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Abstract
Glottal volume velocity waveform, the acoustical excitation of voiced speech, cannot be acquired through direct measurements in normal production of continuous speech. Glottal inverse filtering (GIF), however, can be used to estimate the glottal flow from recorded speech signals. Unfortunately, the usefulness of GIF algorithms is limited since they are sensitive to noise and call for high-quality recordings. Recently, efforts have been taken to expand the use of GIF by training deep neural networks (DNNs) to learn a statistical mapping between frame-level acoustic features and glottal pulses estimated by GIF. This framework has been successfully utilized in statistical speech synthesis in the form of the GlottDNN vocoder which uses a DNN to generate glottal pulses to be used as the synthesizer’s excitation waveform. In this study, we investigate how the DNN-based generation of glottal pulses is affected by training data variety. The evaluation is done using both objective measures as well as subjective listening tests of synthetic speech. The results suggest that the performance of the glottal pulse generation with DNNs is affected particularly by how well the training corpus suits GIF; processing low-pitched male speech and sustained phonations shows better performance than processing high-pitched female voices or continuous speech.

Index Terms: glottal inverse filtering, speech synthesis

1. Introduction
The source signal of voiced speech, the glottal volume velocity waveform (also known as the glottal flow), is responsible for generating some of the most essential acoustical cues in speech such as pitch and the type of phonation. In addition, the glottal flow carries information, for example, about the emotional state of the speaker, individual speech characteristics, and possible voice pathologies. Within the human speech production mechanism, the glottal flow is modulated by the resonances of the vocal tract that convey linguistic information. This acoustic linkage of the glottal flow and the vocal tract hinders obtaining direct information about the glottal flow, as direct measurements at the glottis in conjunction with natural speech production are not possible. Glottal inverse filtering (GIF) aims to non-invasively estimate the glottal flow from a recorded microphone speech signal by applying such anti-resonances to the speech signal that cancel the effects of the vocal tract [1].

The utility domain of GIF is, unfortunately, limited, as GIF algorithms are sensitive to noise and call for high-quality recordings [1], which mostly make the use of GIF infeasible outside laboratory conditions. Recently, efforts have been taken to expand the use of GIF by training neural networks (e.g., deep neural networks (DNNs) [2] or long short-term memory networks (LSTMs) [3]) to learn a statistical mapping between frame-level acoustic features and glottal flows estimated by GIF. So far, these networks have mainly been used in statistical speech synthesis within the context of a glottal vocoder [4, 5] where the vocoder parameters are used to generate the underlying glottal flow waveform.

In order to learn a statistical mapping between acoustic features and the corresponding glottal flow, a question about the effects of the training data arises. It is not clear, for instance, whether using a voice specific training as opposite to a multi-speaker training makes a difference in the deep learning-based generation of the glottal flow. Also, the performance of signal processing algorithms (i.e., GIF, glottal closure instant detection) might constrain the variety of the training data.

The goal of this investigation is to study, using both objective and subjective performance measures, how variety of the training data affects the deep learning-based glottal pulse generation. The following section describes first in detail the glottal pulse generation framework. Then the extraction process to collect the training data and its most common error sources are addressed in Section 3. Finally, experiments on the effects of training data variety are reported and analyzed in Sections 4 and 5.

2. Neural network-based glottal pulse generation
The neural network-based glottal pulse generation is based on defining a statistical mapping between a compressed set of frame-level speech features (such as F0 and spectral envelope) and the corresponding glottal flow waveform. Despite constantly improving end-to-end machine learning paradigms (e.g., WaveNet [6]), the task of glottal source estimation, however, cannot be out-sourced entirely to machine learning, as the target glottal flow waveforms that would act as reference in the network training cannot be directly recorded from natural speech [7, 1]. The training of the network thus heavily relies on the estimation of the reference glottal pulses which can be conducted only via GIF. The actual task of the glottal pulse-generating network in turn is just to map frame-level features into outputs computed by GIF. The neural network-based glottal flow
3. Training data extraction with glottal inverse filtering

