This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Albooyeh, M.; Asadchy, V.S.; Alaei, R.; Hashemi, S.M.; Yazdi, M.; Mirmoosa, M.S.;
Rockstuhl, C.; Simovski, C.R.; Tretyakov, S.A.

Purely bianisotropic scatterers

Published in:
Physical Review B

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245428)

Published: 01/01/2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Albooyeh, M., Asadchy, V. S., Alaei, R., Hashemi, S. M., Yazdi, M., Mirmoosa, M. S., Rockstuhl, C., Simovski, C. R., & Tretyakov, S. A. (2016). Purely bianisotropic scatterers. *Physical Review B*, 94(24), [245428].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245428>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Purely bianisotropic scatterers

M. Albooyeh,^{1,2} V. S. Asadchy,¹ R. Alaei,^{3,4} S. M. Hashemi,⁵ M. Yazdi,⁶ M. S. Mirmoosa,¹
C. Rockstuhl,^{3,7} C. R. Simovski,¹ and S. A. Tretyakov¹

¹*Department of Radio Science and Engineering, Aalto University, P.O. Box 13000, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland*

²*Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California, Irvine, California 92617, USA*

³*Institute of Theoretical Solid State Physics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*

⁴*Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Erlangen, Germany*

⁵*Department of Electrical Engineering, Shahid Rajaee Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran*

⁶*Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Babol, Iran*

⁷*Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany*

(Received 16 September 2016; published 22 December 2016)

The polarization response of molecules or meta-atoms to external electric and magnetic fields, which defines the electromagnetic properties of materials, can either be direct (electric field induces electric moment and magnetic field induces magnetic moment) or indirect (magnetoelectric coupling in bianisotropic scatterers). Earlier studies suggest that there is a fundamental bound on the indirect response of all passive scatterers: It is believed to be always weaker than the direct one. In this paper, we prove that there exist scatterers which overcome this bound substantially. Moreover, we show that the amplitudes of electric and magnetic polarizabilities can be negligibly small as compared to the magnetoelectric coupling coefficients. However, we prove that if at least one of the direct-excitation coefficients vanishes, magnetoelectric coupling effects in passive scatterers cannot exist. Our findings open a way to a new class of electromagnetic scatterers and composite materials.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245428)

I. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of man-made composites (metamaterials and metasurfaces) has enabled exotic devices for light manipulations. The response of these effectively homogeneous artificial structures to the electromagnetic fields is engineered by proper design of individual scatterers as their building blocks (meta-atoms). Various meta-atoms have been actively studied, see e.g., Refs. [1–5]. For linear scatterers and in the limit of dipole approximation, the relations between the local electric $\mathbf{E}_{\text{loc}}$ and magnetic $\mathbf{H}_{\text{loc}}$ fields and the induced electric and magnetic dipole moments $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ read [6]:

$$\mathbf{p} = \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{ee} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{loc}} + \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{em} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{loc}}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{me} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{loc}} + \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{mm} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{loc}}, \quad (1)$$

where the double bar signs mark tensor (dyadic) quantities. In the above equations, the polarizability tensors $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{ee}$ and $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{mm}$ represent the *direct* electric and magnetic couplings between the applied field and the corresponding induced dipole moment. On the other hand, the bianisotropic polarizability tensor $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{me}$ ($\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{em}$) measures the *indirect* coupling between the applied electric (magnetic) field and the induced magnetic (electric) dipole moment.

Some fundamental restrictions on the attainable values of the polarizabilities are well known. If the scatterer is reciprocal, then the Onsager-Casimir principle (see, e.g., Ref. [7,8]) dictates that $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{ee} = \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{ee}^T$, $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{mm} = \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{mm}^T$, and $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{me} = -\bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{em}^T$, where superscript “T” denotes the transpose operation. Furthermore, for all passive scatterers the imaginary part of the six-dyadic $\bar{\bar{\alpha}} - \bar{\bar{\alpha}}^T$ is positive definite (see, e.g., Refs. [8]), where the six-dyadic $\bar{\bar{\alpha}}$ is formed by the four polarizabilities in (1) as

$$\bar{\bar{\alpha}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{ee} & \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{em} \\ \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{me} & \bar{\bar{\alpha}}_{mm} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The causality requirement leads to Kramers-Kronig relations for the polarizabilities and to sum rules [8].

