This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Suhonen, P. M.; Piili, J.; Linna, R. P.

Quantification of tension to explain bias dependence of driven polymer translocation dynamics

Published in:
Physical Review E

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401)

Published: 06/12/2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Suhonen, P. M., Piili, J., & Linna, R. P. (2017). Quantification of tension to explain bias dependence of driven polymer translocation dynamics. *Physical Review E*, 96(6), 1-15. [062401].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401>

Quantification of tension to explain bias dependence of driven polymer translocation dynamics

P. M. Suhonen, J. Piili, and R. P. Linna*

Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, P.O. Box 15400, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

(Received 20 July 2017; revised manuscript received 18 October 2017; published 6 December 2017)

Motivated by identifying the origin of the bias dependence of tension propagation, we investigate methods for measuring tension propagation quantitatively in computer simulations of driven polymer translocation. Here, the motion of flexible polymer chains through a narrow pore is simulated using Langevin dynamics. We measure tension forces, bead velocities, bead distances, and bond angles along the polymer at all stages of translocation with unprecedented precision. Measurements are done at a standard temperature used in simulations and at zero temperature to pin down the effect of fluctuations. The measured quantities were found to give qualitatively similar characteristics, but the bias dependence could be determined only using tension force. We find that in the scaling relation $\tau \sim N^\beta f_d^\alpha$ for translocation time τ , the polymer length N , and the bias force f_d , the increase of the exponent β with bias is caused by center-of-mass diffusion of the polymer toward the pore on the *cis* side. We find that this diffusion also causes the exponent α to deviate from the ideal value -1 . The bias dependence of β was found to result from combination of diffusion and pore friction and so be relevant for polymers that are too short to be considered asymptotically long. The effect is relevant in experiments all of which are made using polymers whose lengths are far below the asymptotic limit. Thereby, our results also corroborate the theoretical prediction by Sakaue's theory [Polymers **8**, 424 (2016)] that there should not be bias dependence of β for asymptotically long polymers. By excluding fluctuations we also show that monomer crowding at the pore exit cannot have a measurable effect on translocation dynamics under realistic conditions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401)

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to its importance as a transport mechanism in biology, its potential technological applications and intriguing dynamical characteristics polymer translocation has been an active field of research since the pioneering experimental study on driven RNA and DNA translocation [1]. Most of the studies concentrate on biased, or driven, translocation where a pore force f_d drives the polymer from the *cis* to the *trans* side of a membrane through a nanoscale pore (see Fig. 1). In addition to *in vivo* and experimental processes being biased, one reason for the computational studies to focus on the biased case is the difficulty of obtaining sufficient statistics for the unbiased and weakly biased processes from computer simulations. Consequently, there are an abundance of computational studies on driven translocation where f_d is large compared to thermal fluctuations. These studies have been in an important role in confirming the correct theoretical assumptions and derivations, disproving less correct ones, providing intuition and, occasionally, some further revisions. The theoretical framework of tension propagation for relatively strong bias was developed in [2–5]. A crucial revision to this framework was made in [6]. A judicious compact outline of the main advancements in driven translocation research can be found in [7].

Although the theoretical understanding of the strongly biased polymer translocation for asymptotically long polymers can be regarded as complete, simulations still provide understanding on characteristics that in spite of being in principle included in the theoretical framework have not been identified or have been deemed unimportant. This is especially true for polymers of finite lengths, which is the regime of experiments.

In this paper we point out to one such a characteristic, namely, the center-of mass motion of the almost unperturbed polymer conformation on the *cis* side. This motion is typically deemed relevant only in the weak-force regime. Here, we will show that the center-of-mass diffusion shows in the translocation dynamics even for relatively strong f_d . It also contributes to bias dependence of driven translocation dynamics, which although mostly ignored we show to be relevant even well within the strongly driven regime.

The *cis*-side tension propagation has been shown to be the dominating factor in the dynamics of polymer translocation in the strong-bias regime [2–6,8–10]. Tension propagation manifests itself in translocation dynamics as changing the number of monomers in motion. This changes the friction exerted on the polymer segment on the *cis* side. Hence, the number of moving beads is the natural quantity to measure in simulations as was first done in [8,9]. However, measurement of monomer velocities does not necessarily provide the most accurate method. There are only a few detailed studies of propagation and distribution of tension among the monomers in a computer model including full translocation dynamics (see, e.g., [11–13]). One of the characteristics of driven translocation that still needs to be determined is how tension spreading depends on f_d . To accomplish this, the magnitude, not just the form, of the propagating tension needs to be determined. So far, this has not been attempted. In fact, even the question if this can be done cannot be answered based on previous studies.

As is well established, the time it takes for a polymer of length N to translocate scales as $\tau \sim f_d^\alpha N^\beta$, where for asymptotically long polymers $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta = 1 + \nu$ [4–6,10]. Here, ν is the Flory exponent. Before Sakaue introduced his tension propagation, some of the reported β values seemed inconsistent. To apparently reconcile the exponents found for the weakly driven regime $\beta = (1 + 2\nu)/(1 + \nu)$ [14] and the

*Corresponding author: riku.linna@aalto.fi

FIG. 1. A two-dimensional cross section of the three-dimensional simulation setup. A bead-spring chain of length $N = 25$ is driven through a small pore in a membrane from the *cis* (bottom) to the *trans* (top) side. Driving force f_d is applied to the polymer segment in the pore (f_d is divided among the beads currently occupying the pore). The membrane is 3σ thick and the pore is 1σ wide at the narrowest point. Polymer beads are indexed as $i = 1$ for the first bead to translocate and $i = N$ for the last bead to translocate. In the figure, 14 beads have crossed to the *trans* side, so the translocation coordinate is $s = 14$. The bead radius used in the figure was chosen for clarity. The interaction distance between a monomer and a wall is measured from the center of the bead.

strongly driven regime, somewhat vague concepts such as fast and slow dynamics were introduced [15]. In contrast to what was found in [15], we have consistently found smooth increase of β with increasing f_d [8,16].

Sakaue's tension propagation theory predicts that there is no force dependence for $\beta = 1 + \nu$ in the strongly driven regime for asymptotically long polymers. There are still contradicting notions about the scaling for different f_d . In his recent review paper [7], Sakaue derives the exponent $\beta = 1 + \nu$ for all but very weak f_d . For the very weak f_d he obtains $\beta = 2 + \nu$, the exponent for unbiased translocation [17]. The value different from the previously derived $\beta = (1 + 2\nu)/(1 + \nu)$ [14] comes from the tension propagation stage being responsible for a minor part of the translocation and the much longer post-propagation stage dominating the process. In addition, the force at which the crossover between these two regimes occurs is so low that practically all relevant translocation processes fall into the “strongly driven” regime [7]. In what follows, by strong-force regime we mean bias force magnitudes used here, which are clearly greater than forces due to thermal fluctuations.

By using a crude geometric quasistatic model for driven translocation we have previously shown that it is relatively easy to obtain convincing correspondence between computer simulations and theory [11]. In addition, different β values are obtained due to polymer lengths being far smaller than the minimum length for obtaining asymptotic scaling. To make matters more complicated, scaling exponents reported from experiments are typically not for asymptotically long polymers.

Recently, Carson *et al.* [18] reported measurements of double-stranded DNA of lengths from 35 to 20 000 base pairs (bp). Since the persistence length was $\lambda_p = 150$ bp, the maximum length of the DNA segments was $20\,000/(2 \times 150) \approx 67$ Kuhn lengths. In earlier experiments reported in Refs. [19–21], the longest dsDNA segments used were 97 000, 23 000, and 20 000 bp corresponding to $N_{\text{Kuhn}} \approx 323$, 77, and 67, respectively. Due to such short effective polymer lengths, comparison of the scaling exponents from simulations or theoretical models to those obtained experimentally should be done for several bias force magnitudes. In addition, hydrodynamic interactions reduce β for polymers that are not asymptotically long [8,22]. Hence, comparison of a model to such experiments should include both hydrodynamic interactions and several bias magnitudes. These considerations show that taking into account the bias dependence is essential when assessing correspondence of theory, models, and experiments.

Here, we set out to determine this bias dependence and assess the validity of the conflicting proposals of two universal exponents for two force regimes on one hand and a single universal exponent for practically all realistic bias force magnitudes on the other hand. In addition, we estimate the magnitude of the bias, above which waiting times from realistic simulations can be qualitatively described by a crude tension propagation model, namely, the quasistatic model that was shown to have excellent agreement with LD simulations for large f_d [11]. This is of practical interest since the translocation dynamics can be very easily predicted using this simplified model.

