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We study numerically the detailed structure and decay dynamics of isolated monopoles in conditions similar to those of their recent experimental discovery. We find that the core of a monopole in the polar phase of a spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate contains a small half-quantum vortex ring. Well after the creation of the monopole, we observe a dynamical quantum phase transition that destroys the polar phase. Strikingly, the resulting ferromagnetic order parameter exhibits a Dirac monopole in its synthetic magnetic field. We observe quantitatively matching decay dynamics for both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic spin-spin interactions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The significant roles played by topological defects in nature and their appearance in various physical contexts [1, 2] have sparked numerous theoretical and experimental studies. The precise control over experimental parameters and the ability to image the quantum-mechanical order parameter directly render Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) a unique platform to create and observe different types of topological defects. In particular, BECs with spin degrees of freedom may host a rich variety of defects due to many possible order-parameter manifolds and symmetries [3–11]. In these systems, topological defects can either be created in a deterministic manner using precisely controlled magnetic and laser fields [12–16], or they can form spontaneously, for example when the condensate is rapidly quenched through a quantum phase transition [17, 18]. The experimentally realized topological structures in BECs to date include singly and multiply quantized vortices [12, 13, 19–21], half-quantum vortices [22], vortex rings [23], solitons [24], skyrmions [15, 25], polar core vortices [18], coreless vortices [26, 27], vortex-antivortex superpositions [28], solitonic vortices [29], monopoles [16, 30], and knot solitons [31].

The Dirac monopole configuration created in Ref. [16] is an analog of the classical stationary magnetic point charge considered by Dirac in the context of quantum mechanics [32]. It manifests itself as a pointlike singularity in the so-called synthetic magnetic field [33], which is an effective gauge field for the scalar part of the order parameter arising naturally from its spin degrees of freedom. In agreement with Dirac’s original work [32], this kind of monopole induces in the condensate order parameter a nodal vortex line with vanishing particle density extending from the location of the monopole to the boundary of the atom cloud. Thus the ferromagnetic order parameter supporting the Dirac monopole is energetically and dynamically reminiscent of a line defect. Critically, there is no topological point defect in the order parameter itself, as the condensate is in a configuration topologically equivalent to the ground state. Indeed, the second homotopy group for the polar order-parameter space is trivial and topological point defects are not permitted. Point defects may exist in the polar phase of a spin-1 condensate, however, as the second homotopy group for the polar order-parameter space, \( G_p = [S^2 \times U(1)]/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) [34, 35], is isomorphic to the additive group of integers, \( \mathbb{Z} \).

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The mean-field order parameter of a spin-1 condensate can be expressed as \( \psi(r) = \sqrt{n(r)}e^{i\phi(r)}\xi(r) \), where \( n(r) \) is the particle density, \( \phi(r) \) is the scalar phase [36], and \( \xi(r) \) is a three-component complex-valued spinor such that \( \xi(r)\dagger\xi(r) = 1 \). For brevity, the temporal dependence of these functions is not expressed explicitly. The evolution of the order parameter at low temperatures is accurately described by the differential equation

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{\partial t} \psi(r) = \{h(r) + n(r)[c_0 + c_2S(r) \cdot \mathbf{F}] - i\Gamma n^2(r)\}\psi(r),
\]

where \( h(r) \) is the single-particle Hamiltonian, \( \mathbf{F} = (F_x, F_y, F_z) \) is a vector composed of the dimensionless spin-1 matrices, \( \Gamma \)
is the three-body recombination rate, and $S(r) = \xi(r) F \xi(r)$ is the local average spin. The coupling constants characterizing the atom-atom interactions are given by $c_0 = 4\pi \hbar^2/(m + 2a_0)$ and $c_1 = 4\pi \hbar^2(a_2 - a_0)/(3m)$, where $a_f$ is the $s$-wave scattering length corresponding to the scattering channel with total two-atom hyperfine spin $f$. The single-particle Hamiltonian is given by

$$h(r,t) = -\hbar^2 \nabla^2/(2m) + V(r)$$

$$+ g_F \mu_B B(r,t) \cdot \mathbf{F} + q \mathbf{B}(r,t) \cdot \mathbf{F}^2,$$

where $m$ is the mass of the atoms, $V(r)$ is an external optical trapping potential, $g_F$ is the Landé $g$ factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $\mathbf{B}$ is an externally applied magnetic field, and $q$ is the strength of the quadratic Zeeman shift. We assume that $V(r) = m/2(\omega_r^2 + \omega_z^2)$, where $\omega_r$ and $\omega_z$ are the radial and axial trapping frequencies, respectively.

