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Blistering in films grown by atomic layer deposition (ALD) takes place for various substrate-film combinations\textsuperscript{1–3} and is typically observed after high-temperature treatments.\textsuperscript{4} Blisters are generally treated as reliability risks as they create mechanically weak spots in protective and structural coatings\textsuperscript{5} but can be also exploited in rear semiconductor-metal contact formation of passivated solar cells.\textsuperscript{6} Most studies regarding blistering of ALD films deal with Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} as it is one of the most thoroughly characterized ALD material and since it provides excellent passivation of Si-based solar cells.\textsuperscript{6,9} The passivated solar cells undergo a firing step to improve the interfacial quality between the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} film and the Si substrate, after which the semispherical defects appear on the film. The blistering is thought to be caused by desorbing H\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O vapor that gets trapped between the substrate and the film.\textsuperscript{9} The ALD film acts as a gas diffusion barrier, while the interfacial gas pressure grows finally causing local delamination and bulging of the film. However, recently Xie \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{10} showed that in order to initiate the delamination and the blister formation from a nm-order defect site, an unrealistically high gas pressure of tens of gigapascals would be required. Therefore, a subcritical defect (subcritical gas bubble) has to grow first before the macroscopic delamination and blister formation can occur. They showed that in the bulk Al-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} system, the initiation of blister formation is indeed driven by trapped hydrogen at the interface, but before the macroscopic delamination occurs, cavitation of the substrate takes place creating suitable nucleation sites for the blisters. Furthermore, they postulated that the cavitation is caused by surface diffusion of the substrate, which occurs after the hydrogen at the interface has undergone the bonds between the film and substrate, therefore effectively creating a free surface that can reconstruct according to its Wulff construction.

However, on the contrary to the in\textit{-situ} environmental transmission electron microscopy (TEM) study of Xie \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{10} the ALD studies have only presented evidence of the macroscopic blisters, which includes optical,\textsuperscript{1–3,6–8,11} atomic force microscopy,\textsuperscript{2,11} scanning electron microscopy,\textsuperscript{2,6,7} and cross-sectional focused ion beam\textsuperscript{2,8} images. Since ALD is typically realized on Si substrates, the explanation of surface self-diffusion of the substrate may not be feasible as metallic and semiconductor substrates may not be comparable in behavior. Furthermore, the detailed structure of the blister region remains unknown as the environmental TEM images were taken from thick samples without high-resolution information. It is the scope of this communication to explore the blistering in two ALD materials—Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} deposited by thermal ALD and AlN deposited by plasma-enhanced ALD (PEALD). The films were characterized by aberration-corrected TEM, x-ray diffraction (XRD), and time-of-flight elastic recoil detection analysis (ToF-ERDA) to investigate the blister formation mechanisms and the structure of the blisters and to compare them to the stress-states of the films and the films’ chemical compositions including the hydrogen content.

The Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} films were deposited on 150 mm (100) Si wafers with native oxide in a thermal ALD batch reactor (Beneq P400A) using trimethylaluminum (TMA) and H\textsubscript{2}O as the precursors at 450 °C. A purge cycle was applied between the precursor half reactions. Further details can be found in Ref. 12. The AlN films were deposited on (100) Si wafers with native oxide in a PEALD reactor (Picosun R-200) with a remote inductively coupled plasma (ICP) configuration. AlCl\textsubscript{3} and NH\textsubscript{3}/Ar plasma were used as the precursors at 500 °C with a purge cycle between the half reactions. The plasma head was operated at 2000 W. A similar setup with TMA was used in Ref. 13.

