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We have studied the single-electron transport spectrum of a quantum dot in GaAs/AlGaAs resonant tunneling device. The measured spectrum has irregularities indicating a broken circular symmetry. We model the system with an external potential consisting of a parabolic confinement and a negatively charged Coulombic impurity placed in the vicinity of the quantum dot. The model leads to good agreement between the calculated single-electron eigenenergies and the experimental spectrum. Furthermore, we use the spin-density-functional theory to study the energies and angular momenta when the system contains many interacting electrons. In the high magnetic field regime the increasing electron number is shown to reduce the distortion induced by the impurity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The tunability in size, shape, and electron number of semiconductor quantum dots (QD) provides numerous technological applications as well as interesting many-electron physics. In actual QD devices, the effects induced by impurities or donor scattering centers may be remarkable. In most cases, irregularities in samples have only an indirect influence on the many-body structure, complicating the identification of the origin behind the peculiar behavior in the measured characteristics of QD’s.

A clean quantum dot typically shows single-electron energy levels reminiscent of the well-known Fock-Darwin energy spectrum corresponding to a parabolic confining potential. Adding external impurities into the QD breaks the circular symmetry of the system, leading to avoided crossings and liftings of the degeneracies in the single-electron energy spectrum. This was demonstrated by Halonen et al., who studied theoretically QD’s distorted by repulsive Gaussian scattering centers. However, even if clear traces of the Fock-Darwin spectrum have been obtained experimentally in both lateral and vertical quantum dots, there is, to the best of our knowledge, no direct experimental evidence of repulsive impurities present in QD structures. Instead, states bound to hydrogenic impurities, probably arising from Si dopant atoms in the GaAs quantum well, were found already by Ashoori and co-workers in their pioneering single-electron tunneling experiment. These impurities have been suggested to be sources of pair-tunneling states, theoretically analyzed with a superimposed attractive 1/r-type potential.

Theoretically, the distortion of the circular symmetry makes the many-electron problem particularly complex to solve, especially in the presence of an external magnetic field. In the above-mentioned study, Halonen et al. applied exact diagonalization up to three electrons and focused on the effects of impurities on the energy levels and optical absorption spectra. Recently, Güçlü and co-workers performed diffusion quantum Monte Carlo calculations on QD’s distorted by randomly distributed Gaussian scatterers and studied the energetics up to ten electrons. They found that in these systems the transitions between the many-body states are considerably less pronounced than in clean dots. Hirose and Wingreen have used the spin-density-functional theory (SDFT) to examine the energies and spin states in disordered QD’s as a function of the interaction strength in zero magnetic field. Besides additional scatterers, noncircular QD’s have attracted general interest in connection with the chaotic properties or the behavior in the high magnetic field limit.

In this paper we present a measured single-electron transport spectrum where avoided crossings and lifted degeneracies are clearly observable. We reproduce the spectrum with an appropriate model potential, showing that the unexpected effects in the spectrum result from a negatively charged Coulombic impurity located near the QD. The many-electron properties studied by the SDFT reflect the strongly distorted single-electron spectrum. The variation of the impurity location shows the stability of the maximum-density droplet (MDD) and the screening of the impurity by electrons.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we briefly describe the fabrication of the sample and report the transport measurement. In Sec. III the theoretical model describing the physical system is given and the single-electron calculations are compared to the experiment. In Sec. V the many-electron properties, i.e., chemical potentials, MDD stability, and total magnetization are studied with the SDFT. The paper is summarized in Sec. VI.

II. EXPERIMENT

The heterostructure consists of a 10 nm wide GaAs quantum well sandwiched between two AlGaAs-tunneling barriers of 5 and 8 nm, see Fig. 1. The contacts are formed by 0.5 μm thick GaAs layers highly doped with Si up to 4 × 10^{17} cm^{-3} and separated from the active region by 7 nm thin spacer layers of undoped GaAs. Our sample was defined as a mesa of 40 μm size. We carried out direct-current measurements of the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics in a He^3-refrigerator at 350 mK base temperature in magnetic fields up to 14 T.
levels, we are able to observe broken energy degeneracies at visible in Fig. 2. In contrast to ordinary Fock-Darwin energy quantum well of our device. Several energy levels are clearly
sumably a growth-induced potential minimum in the GaAs can be interpreted as single-electron energies of a local, pre-
tum dot formed in a local potential minimum. The black
edge with temperature, and the onset voltage is fitted to be
V 0 = 172 mV. The energy levels E n,i correspond to high differential conductance G =dI/dV. They trace the position of the single-electron energy states E n,i of the spectrum according to a relation