Here we discuss fundamental limitations on the relative strength of direct and indirect coupling phenomena, measured by the absolute values of the polarizabilities and coupling coefficients. For simplicity of writing and in view of particular examples which we will study, we will mainly use the scalar versions of the linear relations (1), considering the polarization responses only along one direction. In particular, we consider relative magnitudes of the products $\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}$ and $\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}$. Note that the passivity limitation on the imaginary part of the six-dyadic $\bar{\bar{\alpha}} - \bar{\bar{\alpha}}^T$ of lossy particles (it is positive definite) [8] for the case of scalar parameters leads to the condition [7,9] $\text{Im}\{\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}\} < \text{Im}\{\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}\}$, but the real parts or the absolute values of these products are not restricted by passivity.

In naturally occurring molecules and particles, the magnetoelectric coupling effects are very small as compared with the direct polarization effects, suggesting that $|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| \ll |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}|$. However, by properly engineering meta-atoms it is possible to significantly enhance the coupling effects. This enables new unprecedented effects. Here, we focus on the question if the coupling effects can be even stronger than the direct polarization, that is, if it is possible to create a scatterer such that $|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| > |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}|$.

Based on known results, it is expected that the indirect coupling effects cannot be stronger than the direct ones [3,4,7,10,11]. In earlier studies, it was shown that the polarizabilities of metal spirals close to the fundamental resonance obey the relation [10,11]

$$|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| = |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}| \quad (3)$$

(see also in Ref. [4]). Later, it was shown that this equality is not a general restriction and examples of resonant spirals for which $|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| < |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}|$ holds were shown [12]. However, to the

best of our knowledge, no results where the opposite inequality would hold are known. Moreover, in more recent studies [3], it is stated that in a small scatterer the magnetoelectric coupling cannot exceed the direct polarization effects, so that for all linear passive scatterers

$$|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| \leq |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}|. \quad (4)$$

However, this statement of Ref. [3] implies the presumption that the scatterer is modeled as a single-resonant *RLC* circuit. In such scatterers, both induced electric and magnetic dipole moments are formed by the same current distribution and have nearly the same frequency dispersion close to the fundamental resonance.

Here, we show that once we relax this presumption, we can largely overcome this fundamental bound. On the other hand, we establish a new constraint for a general linear passive dipole scatterer. We prove that indirect magnetoelectric coupling coefficients (bianisotropy parameters) may be different from zero only if both of the direct coupling coefficients $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}$ are simultaneously nonzero.

II. NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE PRESENCE OF INDIRECT COUPLINGS

Let us first apply the energy conservation law to a general lossless bianisotropic scatterer (linear response is assumed). Equating the power extracted by the scatterer from the incident fields and the power which it re-radiates back into surrounding space, we can write the following relation between its polarizability tensors (see, e.g., Refs. [3,13]):

$$\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\alpha}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}) = \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} \bar{\alpha}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}, \quad (5)$$

where k is the wave number of the incident wave and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the host medium that is assumed to be isotropic and dielectric only, $\dagger$ denotes the conjugate transpose operator, and the 6×6 polarizability dyadic is defined in (A2). The time-harmonic dependency in the form of $e^{-i\omega t}$ is assumed. From (A1) and (A2), the following expressions for the polarizability tensor of a lossless scatterer follow (see Appendix A):

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{ee}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{ee}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{ee}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{ee} + \bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{me}], \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{mm}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{mm}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{mm}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{mm} + \bar{\alpha}_{em}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{em}]. \quad (7)$$

If we now assume that $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}$ is exactly zero, then we see from (A3) that $\bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{me} = \bar{0}$. From here it follows that $\bar{\alpha}_{me} = \bar{\alpha}_{em} = \bar{0}$ (see Appendix A). The same result can be obtained from (A5) if a vanishing magnetic tensor $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}$ is assumed. Similar reasoning leads to the conclusion that the same result is valid also for lossy (dissipative) scatterers. It can be understood from observing that for lossy scatterers relations (A3) and (A5) contain additional positive terms in the right-hand side, which represent the dissipated power.

Therefore, we conclude that if at least one of the direct coupling tensors vanishes, the indirect coupling tensors must be zero accordingly. However, from the above considerations it does not follow that the indirect magnetoelectric coupling

FIG. 1. (a),(b) A metal split-ring resonator in free space. At the main resonance, the induced electric and magnetic dipole moments are related to the same nearly uniform current distribution.

coefficients cannot be stronger as compared to the direct ones, as long as both direct polarizabilities are not exactly zero. It is important to study if there are possibilities to design scatterers which overcome the inequality (4).

