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Native vacancies in Te-doped \((5 \times 10^{16} - 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3})\) GaAs were investigated by means of positron lifetime and Doppler-broadening coincidence spectroscopy. The experimental data were related to theoretical calculations of the positron lifetime and the annihilation momentum distribution. Monovacancies were observed in all Te-doped GaAs samples under study. It will be shown that they can directly be identified to be Ga-vacancy–TeAs-donor complexes. These complexes are the dominating type of vacancy defects in the doping range under observation. [S0163-1829(99)07327-0]

Vacancies may determine important properties of semiconductor materials like GaAs. They mediate, e.g., dopant diffusion\(^1\) or reduce the density of free carriers.\(^2\)\(^3\) The detailed microscopic identification of vacancies and vacancy complexes in GaAs was, however, found to be difficult. Most theoretical calculations\(^4\)\(^5\) as well as diffusion studies\(^1\) indicate a dominating role of negative Ga vacancies in \(n\)-doped GaAs. In contrast, a recent calculation showed that also the As vacancy could be an abundant defect in highly \(n\)-doped GaAs due to a low formation energy.\(^6\) Moreover, pairing of acceptorlike vacancies with positive donors is expected due to Coulomb attraction. Evidence for such complexes is based on photoluminescence,\(^7\) infrared absorption,\(^2\) and theoretical considerations of the doping behavior.\(^3\) Recently, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) directly identified Si\(_{\text{Ga}}\)-donor–Ga-vacancy complexes on cleavage planes of highly Si-doped GaAs (Ref. 8) later shown to be present with the same density in the bulk.\(^9\) To our knowledge no such direct identification has been obtained so far for other \(n\) dopants, e.g., tellurium. Positron annihilation, however, is directly sensitive to vacancies. Positron lifetime spectroscopy indeed showed the existence of native vacancies in \(n\)-doped GaAs.\(^10\)\(^12\) However, the positron lifetime measurement (which probes mainly the open volume) is not alone able to identify the defects as a given isolated arsenic\(^10\) or gallium\(^11\) vacancy, or as a vacancy-impurity complex.\(^12\)

One possibility to overcome the difficulty mentioned above is the investigation of the positron annihilation momentum distribution. The high momentum part of this distribution can be used to identify the chemical surrounding of the annihilation site.\(^13\)\(^16\) This is based on the fact that tightly bound core electrons with high momenta retain their element-specific properties even in a solid. This allows the identification of vacancies and vacancy-impurity complexes, especially when measurements are correlated to calculations of the momentum distribution.\(^13\) The coincident detection of both 511-keV \(\gamma\) quanta from single annihilation events allows the observation of the high momentum annihilation distribution due to a strong reduction of the disturbing background.\(^13\)\(^16\) Ga vacancies in highly Si-doped GaAs were identified using that Doppler-broadening coincidence technique.\(^17\) The experiment could, however, not decide whether the vacancies are isolated or a part of a complex because the Si\(_{\text{Ga}}\) donor on the second nearest site is not expected to contribute much to the annihilation.\(^17\) Thus, the identification of dopant-vacancy complexes in GaAs by positron annihilation is still an open question.

Tellurium is incorporated in the As sublattice only.\(^3\) If pairing with neighboring Ga vacancies occurs, a measurable contribution to the annihilation is expected, i.e., an identification of this complex could be possible. However, the momentum distribution for the vacancy cannot be undoubtedly determined when the fraction of trapped positrons (\(\eta\)) is unknown. Therefore we use correlated positron lifetime measurements to obtain \(\eta\).\(^14\) The experimental results are compared with theoretical calculations of the annihilation characteristics to obtain a safe interpretation.

The samples studied were cut from Te-doped GaAs crystals grown by the liquid encapsulated Czochralski technique. The carrier concentration was \(n = 5 \times 10^{16}, 5 \times 10^{17}, 1.5 \times 10^{18}, \text{ and } 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\). The crystals were investigated as-received as well as annealed at 1100 °C under high (5.6 bar) arsenic pressure. The annealing conditions were chosen to maintain arsenic-rich stoichiometry and result in a maximum vacancy density for a given crystal.\(^18\) The material with \(n = 5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) was not annealed. Highly Si-doped GaAs (\([\text{Si}] = 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ and } 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}\)) was investigated for comparison since \(V_{\text{Ga-Si}}\) complexes were identified by STM in samples from the same wafers.\(^9\) Positron lifetime spectroscopy was performed using a conventional system (time reso-
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FIG. 1. Average positron lifetime $\tau_{av}$ (a) and defect-related lifetime $\tau_{def}$ (b) vs measurement temperature in Te-doped GaAs compared to a GaAs:Zn reference. Some samples were annealed (2- and $5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ at 1100 °C for 1 h, $5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ at 1100 °C for 24 h) under 5.6 bar As-vapor pressure. The other samples are as received. Spectra decomposition was not reliable for $T > 350$ K for the $5 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ doped sample ($\tau_{av}$ is close to $\tau_d$). Lines are fits according to the trapping model (see text).