\[ V_{n,i} = V_0 + 1/(ea)E_{n,i}, \]

where the energy-voltage conversion factor a equals 0.4, determined from measurements of the broadening of the step edge with temperature, and the onset voltage is fitted to be V 0 = 172 mV. The energy levels V n,i in the transport spectrum can be interpreted as single-electron energies of a local, presumably a growth-induced potential minimum in the GaAs quantum well of our device. Several energy levels are clearly visible in Fig. 2. In contrast to ordinary Fock-Darwin energy levels, we are able to observe broken energy degeneracies at B=0 T and strong anticrossing effects in the spectrum.

III. MODELING THE QUANTUM DOT

We expect the quantum well confined in the GaAs layer to have a negligible degree of freedom for electrons in the vertical direction. Our model system is thus strictly two-dimensional (2D) and defined to be located on the xy plane. The single-electron Hamiltonian is written as

\[ h(r) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ p + eA(r) \right]^2 + V_{\text{conf}}(r) + V_{\text{imp}}(r), \]

where we use the effective-mass approximation (EMA) with m* = 0.067 m e, which is the typical value for electrons moving in GaAs. In a symmetric gauge the vector potential reads as A=B/2(−y,x,0), giving the external magnetic field B = B z \perp perpendicular to the QD plane. The Zeeman energy is omitted in Eq. (2) since the spin splitting is not visible in the energy levels shown in Fig. 2 for the magnetic fields applied.

The confining potential V_{\text{conf}}(r) is expected to be parabolic near the center of the dot. However, we soften the edges of the dot by changing the sign of the paraboloid at a certain cusp radius r_c, giving

\[ V_{\text{conf}}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} m^* \omega_0^2 r^2, & r \leq r_c \\ m^* \omega_0^2 \left[s(r-r_c)^2 - r_c \left(\frac{r_c}{2} - r\right)\right], & r > r_c, \end{cases} \]

where the parameter s defines the strength of the rounding term. As shown below, the softening of the confinement is crucial in obtaining a good agreement with the experimental energy spectrum.

We expect the impurity to be described by a negatively charged particle located in the vicinity of the quantum well. The impurity potential can thus be written in a Coulombic form as

\[ V_{\text{imp}}(r) = \frac{e|q|}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon\sqrt{(r-R)^2 + d^2}}, \]

where q is the (negative) charge of the impurity particle, \( \varepsilon = 12.7 \) is the dielectric constant for GaAs, and R and d are the lateral and vertical distances of the impurity from the QD center, respectively. Figure 3 shows the total external confinement of the model system, V_{\text{ext}}=V_{\text{conf}}+V_{\text{imp}}, and a sketch of the expected configuration.

To calculate the single-electron spectrum, we solve the discretized eigenvalue problem \( h\psi_i = e_i\psi_i \) numerically on a two-dimensional (2D) point grid using a Rayleigh quotient multigrid method. Figure 4 shows the resulting spectrum (dashed lines) compared to the experimental data (repeated from Fig. 2). The energies are converted to voltages according to Eq. (1), and the model parameters are adjusted (see the discussion below) until the agreement between the experiment and the model is as good as possible. The simulation places the avoided crossings between the energy levels very close to the correct positions. There are still considerable deviations in the 5th and 6th levels but, for example, the 7th level agrees almost perfectly through the magnetic-field regime presented. The differences at high fields between the
experimental data and the simulation result from the shift of the chemical potential of the emitter to higher energies with increasing magnetic field.

In calculating the energy spectrum shown in Fig. 4, we optimize the potential parameters corresponding to the best possible fit to the experimental data. The confinement is then defined by $v_0 = 13.8$ meV, $r_c = 15.5$ nm, and $s = -0.2$, and the impurity parameters are given by $q = -2 \, e$, $R = 14.5$ nm, and $d = 2$ nm. There is naturally some uncertainty in the parameters due to the rather similar scaling of $V_{\text{ext}}$ with respect to $|q|$ and $d$. In addition, the exact value for $\varepsilon$ describing the screening of the impurity is not known. We used the simplest assumption, i.e., the same value for $\varepsilon$ that is applied with the EMA to screen the electron-electron interactions for the 2D electron gas in GaAs. This fixation yields $q = -2 \, e$ for the best fit. In reality, however, the screening may be reduced so that a single impurity charge is also possible.