The films were characterized with an ellipsometer (Plasmos SD2300) for thicknesses and refractive indices at the wavelength of 632.8 nm. The Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} films were annealed...
at 1000 °C in high vacuum (HV) conditions (p_{\text{tot}} < 10^{-6} \text{ mbar}) in a furnace (Webb Red Devil M) (the HV annealing experiment was repeated three times to confirm the results). The annealing time at the peak temperature was 1 h, and the ramp rate up was 10 °C/min, whereas cooling was achieved with natural heat dissipation. The electron transparent cross-sectional lamellae were prepared with focused ion beam systems (FEI, Zeiss, and Tescan). Final polishing was done at 5 kV. The AlN film was imaged with an image Cs-corrected microscope at 300 kV (FEI Titan G2 60-300) equipped with a silicon drift detector (Super-X) energy dispersive x-ray spectrometer (EDX). The Al2O3 film was imaged with an image and probe double Cs-corrected microscope at 200 kV (JEOL JEM-2200FS) equipped with an EDX. Symmetrical 0-20 XRD analysis was carried out with an x-ray diffractometer (Rigaku SmartLab) equipped with a 9 kW rotating Cu anode source. The incident beam was monochromatized using a multilayer mirror and a Ge (220) double bounce monochromator. Finally, chemical analyses were done using ToF-ERDA with 11.9 MeV63Cu7 ions.14

The thickness/growth per cycle/refractive index/non-uniformity of the Al2O3 films was 100 nm/0.07 nm/1.66/1%. The AlCl3 dosing was optimized through thermal ALD process development where 0.08 nm/cycle was achieved. A closer examination of the process development is under preparation.

Visual inspection of the AlN and the Al2O3 films after annealing revealed a high density of bright dots (i.e., blisters) on the surfaces of the films. The number of the blisters was higher in the Al2O3 sample, the silicon substrate had cavitated while a crack had appeared through the alumina film. The AlN sample, the film surface and silicon native oxides were intact at a site without a blister [Fig. 1(c)], whereas Fig. 1(d) shows that at the blister site, both oxide layers are not fully intact and follow the buckled shape. The silicon EDX map also showed that the AlN film had not completely delaminated by itself (Fig. S2, supplementary material). Furthermore, the Si substrate showed defects at the edges of the cavity. [Fig. 1(b)].

In the alumina sample, the cavitation of silicon seems to have taken place approximately following the \{113\} planes based on the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of Si [Fig. 2(a)]. Si \{113\} faceting during vacuum annealing has been also experimentally observed,18 and the stability of \{113\} facets is theoretically plausible although it depends on the chemical potential of the atmosphere (e.g., hydrogen).19 The cross-section may be towards the edge of the defect, and the lamella has a finite thickness which is why also some lattice fringes were observed where empty space would be expected. The edges of the cavity had accumulated silicon based on the high-resolution TEM and EDX inspection. Furthermore, the silicon at the edges has stacked in an epitaxial fashion. No further defects in the substrate were seen at the cavitation site. No Si diffusion into the alumina film was detected either. An image of a subcritical cavity with no crack in the alumina film can be found in Fig. S3 of the supplementary material.

These two different blistering modes were further investigated by XRD to analyze the stresses of the films and by ToF-ERDA to investigate the chemical compositions. Figure 3 presents the symmetrical 0-20 XRD data with the peak locations extracted from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database. The polycrystalline AlN film was oriented with the hexagonal c-axis normal to the film interface. A buckle has formed in the AlN (a cavity on the Si side and a bulge on the surface). The SAED pattern is from an intact site, includes also silicon, and was taken along the Si \{110\} zone axis. The indexed, partial ring patterns show polycrystalline hexagonal AlN with slight preferential orientation with AlN [002] normal to the film interface. (b) Bright-field TEM image shows defects in Si at the edges of the AlN cavity. The dashed line is to visualize the location of the cavity. (c) EDX oxygen map at a blister-free site. (d) and (e) O and Al EDX maps at the blister site.
high biaxial compressive stress while the alumina film is in tensile stress based on the shift of the (012) $\alpha$ peak whose position is well defined in the literature. The biaxial stresses $\sigma$ of the AlN and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ films were approximated based on $\sigma = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \varepsilon$, where $E$ is the Young’s modulus, $\nu$ the Poisson’s ratio, and $\varepsilon$ the engineering strain of the corresponding lattice spacing calculated from the (002) and (012) XRD peaks of AlN and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, respectively. For AlN, the constants were averaged from the data given in Ref. 24 for epi-quality AlN ($E = 324$ GPa, $\nu = 0.21$, and $d_{002} = 0.498$ nm), which gives an unrealistically high compressive stress of $\sigma = -14$ GPa most likely due to incorrect material constants. Likewise, the elastic constants for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ were taken from Ref. 25 using the constants given for grade “A4/A5” alumina and the lattice spacing of (012) from Ref. 23 ($E = 360$ GPa, $\nu = 0.25$, and $d_{012} = 0.697$ nm) giving a tensile stress of $\sigma = 3$ GPa likely also overestimating the true stress, as for a similar crystallized ALD alumina film, a tensile stress of 1 GPa is quoted in the literature. Tensile stress could be expected for the as-deposited films too. The calculations still highlight the very high compressive stress present in the AlN film and the high tensile stress in the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ film.