FIG. 2. Normalized $W$ parameter vs average positron lifetime in differently Te- and Si-doped GaAs measured at 300 K. Lines are linear fits to the respective data. The annihilation parameters are indicated for the vacancies in GaAs:Te ($W = 0.76, \tau = 254$ ps) and for $V_{Ga-Si}$ complexes in GaAs:Si ($W = 0.73, \tau = 262$ ps) according to the trapping model.
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FIG. 3. (a) High momentum part of the positron annihilation momentum distribution (normalized by taking the ratio to a GaAs:Zn reference) for the vacancies in GaAs:Te and GaAs:Si. The spectra (total area $3.5 \times 10^7$ counts) were brought to unity and scaled to full trapping at the vacancies before normalization. Lines result from smoothing and serve to guide the eye only. (b) Ratio of the momentum density to bulk GaAs for different vacancies in GaAs from theoretical calculations. The curves for VGa-TeAs and VGa-SiGa complexes are highlighted to emphasize the good agreement to the respective experimental data in GaAs:Te and GaAs:Si. The theoretical curves are not accurate for $p_L < 15 \times 10^{-3} m_e c$ (Ref. 14) and hence are omitted.

\[ (254 \pm 3) \text{ ps} \text{ to be } W_d = 0.76(1) \text{. A similar linear variation as for GaAs:Te is found for GaAs:Si, but the slope is slightly different for the different dopants. The characteristic annihilation parameters for the SiGa-VGa complexes are } x_d = (262 \pm 2) \text{ ps and } W_d = 0.73(1) \text{, different from the values found in GaAs:Te. This indicates a different defect type. The detailed observation of the annihilation momentum distribution shown below allows to relate the differences to the presence of a Te atom close to a Ga vacancy in GaAs:Te.}

In Fig. 3(a) the high-momentum part of the annihilation momentum distribution is shown for the vacancies in GaAs:Te$[5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ and GaAs:Si$[4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$. The data are normalized by taking the ratio to a GaAs:Zn reference since the momentum distribution itself spans several orders of magnitude, making a detailed comparison difficult. The core annihilation is more intense in GaAs:Te than in GaAs:Si in the momentum range $p_L = (10 - 22.5) \times 10^{-3} m_e c$. Note that the high vacancy concentrations in our samples are necessary to obtain this result, since the high fraction ($>90\%$) of trapped positrons allows the estimation of the momentum distribution with small uncer-
tainties. The momentum distribution at the vacancies in GaAs:Te differs also in shape, it decays steeper (or, equivalent, it is narrower) than that in GaAs:Si. This results in a crossover at $p_L \approx 22.5 \times 10^{-3} m_e c$ [Fig. 3(a)].

The observations of the momentum distribution can be explained as follows. In bulk GaAs, the dominating contribution to the core annihilation comes from Ga-3d electrons ($Z = 31$). The As-3d electrons ($Z = 33$) are more tightly bound, i.e., the momentum distribution is broader and the intensity of the core annihilation is reduced. Positron annihila-
tion at the SiGa-VGa complexes in GaAs:Si occurs mainly with 3d electrons from As. Thus, the momentum distribution should be broader compared to the bulk. This is, in fact, observed in Fig. 3(a). In contrast, at As vacancies the moment-
distribution should be narrower and more intense because annihilation occurs mainly with the Ga-3d electrons.

In tellurium the main contribution to the core annihilation comes from 4d electrons that are less strongly bound than the As-3d electrons in GaAs. They contribute therefore to the core annihilation more at lower momenta and have a steeper momentum distribution. A similar difference has been noted earlier by comparing results from bulk InP, GaSb, and GaAs (Ref. 14) and for Zn-impurity P-vacancy complexes in InP. The shape of the momentum distribution measured in GaAs:Te therefore indicates that the vacancies are neighbored by Te atoms. Because Te resides on the As sublattice, the vacancy must be on the Ga sublattice. Thus we identify the vacancies in GaAs:Te to be Ga-vacancy–TeAs complexes. This assignment explains also the reduced positron lifetime at the vacancies in GaAs:Te since the large Te atom is expected to decrease the open volume of the neighboring Ga vacancy compared to that in the VGa-SiGa complex.
TABLE I. Theoretically calculated positron lifetime and $W$ parameter (relative to the bulk) for different vacancies and vacancy complexes in GaAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vacancy</th>
<th>$\tau$ (ps)</th>
<th>$W_{\text{rel}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaAs bulk</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_{\text{As}}$</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_{\text{Ga}}$</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{Ga}}$-Si$</em>{\text{Ga}}$</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{Ga}}$-Te$</em>{\text{As}}$</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{Ga}}$-Ga$</em>{\text{As}}$</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{As}}$-Te$</em>{\text{As}}$</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{As}}$-V$</em>{\text{Ga}}$</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{Ga}}$-Te$</em>{\text{As}}$-V$_{\text{Ga}}$</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$<em>{\text{As}}$-V$</em>{\text{Ga}}$-Te$_{\text{As}}$</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

