy to control the scattering properties of high-index dielectric nanoparticles in the context of dipolar responses [33,34]. Note that we are only interested in the reciprocal omega-type bianisotropy, and all the other types of bianisotropy (i.e., chiral, Tellegen, and moving) are absent [33,35]. We show that an omega-type bianisotropic response can be achieved by breaking the symmetry of a cylindrical nanoparticle, i.e., a high-index dielectric cylinder with a partially drilled cylindrical air hole [see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)].

A reciprocal omega-type bianisotropic nanoparticle supports electric and magnetic dipole moments with different strength when illuminated by a plane wave in the forward or backward direction [see Fig. 1(a)]. In particular, the bianisotropy causes significant difference in backscattering responses and consequently in the optical scattering forces exerted on the proposed nanoparticle for opposite illumination directions. The observed magnetoelectric coupling can be tuned by controlling geometric parameters of the nanoparticle. We demonstrate that an infinite periodic planar array of such lossless nanoparticles (a metasurface), provides different resonant reflection phases for different illumination directions. This is due to the proposed magnetoelectric coupling, and it is certainly not possible with only an electric and/or magnetic response. We also demonstrate that the investigated nanoparticle is an excellent candidate for unit cells of reflectarrays. Indeed, this nanoparticle in its reflection resonance band provides a $2\pi$ phase change for a proper geometrical tuning while it maintains a considerably large and constant amplitude, similar to Huygens’ metasurfaces [36]. Moreover, since there is no metallic ground plate in the proposed reflectarray, it will be transparent out of its resonance band.

I. BIANSOTROPIC NANOPARTICLES

The geometry of the proposed bianisotropic high-index dielectric nanoparticle is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The suggested nanoparticle is not symmetric with respect to the forward and backward illumination directions [see Fig. 1(a)]. Material and geometric parameters of the nanoparticle are
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FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic of the proposed bianisotropic high-index dielectric nanoparticle. The dielectric cylinder has a diameter $D = 300$ nm and height $H = 150$ nm with a cylindrical hole inside it that has a diameter $D_0 = 150$ nm and height $H_0 = 10–140$ nm. We assumed that the refractive index of the material from which the cylinder is made is $n = 3.5$. The ambient material shall be air with a refractive index of unity.

given in Fig. 1. Using the multipole expansion of the scattered field [37], we compute the total scattering cross section and the contribution of each multipole moment when the nanoparticle is illuminated by a plane wave in the forward and backward directions [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)]. The higher order multipole moments, i.e., electric quadrupole $Q$ and magnetic quadrupole $QM$ moments are negligible below 450 THz [the frequency range above 450 THz is marked by the gray shadowed areas in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)]. In the following, we only concentrate on this frequency range (i.e., lower than 450 THz) where only electric and magnetic dipole moments are dominant). The corresponding electric $p_+^\pm$ and magnetic $m_+^\pm$ dipole moments of the nanoparticle for both illumination directions (see Fig. 1) are given by:

$$\frac{p_+^\pm}{\epsilon_0} = \alpha_{ee}E_{inc}^+ \pm \alpha_{em}Z_0H_{inc}^+,$$

$$Z_0m_+^\pm = \alpha_{me}E_{inc}^+ \pm \alpha_{mm}Z_0H_{inc}^+,$$

where $\epsilon_0$ is the free space permittivity and $Z_0$ is the characteristic impedance of free space. $\alpha_{ee}, \alpha_{em}, \alpha_{me}$, and $\alpha_{mm}$ are individual polarizabilities of the nanoparticle. They represent electric, magnetoelectric, electromagnetic, and magnetic couplings in the proposed nanoparticle, respectively, that is, the interactions between the electric and magnetic fields and polarizations [33,38]. Notice that in order to distinguish the illumination direction of the nanoparticle, we use the ± sign where the plus/minus sign corresponds to the propagation direction of the incident plane waves in the forward/backward direction (the time dependency is assumed to be $e^{-i\omega t}$). In order to explain the underlying physical mechanisms of the scattering response of the bianisotropic nanoparticle, we start with the definition of extinction cross section $C_{ext}^\pm$ for a bianisotropic nanoparticle in dipole approximation for both illumination directions, i.e., [1,39,40]

$$C_{ext}^\pm = \frac{p_{ext}^{\pm}}{I_0} = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}\left[\oint_{S_{*}} (E_{inc} \times H_{inc}^\pm + E_{sca}^\pm \times H_{sca}^\pm) \cdot \mathbf{n}ds \right]}{I_0} = k \text{Im}(\alpha_{ee} \pm \alpha_{em} \pm \alpha_{me} + \alpha_{mm}),$$