III. COUNTEREXAMPLES WHICH BREAK THE INEQUALITY $|\alpha_{em}\alpha_{me}| \leq |\alpha_{ee}\alpha_{mm}|$

A. Split-ring resonators

As a representative example, let us consider a scatterer that exhibits bianisotropic coupling of the so-called omega type [8]: a split-ring resonator (Fig. 1).

The resonator has a square shape with the outer edge equal to 110 nm and the square cross section with the side of 30 nm. The gap is 30 nm. The material is gold [14]. Based on the semianalytical approach developed in Ref. [15], we extract the main polarizability components of this scatterer excited by the illumination shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2(a) depicts magnitudes of the main components of the polarizability tensors and Fig. 2(b) shows the products of the direct and the indirect terms, respectively.

Close to the resonance, where the current around the ring is nearly uniform (the conduction current is continued in the gap as a displacement current with the same phase), its polarizabilities agree with the theory of Ref. [11] and obey the relation (3). Based on the earlier studies [3], it can be expected that when the frequency deviates from the resonant range, limitation (4) should continue to hold. However, at frequencies near 325 THz, the indirect coupling coefficient α_{em} clearly exceeds both direct ones (normalized by the free space impedance η_0) more than 2.5 times. In this frequency range, the current induced in the resonator is strongly nonuniform: The current in the middle part of the scatterer is directed oppositely to the displacement current in the gap and the external

FIG. 2. Numerically obtained magnitudes of the main polarizability components of the split-ring resonator versus frequency.

electric field excites predominantly a magnetic dipole moment. Likewise, the external magnetic field excites a strong electric dipole moment. We conclude that beyond the frequency range of the fundamental resonance, where the *RLC* model is not applicable, the limitation (4) does not hold.

B. Dimers

Although the studied example scatterer overcomes the previously established limitation (4), the indirect coupling coefficients α_{em} and α_{me} are still of the same order as that of the direct ones $\eta_0\alpha_{ee}$ and α_{mm}/η_0 . In order to further enhance the indirect coupling and suppress the direct polarization effects, we push the idea of multimode scatterers to the limit by designing a nanodimer whose two constituents have electric polarizabilities of the opposite signs. Despite the fact that dimer scatterers have been intensively studied (see, e.g., Refs. [5,16,17]), their potentials for enhancing bianisotropic coupling as compared to the direct ones appear not to be realized. Commonly, previous works (see, e.g., Ref. [5]) were devoted to designing dimers that have no excited electric dipole moment under illumination of an incident plane wave (e.g., to realize scattering cancellation cloaking [18]):

$$\mathbf{p} = \bar{\alpha}_{ee} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{inc} + \bar{\alpha}_{em} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{inc} \approx 0. \quad (8)$$

However, this condition is drastically different from our goal to minimize the direct coupling. Indeed, Eq. (8) implies that the indirect coupling should be of the same order as the direct one.

In this work, we aim to design a scatterer such that its electromagnetic response is almost solely defined by the indirect coupling (a “purely bianisotropic scatterer”). Neglecting the direct coupling coefficients, we can write the dipole moments induced in such a scatterer as follows:

$$\mathbf{p} \approx \bar{\alpha}_{em} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{inc}, \quad \mathbf{m} \approx \bar{\alpha}_{me} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{inc}. \quad (9)$$

To exactly meet the equality in (9) is impossible due to the constraint established above.

We design the nanodimer as a system of two closely spaced, very small (compared to the wavelength) dielectric spheres with the relative permittivity ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 and equal radii $r = 21.4$ nm (see Fig. 3).

The distance between the centers of the spheres is $3r = 64.2$ nm. Without loss of generality, we assume that the

FIG. 3. Illustration of a nanodimer.

FIG. 4. Distribution of the electric field scattered by the nanodimer with the polarizabilities given by (9). The dimer is excited by external (a),(b) electric field and (c),(d) magnetic field. (b),(d) The corresponding patterns of the scattering amplitude.

nanodimer is located in free space. We choose $\epsilon_1 = 0.4$ and $\epsilon_2 = 2$ at the operational frequency, so that the electric polarizabilities of the spheres in the quasistatic approximation [1] have equal amplitudes but opposite signs (see Appendix B). The magnetic polarizabilities of both spheres in the quasistatic approximation can be neglected.

