
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Lehtola, Ville V.; Kurkela, Matti; Rönholm, Petri

Erratum: Ville V. Lehtola, et al. Radial Distortion from Epipolar Constraint for Rectilinear Cameras

DOI:
[10.3390/jimaging3030023](https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging3030023)

Published: 23/06/2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Lehtola, V. V., Kurkela, M., & Rönholm, P. (2017, Jun 23). Erratum: Ville V. Lehtola, et al. Radial Distortion from Epipolar Constraint for Rectilinear Cameras. MDPI AG. Journal of Imaging
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging3030023>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Erratum

Erratum: Ville V. Lehtola, et al. Radial Distortion from Epipolar Constraint for Rectilinear Cameras. *J. Imaging* 2017, 3, 8

Ville V. Lehtola ^{1,2,*} , Matti Kurkela ¹ and Petri Rönholm ¹

¹ Department of Built Environment, Aalto University, P.O. Box 15800, 00076 Aalto, Finland; matti.kurkela@aalto.fi (M.K.); petri.ronholm@aalto.fi (P.R.)

² National Land Survey of Finland, Finnish Geospatial Research Institute FGI, PL 84, 00521 Helsinki, Finland

* Correspondence: ville.lehtola@iki.fi

Received: 23 June 2017; Accepted: 23 June 2017; Published: 23 June 2017

Due to a mistake during the production process, the *J. Imaging* Editorial Office and the authors wish to make this correction to the paper written by Lehtola et al. [1]. Extra % symbols were accidentally added. In Table 2 [1], the numbers in 7th column (EPOS η) should be $-0.0052 \pm 5\%$, $-0.0071 \pm 15\%$, $-0.0071 \pm 10\%$, and $-0.0050 \pm 4\%$.

Table 2. EPOS results with real data using a confidence value of 0.99 are compared against the state-of-the-art VisualSFM (VSFM) software.

Data Set	# Images	VSFM #	VSFM f	Reference f	Ref. η	EPOS η	VSFM η
Dipoli	10	10	372.2	361.5	-0.0068	$-0.0052 \pm 5\%$	(-)0.0048
Sofa	9	2	354.6	361.5	-0.0068	$-0.0071 \pm 15\%$	N/A
Combined	3 + 3	3	602.0	361.5	-0.0068	$-0.0071 \pm 10\%$	N/A
Bookshelf	5	5	803.6	821.7	-0.0072	$-0.0050 \pm 4\%$	(-)0.00039

And in the following paragraph, $\eta = -0.0052\% \pm 5\%$ should be $\eta = -0.0052 \pm 5\%$.

The change does not affect the scientific results. We apologize for any inconvenience caused to the readers by this production mistake. The manuscript will be updated and the original will remain online on the article webpage.

Reference

1. Lehtola, V.V.; Kurkela, M.; Rönholm, P. Radial Distortion from Epipolar Constraint for Rectilinear Cameras. *J. Imaging* **2017**, *3*, 8. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).