In order to tackle these open questions, we must study different ways of characterizing tension propagation seen in the driven polymer translocation simulated using Langevin dynamics (LD). We measure monomer velocities, polymer tension forces, and conformational changes continuously during the translocation. Most importantly, we find a method for quantifying the tension propagation and consequently determine the factors behind the measured bias dependence of the scaling exponent β , namely, the somewhat anticipated finite-size effect and center-of-mass diffusion of the polymer conformation on the *cis* side. By introducing a model where diffusion is eliminated, we can differentiate between these two factors.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we describe the computational models used in the article. We present and analyze the results of our simulations in various parts of Sec. III. In Sec. III A the different methods for measuring tension are presented. In Sec. III B the potential of these methods for quantifying tension and extracting the bias dependence are evaluated. Moreover, the factors behind the bias dependence are identified and their effect on scaling is assessed with the aid of a zero-fluctuation model. The effects of hydrodynamics and *trans* side crowding are also reevaluated in the presented new context. In Sec. III C we evaluate the quasistatic model in the view of the tension measurements and discuss the importance of f_d dependence in translocation models. In Sec. IV we summarize the results and discuss their importance in the field of translocation research.

II. COMPUTATIONAL MODELS

We use three-dimensional LD simulations to study driven polymer translocation. An illustration of the simulation setup

FIG. 2. Snapshots of a translocating polymer of $N = 400$ beads. The driving force $f_d = 32$ and the temperature $kT = 1$. The three snapshots from left to right are taken at the start of the simulation, at the time when half of the polymer has translocated, and at the end of the simulation. (Snapshots were created using VMD [23] and POV-Ray [24].)

is given in Fig. 1. Snapshots from our simulations are shown in Fig. 2.

A. Polymer model and dynamics

We use the standard bead-spring chain as the polymer model. A model polymer consists of pointlike beads with mass m . All the polymer beads in the model interact with each other via a truncated Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential given by

$$U_{\text{LJ}} = \begin{cases} 4\epsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 + \frac{1}{4} \right], & r \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma \\ 0, & r > 2^{1/6}\sigma \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where r is the distance between interacting beads and parameters σ and ϵ define the reduced units of length and energy, respectively. The LJ interaction constitutes the excluded volume effects while truncation at $r = 2^{1/6}\sigma$ is used to model the polymer in a good solvent.

Adjacent beads in the polymer chain are bound together with finitely extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) potential defined as

$$U_{\text{F}} = -\frac{K}{2} R^2 \ln \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right), \quad r < R. \quad (2)$$

Here, r is the distance between two connected beads, $R = 1.5\sigma$ is the maximum distance between the two beads, and $K = 30/\sigma^2$ defines the strength of the interaction. In what follows, we refer to this polymer model as the flexible chain (FC).

The simulations are performed using Ermak's implementation of Langevin dynamics [25]. The dynamics of every bead i is thus governed by the equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i = -\xi \mathbf{p}_i + \boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t) + \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i$ is the momentum, $\xi = 0.5$ is the friction coefficient, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t)$ is the Langevin thermostat random force, and $\mathbf{f}_i$ is the sum of all other forces affecting the particle. The random force $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t)$ is a Gaussian noise term defined as $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t) \boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t') \rangle = 2\xi k_B T m \delta(t - t')$. This definition ensures that the fluctuation-dissipation theorem is satisfied. To integrate the Langevin equation, we use velocity Verlet algorithm [26]. We set the time step $\delta t = 0.001$ for translocation and $\delta t = 0.025$ for pretranslocation equilibration. Larger time step was tested to give configurations with the same properties as those of

smaller time step. For the mass of a polymer bead, we set $m = 16$. In the simulations and reported results the polymer beads are indexed from $i = 1$ to N , corresponding to beads translocating first and last, respectively (see Fig. 1).

B. Pore and the membrane

The geometry of the membrane and the pore is depicted as a two-dimensional cross section in Fig. 1. The membrane consists of two planes at $r_z = -1.5\sigma$ and 1.5σ extending to infinity. An opening is cut to the membrane around $r_x = 0$ and $r_y = 0$ to model the pore which hence has its axis aligned with the z axis in the simulation space. To avoid corners that would impede polymer motion, the opening used here has the shape of a hole in the middle of a torus. The pore at its narrowest point has the width σ .

Slip boundary conditions are applied for a bead arriving at the membrane or pore wall, i.e., the component of momentum normal to the surface is reversed while retaining the component tangential to it. The geometry and collisions are simulated using constructive solid geometry technique [27] implemented for molecular dynamics simulations [28,29].

The translocation process is driven by force f_d that is applied on the polymer segment inside the pore in the direction of the pore axis toward the *trans* side (see Fig. 1).

C. Relating the model to real-world translocation processes

The coarse-grained FC polymer model can be used to represent a molecule of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) or a sufficiently long molecule of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). Correspondence to a specific polymer may be adjusted by using the semiflexible wormlike chain (WLC) model. It has been shown that in free space neither entropic nor intrinsic parts of elasticity differ appreciably for FC and WLC models [30]. In this article we aim to study the general properties of the process. FC is used for evaluating the methods for determining the tension because most of the translocation simulations use FC as the coarse-grained polymer model.

A mapping between the FC model and a ssDNA molecule can be presented as follows. The persistence length λ_p of ssDNA varies considerably with the ionic concentration of the solution [31]. Ionic concentrations in translocation experiments are typically of the order of 1 M [19,21,32,33]. For these concentrations, the persistence length λ_p is in the range from 0.64 nm [31] to 1.6 nm [34]. For the present mapping, we choose $\lambda_p = 1.0$ nm for the ssDNA. In the FC model $\lambda_p = \frac{1}{2}b$, where $b \approx 0.97\sigma$ is the distance between subsequent beads. This way we obtain $\sigma = 2.06$ nm. This gives 6.2 nm for the thickness of the membrane and 2.06 nm for the width of the narrowest part of the pore in our model. Estimating 0.63 nm as the distance of two subsequent nucleotides in ssDNA [34], one bead in our FC model represents 3.17 nucleotides in the ssDNA.

Mass and time can be calculated as follows. We assume temperature 300 K, which gives $\epsilon = k_B T = 4.1410^{-21}$ J as the unit energy. Since the mass of a single bead in our simulations is $16m^*$, the mass of a single nucleotide is $\frac{16}{3.17}m^*$. The average mass of a real ssDNA nucleotide is 327 amu [35], which leads to $m^* = 64.9$ amu. Hence, the time unit $t^* = \sigma^* \sqrt{m^*/\epsilon^*} =$

10.5 ps [36]. Similarly, it can be shown that the driving force from $f_d = 2.0$ to 64.0 corresponds to a membrane voltages from 15.8 to 505.5 mV. This voltage mapping does not take into account the screening of the DNA charge by the salt in the solution. Accounting for the screening would give higher mapped values for voltages.

We note that using a mapping like the one described above gives very short translocation times. For example, take an experiment of a 100 nucleotides long ssDNA driven by the potential difference of 120 mV through an α -hemolysin pore, where typical translocation times fall between 100 and 500 μ s [32]. Polymers of length $N = 50$ would correspond to ≈ 159 bases and the driving force $f_d = 8$ to ≈ 126 mV. The average translocation time obtained for these simulations is $\tau \approx 590$ corresponding to 6.2 ns. There are two main reasons for the far too small translocation times obtained from simulations. First, friction inside the α -hemolysin pore is much higher than in our model pore. Second, the overall friction of $\xi = 0.5$ that is standard in polymer dynamics simulations is small. The diffusion coefficient of $D_{\text{AMP}} \approx 0.75 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ was measured for a nucleotide adenosine monophosphate (AMP) in aqueous solution [37]. Using Rouse approximation for the diffusion coefficient of 3.17 connected nucleotides we obtain $D_{3.17\text{AMP}} = D_{\text{AMP}}/3.17$. Using the relation $\xi = \frac{k_B T}{mD}$ and converting to reduced units we get $\xi \approx 100$.

Typically, in Langevin dynamics friction is chosen to be of the order of $\xi \in [0.1, 10]$ without relating this to friction expected in the real system. The choice of friction should not be critical as long as dynamics remains in the dissipative (noninertial) regime where the real-world dynamics takes place. To confirm this, we simulated translocation of polymers of length $N = 200$ in a system where $\xi = 100$ and $\delta t = 0.2$. (In other words, both ξ and δt were multiplied by 200.) The waiting times normalized by friction $t_w(s)/\xi$ are equal for all $s \in [1, N]$ and for $f_d = 2$ and 8. For the very large $f_d = 64$ there is a minimal shift such that the normalized waiting times for $\xi = 0.5$ are (relatively) slower. This is to be expected since the role of pore friction is enhanced for large f_d . The effect of pore friction that shows for $\xi = 0.5$ is reduced due to the increased friction outside the pore when $\xi = 100$. In summary, generic features of dynamics do not change when using lower friction as long as the friction is large enough to keep the dynamics in the dissipative regime.

D. Temperature and the zero-fluctuation model

Most of the LD simulations are performed for $kT = 1$ in reduced units [36], which we take to correspond to $k_B T = k_B \times 300 \text{ K}$. The translocation system for $kT = 1$ is referred to as the full model (FM). Langevin dynamics allows verification of the role of fluctuations since we can “turn off” diffusion by setting $kT \approx 0$ ($kT = 10^{-8}$). Consequently, stochastic contribution to the dynamics is eliminated and only deterministic dynamics remains. This zero-fluctuation model (ZFM) does not describe any realistic translocation system, but provides a good reference system where dissipation not related to fluctuations is unaltered while fluctuations are eliminated by setting $kT \approx 0$ such that $D \approx 0$ and kT/D is unchanged. There are some subtleties in approaching the zero-fluctuation limit in general. For the Langevin equation, it is valid to take

the limit $kT \rightarrow 0$ by which a deterministic equation of motion emerges [38].