3.1. Glottal pulse extraction procedure

The training data extraction process for the DNN-based glottal pulse generation is illustrated in Figure 2(a). First, a glottal flow signal is estimated from the input, a voiced speech frame, with GIF and differentiated. (From now on, the differentiated glottal flow is called the voice source). This voice source estimate can be computed with different analysis parameters (e.g., analysis frame length, vocal tract filter order) from the input acoustical parameters. Next, a two-pitch-period segment is selected from the voice source, delimited by glottal closure instants (GCIs) that have been computed with a specific GCI estimation algorithm (e.g., [18]). Finally, the two-pitch-period segment is half-sine windowed and zero-padded from both sides to a constant length. The windowing function must be compatible with the overlap-add procedure (i.e., the same window is used once again after waveform generation) [19]. In this study, the Hann windowing was used but it is also possible to take advantage of more sophisticated overlap-add windowing functions, such as the Kaiser-Bessel derived window function [20]. The zero-paddling is implemented by placing the negative minimum peak (approximately in the middle GCI) of the windowed pulse to the middle of the fixed-length vector. This procedure positions the most important temporal region of the voice source, the so-called “moment of maximum excitation” [21], to appear in a fixed position.

3.2. Error sources on training data extraction

For a given segment of speech, the waveform of the glottal flow estimate depends both on the inherent properties of the GIF algorithm used (e.g., IAIF vs. QCP) or the selected algorithm’s hyper-parameters. The hyper-parameters of the QCP algorithm are the frame length \(w_t\), vocal tract filter order \(p\), GCI estimate vector \(g = [t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n]\) where \(t_n\) denotes the n-th GCI within the frame, and weighting function -related parameters (position quotient \(P\), duration quotient \(D\), and ramp size \(N_r\)) [17]. Since fixed values can be used for the weighting function -related parameters [17], the main hyper-parameters of interest become \(w_t\), \(p\), and \(g\).

3.2.1. Effect of short-time windowing

Speech tempo affects GIF analysis: Fast continuous speech is more problematic compared to slow continuous speech or sustained utterances, because the time-window over which the speech signal can be assumed to be stationary becomes shorter. Since GIF algorithms such as QCP operate under the assumption of stationarity, fast speech should in principle be analyzed with a smaller \(w_t\) value. With shorter analysis frames, however, the accuracy of GIF might deteriorate due to having a smaller number of data samples to define the vocal tract model. Moreover, fast speech is typically combined with high pitch, which is known to be a factor that reduces the accuracy of GIF [17]. As a practical example, it is considerably more difficult to compute accurate glottal flow estimates with GIF from continuous, expressive female speech than from slow male speech of neutral speaking style [22].

Effects caused by varying the frame length \(w_t\) are demonstrated in Figure 2. Two QCP analyses were conducted using the same set of hyper-parameters except for \(w_t\) which was either 50 ms (i.e. applicable for sustained vowels, shown in Figure 2(a)) or 25 ms (i.e. applicable for fast continuous speech, shown in Figure 2(b)). We can see that the glottal flow estimate in Figure 2(b) is distorted by impulse-like artifacts and formant ripple. If this kind of degradation is systematic, it will affect the DNN-based computation of glottal pulses introduced in Section 2 because the training data will bias the underlying DNN to learn distorted glottal flow waveforms.

3.2.2. Glottal closure instant estimation errors

If glottal pulses are estimated by GIF algorithms (such as QCP or traditional closed phase covariance analysis [23]) that call for defining GCIs, one of the main issues affecting the training data extraction process is the accuracy of the GCI estimation. First, in order to compute the vocal tract filter, these GIF algorithms require explicit GCI estimates. Inaccurate GCI estimates can lead to degraded glottal flow estimates akin to those shown in Figure 2(b). More importantly, even though the glot-
3.2.3. Effect of the vocal tract filter order

Finally, the vocal tract filter order \( p \) can have multiple effects. In principle, one pair of complex conjugate poles in the \( z \)-domain can model one formant [24], so the ideal order of principle, one pair of complex conjugate poles in the \( z \)-domain \( p \)

is much larger than the number of resonances, two error sources arise. First, the vocal tract filter of the GIF analysis starts to model the harmonic peaks of the excitation signal, which degrades source-filter separation. Second, tightly packed resonances might get too close to the zero-frequency, which can lead to polarity inversion illustrated in Figure 2(d).