where $I_0 = |E_{inc}|/2Z_0$ is the intensity of the incident plane wave. $|E_{inc}|$ and $|E_{sca}|$ are the amplitude of the incident and scattered electric fields for both illumination directions (±). $P_{ext}^\pm$ is the extracted power by the nanoparticle, and $k = \omega/c$ is the wave number for an angular frequency $\omega$. For a reciprocal nanoparticle [33], i.e., $\alpha_{em} = -\alpha_{me}$, we can conclude that the extinction cross section for both illuminations are identical, i.e., $C_{ext} = C_{ext}^+ = C_{ext}^-$ and is given by

$$C_{ext} = k \text{Im}(\alpha_{ee} + \alpha_{mm}).$$

On the other hand, we know for the proposed nanoparticle that the scattering cross sections for both illuminations can be written as [1,39,40]

$$C_{sca}^{\pm} = \frac{P_{sca}^{\pm}}{I_0} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}\left[\oint_{S_{*}} (E_{sca}^\pm \times H_{sca}^\pm) \cdot \mathbf{n}ds \right]}{I_0} = \frac{k^4}{6\pi}(|\alpha_{ee} \pm \alpha_{em}|^2 + |\alpha_{me} \pm \alpha_{mm}|^2),$$

where $P_{sca}^\pm$ is the radiated or scattered power by the nanoparticle. The extinction cross section $C_{ext}$ for a lossless reciprocal nanoparticle is identical to the scattering cross section $C_{sca}$, i.e., $C_{ext} = C_{sca}$ due to the fact that the absorption cross section is zero $C_{abs} = C_{ext} - C_{sca} = 0$. This explains why the scattering cross sections are identical for the proposed
sections are not, i.e., in the proposed nanoparticle, the contributions of the electric cross sections are similar for different illumination directions been studied before [34,45]. Although the scattering/extinction metric reflectance and perfect absorption. These effects have possesses interesting optical features such as strongly asymmetric reflectance and perfect absorption. These effects have been studied before [34,45]. Although the scattering/extinction cross sections are similar for different illumination directions in the proposed nanoparticle, the contributions of the electric and magnetic dipole moments to the total scattering cross sections are not, i.e., \( p_e^\parallel \neq p_e^\perp \) and \( m_m^\parallel \neq m_m^\perp \) [see red and blue dashed lines in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)]. It can also be seen from Figs. 2(b) and 2(d) that the magnetic field distributions are significantly different when the nanoparticle is illuminated from the forward and backward directions. This is obviously due to the presence of magnetoelectric coupling, which is introduced by the asymmetry in the nanoparticle.

In order to prove this, we have also calculated the individual polarizability components of the nanoparticle [see Figs. 3(a)–3(c)] [34,37,46,47]. The magnetoelectric coupling \( \alpha_{em} \) is comparable with the electric \( \alpha_e \) and magnetic \( \alpha_m \) couplings for the proposed nanoparticle [see Figs. 3(a)–3(c)]. The level of this coupling can be tuned by changing the geometrical parameters of the nanoparticle, i.e., the height \( H_0 \) or the diameter \( D_0 \) of the partially drilled cylindrical air hole inside the high-index dielectric cylinder. Figure 3(d) presents the magnitude of electric, magnetic, and magnetoelectric polarizabilities of the nanoparticle at the maximum of magnetoelectric coupling \( \left| \alpha_{em} \right|_{\text{max}} \) as a function of the height of the cylindrical air hole \( H_0 \). It confirms that the amplitude of the magnetoelectric coupling can be tuned, and its maximum occurs when the height of the hole \( H_0 \) is approximately half the height of the dielectric cylinder \( H, \) i.e., \( H_0 \approx \frac{H}{2} = 75 \text{ nm} \). Notice, the magnetoelectric coupling can also be tuned by changing the diameter of cylindrical air hole \( D_0 \) (not shown for the sake of brevity).