Changing the temperature in the Langevin thermostat has previously been used to adjust the Péclet number in polymer translocation simulations [39]. In fact, lower temperatures in simulations were found to correspond better to the drift-diffusion balance of experiments. Obviously, with ZFM we are below the experimentally optimal regime.

III. RESULTS

The reported results are typically obtained by averaging over 500 simulated translocations starting from different equilibrium configurations and using different sets of random numbers. To sufficiently reduce the noise level, averages are taken over 2000 translocations for the tension propagation distributions. The starting configurations are created by keeping the fourth bead ($i = 4$) of the polymer fixed in the middle of the pore leaving two beads on the *trans* side.

A. Measurement of polymer tension in simulations

Tension propagation is characterized via five quantities $o(s, i)$ measured for each polymer bead i and translocation coordinate s : tension force along the polymer $f_t(s, i)$, bead velocity toward the pore $v_p(s, i)$, bead velocity along the polymer contour $v_c(s, i)$, two-bond distance of adjacent beads $d_{2\text{bd}}(s, i)$, and bond angle $\gamma(s, i)$. These five quantities, illustrated in Fig. 3, are the primary quantities related to polymer tension. It is possible to derive other quantities by modifying them.

Measurement of tension force along the polymer f_t would seem, by definition and semantics, to be the most fundamental way of characterizing tension propagation. We define $f_t(s, i)$ as the force exerted on bead i by bead $i - 1$ when translocation coordinate is s [cf. Fig. 3(a)]. f_t is the sum of the FENE and the Lennard-Jones forces. Somewhat unintuitively, in equilibrium the average value of this quantity is not zero but $f_t = f_{t,eq} > 0$. In Appendix A we derive $f_{t,eq}$ for a system of two connected beads to show that $f_{t,eq}$ must, indeed, be positive. As tension propagates along the polymer chain during translocation $f_t > f_{t,eq}$. Hence, $f_t - f_{t,eq}$ can be used as a measure for tension propagation.

Monomer velocities, although prone to fluctuations, are the primary quantities for characterizing the effect the propagating tension has on translocation dynamics via changing friction. The relevant velocity components are those directed toward the pore and along the tangent of the polymer contour.

The velocity toward the pore $v_p(s, i)$ was used as a measure for tension propagation in our first study on driven polymer translocation [8]. $v_p(s, i)$ is the measured magnitude of the component of bead i 's velocity pointing toward the pore for the translocation coordinate s [cf. Fig. 3(b)]. In equilibrium, for a polymer with one end fixed at the pore, $v_p = 0$ for every bead. During translocation $v_p(s, i) > 0$ if the tension has propagated to bead i in the polymer segment on the *cis* side.

The velocity along the polymer contour $v_c(s, i)$ is the measured magnitude of bead i 's velocity component pointing toward bead $i - 1$ for s [cf. Fig. 3(c)]. Also, $v_c = 0$ in equilibrium. If tension has propagated from the pore to bead i , bead $i - 1$ drags bead i on the *cis* side and $v_c > 0$.

FIG. 3. Illustrations of the five quantities for measuring tension propagation. From left to right: f_i , v_p , v_c , d_{2bd} , and γ . The examples are given for beads $i = 24$ and 23 at the state depicted in Fig. 1, where $s = 14$.

It is reasonable to expect v_p and v_c to be quite similar, at least for large f_d , when the contour of the polymer tends to align with the line toward the pore for the tensed segment of the polymer. Measured v_p and v_c show differences for small f_d and can be used to gain understanding on translocation dynamics in the weak-force regime.

The two-bond distance $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ that gives the distance between a pair of beads separated by two bonds was used in our recent studies on driven translocation with and without hydrodynamics and in our study on chaperone-assisted translocation [11,12,22]. For an illustration of $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ [cf. Fig. 3(d)]. Formally, $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ is the distance between beads $i - 1$ and $i + 1$ for the translocation coordinate s . In equilibrium, for a polymer whose one end is attached to the pore d_{2bd} assumes a constant positive value $d_{2bd,eq}$ everywhere in the polymer chain. During translocation for i within the tensed segment $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ increases as the polymer straightens and elongates. Compared to the three quantities described above, $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ has the best signal-to-noise ratio, i.e., the ratio of the response to changes in the polymer conformation to fluctuations, which was the motivation for its use in our previous studies on tension propagation.

A natural candidate for a quantity having a strong response to a polymer's conformational changes is the bond angle γ . We define $\gamma(s, i)$ as the angle (in radians) between vectors from bead i to $i - 1$ and bead i to $i + 1$ [cf. Fig. 3(e)]. Similarly to d_{2bd} , γ has a positive average value in equilibrium that increases in tensed segments during translocation. The difference of these closely related observables is that the elastic stretching of the bonds does not show in γ .

In a simulation each quantity $o(i)$ is measured at every time step. At the end of the simulation $o(i, s)$ is calculated as the mean of the quantity among all the simulation frames with translocation coordinate s . The values obtained this way from individual runs are finally averaged over all simulated translocations to obtain quantities $\langle o(s, i) \rangle$ for all i and s . In what follows, we present magnitudes of the measured ensemble-averaged quantities $\langle o(s, i) \rangle$ as color charts (grayscale in print). Since all the following results will concern ensemble averages, we will omit the angular brackets to improve readability.

An illustration of a color chart is given in Fig. 4. On the y axis there is the index i of the bead to which the measured quantity relates. Index $i = 1$ corresponds to the polymer end translocating first and index $i = N = 200$ to the end translocating last. On the x axis there is the translocation coordinate s . Consequently, all points on the diagonal from the bottom left to the top right correspond to beads in the pore. Points above and below this diagonal correspond to beads on the *cis* and *trans* sides, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the data for all $o(s, i)$ and for pore force $f_d = 2, 8$, and 64 . Each data point is averaged over 2000 simulations. The equilibrium value $\bar{o}$ of the measured quantity was chosen as the lower threshold for coloring. It was determined by taking the average of $o(s, i)$ over a square of area $A_0 = \Delta s \times \Delta i = (N/4) \times (N/4)$ close to the top left corner (s small and i large) of the plots for the minimum driving force $f_d = 2$. These values are for beads that are in equilibrium on the *cis* side far away from the pore at the start of the simulation. The upper limit for coloring the magnitudes of the observables was chosen as the 97% quantile of the values for $f_d = 64$ of the corresponding quantity. These choices give the same background color for all $o(s, i)$ allowing for visual comparison.

The data for $o(s, i)$ in Fig. 5 can be used for estimating the number of beads $n_d(s)$ in the tensed segment on the *cis* side for different s . Tension, with which all $o(s, i)$ correlate positively, is seen to decrease when going farther away from the pore (the diagonal in the plots) on the *cis* side. The outermost contours over the area of increased tension above the diagonal correspond to the last bead that is regarded as being in drag.

FIG. 4. Illustration of a color chart on tension propagation. The x -axis value s is the translocation coordinate. The y -axis value i is the index of the bead. Index 1 corresponds to the end of the polymer that translocates first and index $i = N = 200$ corresponds to the end that translocates last. The color gives the ensemble average value of the measured quantity $o(s, i)$ for the given values of i and s . The points on the diagonal from the bottom left corner to the top right corner correspond to beads currently in the pore. Points above and below the diagonal correspond to beads on the *cis* and *trans* sides, respectively.

FIG. 5. Tension propagation charts for the five ensemble averaged quantities. From left to right: tension force f_t , velocity towards pore v_p , velocity along polymer contour v_c , two-bond distance d_{2bd} , and bond angle γ . From top to bottom: $f_d = 2, 8$, and 64 .

Obviously, the smaller the bias f_d applied in the pore, the harder it is to detect the spreading tension.

There is a straightforward normalization that can be done to the quantities o to make them better comparable. For any nondeformable object, such as a single particle, v_p , v_c , and f_t will have a linear dependence on the bias f_d that is present also when measured for translocating polymers. In order to evaluate the measurement methods on a common setting and to study the f_d -dependent changes, the equilibrium value $\bar{o}$ must be subtracted from o and the resulting quantity normalized by f_d . The derived quantities are hence defined as $\hat{o} = \frac{o - \bar{o}}{f_d}$. For the velocity quantities $\bar{v}_p = \bar{v}_c = 0$, and for d_{2bd} and γ normalization by f_d is not needed. This gives $\hat{f}_t = \frac{f_t - \bar{f}_t}{f_d}$, $\hat{v}_p = \frac{v_p}{f_d}$, and $\hat{v}_c = \frac{v_c}{f_d}$. In addition, $\hat{d}_{2bd} = d_{2bd} - \bar{d}_{2bd}$ and $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma - \bar{\gamma}$.