In the pure polar phase with $S(r) = 0$, the order parameter can be expressed in the basis of the $z$-quantized spin states $\{1, 0, -1\}$ as

$$\psi(r) = \sqrt{\hat{n}(r)} e^{i\Phi(r)} \begin{pmatrix} -d_0 + i d_y(r) \\ \sqrt{2} d_z(r) \\ d_x(r) + i d_y(r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus in the Cartesian basis the polar order parameter reads

$$\psi(r) = \sqrt{\hat{n}(r)} e^{i\Phi(r)} \mathbf{d}(r),$$

where $\mathbf{d}(r) = (d_x, d_y, d_z)^T$ is a real unit vector known as the nematic vector. Note that, if the order parameter in Eq. (3) is expressed using $\mathbf{d}(r)$ as the quantization axis, it remains fully in the component $(0,1,0)\mathbf{d}$.

On the other hand, when the average spin does not vanish, we investigate the nematic order through the magnetic quadrupole tensor

$$Q_{ab} = \frac{\xi_a \xi_b^* + \xi_b \xi_a^*}{2},$$

where $\{\xi_i\}$ are the components of the spinor in the Cartesian basis. For $S(r) \neq 0$, the vector $\mathbf{d}$ is defined as the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of $Q$.

### III. METHODS

The nematic vector behaves identically to the average spin under rotations in spin space, and hence it also follows adiabatic changes in the external magnetic field. Consequently, the method originally developed in Ref. [9] for the adiabatic creation of Dirac monopoles in the ferromagnetic phase can be used to create isolated monopoles in the polar phase, as realized in Ref. [30].

In brief, the condensate is subjected to an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(r,t) = \mathbf{B}_0(t) + \mathbf{B}_b(t)$, where $\mathbf{B}_0(t) = b_0(x \mathbf{i} + y \mathbf{j} - 2z \mathbf{k})$ is a quadrupolar magnetic field with gradient $b_q$ and $\mathbf{B}_b(t) = B_c(t) \mathbf{z}$ is a spatially homogeneous bias field. In the beginning of the simulation, the condensate is in the spin state $|0\rangle$, yielding a nematic vector $\mathbf{d}(r) = \mathbf{i}$. At the initial bias field $B_c = 1$ G, the field gradient is linearly ramped from zero to $b_q = 3.7$ G/cm in 10 ms and the bias field is subsequently decreased to $B_c = 10$ mG in 10 ms. The monopole is created by decreasing the value of the bias field linearly to zero at a rate $B_c = -0.25$ G/s. This part of the control protocol is referred to as the creation ramp and it ideally results in $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{B}_0(r)$. After

![FIG. 1. (a) Horizontally and (b) vertically integrated particle densities for the indicated waiting times after the creation ramp which produces the isolated monopole. Different colors correspond to particles in different $z$-quantized spin states with the color and intensity scales given in the bottommost panel. The peak column density is $\tilde{n}_p = 2.7 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ and the field of view is $5.5 \times 15.5$ μm$^2$ in each panel. The white arrows indicate the location of a vortex line shown more clearly in Fig. 2.](image-url)
the creation ramp the temporal evolution continues with the quadrupole field and optical trap intact.

The initial particle number is \(2 \times 10^5\) and the optical trapping frequencies are \(\omega_x = 2\pi \times 124\) Hz and \(\omega_z = 2\pi \times 164\) Hz. We take the other parameters according to \(^{87}\)Rb such as the literature values for the atom loss arising from the three-body recombination \(\Gamma = h \times 2.9 \times 10^{-30}\) cm\(^3\)/s \([40,41]\), the quadratic Zeeman shift \(q = 2\pi h \times 70\) Hz/T\(^2\) \([18]\), and the scattering lengths \(a_0 = 5.387\) nm and \(a_2 = 5.313\) nm. The computational volume considered is \((24 \times 24 \times 5)^3\), where \(a_r = \sqrt{h/(m\omega_r)} = 1\) \(\mu\)m, and the corresponding size of the computational grid is \(200 \times 200 \times 200\) points.

