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Cation transport through a cellulose-acetate-poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) membrane (CA:PDMAEMA) was studied with scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) and the thickness increase of the membrane was monitored with ellipsometry. Upon addition of the polyelectrolyte PDMAEMA, the permeability of the probe cation (ferrocenium methanol, FcMeOH) was increased as much as 40-fold. Soaking membranes in an electrolyte solution doubled the permeability in plain CA membranes, whereas for PDMAEMA containing membranes the opposite was observed and the permeability was reduced by 20–40%. This time-dependent behavior is shown to be a result of the presence of PDMAEMA within the membrane matrix, thus providing an interesting platform for controllable membrane permeability.

In addition to the biological realm, membranes are used in numerous technical applications in everyday life: air filters, water purification, dialysis instrumentation, chemical synthesis etc. Moreover, the chemical composition of membranes can vary substantially, as shown by the existence of a wide range of different types of membranes such as polymer blends,1 polymeric ion exchange,2 electrospun fiber,3 perovskite type ceramic4 and liquid2 membranes. Nevertheless, much of the research challenges in membrane technology are connected to the selective barrier properties of these materials, and in this communication, we study the permeability of cations through polymeric blend membranes.

The driving force of ionic transport is the gradient of the electrochemical potential5 that can formally be divided into concentration ($\mu_i$) and Galvani potential ($\phi$) contributions:

\[
\tilde{f}_i = -l_i \nabla \tilde{\mu}_i = -D_i (\nabla \tilde{c}_i + z_i f c_i \nabla \phi)
\]

In the above equation, $\tilde{f}_i$ is the flux density (mol cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), $D_i$ the diffusion coefficient, $z_i$ the charge number, and $f$ the electrochemical potential of an ion $i$; $l_i$ is its ionic phenomenological coefficient, $\mu_i$ chemical potential and $z_i$ charge number, and $f = \mu_i / k_B T$.

Equation 1 represents the Nernst-Planck approximation that is valid in moderately concentrated solutions (ionic strength < 1 M). Traditionally, transport through a membrane is considered to take place via the membrane pores. For porous membranes, in addition to the membrane thickness, pore size and pore density are the key factors that control the permeability. In contrast, for transport through nonporous (polymeric) membranes or membranes with a pore size < 1 nm, a solution-diffusion mechanism is suggested.3,6-8 In this model, solutes are first dissolved into the membrane matrix and then subsequently transferred through it. Partitioning of the solute between the solution and the membrane depends on properties, like their hydrophobicity, as well as the concentration (or pressure) of the solute. Transport through such membranes can also be enhanced by the carrier-facilitated mechanism9 that commonly occurs in biological membranes where specific proteins can act as carriers.

Recently, we introduced a new membrane material made from a blend of cellulose acetate (CA) and poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) (PDMAEMA)10,11 and this membrane proved to be particularly practical for the dissolution control of magnesium. The purpose of this paper is to study the behavior of the membrane in more detail; PDMAEMA is prone to water uptake and formation of hydrogels,12-14 making it an interesting candidate as a membrane material, and thus, the possible applications of these membranes do not need to be limited only to the dissolution control of magnesium.

Cation transport was monitored with Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM), which enabled studies of membrane permeability. It is noteworthy that when using SECM, the effect of changes in thickness is removed from the permeability definition, thus revealing the inherent mobility (“diffusivity”) of the material. The results show that addition of cationic polyelectrolyte PDMAEMA into the CA matrix enhances cation transport. Also, a time-dependent behavior - which has not been reported earlier for these membrane materials - was observed as the cation flow decreased as a function of time. Therefore, CA:PDMAEMA polymer blends are interesting materials for controlling cation flow, and this behavior can be attributed to the presence of PDMAEMA molecules.

**Theory**

The reaction kinetics at the SECM tip and substrate determines the shape of the approach curves. A kinetic barrier, such as a permeable membrane on a conductive substrate, deviates the tip current from that expected from an ideally conductive surface. In this paper, the model based on the works of Cornut and Lefrou15-17 and Nogala et al.18 have been utilized in the analysis of the approach curves. The equations presented by Nogala et al.18 are used to fit the SECM data and the permeability is determined from the fitted data as previously shown by theoretical paper of Cornut and Lefrou;15 thus, the following presentation of equations is based on these previous studies.

