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To improve the electrical conductivity of negative electrodes of lithium ion batteries, we applied a direct CVD synthesis of carbon nanomaterials on the surface of graphite particles. To prepare a catalyst, two alternative approaches were utilized: colloidal nanoparticles (NPs) and metal (Ni and Co) nitrate salt precursors deposited on the graphite surface. Both colloidal and precursor systems allowed us to produce carbon nanofibers (CNFs) on the graphite surface with high coverage under the optimum CVD conditions. Electrical measurements revealed that the resistivity of the actual electrodes fabricated from CNFs coated graphite particles was about 40% lower compared to the original pristine graphite electrodes.

1. Introduction

Portable power sources have become an essential part of the modern world. Among all possible energy storage batteries, special place belongs to Li-ion batteries (LiBs). The construction of LiBs includes three primary functional parts: the negative and positive electrodes and the electrolyte. The negative electrode (anode) of a conventional LiB is made from graphite particles (GPs). One of the problems of LiB anodes is high electrical contact resistance between the GPs. To overcome this problem, the dimension of the single elements of the material can be reduced down to the nanosize [1]; alternatively one can utilize some other materials such as amorphous Si, Ge or their various combinations [2]. To improve contact resistance between GPs, additional materials could be used. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are one of the foremost contenders for that purpose because of their peculiar structure and unique properties such as high electrical conductivity, tensile strength, flexibility, and chemical stability. Multiwalled [3, 4] or single-walled [5] CNTs have been already demonstrated to be individual anode materials. However, the price and availability of the CNTs at the moment do not allow their wide industrial applications. Carbon nanofibers (CNFs) are much more accessible material with significantly lower price. The main difference between the CNTs and CNFs is their structural arrangement of the graphene layers. CNFs are constructed from stacked cones, cups, or plates of carbon layers, while the layers in the CNTs are always parallel to the tube walls.

In conventional LiBs to improve the electrical conductivity, the cavities between GPs are filled by carbon black [6]. Nevertheless, CNTs/CNFs are expected to be even more efficient conductive fillers [7, 8]. However, one of the largest obstacles to create carbon based composites is the difficulty of obtaining a good dispersion of the carbon nanomaterials in a matrix, because they tend to agglomerate and form bundles
Figure 1: (a) Typical TEM image of CoO nanoparticles and (b) cumulative particle size distribution of CoO nanoparticles synthesized by cation exchange reaction.

[9–12]. Even though the powder ball and rotor millings are simple and straightforward, they do not necessarily lead to good mixing and usually shorten and damage the carbon nanomaterials. Moreover, mixing is a highly time- and energy consuming process. Recently, we have proposed an approach of getting a good dispersion of the carbon nanomaterials in a matrix by their direct synthesis on the surface of matrix particles [13,14]. Here, we applied this approach to synthesise CNTs/CNFs on the surface of GPs to subsequently improve the electrical performance of the LiB electrode. For that purpose, we utilized two different methods to prepare the catalyst particles on the surface of GPs by cation exchange reaction method and by reduction of metal nitrate salts. Afterwards, we investigated the effect of the synthesis conditions on the morphology and crystallinity of the product. And finally, we carried out electrical measurements of the optimized condition sample and compared with the resistivity of the original graphite electrode.

2. Materials and Methods. Graphite particles purchased from Osaka Gas Chemical Co., Ltd. were ca. 20 μm in diameter. Oleylamine (OLA, 70%) and polyethyleneimine (PEI, Mw = 1800) were received from Fluka and Alfa Aesar, respectively. Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O were supplied by Alfa Aesar. Different solvents used (toluene, ethanol, iso-propanol, etc.) were of analytical grade without any additional purification. Products were observed and analyzed using high resolution scanning electron microscope (SEM: JEOL 7500FA or Zeiss Sigma VP) at low voltages (1-2kV), transmission electron microscope (TEM: JEOL 2200FS) with two spherical aberration correctors at the acceleration voltages of 80–200 kV [15].