4. Experiments

4.1. Speech databases

In order to understand how training data variety affects the generation of glottal pulses with DNNs, several speech corpora were used. The selected corpora are presented briefly in the following sections.

4.1.1. Blizzard challenge 2012 female voice “Nancy”

The “Nancy” corpus of Blizzard challenge 2012 [25] is a large, high-quality database including speech of a single female talker. This corpus is widely used in the text-to-speech synthesis community. The voice has been recorded by a professional American English voice actor, and it can be described as being on the expressive side of normal continuous speech. From the perspective of GIF, the “Nancy” voice is challenging, because it has \( f_0 \) contours that are both high-valued and rapidly changing.

4.1.2. Hurricane natural speech corpus male voice “Nick”

The “Nick” voice of the Hurricane natural speech corpus [26] is also a high-quality continuous speech corpus that is used in the TTS community. The voice is a British English male producing continuous speech that can be described as normal stable speech. From the perspective of GIF, the “Nick” voice is of the following characteristics: \( f_0 \) is low and the voice is of limited expressiveness and of high recording quality. Therefore, the “Nick” voice provides almost ideal conditions for the glottal pulse extraction in the context of continuous speech.

4.1.3. Voice conversion challenge 2016 database

The Voice Conversion Challenge (VCC) 2016 database [27] is a multi-speaker corpus consisting of 5 male and 5 female speakers. In this corpus, each speaker utters the same sentence set. The voices have been selected to be distinct from each other, which makes this corpus, among the databases of the current study, to be of the largest dynamics in glottal flow characteristics. This variety makes the VCC database challenging for the generation of glottal pulses, as the corpus contains several different and rapidly-changing voices.

4.1.4. Finnish sustained vowel database

As the last corpus, we selected a database of Finnish sustained vowel recordings. The database consists of three female and four male speakers all producing repeated sustained phonations of eight Finnish vowels ([a], [e], [i], [o], [u], [y], [ae], and [oe]) using a breathy, modal or pressed phonation type. The sustained vowel database is an ideal corpus to compute high-quality glottal pulses with GIF. Due to sustained phonation, the signals are stationary, which enables using longer analysis window lengths thus achieving better GIF quality (see Section 3.2.1). However, the acoustic space spanned by the sustained Finnish vowels might not be wide enough to cover the glottal source dynamics present in continuous English speech. The inclusion of multiple modes of phonation aims to reduce this problem by expanding the possible acoustic space. From the perspective of the DNN-based glottal pulse generation, a possible distinction between the sustained vowel database and the continuous speech databases might also be the distribution of glottal pulses: In the sustained vowel database, the number of glottal pulses is balanced between the three different phonation types, whereas in the continuous speech databases, one type of phonation (modal) dominates.
4.2. Glottal pulse generation DNN systems

The speech corpora presented in Section 4.1 were used to train 7 distinct DNNs to generate glottal pulses: “Nancy”, “Nick”, “Nancy+Nick”, “VCC”, “VCC-Male”, “VCC-Female”, and “Sustained”. With this procedure, we aimed to obtain varying systems of interest. The “Nancy” DNN and the “Nick” DNN both correspond to voice-specific training using high-quality continuous speech recordings. The “Nancy+Nick” DNN is trained with a combination of a male voice and a female voice. The VCC corpus is used to build three DNNs: One trained with both genders (the VCC DNN), and two trained in a gender-specific manner (the VCC-Female DNN using female voices, the VCC-Male DNN using male voices). Finally, the sustained vowel database was used to train the Sustained DNN.