The derived quantities $\hat{o}(s, i)$ are shown in Fig. 6. The maxima for the colorbars are chosen as $\hat{o}_{\max} = (o_{\max} - \bar{o})/64$, where $o_{\max}$ are the maxima used for Fig. 5. With this choice the color plots for $f_d = 64$ in Figs. 5 and 6 are identical. As seen in Fig. 6, removing the inherent linear f_d dependence from f_t , v_p , and v_c makes the plots for different f_d more comparable. Inevitably, when scaling the quantities we also scale the pertinent fluctuations, which shows most prominently for small f_d .

Calculating the derived quantities $\hat{o}(s, i)$ is essential for evaluating the differences in spatial distribution of tension when f_d is varied. In [13], where similar f_t matrices are

presented for translocation, this scaling is not done. This leads to an incorrect estimate of the length of the tensed segment.

B. Force dependence of tension propagation

1. Bias dependence of the quantities $\hat{o}$

As noted, d_{2bd} and γ do not have an inherent linear dependence on f_d . In this respect for a given set of consequent polymer conformations $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ and $\gamma(s, i)$ should be identical for different f_d even without the normalization. In Fig. 5 (and consequently also in Fig. 6) it is seen that $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ and $\gamma(s, i)$ clearly change with f_d . Accordingly, even when all f_d are within the strong-force regime, clearly different tension results for translocations starting from the same initial polymer conformation but driven by different f_d .

This change in tension of the polymer can be attributed to two factors: local fluctuations in the tensed polymer segment and macroscopic changes in the polymer conformation. When the driving force is low, thermal kicks cause beads and bond angles to fluctuate more strongly. Instead of the polymer being completely straight in the tensed segment, it assumes a more wiggly conformation. This shows clearly in the $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ charts of Fig. 6 as considerably smaller tension for lower f_d .

The overall friction force exerted on the translocating polymer is not significantly diminished by the tensed segment not being completely straight. This can be seen as the charts of $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, and $\hat{v}_c$ change relatively little compared to $\hat{\gamma}$ and

FIG. 6. Derived quantities for the tension propagation data. From left to right: $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, $\hat{v}_c$, $\hat{d}_{2bd}$, and $\hat{\gamma}$. From top to bottom: $f_d = 2, 8$, and 64 .

$\hat{d}_{2bd}$ when f_d is increased from 2 to 64. The local changes that manifest themselves clearly in $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ charts do not affect the macroscopic length of the tensed segment. On the other hand, there are macroscopic changes in the polymer conformation that do help the translocation, as discussed later when we study the biased diffusion of the *cis* side polymer segment toward the pore.

Using simplifying assumptions on the polymer trajectory, we can derive the effective length of the tensed segment $n_d(s)$ from the color charts of Fig. 6. Here, we will use $\hat{f}_t$ charts for determining n_d . The related calculations are presented in Appendix B. When these calculations are applied to the data of Fig. 6 we get $n_d(s)$, plotted in Fig. 7(a).

We performed similar calculations to obtain n_d also from quantities $\hat{v}_p$, $\hat{v}_c$, $\hat{d}_{2bd}$, and γ . Since n_d cannot be obtained from them as reliably as from $\hat{f}_t$, we do not present these calculations. For $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ the reason is evident in the above discussion. The charts for $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ show less tension for small f_d due to local fluctuations of the tensed polymer segment. This leads to underestimating the length of the tensed segment n_d . Regarding $\hat{v}_p$ and $\hat{v}_c$, the velocity charts contain a lot of inherent noise, as seen in Fig. 6, which makes the n_d charts hard to analyze for small f_d . Moreover, the v_p data contain large components coming from the diffusive motion of the polymer as a whole. This leads to inaccurate n_d curves. For large f_d the n_d curves calculated from v_c data show very good resemblance to those derived from $\hat{f}_t$. However, due to the noise it is hard to say if by larger statistics correct f_d

dependence could be produced for smaller f_d . The $\hat{f}_t$ charts do not contain any of the problems above, and are as such the best choice for deriving the length of the tensed segment n_d .

In Fig. 7(a), the n_d curves derived from $\hat{f}_t$ charts of Fig. 6 are seen to have a clear dependence on f_d . For smaller f_d a smaller number of beads are in drag at later stages of the process. This can also be seen in Fig. 7(b) showing tension curves calculated from waiting times t_w using the relation $n_d = t_w f_d / (\xi m \sigma)$ derived from Eq. (3) by assuming that n_d beads are in drag and ignoring diffusion. Here, we define waiting time $t_w(s)$ as

FIG. 7. The length of the tensed segment n_d as a function of translocation coordinate s . (a) n_d curves derived from $\hat{f}_t$ charts of Fig. 6. (b) n_d curves calculated from waiting times t_w as $n_d = t_w f_d / (\xi m \sigma)$. The curves from top to bottom correspond to forces from $f_d = 64$ to 2.

the time it takes for bead $i = s$ to enter the *trans* side after bead $i = s - 1$ has entered the *trans* side. The n_d curves calculated from t_w inherently contain all the effects coming from pore friction increasing them. Accordingly, the length of the tensed polymer segment on the *cis* side will be overestimated. Still, the same characteristics are seen as a function of f_d .

n_d shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) should be regarded as the effective number of beads in the tensed segment. Calculations leading to Fig. 7(a) assume the tensed segment to be straight and all beads to contribute equally to the friction. For decreasing f_d , this assumption increasingly deviates from the real situation. In addition, in Fig. 7(b), n_d is calculated from waiting times and as such shows the effect of friction and diffusion on the polymer beads in the pore. Accordingly, neither of the presentations in Fig. 7 gives n_d with absolute precision. Evidently, strong and consistent dependence of n_d on f_d is obtained from both.

Clear convergence is seen to happen for n_d curves at high f_d . This is also seen in Fig. 6 where the distributions of $\hat{o}(s, i)$ are seen to change as a function of f_d . As f_d increases, the constant-value contours converge toward identical shapes, in other words, $\Delta\hat{o}/\Delta f_d$ gets smaller for larger f_d . Compare, for example, the change in $\hat{f}_t$ when changing f_d from 2 to 8 and when changing it from 8 to 64. In addition, for high f_d the plots for $\hat{v}_p$ and $\hat{v}_c$ become increasingly similar, which indicates that the polymer contour becomes more aligned toward the pore as f_d is increased.

To see the f_d dependence more clearly we take a vertical slice from the scaled distributions of Fig. 6 at $s = 100$ and plot the values of $\hat{o}$ as a function of $n = i - s$, i.e., the n th bead from the pore along the polymer contour on the *cis* side. Figure 8 shows the curves for $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, $\hat{v}_c$, $\hat{d}_{2bd}$, and $\hat{\gamma}$ and for $f_d = 2, 4, 8, 16, 32$, and 64 . The change of $\hat{o}$ with f_d along with the convergence at high f_d can now be seen more clearly. In Figs. 8(a)–8(c), $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, and $\hat{v}_c$ curves are clearly converged for $f_d = 32$ and 64 , whereas in Figs. 8(d) and 8(e) complete convergence ($\Delta\hat{o}/\Delta f_d \rightarrow 0$) for $\hat{\gamma}(s, i)$ and $\hat{d}_{2bd}(s, i)$ is seen to take place for $f_d > 64$. Evidently, for each $\hat{o}$ there exists a pore force $f_d^{\hat{o}}$ such that for $f_d > f_d^{\hat{o}}$ the measured tension propagation does not depend on f_d .

In our simulations without fluctuations, ZFM, the different $\hat{o}(s, i)$ do not change with f_d . The color charts of $\hat{o}(s, i)$ obtained for ZFM for all f_d (not shown) are similar to the corresponding charts for $f_d = 64$ for FM in Fig. 6. To elucidate this further, Fig. 9 shows the curves that result from extracting slices of the *cis*-side $\hat{o}(s, i)$ data for $s = 100$ for ZFM. Except for $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ [see Fig. 9(d)], where bond stretching shows for strong f_d , the measured $\hat{o}$ do not change as a function of f_d . This is in accord with the found convergence $\Delta\hat{o}/\Delta f_d \rightarrow 0$ for $f_d > f_d^{\hat{o}}$ as ZFM corresponds to the case of very high pore force: $f_d/(kT \approx 0) \gg f_d^{\hat{o}}/(kT = 1)$.

2. Diffusive center-of-mass motion

In Fig. 8(b), the tail ($i - 100 > 50$) of the $\hat{v}_p(s = 100, i - 100)$ curve for $f_d = 2$ is seen to be clearly above the tails for stronger f_d . This results from the movement of the monomers within the untensed polymer segment farther from the wall toward the pore for small f_d . To verify this we measure the movement of the center of mass of the polymer on the *cis*

FIG. 8. $\hat{o}$ measured for polymers of length $N = 200$ when bead number 100 is in the pore, i.e., $s = 100$. $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, $\hat{v}_c$, $\hat{d}_{2bd}$, and $\hat{\gamma}$ are plotted as a function of distance from the pore in number beads $i - s$ along the polymer chain. This way ($i - s$) = 0 is the bead in the pore and ($i - s$) = 100 is the last bead of the polymer on the *cis* side.

side. Figure 10(a) shows the z component of the position of the center of mass on the *cis* side $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ as a function of s . Pore midpoint is at $r_z = 0$ and hence $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ describes the distance of the center of mass from the pore. Due to beads close to the pore being translocated and so removed from the *cis* side $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ first shifts farther from the pore for all f_d . This triviality aside, it is seen that consistently throughout the translocation the center of mass is closer to the pore for smaller f_d .