detail in Ref. 14. Therefore, a slightly too high $W$ parameter may result for the As vacancy. However, the calculated positron lifetime at V$_{\text{As}}$ (which is less influenced by the difficulties of the calculation mentioned above) is similar to that of V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Si$_{\text{Ga}}$ whereas $\tau_d$ measured in GaAs:Te is lower. The calculations give thus no support to identify the vacancy in GaAs:Te to be V$_{\text{As}}$. Similar arguments apply for the (hypothetical) Te$_{\text{As}}$-V$_{\text{As}}$ complex which could be formed if As vacancies were present. Divacancies or divacancy-Te$_{\text{As}}$ complexes, on the other hand, have higher calculated positron lifetimes than V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Si$_{\text{Ga}}$ (Table I).

The calculated momentum distribution of isolated Ga vacancies has the same shape as the V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Si$_{\text{Ga}}$ complexes [Fig. 3(b)] only with slightly increased intensity. Moreover, the calculated positron lifetime of V$_{\text{Ga}}$ agrees with that of this complex ($\tau_d \sim 267$ ps). For these reasons we exclude isolated Ga vacancies as the ones detected in GaAs:Te. It is then interesting to look for the V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Ga-antisite complex which could, according to theory, be an abundant defect in Ga-rich, $n$-type GaAs. However, the shape of annihilation momentum distribution as well as the lifetime (267 ps) calculated for this defect disagrees with the experiment [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)].

The last defect to be discussed is the V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Te$_{\text{As}}$ complex. The calculated lifetime for this complex (261 ps) is 6 ps lower, whereas the $W$ parameter (0.75) is higher than that of V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Si$_{\text{Ga}}$(0.72). This is in good agreement to the experimental results. Moreover, the momentum distribution calculated for the V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Te$_{\text{As}}$ complex is very similar to the distribution measured in Te-doped GaAs: the intensity is increased in the momentum range $p_L = (10 - 22.5) \times 10^{-3} m_0 c$ and a crossover with the momentum distribution for V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Si$_{\text{Ga}}$ occurs at about $23 m_0 c$. Thus, the theoretical calculations strongly support the identification of the vacancies in GaAs:Te to be Ga-vacancy–Te$_{\text{As}}$-donor complexes.

Finally, we address the correlation between doping and vacancy concentration suggested by this identification. The vacancy density can be most reliably estimated from $\tau_d$ at high temperatures where the influence of negative ions is negligible. Using the relation $[V_{\text{Ga}}-\text{Te}_{\text{As}}] = (\tau_d - \tau_0) [\mu_{\text{e}} D_0 (v - \tau_0)]$ and a trapping coefficient $\mu_{\text{v}} = 10^{11}/(7300 K)^{1/2} s^{-1}$ (Refs. 9, 12, and 19), we obtain increasing vacancy densities of 0.2–0.4; 0.8–1; 3–4; and 10–20 $\times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ with increasing doping concentration. The last value is a lower limit estimation due to saturated positron trapping. With the exception of the lowest doped sample, the ratio between vacancy and electron concentration is almost about $\frac{1}{3} ... \frac{1}{11}$. The same relation between doping and vacancy concentration in GaAs:Te has been found earlier. In that work, the carrier compensation commonly observed in GaAs:Te (Ref. 3) was interpreted as being to a large part due to dopant-vacancy complexes. The present work confirms this interpretation by the direct identification of V$_{\text{Ga}}$-Te$_{\text{As}}$ complexes.

In summary, we applied positron lifetime and Doppler-broadening coincidence spectroscopy to study vacancies in Te-doped GaAs. We showed that the native vacancies in GaAs:Te can directly be identified to be Ga-vacancy–Te$_{\text{As}}$-donor complexes. This assignment is strongly supported by theoretical calculations. No other type of vacancies could be detected for carrier densities between $5 \times 10^{16}$ and $5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., dopant-vacancy complexes rather than isolated vacancies are the dominating ones in sufficiently high $n$-doped, As-rich GaAs. It was demonstrated that even small differences in the positron signals can be used to obtain a direct and unambiguous identification of vacancies or vacancy complexes in GaAs thus helping to resolve former conflicting interpretations.
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