2.2 Colloidal Catalyst Preparation. Cobalt oxide (CoO) nanoparticles (NPs) used as a catalyst for the synthesis of carbon nanomaterials were prepared by cation exchange reaction with CuO in 1-octadecene solution at 160 °C [16]. As-prepared CoO NPs can be well dispersed in toluene, since they are stabilized by hydrophobic part of the chain of oleylamine (OLA). Typical TEM image of CoO NPs synthesized was shown in Figure 1(a). Median diameter (D₅₀) of 200 CoO NPs measured from TEM images was determined to be 11.7 nm as shown in Figure 1(b).

In order to investigate the effect of the type of CoO suspension on their adsorption onto the surface of GPs, two different suspensions of CoO NPs were utilized. One of the aforementioned was the CoO NPs with OLA dispersed in toluene. The other one is CoO with PEI dispersed in water.

In order to adsorb CoO NPs on the graphite particle surface, the toluene suspensions of CoO NPs with OLA were added to GP toluene suspension and stirred for 1 h. Next, the CoO coated GPs were collected by centrifugation (3000 rpm) and then dried at 105 °C. In the case of CoO stabilized with PEI in water, CoO NPs were added to water suspension of
oxidized GPs and then the CoO coated GPs were obtained by the same way as in the case of CoO with OLA. The weight ratio between CoO \( W_{\text{CoO}} \) and graphite particles \( W_{\text{GPs}} \) to form nanoparticle monolayer on the GP surface can be calculated on the basis of the following equation:

\[
\frac{W_{\text{CoO}}}{W_{\text{GPs}}} = \frac{6\pi D_{\text{CoO}} \rho_{\text{CoO}}}{\sqrt{3} D_{\text{GPs}} \rho_{\text{GPs}}},
\]

where \( D_{\text{CoO}} \) and \( D_{\text{GPs}} \) are diameters of CoO nanoparticles and GPs; \( \rho_{\text{CoO}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{GPs}} \) are the bulk densities of CoO and GPs, respectively. The weight ratio between CoO NPs and GPs was calculated to be 17.7 mg of CoO nanoparticles per 1.0 g of graphite.

In the case of CoO with PEI, to examine the effect of graphite surface, GPs were treated with HNO\(_3\). First, pristine GPs were mixed with 90% HNO\(_3\) solution for 30 min and then collected by filtration. Next, filtered particles were washed by water and heated up to 550 \(^\circ\)C in a muffle furnace for 15 min to remove residual HNO\(_3\). By this treatment, the surface of GPs was oxidized and covered with functional groups (–OH, –COOH), which could be subsequently used for anchoring CoO NPs. Hereafter, CoO with PEI on pristine GPs, CoO with PEI on HNO\(_3\) treated GPs, and CoO with OLA on pristine GPs are denoted by G1, G2, and G3, respectively. For sample G4, to remove residual OLA and open the surface of CoO, the additional oxidation step for 15 min at 600 \(^\circ\)C was applied under an air atmosphere.

Schematics of catalyst coating methods on the graphite surface for all samples are summarized in Figure 2. In the cases of G1 and G2, it is likely that catalyst NPs were adsorbed on the graphite surface by electrostatic adsorption in water due to positively charged NPs and negatively charged GPs in water. In the cases of G3 and G4, the electrostatic attractive force could not be acted strongly between GPs and CoO with OLA, because the surface charge of colloidal particles in aprotic and low-polarity organic solvent (toluene) is generally very low; however wetting the hydrophobic graphite surface by toluene is much higher than in water.