In order to analyze the dimer polarizabilities, we excite it by a combination of two plane waves propagating along the z axis in the opposite directions, forming a standing wave. When the dimer is positioned in the antinode of the electric field $\mathbf{E}_{ext} = 2\mathbf{E}_{inc}$ [see Fig. 4(a)], where the external magnetic field is zero, the induced electric dipole moments in the two spheres $\mathbf{p}_1$ and $\mathbf{p}_2$ compensate each other, ensuring near-zero direct coupling coefficient α_{ee} of the total dimer system.

On the other hand, this configuration of the opposite electric dipoles forms an electric quadrupole moment and a magnetic dipole moment [which, according to (9), corresponds to the nonzero indirect coupling coefficient α_{me}]. Figure 4(b) depicts the radiation pattern of the nanodimer under this excitation in terms of the scattering amplitude $f = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} E_{sc}(r) \cdot r$. The result corresponds to the typical pattern of combined electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole moments.

Next, we position the nanodimer in the antinode of the magnetic field of the standing wave $\mathbf{H}_{ext} = 2\mathbf{H}_{inc}$ as shown in Fig. 4(c). Importantly, in this configuration the induced

FIG. 5. Numerically obtained magnitudes of the main polarizability components of the nonresonant purely bianisotropic nanodimer.

magnetic moment in the dimer corresponding to the direct coupling is negligibly small. There are two reasons for that. Firstly, the spheres have a small intrinsic magnetic response because we work far from their magnetic Mie resonances. Secondly, the external magnetic field due to the Faraday law creates circulation of the electric field around the center of the dimer. Due to the opposite electric polarizabilities of the nanodimer spheres, this circulating external electric field excites *noncirculating* electric dipoles directed along the same direction as is shown in Fig. 4(c). Therefore, the total magnetic response at excitation by magnetic fields is almost completely suppressed, and the scatterer radiates as a pure electric dipole with the corresponding radiation pattern shown in Fig. 4(d) (electric quadrupole moment is also very small).

In order to quantitatively analyze the response of the nanodimer, we determine its main polarizability components excited by illumination by waves propagating along the z axis. Figure 5(a) shows the extracted polarizabilities of the nanodimer and Fig. 5(b) depicts the product of the direct and indirect terms.

Notice that in a very wide frequency range the magneto-electric coupling coefficient is at least one order of magnitude stronger than both electric and magnetic ones, so that the limitation (4) is largely exceeded (more than 27 times). Thus, the nanodimer exhibits properties of a nearly pure bianisotropic scatterer and can be described by (9).

Although this example is simple and vivid, because of a small size compared to the wavelength and the nonresonant scattering regime, the dimer is weakly excited by incident illumination, which may preclude its use in potential applications. To enhance the strength of the nanodimer response and hence make it practical, we have demonstrated a design solution for a dimer operating near the Mie resonances of its two inclusions (see Appendix B).

The unique property of pure bianisotropic response implies several exciting consequences. For example, such a nanodimer has an equivalent response (along its axis) to that of Kerker's magnetoelectric sphere with $\epsilon = \mu$ [2]. In this regime, the backscattering from the dimer is zero for any polarization of the incident wave, as is seen from Eqs. (9). Moreover, the forward scattered wave has always the same polarization as that of the incident wave.

Another interesting property of purely bianisotropic scatterers is predominantly lateral scattering. Indeed, if a dimer is illuminated with a plane wave propagating along the x

FIG. 6. Illustration of the modified purely bianisotropic scatterer. The configuration ensures that for a specific incidence direction a lateral force $\mathbf{F} \perp \mathbf{k}$ acting on the cluster occurs.

axis (see Fig. 3) with the electric field polarized along the y axis, the scattering pattern has a shape similar to that depicted in Fig. 4(b) and is characterized by very weak forward and backward scattering and strong side scattering along the $+z$ and $-z$ directions. This effect of strong lateral scattering can find important applications for engineering optical forces which is discussed in the following.

IV. LATERAL OPTICAL FORCES WITH PURELY BIANISOTROPIC SCATTERERS

Indeed, it is possible to modify the nanodimer so that the scattering is directed predominantly in one lateral direction. Let us consider a dimer configuration depicted in Fig. 6, where we have added an additional sphere in the center of the dimer.