This movement, whose effect is more pronounced for small f_d , can only be caused by diffusion. The translocation process drives the polymer out of equilibrium on both sides of the pore [8]. On the *cis* side, the polymer conformation becomes elongated. In reestablishing the equilibrium monomers on the *cis* side diffuse toward the pore. For small f_d , the polymer translocates more slowly and so the polymer conformation has more time for relaxing toward equilibrium (and the pore) before tension reaches them and they are transferred via the pore to the *trans* side.

Despite this motion that relaxes tension, in Fig. 8(a) $\hat{f}_t$ is seen to be greater in the range $20 \lesssim i - s \lesssim 70$ for small f_d . This comes from fluctuations of the polymer conformation. For small f_d , the impact of fluctuations of the polymer beads in the directions perpendicular to the direction toward the pore is greater due to longer time available for these fluctuations to affect the conformation. These fluctuations in the directions perpendicular to the direction toward the pore do not contribute

FIG. 9. $\hat{o}$ for ZFM measured for polymers of length $N = 200$ when bead number 100 is in the pore, i.e., $s = 100$. $\hat{f}_t$, $\hat{v}_p$, $\hat{v}_c$, $\hat{d}_{2bd}$, and $\hat{\gamma}$ are plotted as explained in the caption of Fig. 8.

to friction related to translocation and so the increased $\hat{f}_t$ does not show in t_w or n_d derived from it. In accordance, $\hat{f}_t$ measured in ZFM do not show this characteristic [see Fig. 9(a)]. Here, just as in FM, polymer segments spend more time on the *cis* side for small f_d . However, since there are no fluctuations in ZFM, $\hat{f}_t$ does not increase with available time.

Inspecting Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), we see that in contrast to $\hat{v}_p$, the large movement of beads far from the pore is not seen in $\hat{v}_c$. In other words, the bias of the diffusive motion toward the pore is sufficiently strong to differentiate velocities measured toward the pore and along the polymer contour.

Near the pore, on the other hand, the polymer contour is more aligned toward the pore and, consequently, $\hat{v}_c$ approaches $\hat{v}_p$. In addition, near the pore $\hat{v}_c$ and $\hat{v}_p$ are larger for low f_d , which is explained by the center-of-mass (c.m.) diffusion. The beads that are not in the vicinity of the pore have diffused closer to the pore, thus reducing tension propagation, which in turn allows for larger monomer velocities. Further support to this is provided by $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ curves. In Figs. 8(d) and 8(e), the lower values of $\hat{d}_{2bd}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ for low f_d indicate that the polymer is more coiled, in accord with the outlined contribution from c.m. diffusion.

The fluctuations have been found to assist translocation [11,40]. The c.m. diffusion of the essentially unperturbed polymer segment toward the pore on the *cis* side is a concrete mechanism behind this characteristics. Indirect evidence and inference on this effect has also been presented in Ref. [39].

The validity of our arguments on c.m. motion is corroborated by measurements of c.m. position during translocation in

FIG. 10. The z component of the *cis* side center-of-mass position, i.e., the distance from the pore, as a function of translocation coordinate s . The pore midpoint is at $r_z = 0$. (a) The curves from top to bottom are for FM and correspond to driving force from $f_d = 2$ to 64. (b) Differences between SRD simulations with hydrodynamics (HD) and without hydrodynamics (noHD). For comparison, a curve for Langevin dynamics (LD) using the same friction coefficient as SRD is also given. The three uppermost curves are for $f_d = 8$ and the three curves at the bottom are for $f_d = 64$. See text for details.

ZFM. As was seen in Fig. 9, tension propagation is independent of f_d in this model. In accordance, $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ for different f_d collapse onto one curve that is identical to $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ of FM (i.e., $kT = 1$) for $f_d = 64$ (not shown). This confirms that the differences in $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ for different f_d for $kT = 1$ seen in Fig. 10(a) are due to c.m. diffusion and shows that for $f_d/kT \geq 64$ the effects of diffusion do not show in the translocation dynamics at all.

3. Hydrodynamics

Hydrodynamic interactions speed up driven polymer translocation [8,22,41]. Hence, there is less time for the polymer to diffuse toward the pore, so c.m. diffusion can differentiate the translocation dynamics observed in the presence and absence of hydrodynamics. Previously, we found that tension propagates a shorter distance along the polymer contour

when hydrodynamics was included. This was explained by the backflow of the moving monomers setting monomers away from the pore in motion [22]. Figure 10(b) shows $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ obtained from the translocation simulated using stochastic rotation dynamics (SRD) [42] where hydrodynamics can be switched on and off. We have previously used SRD in polymer translocation problems in [22,28,29] to which the reader can refer to for more information on the simulation methods. For comparing $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ from SRD and LD, we set the LD friction $\xi = 1.58$ which gives the closest match between the two methods [29].

In Fig. 10(b) the center of mass is seen to move identically for LD and for SRD where hydrodynamics is switched off for both $f_d = 8$ and 64. The f_d dependence seen for LD is preserved when hydrodynamics is included. As expected, hydrodynamics is seen to enhance the center-of-mass motion toward the pore. The two contributing factors are c.m. diffusion and the aforementioned backflow set up by the moving monomers. The enhancement to diffusion by hydrodynamics is well known. The diffusion constant D_c depends on the number of monomers n in the diffusing segment as $D_c \sim n^{-1}$ in the case of no hydrodynamic interactions, whereas $D_c \sim n^{-\nu}$ when hydrodynamics is included [43].

From the results for LD we know that diffusion is involved in the observed c.m. motion. The enhancement of motion seen in Fig. 10(b) is more pronounced for $f_d = 8$. Although smaller, it is still clear for $f_d = 64$. Since $r_{c.m.,z}(s)$ curves are identical for FM and ZFM (not shown) when $f_d = 64$, in this driving force regime the effect of diffusion is expected to be quite small. In summary, in the presence of hydrodynamics, the combination of enhanced collective diffusion and backflow is responsible for the increased c.m. motion of the essentially unperturbed polymer segment toward the pore. Hydrodynamics and diffusion seem to affect the scaling of the driven translocation in much the same way.

4. Effect of extreme trans-side crowding in ZFM

Before looking more closely at the effect of diffusion on scaling exponents, we first rule out the possibility of effects coming from crowding by simulations of ZFM. We have previously argued that in FM the strong monomer crowding close to the pore opening on the *trans* side does not contribute appreciably to the translocation dynamics and so is not responsible for the observed dependence of the scaling exponents on f_d [11]. However, with ZFM the *trans*-side crowding is even more extreme due to beads not diffusing away from the pore at all.

To find out the actual effect of this extreme crowding on translocation and waiting times, we perform here the same test for ZFM as was done for FM [11]. We eliminate crowding effects by removing the beads that arrive on the *trans* side in ZFM. The overall process is affected relatively little by crowding. Figure 11 shows waiting times for the unmodified ZFM and ZFM from which beads arriving on the *trans* side are eliminated [ZFM (no *trans*)]. In simulations with $N = 400$ for $f_d = 2$ the translocation time τ is 8.3% and for $f_d = 64$ 7.2% smaller for ZFM (no *trans*) than for ZFM. The respective ratios for FM are 2.4% and 4.7%. Removing monomers arriving on the *trans* side was seen not to have an appreciable effect

FIG. 11. Waiting times t_w for ZFM (a) for $f_d = 2$ and (b) for $f_d = 64$. Solid lines are for the unmodified ZFM and the dashed lines for ZFM (no *trans*). $N = 50, 100, 200$, and 400.

on scaling for FM [11]. This applies also for ZFM (see Tables I and II). It can be concluded that since the effect of monomer crowding on the *trans* side is small even for the extreme case of ZFM, where relaxation times are extremely long, it is negligible for any translocation system at realistic temperatures.

In Ref. [39] it was argued that for high Péclet number, which corresponds to low temperature, the *trans*-side friction can significantly slow down the translocation times τ . In our simulations of ZFM providing the strongest possible crowding, we observe a much more subtle increase in τ than what is shown in [39]. The *cis*-side tension propagation that gives the waiting time curves their characteristic shape still dominates the process. The measurements in [39], though clever, are indirect regarding the *trans*-side crowding. On the other hand, removal of the *trans*-side beads presented above is a direct way of measuring this effect. It was further stated that the significant crowding effect seen in [39] had to do with the short length of the polymers, $N = 50$. However, when $N = 50$, τ for ZFM (no *trans*) are only 10.6% and 7.3% smaller than τ for ZFM for $f_d = 2$ and 64, respectively. Also from the waiting time curves the effect of this extreme crowding is seen to be small.