### 2.3. Catalyst Impregnated from Metal Nitrates

Catalyst on the GP surface was also prepared from metal nitrate solution. Additionally, two kinds of graphite particles were used for the experiment. One was pristine GPs and the other one was oxidized GPs. The oxidized GPs were prepared by introducing graphite particles into a tube furnace heated at 850 \(^\circ\)C in N\(_2\) and O\(_2\) atmosphere (∼5 vol. % O\(_2\)). Then, 5.0 wt. % Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) 6H\(_2\)O or 5.0 wt. % Ni(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) 6H\(_2\)O ethanol solution was stirred with GPs for 15 min and then the particles were filtered and dried at 70 \(^\circ\)C. Ni salt solution impregnated GPs and Co based solution impregnated oxidized GPs are denoted by G5 and G6, respectively.

### 2.4. Growth of Carbon Nanomaterials

The CVD growth of carbon nanomaterials on the GP surface was carried out at 900 \(^\circ\)C for 15 min for all samples (except 1 h for sample G3). During the CVD growth, the flow rate of hydrogen (H\(_2\)) and ethylene (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)) was, respectively, maintained at 700 and \(13\text{ cm}^3/\text{min}\). Prior to the CVD synthesis, the samples were first exposed to an inert Ar atmosphere (500 cm\(^3/\)min), which was replaced by an H\(_2\) flow for 5 min to reduce CoO. After that, C\(_2\)H\(_4\) was introduced into the CVD reactor. In some cases, H\(_2\) and C\(_2\)H\(_4\) mixture was directly introduced after the Ar purging. The samples G3 and G4, prior to the synthesis, were first oxidized at 600 \(^\circ\)C for 5 min in an air atmosphere to clean the CoO particle surface from the OLA layer.

### 2.5. Electrical Resistivity Measurement of Negative Electrodes

To examine the electrical property of the electrode, the resistivity of the samples fabricated from the pristine GPs and sample G6 with synthesized carbon nanomaterials was measured by using 4-probe technique. To prepare...
the electrodes, GPs were mixed with poly(vinylidene fluoride) (binder, PVDF) and N-methylpyrrolidone (dispersion medium, NMP) with the weight ratio of the components (GPs:PVDF:NMP) of 9:1:10. Then, the resulting mixture was coated on a Cu foil and dried at 180°C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology of the Catalyst and Carbon Nanomaterials on GPs. Before the CVD process, the deposition states of colloidal and nitrate catalyst on the graphite surface were observed by SEM. In the case of sample G1, almost no catalyst nanoparticles were observed on the graphite surface (Figure 3(b)). The amount of adsorbed catalyst particles was increased by the treatment with HNO$_3$ (sample G2: Figure 3(c)), since the graphite surface charge in water could be increased by oxidation. On the other hand, in the case of sample G3, many CoO NPs were adsorbed on the graphite surface (Figure 3(d)). This suggested that the degree of an attractive interaction between untreated graphite surface and CoO with OLA (G3) was higher than for G2. However, in the case of G3, CoO NPs were found as aggregated particles around steps and terraces on graphite surface. In case of metal nitrate system, no visible catalyst particles were observed on the surfaces of both G5 and G6 in SEM.

In order to achieve effective connection between GPs in the actual negative electrodes, both the product morphology and the surface coverage of carbon nanomaterials on the graphite surface are important. After the CVD synthesis, it was found that all the investigated samples were covered by CNFs with the average diameter of about 30 nm and sometimes by inactive catalyst particles covered by a graphitic layer.

For sample G1, the surface coverage of the synthesized CNFs was quite lower than that of all other samples. This low coverage is due to the low adsorption of CoO NPs on the graphite surface.

In the case of sample G2, the surface coverage of the generated carbon nanomaterials on graphite surface was significantly higher. This increase in the surface coverage can be explained due to the oxidative treatment of graphite with HNO$_3$. After the HNO$_3$ treatment, the presence of surface functional groups on GPs resulted in more effective CoO NPs adsorption on the surface of GPs. However, even in this case, the surface coverage of synthesized carbon nanomaterials on the graphite surface was quite low.