As highlighted before, a lossless bianisotropic nanoparticle possesses identical scattering cross sections for both forward and backward illumination directions. Moreover, the forward radar cross sections as shown in Fig. 4(a) are identical for both illumination directions according to the definition of the normalized forward radar cross section [34]:

\[
Q_{RFS}^\pm = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{4\pi r^2}{A} \frac{\left| E_{RFS}(\varphi = 0, \theta^\pm = 0, \pi) \right|^2}{\left| E_{inc} \right|^2} = \frac{k^4}{4\pi A} \left| \alpha_e \pm \alpha_m \pm \alpha_{em} \right|^2.
\]

However, the backward radar cross section depends on the illumination directions [see Fig. 4(a)]. It measures the share of light that is directly back reflected. In order to show that, we start with the definition of the normalized backward radar cross section for both illuminations \( Q_{RBS}^\pm \), i.e., the backward radar cross section divided by the geometrical cross section [34]

\[
Q_{RBS}^\pm = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{4\pi r^2}{A} \frac{\left| E_{RBS}(\varphi = 0, \theta^\pm = \pi, 0) \right|^2}{\left| E_{inc} \right|^2} = \frac{k^4}{4\pi A} \left| \alpha_e \mp \alpha_m \mp \alpha_{em} \right|^2,
\]

where \( A = \pi (D/2)^2 \) is the geometrical cross section and \( D \) is the diameter of the nanoparticle (see Fig. 1). Therefore, for the reciprocal nanoparticle, i.e., \( \alpha_{em} = -\alpha_{me} \), the normalized backward radar cross section \( Q_{RBS}^\pm \) can be written as [34]

\[
Q_{RBS}^\pm = \frac{k^4}{4\pi A} \left| \alpha_e \mp 2\alpha_{em} - \alpha_{mm} \right|^2.
\]

Consequently, due to bianisotropy, the backscattering responses \( Q_{RBS}^\pm \) are significantly different when the nanoparticle is illuminated from opposite directions [see Fig. 4(a)]. Hence, the nanoparticle possesses different radiation patterns for both illumination directions as depicted in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c).

The dominant effect of the backscattering on optical scattering forces is shown for a dipolar particle without a magnetoelectric response [48]. Since the investigated bianisotropic nanoparticle shows a notable backscattering difference, it is interesting to explore the optical scattering forces in the realm of the bianisotropic particles.
FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Normalized backward (blue lines) and forward (red lines) radar cross sections when the nanoparticle is illuminated from backward (dashed lines) and forward (solid lines) directions for $H_0 = 75$ nm. Note that the forward radar cross sections are identical and hence indistinguishable in the figure. (b) Radiation patterns for forward and (c) backward illumination directions at 445 THz, respectively. (d) Normalized forward (solid lines) and backward (dashed lines) optical forces. $F_{\text{opt}}^{\max} = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \varepsilon_0 |E_{\text{inc}}|^2$ is the maximum force applied on a particle which exhibits an electric dipole moment with an incidence plane wave. (e) Schematic view of the different illuminations for forward and (f) backward illumination directions.

The optical scattering force on a dipolar particle for a plane wave incident field reads as [27]

$$
\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\nabla E_{\text{inc}}^* \cdot p) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \text{Re}(\nabla H_{\text{inc}}^* \cdot m) 
- \frac{k^4}{12\pi \varepsilon_0} \text{Re}(p \times m)^* \right\}.
$$

Plugging Eqs. (1) and (2) into Eq. (9), one drives the optical scattering force for both illuminations:

$$
\langle F^\pm \rangle = \pm \frac{k^2}{2} \varepsilon_0 \left\{ |E_{\text{inc}}|^2 \left\{ \text{Im}(\alpha_{cc} \pm \alpha_{cm}) \pm \text{Im}(\alpha_{mc} \pm \alpha_{mm}) \right\} 
\pm \frac{k^4}{6\pi} \text{Re}(\alpha_{ccc} \pm \alpha_{cmc})(\alpha_{mcc} \pm \alpha_{mmc})^* \right\}.
$$

The difference between the forces applied on the nanoparticle in the direction of propagation assuming $\alpha_{cm} = -\alpha_{mc}$ (reciprocity) leads to

$$
\Delta F = |\langle F^+ \rangle| - |\langle F^- \rangle| = \pm \frac{k^2}{2} \varepsilon_0 \left\{ |E_{\text{inc}}|^2 \text{Re}(\alpha_{cm}^* \alpha_{mm} - \alpha_{cc}^* \alpha_{mm}) \right\}.
$$

Equation (11) shows that the magnetoelectric polarizability can lead to different optical scattering forces for opposite illuminations. Figure 4(d) shows the normalized optical scattering forces. It can be seen that $\Delta F$ is pronounced when the nanoparticle exhibits a notable difference in backward radar cross sections (i.e., at 445 THz).