The same training data extraction procedure was used for the continuous speech databases: The GlottDNN vocoder [5, 3] was used to extract glottal pulses and parameterize the input using a 25-ms frame length and a 5-ms frame skip with a 16-kHz sampling rate. The vocoder parameters include $f_0$, energy, harmonic-to-noise ratio, vocal tract transfer function LSFs ($p = 30$), and glottal pulse spectral tilt LSFs ($g = 10$). For the sustained vowel database, we used the same input parameterization as for the continuous speech databases, but GIF was computed with a 100-ms frame length and a 100-ms frame skip.

From each complete training data set, 100,000 pulses were randomly selected for the DNN training. The used DNN architecture was a three hidden-layer feed-forward multilayer perceptron with hidden layer sizes of 250, 150, and 250 with sigmoid activations. The output layer size is 500 samples with a linear activation function. Each DNN was trained for 10,000 epochs.

4.3. Objective measures

The glottal pulse generation DNNs described in Section 4.2 were objectively evaluated using the average mean squared error (MSE) between the generated glottal sources and their corresponding references computed by QCP. In this evaluation, we used glottal pulses from test sets taken from the “Nick” and “Nancy” datasets. This arrangement divides DNNs to two categories: The “Nick”, “Nancy”, and “Nick+Nancy” DNNs contain training data from the target voice, which in principle should be reflected positively in the objective evaluation. For the rest of the DNN systems, the target voice is absent from the training data.

The average MSEs with their standard deviations for the performed tests are presented in Figure 3. The results suggest that in terms of MSE, voice-specific training data greatly increases the generation performance, as expected. Among the systems in which the target voice was absent from the training dataset, the sustained vowel database performs best, particularly for the male voice.

4.4. Subjective listening test

The glottal pulse generation DNNs were compared subjectively in analysis-synthesis type of a speech synthesis experiment with the GlottDNN vocoder. The subjective speech synthesis quality was studied with a MUSHRA (multiple stimuli with hidden reference and anchor [28]) test. The anchor samples were generated with a straightforward impulse-train excitation. As in the objective experiment, the “Nick” and “Nancy” voices were tested separately. To limit the test duration, the VCC-male and VCC-female DNNs were omitted. The listening test was implemented using the BeagleJS framework [29], with 10 samples from both speakers. 14 expert listeners participated in the test.

The results of the subjective MUSHRA test with their 95% confidence intervals are presented in Figure 4. For the “Nancy” voice, the listening test results are surprising: The voice-specific glottal pulse generation DNN showed the worst performance out of the compared systems, with the other systems being mostly on par with each other. A possible explanation for this result and for its discrepancy from the objective evaluation shown in Figure 3 is that glottal pulses inverse filtered from the “Nancy” voice might have been distorted due to the challenging acoustical features (such as high $f_0$, rapid tempo etc.) of this voice (see Section 4.1.1). Even though the objective evaluation indicates that the DNN trained with the “Nancy” voice gives the lowest MSE error in generating glottal pulses from speech of “Nancy”, these generated pulses do not give the best excitation waveform for the synthesis.

For the “Nick” voice, the voice-specific DNN is the best performing system, and the VC DNN shows clearly the worst performance. Among the DNNs in which the target speaker was absent from the training data, the “Sustained” DNN was again the best system.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, the DNN-based generation of glottal pulses was addressed by studying how data variety in the network training affects the generation both in terms of objective measures as well as in terms of subjective, analysis-synthesis speech quality. By comparing seven different training platforms, the study suggests that voice-specific training can greatly improve the performance of the glottal pulse generation if high-quality GIF estimates are available. Furthermore, if GIF quality is sacrificed in voice-specific training (e.g., due to having a voice with challenging acoustical features for GIF), the training data should be acquired from such voices that produce the best possible GIF estimates.
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