Thus, for this particular sample we determine the following characteristic features of the system from the model potential $V_{\text{ext}}$: (i) The impurity is presumably an ionized single or double-acceptor distorting the QD, possibly a substitutional or interstitial Si atom migrated through the relatively thin spacer layer; (ii) the impurity is located very close to the QD plane, probably lying in the 10 nm thick GaAs layer (see Fig. 1). This could lead to the above mentioned reduced value for the real $\varepsilon$; (iii) the confinement strength $h\omega_0$ is approximately three times larger than the values typically used (3–5 meV) for modeling parabolic QD’s. This is due to the growth-induced formation of the QD in the absence of gates around the sample; and (iv) for the same reason, the confinement becomes softer toward the edges of the dot. Hence, the rounding at $r \approx r_c$ in $V_{\text{conf}}$ is required to compress the highest states in agreement with the experimental spectrum.

We remark that until now we have analyzed several samples showing a, Fock-Darwin-like spectrum and found only two spectra with clear level repulsion. In this paper, we focused on this particular sample due to the high quality of the transport spectrum.

**IV. SHAPE DEPENDENCE**

To clarify the sensitivity of the single-electron spectrum on the shape of the model potential, we compare in Fig. 5 the eigenenergies given by the chosen model (thick lines) to those of a model QD without the rounded edges (thin lines) and to those of a corresponding clean model dot without $V_{\text{imp}}$ but with the rounding term (dashed lines). The level repulsion is clearly induced by the Coulombic impurity that breaks the circular symmetry of the QD and couples the configurations corresponding to different levels. A statistical analysis of the energy-level spacings would enlighten the quantum chaotic properties of the system but it is not included in this study. It should be noticed that the sequence of the avoided crossings seen in the spectrum (Figs. 4 and 5) is determined by the distance of the distortion from the QD center. In the high-field limit, however, the system becomes...
The rounding term in $V_{conf}$ has the strongest influence on the levels with the highest angular momenta, and the cusp at $r_c$ induces also a weak decoupling of the degeneracies at $B = 0$ T. We remark that the eigenenergies for the clean case are lifted in Fig. 5 by 14 meV for clarity. The Coulombic impurity in the vicinity of the QD thus has a strong effect on the eigenenergies. This tendency is also apparent in the many-electron properties studied below.

V. MANY-ELECTRON PROPERTIES

Next we study situations that the quantum dot described by the best fitting parameters above contains up to six interacting electrons. Even if the many-electron case has not yet been experimentally realized for this particular QD, we find it important to predict how the increasing electron number changes the effects of the impurity on the ground-state properties.

The problem is now described by the $N$-electron Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i + g^* \mu_B B s_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|},$$

(5)

where the single-electron part $h_i$ is given by Eq. (2) and the Zeeman energy is taken into account with $g^* = -0.22$ for the effective gyromagnetic ratio in GaAs. This has been measured to be a realistic value for a similar system.

According to our experience, it is the most accurate parametrization for finite 2D electron systems in the zero-field limit. We solve the discretized KS equations in real space without implicit symmetry restrictions, which allows us a total freedom in shaping the external potential. The numerical process of solving the effective single-electron Schrödinger equation is accelerated with the Rayleigh quotient multigrid method.

Our earlier calculations for rectangular and parabolic QD’s show that our SDFT scheme produces energies in a good accordance with the quantum Monte Carlo results. We have also noticed that the current-spin-density-functional theory (CSDFT) does not represent a qualitative improvement over the SDFT in small quantum-dot systems. A detailed comparison between the SDFT and CSDFT for a six-electron QD can be found in Ref. 20.

A. Energies

Figure 6 shows the chemical potentials $\mu(N) = E(N) - E(N-1)$, calculated in clean (dashed lines) and impurity-containing (solid lines) quantum dot up to six electrons. The dotted lines denote the borders for the full spin polarization. The other spin-state domains are also marked above and below the curves corresponding to the clean and impurity-containing cases, respectively.

The clean case, however, occasional pairing of $\mu(N+1)$ and $\mu(N-1)$ is clearly observable, e.g., with $N = 3$ and 5, and with $N = 4$ and 6 at $B \leq 1$ T. This is caused by Hund’s rule, i.e., near a degenerate point it is energetically favorable to have parallel spins between the electrons due to the exchange interaction. This leads to partial spin polarization ($S = 0 \rightarrow 1$) in the clean dot with $N = 4$ and $N = 6$ at $B \leq 0.84$ T and $2.5 \leq B \leq 3.5$ T, respectively. In the impurity-containing dot those states are missing due to the avoided level crossings in the single-electron spectrum (see Fig. 5). Generally, the impurity smoothes the behavior of the chemical potential, reflecting the flattening of the single-electron energies studied above. This is in agreement with the results by Güçlü et al. for randomly distributed impurities.