In order to enhance the numerical emulation of the experimental conditions of Ref. [30], we add spatially uncorrelated complex-valued noise to the spinor components at each grid point prior to the creation ramp. The amplitude of the noise is uniformly distributed to introduce 0–1% fluctuations in the local particle density for each spinor component.

Before the beginning of the control cycle, the ground state is found using the successive over-relaxation method restricted to the spin state \([0]\). Subsequently, the split-operator method together with fast Fourier transformations are utilized to simulate the temporal evolution. The length of the time step is fixed to \(\tau = 2 \times 10^{-4}/\omega_y\).

IV. RESULTS

We numerically integrate Eq. (1) and apply the control protocol described above with the initial condition \(\hat{d} = \hat{z}\). Figure 1 shows the resulting spin-contrast images of the condensate particle densities for different waiting times after the creation ramp. As in Ref. [30], the condensate particle densities just after the creation ramp are in good agreement with Eq. (3) and \(\hat{d} = \hat{B}_d(r)\). Thus the particles almost entirely reside in the so-called neutral state which corresponds to the zero eigenvalue of the local Zeeman Hamiltonian. However, 50 ms after the creation ramp, the polar phase has noticeably decayed towards the local ferromagnetic strong-field-seeking state (SFSS), i.e., the spin state that minimizes the local Zeeman energy

\[
E_Z(r) = g_F\mu_B\xi^\dagger(r)B_d(r)\cdot F\xi(r).
\]

The ferromagnetic phase is first visible at the top and bottom edges of the condensate and extends gradually until the condensate resides almost entirely in the SFSS. Qualitatively similar results are obtained in simulations without the added noise (data not shown).

It is well known \([9,16,33]\) that the SFSS corresponding to the quadrupole field \(B_q(r)\) contains a Dirac monopole in its synthetic magnetic field \(B^* = h\nabla \times A^*\), where \(A^*(r) = i\xi^\dagger\nabla\xi\) is a vector potential arising from the spinor part of the order parameter \([16]\). Physically, the vector potential \(A^*\) is related to the superfluid velocity as \(v_s = \frac{\hbar}{m}(\nabla \phi - A^*)\), and the corresponding vorticity is \(\Omega_s = \nabla \times v_s\). Note that the...
superfluid velocity and vorticity are physical observables, and hence independent of the choice of gauge for the synthetic fields [36]. In contrast, the synthetic vector potential depends strongly on the gauge.

In Refs. [9,16], the SFSS is accompanied by a double-quantum vortex line terminating at the location of the Dirac monopole. This vortex line corresponds to the physical nodal [42] line consider by Dirac [32]. Note that the superfluid vorticity contains a line singularity that coincides with the vortex line, but any line singularity in the synthetic magnetic field is not physical and can be removed by the choice of gauge [36].

In contrast to Refs. [9,16], the final order parameter in our case does not have a terminating double-quantum vortex. Instead, there is a single-quantum vortex that reverses its circulation at the monopole, a scenario that has previously been shown to minimize the mean-field energy in the case of a Dirac monopole [43]. We confirm the presence of this single-quantum vortex in Fig. 2 where it is visible as a line of suppressed spin density. We have verified that the phase winding along this vortex line reverses its sign near the origin where the magnetic field vanishes (data not shown). The orientation of the vortex depends on the particular realization of the applied noise.