5. Effect of diffusion on scaling

Having definitely ruled out crowding as a potential factor in the force dependence of translocation dynamics, we return

TABLE I. Scaling exponents β for relation $\tau \sim N^\beta$. Calculated from $N = 50, 100, 200$, and 400. The columns are for the full model (FM), the zero-fluctuation model (ZFM), and ZFM where beads arriving on the *trans* side are removed [ZFM (no *trans*)]. The standard errors for the listed exponents are below 5.4×10^{-3} , 4.4×10^{-3} , and 5.0×10^{-3} for FM, ZFM, and ZFM (no *trans*), respectively. These are the errors for the $f_d = 2$ case. The errors for $f_d > 2$ are slightly smaller.

f_d	FM	ZFM	ZFM (no <i>trans</i>)
2	1.43	1.54	1.55
4	1.47	1.54	1.55
8	1.49	1.53	1.54
16	1.50	1.53	1.53
32	1.50	1.51	1.52
64	1.49	1.50	1.50

TABLE II. Scaling exponents α for relation $\tau \sim f_d^\alpha$ with $N = 200$. Calculated from $f_d = 2, 4, 8, 16, 32$, and 64 . The columns as in Table I. The standard errors for the listed exponents are 1.3×10^{-3} , 1.3×10^{-3} , and 2.9×10^{-3} for FM, ZFM, and ZFM (no *trans*), respectively.

FM	ZFM	ZFM (no <i>trans</i>)
-0.93	-0.99	-0.99

to the effect of fluctuations. The scaling relation pertinent to driven polymer translocation is $\tau \sim N^\beta f_d^\alpha$, to which the results reported here also conform. Typically, $\tau \sim N^\beta$ is investigated for different f_d and the relation $\tau \sim f_d^\alpha$ is investigated for different N . The values obtained for scaling exponents β and α are given in Tables I and II, where the first column shows the exponents for FM and $kT = 1$ and the second for ZFM. The third column shows the exponents obtained for ZFM where the beads are removed once they arrive on the *trans* side [ZFM (no *trans*)]. For FM, β increases with f_d . In contrast, for ZFM, β decreases with f_d in agreement with the increase in β being due to fluctuations, as we have previously argued [11]. Consistently, $\beta < 1 + \nu = 1 + \frac{3}{5}$, the scaling exponent for asymptotically long polymers [6,7]. Concurrently, a value close to -1 is obtained for α in ZFM while in FM $\alpha = -0.92$.

Figure 12 showing the ratio of the total translocation times for FM and ZFM τ/τ_{ZFM} for different f_d is in agreement with above arguments. The speedup due to fluctuations is seen to increase with N but decrease with increasing f_d . This results in β increasing with f_d .

The diffusive motion toward the pore seen in the measurements of Sec. III B 2 is a concrete mechanism behind the bias dependence of β . It relaxes polymer tension and consequently reduces the friction caused by the tensed segment in drag and thus speeds up translocation. For long polymers, diffusion is slower but on the other hand has more time to contribute to the dynamics than for short polymers. For asymptotically long polymers, scaling $\tau \sim N^{1+\nu}$ was derived also for small f_d by

FIG. 12. Ratios of translocation times for the full (FM) and zero-fluctuation models (ZFM) τ/τ_{ZFM} for $N = 50, 100, 200$, and 400 .

FIG. 13. Schematic waiting time curves for ZFM (black, upper) and FM [red (gray), lower]. Area A corresponds to contribution to total translocation time τ from tension propagation and post propagation in FM. Area B is the same as A but for ZFM. Area C corresponds to contribution to τ from pore friction.

Sakaue [7]. This suggests that the observed increase in β as a function of f_d is a finite-size effect.

The increase of β with f_d being caused by fluctuations for finite N can be verified as follows. Figure 7(b) shows n_d calculated from waiting times assuming $t_w(s) \propto n_d(s) \propto \Gamma(s)$, where $\Gamma(s)$ is the total friction exerted on the polymer. The speedup to translocation is seen as the final slope (retraction, or post-propagation stage) starting for smaller s for smaller f_d where the effect of diffusion is stronger than for large f_d . The large f_d FM simulations correspond closely to ZFM. For the present purpose, friction due to the pore can be to a good precision taken as constant for $s \in [1, N]$. The translocation time can then be written as $\tau = \int_1^N t_w(s) ds = \int_1^N [t_w^f(s) + t_w^0(s)] ds$, where $t_w^f(s)$ is the waiting time that would result from tension propagation and post-propagation process when pore friction is zero and $t_w^0(s)$ is the waiting time caused by pore friction.

Figure 13 shows schematic t_w curves for FM and ZFM. ZFM can also be regarded as FM in the limit of or extremely large f_d . According to the above discussion, we divide the area under $t_w(s)$ into smaller areas corresponding to contributions from pore friction and other effects on τ . Areas A and B give the contribution from tension propagation and post-propagation process in FM and ZFM, respectively. Area C gives the contribution from the pore friction. $\tau_{\text{FM}} = A + C$ and $\tau_{\text{ZFM}} = B + C$. Area D = $\tau_{\text{ZFM}} - \tau_{\text{FM}} = B - A$ then corresponds to the speedup due to diffusion. $A = aN^{1+\nu'}$, $B = bN^{1+\nu}$, and $C = cN$, where a, b , and c are constants. The scaling exponent $\beta' = 1 + \nu'$ for FM with zero pore friction is greater than the exponents reported in Table I. For FM and ZFM from which pore friction is eliminated $\nu' \rightarrow \nu$. Consequently, the relative contribution of diffusive speedup to translocation time is $\frac{D}{B+C} = \frac{B-A}{B+C} = \frac{bN^{1+\nu} - aN^{1+\nu'}}{bN^{1+\nu} + cN}$, from which it is seen that diffusion always speeds up a long polymer more than a short one and that this length dependence disappears for asymptotically long polymers.

In Tables I and II, both scaling exponents are seen to be closer to the asymptotic ($N \rightarrow \infty$) values $\beta = 1 + \nu \approx 1.6$

and $\alpha = -1$ when fluctuations are removed (ZFM). The decrease of $\beta \approx 1.54$ for $f_d = 2$ to $\beta \approx 1.50$ for $f_d = 64$ is explained by the increase of the effective pore friction when f_d is increased. In the absence of fluctuations and “thermal kicks,” the segments of the translocating polymer inside the pore stay in contact with the pore wall and experience constant small bounces from it, which increases the effective friction (see the videos for FM and ZFM with $N = 200$ and $f_d = 64$ in the Supplemental Material [44]). The higher the velocities, the stronger is the friction caused by this effect. This results in increased friction as a function of f_d . Increasing the pore friction with respect to the *cis* and *trans* sides, in turn, trivially diminishes β so that for very large pore friction $\beta = 1$ [8]. The effect may also be present in FM but it is hidden due to diffusion on the *cis* side increasing β so strongly as a function of f_d at the same time.

The effects of finite pore friction are also seen by fitting the power law to only two different polymer lengths N at a time. This way, consistently larger β (closer to $1 + \nu$) are obtained for larger N for both FM and ZFM and for all f_d (not shown). The effect of pore friction is greater for shorter polymers for which β , accordingly, is smaller.

C. What can simulations tell us about tension propagation?

We previously introduced the quasistatic model (QSM) as a simplistic model for driven translocation to show that inclusion of a mere geometric description of the translocating polymer and the contribution of moving beads within the tensed segment can be fitted with the results obtained from simulations of a realistic model with remarkable precision [11]. In QSM, the trajectory of each monomer is a straight line from its initial position to the pore. All beads set in motion are assumed instantly to move with the same velocity and hence to contribute similarly to the friction.

Since fluctuations are excluded from both ZFM and QSM, $\hat{o}(s, i)$ are expected to be quite similar for the two models. We used QSM to construct translocation paths as a function of s from the same initial conformations as used for the simulations in this article. From these paths, $d_{2bd}(s, i)$ and $\gamma(s, i)$ and $n_d(s)$ were extracted. From $n_d(s)$ the velocity matrices for QSM are obtained using $v_c = v_t = \frac{f_d}{\xi_{mn_d}} \cdot f_t(s, i)$ was obtained by assuming that $f_t \approx f_d$ is exerted on the beads in the pore. $\hat{o}(s, i)$ obtained this way for QSM (not shown) are very similar to those for ZFM for all f_d , and for FM for $f_d = 64$ (see Figs. 5 and 6).

As the tension-related quantities f_t , v_p , v_c , d_{2bd} , and γ are measured locally, it is imperative to know how the details of the polymer model, such as the bond potential, affect the measurements. The only assumption made in QSM concerning polymer bonds is that they are of constant length. Since QSM produces tension charts for which the general aspects are similar with ZFM and with FM in the high f_d regime, we can be fairly confident on the measurements being robust to any reasonable choice of bond potential.

In the limit of large f_d/kT FM approaches ZFM, which is closely reminiscent of QSM. In Fig. 14 are shown the waiting time profiles $t_w(s)$ for QSM derived from $n_d(s)$. By the addition of constant 40 they are fitted to $t_w(s)$ obtained from FM for $f_d = 64$. The alignment is almost perfect. Shifting $t_w(s)$ for

FIG. 14. $f_d \cdot t_w$ vs s shown to compare QSM to simulations of FM. The constant 40 was added to the data for QSM to align the curves for QSM and FM for $f_d = 64$. $N = 200$.