The approach curves of the SECM tip toward the substrate are presented with normalized tip current vs. normalized distance, as outlined in Eqs. 2 and 3:

\[
I_T = \frac{i_T}{i_{bulk}}
\]

\[
L = \min \left( \frac{z}{r_T} - x + d_0 \right)
\]

In Eqs. 2–3, $i_T$ is the measured tip current and $r_T$ tip radius; $i_{bulk}$ is the tip current far from the substrate, $z$ the distance between the
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tip and the substrate. Min (z) is the point where the tip contacts the substrate and \( d_0 \) the tilt of the tip (the definition of the tilt and Eq. 3 are described in more details by Nogala et al.\(^\text{18}\)).

In addition to \( I_T \) and \( L \), a parameter \( RG \) (i.e. ratio between the radius of the sealing glass capillary to the radius of Pt electrode) needs to be taken into account during the analysis.\(^\text{15,18}\) The normalized tip currents for ideally insulating or conductive cases are given by Equations 4 and 5, respectively:  

\[
I_T^{\text{ins}}(L, \ RG) = \frac{2.08}{RG^{0.233}} \left( L - \frac{0.145}{RG} \right) + 1.585 \left( 1 - \frac{\ln(RG)}{L} \right) + \frac{2}{\alpha RG} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\pi RG}{2L} \right) \tag{4}
\]

\[
I_T^{\text{cond}}(L, \ k, \ RG) = \frac{1}{2\beta(RG)} \xi(L, \ k) + \left[ 1 - \alpha(RG) - \frac{1}{2\beta(RG)} \right] \xi(L, \ k) \tag{5}
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are functions of \( RG \) as shown in the corresponding Equations 6 and 7, \( \xi \) is a function of \( \kappa \) and \( L \) as shown in Equation 8,\(^\text{18}\):

\[
\alpha(RG) = \ln(2) \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \cos \left( \frac{1}{RG} \right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \cos \left( \frac{1}{RG} \right) \right]^2 \tag{6}
\]

\[
\beta(RG) = 1 + 0.639 \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \cos \left( \frac{1}{RG} \right) \right] - 0.186 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \cos \left( \frac{1}{RG} \right) \right)^2 \right] \tag{7}
\]

\[
\xi(L, \ k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan \left( L + \kappa^{-1} \right) \tag{8}
\]

If the substrate is not fully insulating or conducting but the species formed on the tip is recovered on the substrate via an electrochemical reaction with the rate constant \( k \), the tip current is governed by:\(^\text{18}\)

\[
I_T(L, \ k, \ RG) = I_T^{\text{cond}}(L, \ k, \ RG) + \frac{I_T^{\text{ins}}(L, \ RG) - 1}{\left( 1 + 2.47RG^{0.31} \right) \left( 1 + \left[ 0.006RG + 0.113k^{-0.30}RG + 0.91 \right] \right)} \tag{9}
\]

The dimensionless parameter \( \kappa \) is:

\[
\kappa = \frac{kr_T}{D} \tag{10}
\]

where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of the probe. The same formalism applies when an ideally conducting substrate is covered with a permeable film, see Supplementary Material. Assuming that the film is the only kinetic barrier, the permeability of the film can be related to the apparent rate constant (\( k \rightarrow k_{\text{app}} \)):\(^\text{15}\)

\[
k_{\text{app}} = \frac{PD_{\text{film}}}{\delta} = \frac{k}{rr} \tag{11}
\]

where \( P \) is the partition coefficient of the electroactive species between the film and the solution, \( D_{\text{film}} \) is the diffusion constant of the electroactive species in the film and \( \delta \) is the thickness of the film. The product \( PD_{\text{film}} = k_{\text{app}} \delta \) is called the permeability of the film,\(^\text{15}\) although it has the dimension of a diffusion coefficient, i.e. it shows the effective diffusivity of ions through the membrane.