This additional sphere is a small metallic nanoparticle which is at its plasmonic resonance, i.e., $\epsilon_3 \approx -2$. In our conceptual consideration we neglect without loss of generality the effects of interaction between the plasmonic particle and the nanodimer spheres. Under the excitation shown in Fig. 6, an electric dipole moment $\mathbf{p}_3$ will be induced in the plasmonic particle along the x axis, while the induced electric dipole moments $\mathbf{p}_1$ and $\mathbf{p}_2$ in the dimer will form an effective magnetic moment along the y axis. Tuning the dimensions of the plasmonic nanoparticle and its loss factor, one can balance the induced effective magnetic moment with the electric moment $\mathbf{p}_3$ so that they have the same amplitude and phase. In this case, these two orthogonal dipole moments form a Huygens' pair whose scattering pattern has a null along the $+z$ or $-z$ direction. Therefore, due to the conservation of linear momentum in the system, the nanocluster, illuminated by a wave along the y direction will experience a lateral force along the z direction. Such an effect of side optical forces can be interesting in micromanipulation (so-called optical tweezers) and fabrication.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have proved that nonzero indirect coupling coefficients in a passive scatterer exist only if both direct coupling effects are present at least as very weak effects. Based on several examples, we have shown that the earlier published considerations do not impose any limit on the strength of the indirect coupling coefficients compared to the direct ones. Moreover, we have demonstrated that the indirect coupling can be largely enhanced in specifically designed dimer scatterers. Such dimers possess unique, almost purely bianisotropic response and exhibit unprecedented effects, for example, lateral optical forces.

This result confirms the nearly pure bianisotropic response of the nanodimer. The frequency shift from 417 THz to 350 THz can be explained by the mutual interaction of the spheres. Compared to the case of small spheres in the main paper, this nanodimer exhibits about three orders of magnitude stronger electromagnetic response.

APPENDIX A: PROOF THAT PASSIVE PURELY BIANISOTROPIC SCATTERERS DO NOT EXIST

In the main text, we state that if we assume that the electric and magnetic polarizabilities $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}$ of a dipolar scatterer are exactly zero, then the magnetoelectric polarizabilities $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$ must also be zero. To prove that, we first employ the relations for the extracted and scattered powers by the scatterer in terms of the dipolar moments. Then, we consider the fact that these two must be equal when there is no absorption loss. From these considerations, we can write the relation between the polarizability tensors as:

$$\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\alpha}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}) = \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} \bar{\alpha}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\dagger$ is the conjugate transpose operator and

$$\bar{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{ee} & \bar{\alpha}_{em} \\ \bar{\alpha}_{me} & \bar{\alpha}_{mm} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

From (A1) and (A2) we derive the following expressions for the polarizability dyadics of a lossless particle:

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{ee}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{ee}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{ee}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{ee} + \bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{me}], \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{em}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{ee}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{em} + \bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{mm}], \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{mm}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{mm}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{em}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{em} + \bar{\alpha}_{mm}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{mm}], \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{em}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}_{me}}{2} = -i \frac{k^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} [\bar{\alpha}_{em}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{ee} + \bar{\alpha}_{mm}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{me}]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Next, let us assume that both electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the scatterer are zero, i.e., $\bar{\alpha}_{ee} = \bar{\alpha}_{mm} = 0$. Then, from (A3) and (A5) it follows that

$$\bar{\alpha}_{me}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{me} = \bar{0}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_{em}^\dagger \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{em} = \bar{0}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

If we consider $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$ as three-dimensional matrices:

$$\bar{\alpha}_{em} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{em}^{xx} & \alpha_{em}^{xy} & \alpha_{em}^{xz} \\ \alpha_{em}^{yx} & \alpha_{em}^{yy} & \alpha_{em}^{yz} \\ \alpha_{em}^{zx} & \alpha_{em}^{zy} & \alpha_{em}^{zz} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A9})$$

and

$$\bar{\alpha}_{me} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{me}^{xx} & \alpha_{me}^{xy} & \alpha_{me}^{xz} \\ \alpha_{me}^{yx} & \alpha_{me}^{yy} & \alpha_{me}^{yz} \\ \alpha_{me}^{zx} & \alpha_{me}^{zy} & \alpha_{me}^{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