In summary, the following relations hold for the proposed lossless reciprocal bianisotropic nanoparticle:

$$
p^+ \neq p^-, \quad m^+ \neq m^-,
\alpha_{sc} = \alpha_{ext} = \alpha_{s}^\pm = \alpha_{s}^\mp = \alpha_{m}^\pm = \alpha_{m}^\mp = 0,
Q_{\text{RBS}}^+ \neq Q_{\text{RBS}}^-,
Q_{\text{RFS}}^+ \neq Q_{\text{RFS}}^-,
$$

Now we finish the investigations of the properties of the individual nanoparticle and start to demonstrate its interesting characteristics when used in a planar periodic array.

II. BIANISOTROPIC METASURFACES

Next, we consider a periodic array composed of the proposed bianisotropic nanoparticle that is arranged along a planar surface, here the $xy$ plane [see Fig. 5(a)]. Since the nanoparticles are assumed to be lossless, the Ohmic losses of the proposed array are also zero. Let us illuminate this array at normal incidence from two directions, i.e., forward and backward direction [see Fig. 5(a) for the definition of forward and backward illumination]. The reflection and transmission amplitudes and phases for these two illumination cases are plotted in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). They were obtained from full wave simulations using COMSOL Multiphysics [49]. It is obvious that the amplitudes for the reflection and transmission for the different illumination directions are identical since there are no losses. The transmission phase is identical due to reciprocity. On the contrary, the reflection phase is not. This is apparently due to the presence of bianisotropy in the proposed array.

Now, we claim that the proposed nanoparticle is a proper candidate as a unit cell in a reflectarray. Indeed, a unit cell
must provide a $2\pi$ phase change prior to being applicable in a reflectarray [36,50–53]. This $2\pi$ phase change shall be obtained by a suitable geometrical tuning. Moreover, it has to maintain a high reflection amplitude across the considered geometrical configuration. Figure 6 shows the amplitude and phase variations versus different height and diameter of the air hole inside the dielectric cylinder when the proposed nanoparticle is used in a square array with period $\Lambda = 400$ nm.

As can be seen from Fig. 6(a), the proposed nanoparticle satisfies the required condition for the phase variation of the reflection coefficient for both illumination directions when we fix the height $H_0 = 75$ nm and change the diameter $D_0 = 20–280$ nm. The amplitude of the reflection coefficient is close to unity within an acceptable range, while it drops down to one half at both ends of the diameter variations. The situation is a bit worse for the case when we fix the diameter $D_0 = 150$ nm and vary the height $H_0 = 10–140$ nm. In this case, we obtain a phase variation of $2\pi$ only for the forward illumination direction, while the amplitude of the reflection coefficient, in some parameter regions, drops down to 20\% [see Fig. 6(b)]. We should mention that better results might be obtained if the geometry is carefully optimized. The presented example only serves the purpose of demonstrating the idea.

Another important point is that the proposed nanoparticles in the array provide asymmetric phase variations. That is, the variation of reflection phases are different for different illumination directions. It means that we may properly design a reflectarray with two different properties when looking from different directions onto the plane. This is impossible using symmetrical structures which do not have bianisotropic properties.

The most important point about the proposed reflectarray is its transparency out of the resonance band. Indeed, in the investigated reflectarray, we do not rely on a metallic back reflector [52,54,55]. Instead, we have offered resonant nanoparticles with bianisotropic properties to obtain a full reflection. This gives us the possibility to preserve transparency outside the resonance band in the reflectarray. This transparency is very important when combining multiple receiving/transmitting systems. Then, we need a reflectarray at a frequency band, while we do not want to prevent signals from getting transmitted out of that frequency band.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed a design for high-index dielectric nanoparticle which supports an omega-type bianisotropic coupling in addition to magnetic and electric optically-induced dipole resonances. We have demonstrated that the magneto-electric coupling can be tuned by geometric parameters of the nanoparticle. In particular, the nanoparticle can possess different backward cross sections and consequently different optical scattering forces, when being illuminated by a plane wave from opposite directions along with the identical forward cross sections.

For a metasurface created by a periodic array of bianisotropic nanoparticles, we have observed interesting effects, e.g., asymmetric reflection phases for the opposite illumination directions and a possibility to achieve a $2\pi$ phase change together with an acceptable reflection amplitude across the entire phase spectrum by tuning the geometric parameters of the nanoparticle. Finally, we have demonstrated that the employment of the proposed resonant nanoparticle together with the absence of a fully reflective metallic screen gives the opportunity of out-of-band transparency in reflectarrays. All the effects described here are the direct outcome of the engineered omega-type bianisotropy that may open a path to design high-index nanoparticles and metasurfaces, including reflectarrays, transmittarrays, and Huygens metasurfaces.
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