**Experimental**

Membranes were prepared on silicon wafers with poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) (PDMAEMA Polymer Source Inc, \( M_0 = 57700 \)) and cellulose acetate (CA Sigma Aldrich, \( M_w = 50000, DS = 2.4155 \)) blends in tetrahydrofuran (THF, Rathburn Chemicals Ltd, HPLC grade). 200 \( \mu \)L of the PDMAEMA:CA blend was pipetted on the silicon wafer and spin-coated at 1500 rpm for 90 s. The weight ratio of CA to PDMAEMA (CA-PDMAEMA) in the blend was either 1:0, 1:0.25 or 1:0.75. AFM images of the CA-PDMAEMA spin-coated silicon wafers in our previous studies\(^\text{16}\) showed that addition of PDMAEMA smoothens the topographic featured of the surfaces.

Spin-coated wafers were immersed for approx. 5 min into ultrapure water after which the membranes were gently peeled off from wafer surface and used as a membrane. As the model system, we utilized a copper substrate covered with a CA-PDMAEMA membrane in an aqueous solution of ferrocene methanol (FcMeOH). Figure 1 shows the schematics of this model system, together with examples of tip response (i.e. feedback curves).

Transport of ions through the membranes was studied with SECM (CHI 900, USA). A platinum tip with diameter of 25 \( \mu \)m was sealed in a glass capillary (SECM tip) and transported through the membrane. The response of the tip (normalized current) can vary between ideally positive feedback and ideally negative feedback, depending on the barrier properties of the membrane placed on the top of the Cu substrate.

**Figure 1.** Left: schematics of the model system. Ferrocenemethanol (FcMeOH, a cation probe) was oxidized at the Pt electrode sealed in a glass capillary (SECM tip) and transported through the membrane. Right: The response of the tip (normalized current) can vary between ideally positive feedback and ideally negative feedback, depending on the barrier properties of the membrane placed on the top of the Cu substrate.
the tip potential was kept constant in the diffusion-controlled region of FeCMeOH oxidation (0.4 V vs. Ag/AgCl).

The measurement solution was 0.5 mM FeCMeOH (Aldrich) in 100 mM NaCl.

The results were fitted to Eq. 9 (Excel Solver) using a dimensionless rate constant $\kappa$, the tilt of the tip $d_0$ and the tip current far from the surface $b_{bulk}$ as fitting parameters. As the fitting is very sensitive to the $b_{bulk}$ changes, $b_{bulk}$ was constrained to vary by a max. $\pm$ 1% from the measured value at the start of the fitted $L$ range, while $\kappa$ and $d_0$ were free fitting variables. Lowering further the error around $b_{bulk}$ is not feasible as the detection limit of the equipment is at the pA level.

The fitting range was from $L \approx 10$ until the point when the tip contacts the substrate surface (observed typically as a kink in the approach curve).

In order to calculate the permeability of membranes from SECM data, the thickness of the membranes was determined. The dry thickness of membranes spin-coated on silicon wafer was characterized with SEM (Hitachi TM-1000). Images of the cross-section were captured and the thickness was measured from 10 different spots; the average value was used for dry thickness.11 The change in the thickness of spin-coated CA:PDMEAMA silicon wafers relative to their dry thickness was determined as a function of exposure time in a 100 mM NaCl solution at 20°C with single wavelength (632.8 nm) ellipsometry (SE400adv, Sentech Instruments GmbH). The measurements were performed at an angle of incidence of 70° and the data was fitted to the Cauchy model, using the dry-thickness value (from cross-section SEM) as a starting point and the refractive index $n = 1.47$.

FT-IR measurements (Thermo Scientific, Nicolet 6700 with an ATR-D cell: 32 scans between the 4000–650 cm$^{-1}$) were performed on membranes spin-coated on silicon wafer, before and after 24 h exposure to ultrapure water.

### Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the approach curves measured after 30 mins and 3 h exposure to 0.5 mM FeCMeOH + 100 mM NaCl solution. Solid lines represent the measurements with membranes (CA:PDMAEMA = 1:0, 1:0.25 or 1:0.75) and dotted lines are the fits of experimental data using Eq. 9.

As can be seen in Figs. 2a–2b, the experimental approach curves correlate very well with those from theory, indicating that the model chosen for the quantitative analyses is suitable for this system. All the approach curves show negative feedback, i.e. the membrane placed on the top of Cu substrate limits the transport of FeCMeOH significantly. The pure copper surface displays almost ideally conductive behavior19 and thus, the membrane shows itself as a kinetic barrier in the tip response. The $k_{app}$ values calculated from the fits of the parameter $\kappa$ to Eq. 10 are presented in Table I. Table I shows that $k_{app}$ rises as the amount of PDMEAMA increases in the membrane. As can be observed, the $k_{app}$ values of different membrane materials are distinctly different, in spite of any error that can contribute to $b_{bulk}$.