FIG. 7. Analytical polarizabilities of spheres with $\epsilon_1 = -0.6$ and $\epsilon_2 = 10$ at frequencies near the Mie resonances. At 417 THz, the real parts of the electric polarizabilities have equal amplitudes but opposite signs, while their imaginary parts are negligible.

then from (A7) and (A8) we obtain the following two sets of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{em}^{xx}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{yx}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{zx}|^2 &= 0, \\ |\alpha_{em}^{xy}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{yy}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{zy}|^2 &= 0, \\ |\alpha_{em}^{xz}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{yz}|^2 + |\alpha_{em}^{zz}|^2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

FIG. 8. Distribution of the electric field scattered by the nanodimer at 350 THz. The dimer is excited by external (a),(b) electric field and (c),(d) magnetic field. (b),(d) The corresponding patterns of the scattering amplitude.

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{me}^{xx}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{yx}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{zx}|^2 &= 0, \\ |\alpha_{me}^{xy}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{yy}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{zy}|^2 &= 0, \\ |\alpha_{me}^{xz}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{yz}|^2 + |\alpha_{me}^{zz}|^2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

Now from (A11) and (A12) one can conclude that if $\bar{\alpha}_{ee} = \bar{\alpha}_{mm} = 0$, then there is no possibility to have nonzero $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$, i.e.,

$$\text{if } \{\bar{\alpha}_{ee} = \bar{\alpha}_{mm} = 0\} \implies \{\bar{\alpha}_{em} = \bar{\alpha}_{me} = 0\}. \quad (\text{A13})$$

This conclusion is applied to any dipolar scatterer.

APPENDIX B: DIELECTRIC SPHERES CLOSE TO THE MIE RESONANCES

The electric polarizabilities of the spheres within the quasistatic approximation read

$$\alpha_{ee1,2} = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \epsilon_0 \frac{3(\epsilon_{1,2} - 1)}{\epsilon_{1,2} + 2} \quad (\text{B1})$$

and their magnetic polarizabilities can be neglected. In the main text, we presented a scatterer system of two dielectric spheres whose magnetoelectric polarizability is stronger than both electric and magnetic polarizabilities. The individual electric α_{ee} and magnetic α_{mm} dipole polarizabilities of a dielectric sphere can be determined by [19]:

$$\alpha_{ee} = \frac{6\pi i \epsilon}{k^3} a_1, \quad \alpha_{mm} = \frac{6\pi i \mu}{k^3} b_1, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where $k = \omega\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}$ is the host medium wave number, μ and ϵ are the permeability and permittivity of the host medium, a_1 and b_1 are the dipolar terms of the scattering Mie coefficients for a sphere of an arbitrary size in a uniform host medium. The scattering Mie coefficients of all the multipoles excited in the sphere are denoted by [20]:

$$a_n = \frac{\mu m^2 j_n(mx) [x j_n(x)]' - \mu_p j_n(x) [mx j_n(mx)]'}{\mu m^2 j_n(mx) [x h_n^{(1)}(x)]' - \mu_p h_n^{(1)}(x) [mx j_n(mx)]'}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

FIG. 9. Numerically obtained magnitudes of the main polarizability components of the purely bianisotropic nanodimer operating close to the Mie resonances of its inclusions.

and

$$b_n = \frac{\mu_p j_n(mx) [x j_n(x)]' - \mu j_n(x) [mx j_n(mx)]'}{\mu_p j_n(mx) [x h_n^{(1)}(x)]' - \mu h_n^{(1)}(x) [mx j_n(mx)]'}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Here, $x = \omega\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}D/2$, $m = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_p \epsilon_p}{\mu \epsilon}}$, μ_p and ϵ_p are the permeability and permittivity of the sphere particle, respectively. In these relations D is the sphere diameter, j_n and $h_n^{(1)}$ are the spherical Bessel functions of the first and third kind, respectively, and sign “ $'$ ” denotes the derivative with respect to the argument.

We choose the radii of the spheres $r = 65$ nm and the distance between their centers $3r = 195$ nm, and calculate the polarizabilities using the full-wave Mie theory. To prevent strong magnetic response from the spheres, we choose the operational frequency far enough from the nearest magnetic resonance of the spheres occurring at 696 THz. Next, we choose the permittivities of the spheres $\epsilon_1 = -0.6$ and $\epsilon_2 = 10$ such that at the frequency 417 THz their electric polarizabilities have equal amplitudes but opposite signs $\text{Re}(\alpha_{ee1}) = -\text{Re}(\alpha_{ee2})$. Figure 7 depicts the analytically calculated polarizabilities of such spheres.