As seen in Fig. 6 the impurity does not affect considerably the onset for the full spin polarization (dotted lines). As $N$ increases, however, this point in the clean QD shifts to higher $B$ than in the impurity-containing dot. Simultaneously, the $\mu$ spacings decrease relatively more rapidly in the latter case than in the clean dot. The reason is the fact that the impurity pushes the electrons to the soft-confinement region near the edges, whereas the clean dot represents a more compact state with higher addition energies.

B. Angular momentum

In the description above, the impurity is kept in a fixed position at $R = 14.5$ nm laterally from the dot center. Next, we consider changes in the total angular momentum as $R$ is...
giving a magnetic field is varied. In contrast, the MDD remains as a rather compact QD clearly make the ring-like states sensitive to the magnetic field. In the case of a broken circular symmetry, the onset for the MDD can be defined from the last cusp in the chemical potential indicating the full spin polarization. Since the angular momentum \( l \) is not a good quantum number for a noncircular confinement, we compute \( L_z = -\frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \) for the total angular momentum. In Fig. 7 we present how the six-electron MDD at \( B = 20 \) T is affected by the impurity shifted through the whole QD region. Except for the kink at \( R \approx 8 \) nm, corresponding to the radius at which the impurity penetrates the edge of the QD, \( |L_z| \) increases smoothly from 15 to 21, i.e., from the MDD to a quantum ring with \( l = -1, \ldots, -6 \) states occupied. The stability is also visualized in the density insets of Fig. 7 corresponding to different impurity locations. The dashed line shows the equivalent evolution at \( B = 25 \) T, still corresponding to the MDD region in the clean dot. As \( R \to 0 \), however, we find a transition to the next quantum-ring state with \( l = -2, \ldots, -7 \) states occupied. The rounded edges of the QD clearly make the ring-like states sensitive to the magnetic field. In contrast, the MDD remains as a rather compact state spread across the highly confined central region as the magnetic field is varied.

C. Magnetization

Finally, we investigate the tendency of the many-electron structure to screen the external impurity. For that purpose, we consider the total magnetization, defined at zero temperature as \( M = -\frac{\partial E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial B} \). In Fig. 8 we plot \( M \) as a function of \( B \) for six-electron, fully polarized QD’s in both noninteracting and interacting cases and with the impurity locations \( R = 0 \) and 5 nm laterally from the QD center. The noninteracting energy is computed directly as a sum of the six lowest single-electron energies. As the impurity is shifted off the dot center, the lowest single-electron states decouple (see Fig. 1 in Ref. 3), leading to a considerably smaller \( |M| \) and disappearance of the transition at \( B \approx 23 \) T in the noninteracting systems. On the other hand, the interacting systems show frequent oscillations due to the entanglement of the energy levels, i.e., periodic changes in the fully-polarized ground state. The QD’s with different impurity locations behave similarly, indicating that the circular properties are preserved also when the impurity is off-center. This verifies the screening of the impurity by the electrons. The importance of screening is evident also from the work by Halonen et al. who considered impurity-containing QD’s with two and three electrons. The same tendency was also found in the magnetization of the two-electron square QD’s studied by Sheng and Xu. In our forthcoming studies, we will examine the high magnetic field regime as a function of the impurity parameters in more detail.

VI. SUMMARY

We have reported a transport measurement of a vertical quantum dot, giving single-electron energies strongly deviating from the Fock-Darwin spectrum. We have found a realistic model for the sample dot by including a Coulombic impurity in the external parabolic potential that has soft edges. The parameters obtained for the model potential indicate a strong confinement resulting from the growth-induced formation of the QD, as well as an additional impurity particle, presumably a single or doubly charged Si atom, shifted
both laterally and vertically from the center of the quantum dot. Using the model and the spin-density-functional theory, we have studied the energetics and structural properties of the corresponding many-electron problem. The impurity evens out the state alternation as a function of the magnetic field by lifting the degeneracies, but it does not affect remarkably the onset of the full spin polarization. The stability of both the maximum-density droplet and the magnetization decreases through its Centers of Excellence program (2000–2005). E.R. is also grateful for the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation for financial support and A. Harju and A. D. Güçlü for useful discussions. The group in Hannover acknowledges sample growth by V. Avrutin and A. Waag and financial support by BMBF.
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