In order to study the actual permeability of the membrane, the thickness of the membrane was determined as a function of exposure time. Ellipsometer measurements (Figs. 3a and 3b) show that the thickness increase is clearly observable and the behavior of the distinct membrane materials show clear variations, both in terms of thickness and overall percentage increase. During the first 30 mins the thickness of the membranes display a similar increase, after which the membrane with the highest PDMEAMA content (1:0.75) experiences a further rapid and considerable change in thickness (in nm) while the 1:0 and 1:0.25 membranes follow a more similar trend. After 3 h exposure, the pure CA membrane had a thickness increase approx. 50 nm, the 1:0.25 membrane 60 nm, and the 1:0.75 membrane, 80 nm.

Using Equation 11, permeability ($PD_{film} = k_{app} \delta$) of the membranes can be determined from the $k_{app}$ values (Table I) and thickness values determined from the ellipsometer data (Fig. 3a). Table II outlines the dry thickness of membranes, the thickness after exposure to 100 mM NaCl and the permeability of the membranes at two exposure times (after 0.5 h and 3 h exposure). As can be seen, the permeability of the membranes increases as much as 40-fold with an increasing amount of PDMEAMA, which is truly remarkable.

As the definition of permeability from SECM measurements ($PD_{film} = k_{app} \delta$) removes the effect of the thickness increase from the permeability value, the permeability presented here is a measure

<p>| Table I. The values of $\kappa$ and $k_{app}$ analyzed from approach curves in Fig. 2. The diffusion coefficient of FeCMeOH in water is taken as $6.1 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$/s.25 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA:PDMEAMA</th>
<th>30 min exposure</th>
<th>3 h exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>$k_{app}$ [cm/s]</td>
<td>$k_{app}$ [cm/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>$1.11 \times 10^{-2}$ ($\pm 0.12 \times 10^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$5.4 \times 10^{-5}$ ($\pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0.25</td>
<td>$7.41 \times 10^{-2}$ ($\pm 0.74 \times 10^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\pm 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0.75</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{-1}$ ($\pm 0.19 \times 10^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\pm 0.9 \times 10^{-4}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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of the inherent mobility of a species (“diffusivity”) within the membrane, primarily due to membrane characteristics like porosity, functional species etc. Therefore, the actual transport of cations through the CA:PDMAEMA can indeed be adjusted by PDMAEMA. The control of permeability of CA:PDMAEMA membranes is a very encouraging result if these polymer blend membranes are to be applied in the cation transport control.

Surprisingly, the membranes also show a time-dependent behavior of the permeability and this was studied also at longer exposure times, i.e. 24 h exposure. Figure 4 shows the SECM results (24 h in water + 3 h in 0.5 mM FcMeOH and 100 mM NaCl solution). As can be seen, the response of all the membranes looks very similar and all fitted $k_{app}$ values vary between $2 \times 10^{-4}$ – $4 \times 10^{-4}$ cm/s (and the difference is less than the error due to $\pm 1\%$ bulk). Nonetheless, one needs to take into account that the membranes have different thickness values and thus, permeability is determined from SECM and ellipsometer measurements in the same way as previously (thickness after 24 h exposure: 1:0 = 1.35 μm, 1:0.25 = 2.32 μm, 1:0.75 = 4.00 μm). Figure 5 displays the permeabilities of all the measurements together with error bars.

Figure 5 clearly demonstrates the time-dependent behavior of permeability and the difference between pure CA and PDMAEMA:CA membranes. At short exposure times the permeability of the 1:0.75 membrane is 40-fold higher than that determined for the pure CA membrane and even with longer exposure times it remains over 5 times greater. Moreover, the permeability trend is different for pure CA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA: PDMAEMA</th>
<th>Δδ [μm]</th>
<th>Permeability [cm²/s]</th>
<th>Δδ [μm]</th>
<th>Permeability [cm²/s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$8.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0.75</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
membranes when compared to PDMAEMA membranes; in the case of pure CA membrane the permeability increases while for PDMAEMA containing membrane it decreases, and the decrease is as much as 20–40% during the first 3h. This trend remains true even when the largest error from the fitting of SECM data is used for each membrane types at all exposure times. It is noteworthy that such a time-dependent behavior has not been detected earlier for these CA:PDMAEMA membranes and actually, it could easily be overlooked without the capability of SECM and related theory developed by Cornut and Lefrou\textsuperscript{15} which allows the removal of the thickness effect from the true “diffusivity” of the membranes.