In the case of samples G3 and G4, the surface coverage of the synthesized carbon nanomaterials on the GPs was higher compared to samples G1 and G2. In comparison to the aqueous colloidal systems (where surfaces of CoO with PEI and GPs are hydrophilic and hydrophobic, resp.), the toluene based colloidal systems (where both surfaces of CoO with OLA and GPs are hydrophobic) were found to better suit for the catalyst adsorption. Most likely the attractive interaction between CoO NPs and the surface of GPs for sample G3 was stronger than that for G2. It should be noted...
Figure 4: Typical SEM and TEM images of the product synthesized on the surface of GPs in (a, b) sample G1; (c, d) sample G2; (e, f) sample G3; (g, h) sample G4; (i, j) sample G5 and (k, l) sample G6. All SEM and TEM images are, respectively, shown at similar magnifications corresponding to scale bars presented in (l) SEM and (k) TEM images.

that for the O$_2$-oxidized sample G4 in the CVD product, some admixture of multiwalled CNTs was observed (upper left in Figure 4(h)). We believe that it could be explained by catalytic oxidation process of carbon by oxygen during 15 min annealing at 600$^\circ$C. The catalytic activity of transition metal oxides was observed for carbon oxidation [19] and this process could create cavities on the GP surface which prevent sintering metal particles during CVD process and allowed to grow the CNTs with a good crystallinity.

For the nitrate-based catalyst systems (G5 and G6), the surface coverage of the synthesized CNFs on GPs was the highest. It is worth noting that the diameter of generated CNFs was almost equivalent to G3 (colloidal catalyst system) implying the same catalyst particle size.

It is worth noting that the product synthesized of the surface of GPs can be also altered by changing the order of the supplied gases. For instance, when sample G3 was first introduced in an H$_2$ atmosphere, which was subsequently switched to the H$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ mixture, no tubular nanomaterials were produced on the graphite surface. TEM images of the catalyst particles indicated that they were covered with the graphitic layers (Figure 5(a)) and the surface of GPs was etched by the catalyst NPs similarly to [19, 20]. In the previous studies, the catalyst particle dissociates molecular hydrogen, which reacts with carbon [8, 20]. The resulting etched channel generated on the graphite surface is shown in Figure 5(b).

However, when the H$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ mixture was introduced right after the inert Ar atmosphere, the product considerable changed (Figure 4(e)). High coverage of the GPs by the CNFs was obtained. Similar results were found for all of other samples. To get the highest coverage of the CNFs on the GP surface, the mixture of H$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ should be introduced right after the Ar purging process.

3.2. Electrical Conductivity of Prepared Electrodes. Finally, negative electrodes were prepared from the pristine graphite and one of the CNFs coated GP samples. Sample G6 was selected for testing, since this sample contained the highest coverage of CNFs. Figure 6 shows the relationship between the electrical resistivity of the negative electrodes and the load. The electrical resistivity of both electrodes exponentially decreased with increasing the loading force. Moreover, these results revealed that the electrical resistivity of the electrode prepared from modified GP surface was lower than that of pristine graphite in the pressure range from 2 to 100 kg/cm$^2$. It was found that the reduction in resistivity was about 40% at 50 kg/cm$^2$. This notable decrease in the electrical resistivity of electrode prepared from sample G6 can be explained by higher efficiency in the electrical connection between the GPs, which was caused by produced CNFs.

The battery power performance can be discussed based on the relationship between the electrical resistivity of the
4. Conclusion

To improve the electrical conductivity of GPs in the Li-ion negative electrodes, we directly synthesized carbon nanomaterials on the surface of GPs. To prepare the catalyst, two alternative approaches were utilized: colloidal nanoparticles (NPs) and metal (Ni and Co) nitrate salt precursors deposited on the graphite surface. The most suitable conditions for the synthesis of CNFs and CNTs with the highest coverage were found at 900°C with cobalt nitrate precursor impregnated oxidized GPs, when the mixture of H₂ and C₂H₄ was introduced right after Ar purging process. The negative electrode prepared from this optimum sample showed noticeable resistivity decrease down to ~40% at 50 kg/m² loaded pressure.
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