QSM it can be made to align fairly precisely with $t_w(s)$ for $f_d \geq 8$. If we introduce pore friction as an adjustable parameter, $t_w(s)$ for QSM can be made to align reasonably well with those of FM for lower f_d . Simulations are typically made for $f_d/kT \gtrsim 5$ and results from models with adjustable parameters are fitted to them. From the present investigation on possible methods to determine polymer tension in simulations we know that the length of the tensed segment $n_d(s)$ is not straightforward to derive. However, by ignoring f_d dependent changes, its overall form can be approximated with smaller effort. This way, a seemingly convincing alignment of $t_w(s)$ and $n_d(s)$ for different f_d can be presented. Consequently, a model cannot be claimed to describe the real process in detail based on such measurements, particularly if the data are presented only for single f_d .

IV. CONCLUSION

We have made an in-depth study of methods for measuring tension propagation along polymer chains within a computational model for driven polymer translocation. Langevin dynamics was used here, but the evaluated methods are applicable to any computational method that can be used for studying polymer dynamics. The main motivation for exploring the measurement methods was to determine the origin of the bias dependence in driven polymer translocation dynamics, which is one of the remaining open questions within the otherwise fairly complete theory.

Polymers were driven from one semi-infinite space to another through a nanometer-scale pore by applying bias force f_d on polymer beads while they are in the pore. We characterized changes in tension propagation as a function of f_d by measuring five quantities $o(s, i)$ for polymers of length N for each polymer bead $i \in [1, N]$ and each translocation coordinate $s \in [1, N]$ indicating that bead s is in the middle of the pore. These quantities are tension force along the polymer $f_t(s, i)$, bead velocity toward the pore $v_p(s, i)$, bead velocity along the polymer contour $v_c(s, i)$, two-bond distance of adjacent beads $d_{2bd}(s, i)$, and bond angle $\gamma(s, i)$. In order

to determine how the propagation of tension depends on f_d , we derived normalized quantities $\hat{o}$, which we showed to be independent of f_d , a necessary condition for any quantity to be used for this purpose.

We extracted distributions of tension over polymer segments on the *cis* side. We found that the forms of these distributions were reasonably similar for all $\hat{o}$. However, of the five measured quantities only the tension force f_t could be used to characterize the f_d dependency of tension propagation. By simple calculations applied to f_t we showed that the length of the tensed polymer segment is significantly shorter at later stages of translocation when smaller f_d is used. Furthermore, we identified a concrete mechanism, center-of-mass motion, behind the alleviated tension causing the previously observed enhancement of driven translocation due to fluctuations [40].

We showed this enhancement to be directly related to the bias dependence of the scaling of the translocation time τ with polymer length N , $\tau \sim N^\beta$. The exponent β for the scaling of translocation time τ increases with f_d . Comparing measurements performed on the simulated full translocation model (FM) and on the zero-fluctuation model (ZFM) from which fluctuations were excluded, we confirmed that this characteristic is, indeed, related to diffusion. Based on these measurements, we illustrated how the combined effect of finite polymer length and diffusion gives the bias dependence of the scaling exponent β . The presented arguments show that this dependence disappears for asymptotically long polymers in agreement with Sakaue's tension propagation theory, which predicts no bias dependence for β .

The measured diffusion of the polymer segment on the *cis* side decreases the distance to which tension propagates and so reduces friction and τ . This effect is weaker for short polymers due to the more pronounced contribution of the pore friction. In addition, the effect of diffusion is weaker for stronger bias. We showed how these two factors combined give the found increase of β with increasing bias and that this characteristic vanishes for asymptotically long polymers.

We note that this bias dependence of β is highly relevant since the currently available experiments on dsDNA translocation are for polymers that are far too short to be treated as asymptotically long. For example, in the recent experiment by Carson *et al.* [18] the used dsDNA segments ranged from 35 to 20 000 bp corresponding to $N_{\text{Kuhn}} \approx 0.12$ to $N_{\text{Kuhn}} \approx 67$. Also, the found scaling, $\tau \sim N^{1.37}$, is far from the scaling $\tau \sim N^{1.6}$ expected for asymptotically long polymers.

We found that the center-of-mass of the polymer segment moves diffusively toward the pore on the *cis* side beyond the tension propagating front even for a relatively large bias. We made comparison with the driven polymer translocation where hydrodynamic modes are supported by using our stochastic rotation dynamics model and showed that in this case this center-of-mass motion comprises both diffusion and hydrodynamic backflow. These effects are highly relevant in the currently available experimental data.

Using our purely geometric quasistatic model (QSM), we showed that it is relatively easy to fit waiting times from QSM to those obtained for the full dynamic model for any single $f_d \gtrsim 5$. As the focus in translocation research is shifting toward the weak-bias regime, it is imperative to validate the models and methods used for proving or disproving

theoretical derivations and assumptions. QSM shows that in the strong-bias regime it is relatively easy to claim a model that supposedly precisely reproduces the results from dynamical simulations and theoretical findings. This notion together with the found bias dependence means that experiments and simulations claimed to model them should be made using at least two magnitudes of bias.

It is evident from this study that although the driven dynamics is theoretically fairly well understood [7], there still remains the challenge of coming up with a sufficiently precise and realistic model that can be used to advantage in DNA sequencing. To this end, understanding of the stochastic characteristics of the translocation process, which is multiplicative in nature and exhibits log-normal event distribution [45], may prove useful.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The computational resources of CSC-IT Center for Science, Finland, and Aalto Science-IT project are acknowledged. The work of Pauli Suhonen is financially supported by The Emil Aaltonen Foundation.

APPENDIX A: EQUILIBRIUM FORCE FOR BONDED BEADS IN BROWNIAN HEAT BATH

In equilibrium, the force exerted by bead $i - 1$ on bead i is not zero. In our simulations, we measured this value to be 2.77. This at first unintuitive result comes from the fact that the polymer beads sample their configuration space in three dimensions.

To get some insight on this value, we do a small calculation for two connected beads in three-dimensional Brownian heat bath. For the calculation we use the same combination of LJ and FENE potentials as used in our simulations, i.e., $U_{\text{tot}} = U_{\text{LJ}} + U_{\text{F}}$. The force between the beads is $f(r) = -dU_{\text{tot}}(r)/dr$. In the constant-temperature ensemble the expectation value for the interaction force is given by the canonical probability distribution of states as

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{\int f(r) e^{-\frac{U_{\text{tot}}(r)}{kT}} dV}{\int e^{-\frac{U_{\text{tot}}(r)}{kT}} dV} = \frac{\int_0^R f(r) e^{-\frac{U_{\text{tot}}(r)}{kT}} r^2 dr}{\int_0^R e^{-\frac{U_{\text{tot}}(r)}{kT}} r^2 dr}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $R = 1.5$ is the maximum length of the bond. (The rightmost form results from the angular parts giving 4π for both integrals in spherical coordinates.) For the same parameter values as in our simulations, $\langle f \rangle \approx 2.064$ is obtained using *Mathematica* [46], which is exactly the value measured in our Brownian dynamics simulation of two connected beads. It also compares well with the value of entropic force $f_{\text{entr}} = \frac{2kT}{r} \approx 2.062$. In contrast to Eq. (A1), in this latter estimate for purely entropic force there is no perturbative contribution from the interaction potential [47], which explains the small difference. For longer polymers, the equilibrium value is larger. From a simulation of a three bead polymer we measure $\langle f \rangle \approx 2.45$, and for a chain of $N = 50$ we measure $\langle f \rangle \approx 2.76$. This is in accord with the average value 2.77 taken from the top left corner of the f_t color chart of Fig. 5 (cf. Sec III A).

APPENDIX B: CALCULATING $n_d(s)$ CURVES FROM $\hat{f}_t$ CHART

In the following calculation, we assume that the pore is short and only one bead resides in it at a time. This bead experiences a force f_d toward the *trans* side. The situation can be easily adjusted to correspond to the simulation setup where the pore is slightly longer and f_d is divided among all the beads in the pore.

The first bead to translocate is indexed as $i = 1$ and the last to translocate with $i = N$. We refer to the bead in the pore with index $i = s$, which also makes s the current translocation coordinate. In this section, we use angular brackets to emphasize which quantities are ensemble averages. This is in contrast to the main text where all the measured data are given as ensemble averages and angular brackets are omitted.

Let us define $L(s, j)$ as the probability that bead j is the last bead in the tensed segment at translocation coordinate s . In this situation, including bead s in the pore, there are $n_d = (j - s + 1)$ beads in the tensed segment. Now, the ensemble average for the number of beads in the tensed segment $\langle n_d \rangle$ can be written as

$$\langle n_d \rangle = \sum_{j=s}^N L(s, j)(j - s + 1). \quad (\text{B1})$$

We assume the tensed segment of the polymer to be completely straight and moving with uniform speed $v = \frac{f_d}{m\xi n_d}$ toward the pore. For Rouse dynamics, it follows that tension force exerted on bead i is f_d reduced by $m\xi v$ for each bead between beads s and i . Hence, the tension experienced by bead $i = s + k$ is

$$f_t(s, i) = f_d - km\xi v = f_d - (i - s)m\xi v. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Here, we have assumed that f_t does not include the implicit equilibrium component discussed in Appendix A. Therefore, to use the equations derived here, the equilibrium component needs to be subtracted from f_t first.