Therefore, the observed time-dependent behavior is linked to the PDMAEMA molecules and is thus an effect of the membrane itself; the hindered diffusion or so called Renkin effect is known to result from a decrease in the pore diameter and/or increase in tortuosity of the membranes.\textsuperscript{20} The reason for this hindered diffusion is the residual PDMAEMA left in the membrane, as FT-IR measurements (Fig. 6) show: the two peaks around 2700–2800 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are characteristic peaks of PDMAEMA, due to C-H stretching of (CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}-N.\textsuperscript{21} Even if part of the PDMAEMA is dissolved from the membrane surface, clear peaks are still observed after 24 h exposure from PDMAEMA containing membranes, proving that there is still some PDMAEMA present in the membrane. Moreover, as the permeability trend is the opposite for pure CA membranes than for PDMAEMA containing membranes, it is evident that this effect is related to the behavior of PDMAEMA.

As mentioned earlier, PDMAEMA has a high ability to form hydrogels, which can swell as a response to different environmental triggers such as pH changes, redox potential changes, screening with low molecular salt, etc.\textsuperscript{12-14} Therefore, it is highly probable that the remaining PDMAEMA molecules in the membrane (partly) block the diffusion pathways with a gel-like material when exposed to an aqueous environment, thus decreasing the permeability as a function of time.

The formation of hydrogel is more likely explanation than the electrostatic repulsion between the redox probe and PDMAEMA present in the membrane. The redox probe, FcMeOH, is oxidized to FcMeOH+ at the SECM tip, after which it transfers across the membrane, which is slightly positively charged. Although this charge may impede or decelerate the rate of transfer, the overall effect of charges is assumed to be negligible as the ionic strength of the solution is 0.1 M, which makes the thickness of the electric double layer of the order of 1 nm only.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, the supposition of equal diffusion coefficients for the two ferrocene species should be reasonable.

This clearly PDMAEMA related behavior could be used in further membrane development. Previous studies have shown that using PDMAEMA based block-copolymers as additives in polyethersulfone polymer blend membranes provides an ability to change the permeability as a response to pH or ionic strength changes.\textsuperscript{23,24} These earlier studies, however, have used water permeation tests for permeability determination, and thus any changes in thickness will also affect the result, while in contrast, the results presented here show that the nature of PDMAEMA molecules indeed causes the permeability change.

Overall, the results presented in this paper suggests that the presence of cationic polyelectrolyte (PDMAEMA) within these
membranes can function as a controlling but not an inhibiting factor for cation transfer; due to presence of PDMAEMA, these types of membranes could be used in future as potentially stimuli-responsive membrane materials. Moreover, these results demonstrate that utilizing SECM in membrane studies is indeed a powerful tool as the effect of thickness increase can be separated from the permeability changes.

Conclusions

In this communication, the permeability of a probe cation in CA:PDMAEMA membranes was studied, in order to tune the capability of the membranes to control (but not fully inhibit) cation transport. An interesting time-dependent behavior was observed for the very first time for the CA:PDMAEMA membranes as the permeability decreased as a function of exposure time and it is believed to take place due to the presence of PDMAEMA in the membrane: the response of PDMAEMA to the aqeous solution decreases the pore diameter and/or increases tortuosity of the membranes. The use of SECM for permeability determination is critical in this case, as it separates the increased thickness from the actual transport of ions and thus, PDMAEMA molecules can be linked to this behavior. Therefore, the presence of PDMAEMA offers the possibility to develop stimuli-responsive membranes, which could use triggers such as pH and ionic strength in order to control the permeability of cations. Notwithstanding, the permeability values measured for CA:PDMAEMA membranes were clearly higher than for membranes without PDMAEMA (i.e. pure CA membranes); with a 40-fold increase in permeability observed between pure CA and PDMAEMA containing membranes. Consequently, the results show that CA:PDMAEMA membrane is a promising material for applications where cation flow needs to be controlled.
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