Figure 3 shows the nematic vector and selected components of the spin vector during the decay of the isolated monopole. A ferromagnetic ring with a well-defined polarization is clearly visible just after the creation ramp [see Figs. 3(a), 3(b), and 3(e)], although it is so small that it was not distinguished within the finite experimental resolution of Ref. [30]. This ring resides at the monopole core and retains its size during the temporal evolution. Since the nematic vector is observed to rotate by π about the ferromagnetic core [see Fig. 3(a)], the ring is identified as a half-quantum vortex ring, or Alice ring, discussed in Ref. [6]. We determine the radius of the Alice ring from the behavior of the nematic vector to be roughly 0.2 μm, which exceeds neither the spin healing length \( h/\sqrt{2m|c_2|n(0)} \approx 0.04 \mu m \) nor the density healing length \( h/\sqrt{2m|c_2 + c_0|n(0)} \approx 0.3 \mu m \). Thus the texture imprinted in
the condensate using the creation ramp essentially manifests itself as a point defect. The subsequent decay of the polar phase destroys the Alice ring and eventually the characterization of the condensate using the nematic vector becomes obscure. We therefore do not show the nematic vector but rather the local spin for long evolution times. Ultimately, the local spin aligns with the external magnetic field as shown in Figs. 3(d) and 3(g).

Figure 4 shows the fraction of particles in the neutral state and the deviation of the order parameter from the initial isolated monopole state during the decay. The relative population of the neutral state is given by $n_n(t) = \frac{1}{N(t)} \int d\mathbf{r} |\Pi_n(\mathbf{r},t)\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2$, where $N(t)$ is the total number of atoms and $\Pi_n(\mathbf{r},t)$ is a projection to the neutral state [14]. The deviation of the order parameter from the initial isolated monopole state at $t = 0$ is characterized by $\epsilon(t) = 1 - |\int d\mathbf{r} \psi^*(\mathbf{r},t)\psi(\mathbf{r},0)|/\sqrt{N(0)N(t)}$. We observe that, just after the creation ramp, roughly 90% of the atoms reside in the neutral state, in agreement with the experimentally obtained value in Ref. [30]. The decay of the isolated monopole into the ferromagnetic phase is observed to change from an exponential-like behavior into approximately linear decay with increasing magnetic field gradient. This observation suggests that a cascade of decay channels plays a significant role at strong field gradients. Due to the decreasing spatial overlap between the resulting domains with increasing field gradient, the decay dynamics is slower the stronger is the field gradient. For a sufficiently strong gradient, the resulting domains are spatially well separated as shown in Fig. 5.

Figure 4 also shows the results obtained for three additional cases: (i) elimination of the quadratic Zeeman potential, (ii) elimination of three-body recombination, and (iii) reversal of the sign of the spin-spin interaction strength. None of these changes leads to a significant effect on the decay dynamics, indicating that the decay is not originating from these terms. We also studied the creation and decay of the isolated monopole with parameters corresponding to $^{23}$Na atoms and obtained qualitative agreement with the case of $^{87}$Rb atoms (results not shown).

V. CONCLUSIONS

Our numerical studies suggest that the isolated monopole structure observed in Ref. [30] contains a small Alice ring [6]. This vortex ring is destroyed by a subsequent dynamical phase transition into a ferromagnetic order parameter supporting a Dirac monopole. Although the quadrupole field has been observed to stabilize the polar phase of a $^{87}$Rb condensate if the field zero is well outside the condensate [30], our simulations reveal that after the field zero is brought into the condensate, the polar phase decomposes on a time scale of 100 ms. We attribute this behavior to the spatially varying magnetic field and the linear Zeeman interaction. Neither the spin-spin interactions, nor the quadratic Zeeman effect, nor three-body recombination has a significant effect on the decay dynamics. However, the strength of the magnetic field gradient is shown to have a detrimental effect on the decay speed and characteristics. These studies set the stage for the detailed dynamics of topological point defects in quantum fields. Finding ways to extend the lifetime of the defect further and thereafter to study the dynamics of multiple interacting point defects remain future challenges.
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[36] The scalar phase $\phi(r)$ can be chosen in many different ways depending on how the phase of the spinor $\xi(r)$ is defined. Each of these different choices corresponds to a particular gauge for the synthetic electromagnetic fields as described in the supplementary online material of Ref. [16].
[42] In the context of Dirac monopoles, apparent linelike singularities in magnetic fields or vector potentials have in some of the previous literature been referred to as Dirac strings. Although the location of these objects may coincide with nodal lines, we stress that they are different concepts: The location of the linelike singularity in the vector potential depends on the choice of gauge. In contrast, the nodal line, along which the order parameter ideally vanishes, is a physically distinguishable object as also stressed by Dirac in Ref. [32].