When bead j is the last bead in the tensed segment and $n_d = (j - s + 1)$, we have

$$v = \frac{f_d}{m\xi(j - s + 1)}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Substituting this into Eq. (B2), we get

$$f_t(s, i) = f_d \left(1 - \frac{(i - s)}{(j - s + 1)} \right). \quad (\text{B4})$$

And finally by taking the ensemble average we get an equation for the f_t chart given the probabilities $L(s, j)$ as

$$\langle f_t(s, i) \rangle = \sum_{j=i}^N L(s, j) f_d \left(1 - \frac{(i - s)}{(j - s + 1)} \right). \quad (\text{B5})$$

From this with simple algebra, we can derive a formula for $L(s, i)$. When $i = N$, we get

$$L(s, N) = \frac{\langle f_t(s, N) \rangle}{f_d \left[1 - \frac{N-s}{N-s+1} \right]}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

For $i < N$ we get a recursive formula

$$L(s, i) = \frac{\langle f_t(s, i) \rangle - \sum_{j=i+1}^N L(s, j) f_d \left(1 - \frac{(i-s)}{(j-s+1)} \right)}{f_d \left(1 - \frac{(i-s)}{(i-s+1)} \right)}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Using Eqs. (B6) and (B7), we can therefore determine all $L(s, i)$ with a given tension chart $\langle f_t(s, i) \rangle$ [or $\langle \hat{f}_t(s, i) \rangle$]. We can then use Eq. (B1) with the solved $L(s, i)$ to compute $\langle n_d(s) \rangle$.

-
- [1] J. J. Kasianowicz, E. Brandin, D. Branton, and D. W. Deamer, Characterization of individual polynucleotide molecules using a membrane channel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 13770 (1996).
 - [2] T. Sakaue, Nonequilibrium dynamics of polymer translocation and straightening, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 021803 (2007).
 - [3] T. Sakaue, Sucking genes into pores: Insight into driven translocation, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041808 (2010).
 - [4] T. Saito and T. Sakaue, Dynamical diagram and scaling in polymer driven translocation, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **34**, 135 (2011).
 - [5] T. Saito and T. Sakaue, Erratum to: Dynamical diagram and scaling in polymer driven translocation, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **35**, 125 (2012).
 - [6] P. Rowghanian and A. Y. Grosberg, Force-driven polymer translocation through a nanopore: An old problem revisited, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **115**, 14127 (2011).
 - [7] T. Sakaue, Dynamics of polymer translocation: A short review with an introduction of weakly-driven regime, *Polymers* **8**, 424 (2016).
 - [8] V. V. Lehtola, R. P. Linna, and K. Kaski, Dynamics of forced biopolymer translocation, *Europhys. Lett.* **85**, 58006 (2009).
 - [9] V. V. Lehtola, R. P. Linna, and K. Kaski, Critical evaluation of the computational methods used in the forced polymer translocation, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 061803 (2008).
 - [10] T. Ikonen, A. Bhattacharya, T. Ala-Nissila, and W. Sung, Unifying model of driven polymer translocation, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 051803 (2012).
 - [11] P. M. Suhonen, K. Kaski, and R. P. Linna, Criteria for minimal model of driven polymer translocation, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 042702 (2014).
 - [12] P. M. Suhonen and R. P. Linna, Chaperone-assisted translocation of flexible polymers in three dimensions, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 012406 (2016).
 - [13] P.-Y. Hsiao, Conformation change, tension propagation and drift-diffusion properties of polyelectrolyte in nanopore translocation, *Polymers* **8**, 378 (2016).
 - [14] H. Vocks, D. Panja, G. T. Barkema, and R. C. Ball, Pore-blockade times for field-driven polymer translocation, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20**, 095224 (2008).
 - [15] K. Luo, T. Ala-Nissila, and R. Metzler, Driven polymer translocation through nanopores: Slow-vs.fast dynamics, *Europhys. Lett.* **88**, 68006 (2009).

- [16] In [15], the authors speculate that attractive interaction between the polymer and the pore could explain the force dependence found by us in [8], but no such interaction was present.
- [17] D. Panja, G. T. Barkema, and R. C. Ball, Anomalous dynamics of unbiased polymer translocation through a narrow pore, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **19**, 432202 (2007).
- [18] S. Carson, J. Wilson, A. Aksimentiev, and M. Wanunu, Smooth dna transport through a narrowed pore geometry, *Biophys. J.* **107**, 2381 (2014).
- [19] A. J. Storm, C. Storm, J. Chen, H. Zandbergen, J.-F. Joanny, and C. Dekker, Fast DNA translocation through a solid-state nanopore, *Nano Lett.* **5**, 1193 (2005).
- [20] D. Fologea, E. Brandin, J. Uplinger, D. Branton, and J. Li, Dna conformation and base number simultaneously determined in a nanopore, *Electrophoresis* **28**, 3186 (2007).
- [21] M. Wanunu, J. Sutin, B. McNally, A. Chow, and A. Meller, DNA translocation governed by interactions with solid-state nanopores, *Biophys. J.* **95**, 4716 (2008).
- [22] J. E. Moision, J. Piili, and R. P. Linna, Driven polymer translocation in good and bad solvent: Effects of hydrodynamics and tension propagation, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 022501 (2016).
- [23] W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, and K. Schulten, VMD—Visual Molecular Dynamics, *J. Mol. Graphics* **14**, 33 (1996).
- [24] Persistence of Vision Pty. Ltd., Persistence of Vision (TM) Raytracer (Version 3.6) (2004) (computer software).
- [25] D. L. Ermak and H. Buckholz, Numerical integration of the Langevin equation: Monte Carlo simulation, *J. Comput. Phys.* **35**, 169 (1980).
- [26] W. F. van Gunsteren and H. J. C. Berendsen, Algorithms for macromolecular dynamics and constraint dynamics, *Mol. Phys.* **34**, 1311 (1977).
- [27] G. Wyvill and T. L. Kunii, A functional model for constructive solid geometry, *Visual Computer* **1**, 3 (1985).
- [28] J. Piili and R. P. Linna, Polymer ejection from strong spherical confinement, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 062715 (2015).
- [29] J. Piili, P. M. Suhonen, and R. P. Linna, Uniform description of polymer ejection dynamics from capsid with and without hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 052418 (2017).
- [30] R. P. Linna and K. Kaski, Analysis of DNA Elasticity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 168104 (2008).
- [31] B. Tinland, A. Pluen, J. Sturm, and G. Weill, Persistence length of single-stranded DNA, *Macromolecules* **30**, 5763 (1997).
- [32] A. Meller, L. Nivon, E. Brandin, J. Golovchenko, and D. Branton, Rapid nanopore discrimination between single polynucleotide molecules, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 1079 (2000).
- [33] C. Dekker, Solid-state nanopores, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2**, 209 (2007).
- [34] M. Murphy, I. Rasnik, W. Cheng, T. M. Lohman, and T. Ha, Probing single-stranded DNA conformational flexibility using fluorescence spectroscopy, *Biophys. J.* **86**, 2530 (2004).
- [35] Chemical Entities of Biological Interest (ChEBI) database <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/chebi/>. Molecule IDs: CHEBI:17713, CHEBI:16192, CHEBI:15918, and CHEBI:17013.
- [36] M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, *Computer Simulation of Liquids* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2006).
- [37] Z. Wang, H. Kriegs, and S. Wiegand, Thermal diffusion of nucleotides, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **116**, 7463 (2012).
- [38] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes In Physics and Chemistry*, 2nd ed. (Elsevier, San Diego, 1997).
- [39] H. W. de Haan, D. Sean, and G. W. Slater, Using a Péclet number for the translocation of a polymer through a nanopore to tune coarse-grained simulations to experimental conditions, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 022601 (2015).
- [40] J. L. A. Dubbeldam, V. G. Rostiashvili, A. Milchev, and T. A. Vilgis, Driven translocation of a polymer: Fluctuations at work, *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 032147 (2013).
- [41] M. Fyta, S. Melchionna, S. Succi, and E. Kaxiras, Hydrodynamic correlations in the translocation of a biopolymer through a nanopore: Theory and multiscale simulations, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 036704 (2008).
- [42] A. Malevanets and R. Kapral, Mesoscopic model for solvent dynamics, *J. Chem. Phys.* **110**, 8605 (1999).
- [43] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1988).
- [44] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.062401> for videos of translocation for FM and ZFM with $N = 200$ and $f_d = 64$.
- [45] R. P. Linna and K. Kaski, Event distributions of polymer translocation, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 041910 (2012).
- [46] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica* (Version 11.0) (2016).
- [47] R. M. Neumann, The entropy of a single gaussian macromolecule in a noninteracting solvent, *J. Chem. Phys.* **66**, 870 (1977).