Due to this property, the external electric/magnetic field induces magnetic/electric dipole moment in the nanodimer as shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(c). The scattering patterns for these two cases are presented in Figs. 8(b) and 8(d). Close to 350 THz, the direct coupling coefficients are suppressed, while the indirect coupling coefficient is strong [see Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)].

- [1] C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
- [2] M. Kerker, D. S. Wang, and C. L. Giles, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **73**, 765 (1983).
- [3] I. Sersic, C. Tuambalangana, T. Kampfrath, and A. F. Koenderink, Magnetoelectric point scattering theory for metamaterial scatterers, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 245102 (2011).
- [4] I. Sersic, M. A. Van de Haar, F. B. Arango, and A. F. Koenderink, Ubiquity of Optical Activity in Planar Metamaterial Scatterers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 223903 (2012).
- [5] P. Grahm, A. Shevchenko, and M. Kaivola, Electric dipole-free interaction of visible light with pairs of subwavelength-size silver particles, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 035419 (2012).

- [6] S. A. Tretyakov, *Analytical Modeling in Applied Electromagnetics* (Artech House, London, 2003).
- [7] I. V. Lindell, A. H. Sihvola, S. A. Tretyakov, and A. J. Viitanen, *Electromagnetic Waves in Chiral and Bi-isotropic Media* (Artech House, Boston and London, 1994).
- [8] A. Serdyukov, I. Semchenko, S. Tretyakov, and A. Sihvola, *Electromagnetics of Bi-anisotropic Materials: Theory and Applications* (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 2001).
- [9] M. G. Silveirinha and S. I. Maslovski, Comment on “Repulsive Casimir Force in Chiral Metamaterials,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 189301 (2010).

- [10] S. A. Tretyakov, C. R. Simovski, and A. A. Sochava, The relation between co- and cross-polarizabilities of small conductive bianisotropic particles, in *Advances in Complex Electromagnetic Materials*, edited by A. Priou, A. Sihvola, S. Tretyakov, and A. Vinogradov, NATO ASI Series High Technology, Vol. 28 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1997), pp. 271–280.
- [11] S. A. Tretyakov, F. Mariotte, C. R. Simovski, T. G. Kharina, and J.-P. Heliot, Analytical antenna model for chiral scatterers: Comparison with numerical and experimental data, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* **44**, 1006 (1996).
- [12] S. A. Tretyakov, A. A. Sochava, and C. R. Simovski, Influence of chiral shapes of individual inclusions on the absorption in chiral composite coatings, *Electromagnetics* **16**, 113 (1996).
- [13] P. A. Belov, S. I. Maslovski, C. R. Simovski, and S. A. Tretyakov, A condition imposed on the electromagnetic polarizability of a bianisotropic lossless scatterer, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **29**, 718 (2003).
- [14] P. B. Johnson and R. W. Christy, Optical constants of the noble metals, *Phys. Rev. B* **6**, 4370 (1972).
- [15] V. S. Asadchy, I. A. Faniayeu, Y. Ra'di, and S. A. Tretyakov, Determining polarizability tensors for an arbitrary small electromagnetic scatterer, *Phot. Nano. Fund. Appl.* **12**, 298 (2014).
- [16] A. N. Grigorenko, A. K. Geim, H. F. Gleeson, Y. Zhang, A. A. Firsov, I. Y. Khrushchev, and J. Petrovic, Nanofabricated media with negative permeability at visible frequencies, *Nature (London)* **438**, 335 (2005).
- [17] B. Kante, K. O'Brien, A. Niv, X. Yin, and X. Zhang, Proposed isotropic negative index in three-dimensional optical metamaterials, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 041103 (2012).
- [18] P.-Y. Chen, J. Soric, and A. Alù, Invisibility and cloaking based on scattering cancellation, *Adv. Mater.* **24**, OP281 (2012).
- [19] G. W. Mulholland and R. D. Mountain, Coupled dipole calculation of extinction coefficient and polarization ratio for smoke agglomerates, *Combust. Flame* **119**, 56 (1999).
- [20] C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (Wiley, New York, 1983).