The ToF-ERD analyses are summarized in Table I, while Fig. 4 presents the depth profile of the annealed $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ film (the other depth profiles are presented in Fig. S4, supplementary material). The AlN film can be considered high quality ALD AlN with little impurities compared to the literature. Likewise, the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is of high or similar quality compared to the literature. The stoichiometry and carbon impurities did not change due to the annealing. Noteworthy is that the amount of hydrogen decreases to a one-third of the original concentration. Nevertheless, no hydrogen was concentrated at the Si interface after the annealing (Fig. 4).

Based on the results presented above, we propose that the blistering is driven by two different mechanisms in these ALD films. In the AlN film, the driving force comes from the high compressive biaxial stress, whereas in the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ film, the blistering mechanism is initiated by the cavitation of the substrate caused by the surface diffusion of Si atoms. The stress-driven mechanism has been extensively examined in physical vapor deposition (PVD) films. Furthermore, the root cause behind the compressive stress of the PEALD AlN film is likely linked to the ion subplantation effect. Some of the energetic particles reach the film causing collision cascades, leading to the densification of the film due to subplantation. The ToF-ERDA indeed revealed 0.06 at. % of Ar in the AlN film. The cavitation mechanism, on the other hand, is proposed to be similar to the one presented by Xie et al. where hydrogen at the interface undermines the substrate-film bonds causing surface diffusion of the Si atoms. The hydrogen diffusion to the interface is driven by the heat...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Al (at. %)</th>
<th>O (at. %)</th>
<th>N (at. %)</th>
<th>H (at. %)</th>
<th>C (at. %)</th>
<th>Cl (at. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ asd</td>
<td>39 ± 1</td>
<td>61 ± 2</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ hv</td>
<td>39 ± 1</td>
<td>61 ± 2</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlN</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>47 ± 2</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treatment which also enhances the surface mobility of the Si atoms.\textsuperscript{31} Compared to the literature,\textsuperscript{2,8,9} blistering in ALD alumina films has been detected at much lower temperatures (annealing at 400 °C). However, in those studies, the deposition temperatures have been much lower, leading to a higher concentration of hydrogen\textsuperscript{32} with different hydrogen trap state energies.\textsuperscript{33} Nevertheless, the amount of hydrogen in the alumina film of this study should be enough to cover the Si (100) surface (calculation presented in the supplementary material). The cavity will reach a critical size, after which the internal gas pressure, together with the biaxial tensile stress, will be enough to deform the alumina film causing the observed crack in Fig. 2(a). The gaseous hydrogen will escape through these cracks which is why no additional hydrogen was detected at the silicon interface by ToF-ERDA. The blistering of alumina can be alleviated by choosing ALD parameters which produce a film with hydrogen at high energy trap states. Furthermore, the annealing atmosphere also plays a role in the crystallization behavior of ALD Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{12} and hence in the detrapping of hydrogen. We propose that it is possible to crystallize ALD alumina without blistering by selecting an annealing temperature and atmosphere where the critical driving force, related to the hydrogen trap state energies, is not exceeded as demonstrated in Ref. 12.

See supplementary material for more images of the blisters, ToF-ERDA data, and a calculation of the total available hydrogen at the Si-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} interface.
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