This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Balestro, F.; Claudon, J.; Pekola, Jukka; Buisson, O.

Evidence of two-dimensional macroscopic quantum tunneling of a current-biased dc SQUID

Published in:
Physical Review Letters

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.158301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.158301)

Published: 06/10/2003

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Balestro, F., Claudon, J., Pekola, J., & Buisson, O. (2003). Evidence of two-dimensional macroscopic quantum tunneling of a current-biased dc SQUID. *Physical Review Letters*, 91(15), 1-4. [158301].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.158301>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Evidence of Two-Dimensional Macroscopic Quantum Tunneling of a Current-Biased dc SQUID

F. Balestro,¹ J. Claudon,¹ J. P. Pekola,^{1,2} and O. Buisson¹

¹*Centre de Recherches sur les Très Basses Températures, Laboratoire Associé à l'Université Joseph Fourier, C.N.R.S., BP 166, 38042 Grenoble-CEDEX 9, France*

²*Low Temperature Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 2200, 02015 HUT, Finland*
(Received 13 June 2003; published 6 October 2003)

The escape probability out of the superconducting state of a hysteretic dc SQUID has been measured at different values of the applied magnetic flux. At low temperatures, the escape current and the width of the probability distribution are temperature independent but they depend on flux. Experimental results do not fit the usual one-dimensional macroscopic quantum tunneling (MQT) law but are perfectly accounted for by the two-dimensional MQT behavior as we propose here. Near zero flux, our data confirms the recent MQT observation in a dc SQUID [S. Li *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 98301 (2002)].

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.158301

PACS numbers: 85.25.Dq, 03.65.-w, 74.50.+r

Quantum dynamics of a current-biased Josephson junction (JJ) have been extensively studied during the past two decades [1]. The phase difference across the JJ obeys the same dynamics as the position of a fictitious particle moving in a one-dimensional tilted washboard potential, whose average slope is proportional to the current bias. For bias below the critical current, the particle is trapped in a local minimum. It can escape out by thermal activation (TA) or by macroscopic quantum tunneling (MQT). Many experiments have demonstrated these two escape regimes. Quantized energy levels and resonant activation have been observed by applying microwaves [1,2]. Very recently, Rabi oscillations and coherent temporal oscillations were observed in a current-biased JJ [3,4], in a Cooper pair transistor coupled to a biased JJ [5], and in a flux qubit [6]. Experiments on rf SQUID have also clearly demonstrated quantum dynamics in the form of MQT [1], resonant tunneling, or quantum superposition of states [7].

By contrast and surprisingly, very few studies have been performed in a current-biased dc SQUID in the quantum regime. The dynamics of a dc SQUID are described by 2 degrees of freedom and new phenomena can arise. The fictitious particle moves in this case in a two-dimensional (2D) potential. At zero magnetic field it has been predicted that escape out of a local minimum can occur via two different trajectories [8,9]. At nonzero magnetic field, MQT was derived only in the limit of small inductance of the loop [10], where the SQUID is nearly equivalent to a single junction with a flux-dependent critical current. In this case, the dynamics are one dimensional. To our knowledge, only three previous experiments were reported in the past discussing escape from a current-biased dc SQUID. In the TA regime, good agreement with 2D transition state theory [11] has been obtained [12]. Similar results were obtained in an rf SQUID [13]. In the quantum regime, no experimental evidence of two-dimensional behavior has been demonstrated until now. Sharifi *et al.* [14] claimed MQT observations but neither the amplitude of quantum fluctuations

nor the TA regime were understood. Recent measurements [15] have clearly observed MQT and TA regimes in a dc SQUID *at zero magnetic field*. Two-dimensional behavior could, however, not be observed because at zero flux the escape rate of their SQUID behaves exactly as that of a single Josephson junction, i.e., a 1D system. Understanding the dynamics of a hysteretic dc SQUID is very important in interpreting some recent quantum experiments [16,17], or a recently proposed experiment of a one shot quantum measurement in a superconducting charge qubit using a dc SQUID [18].

In this Letter, we report on an escape measurement in a dc SQUID both in the thermal and in the quantum regime. In contrast to previously reported results on MQT, the 1D tunneling escape formula cannot describe our experimental data. Since no theory has described MQT in a dc SQUID in the 2D regime at nonzero magnetic flux, we propose a method based on the zero magnetic field result derived in Ref. [8] but taking into account the flux dependence of the 2D potential. Our experimental data support quantitatively this approach.

The current-biased dc SQUID consists of two Josephson junctions in parallel in an inductive superconducting loop. The whole system has 2 degrees of freedom described by φ_1 and φ_2 , the phase difference across the two junctions. Assuming identical JJs, each having a critical current equal to I_0 and a capacitance equal to C_0 , the dynamics of the system can be treated as that of a fictitious particle moving in a two-dimensional potential given by [19]

$$U(x, y) = U_0[-sx - \cos x \cos y - \eta sy + b(y - \pi\Phi/\Phi_0)^2], \quad (1)$$

where $U_0 = \Phi_0 I_0 / \pi$ is the sum of the Josephson energy of the two JJs, $s = I_{dc} / (2I_0)$, $x = (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) / 2$, $y = (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) / 2$, $b = \Phi_0 / (2\pi L I_0)$, and I_{dc} is the bias current. The asymmetry of inductances is parametrized by $\eta = (L_2 - L_1) / L$, where L_1 and L_2 are the inductances of the two branches and $L = L_1 + L_2$ is the inductance of the

SQUID loop. Φ is the applied flux and $\Phi_0 = h/2e$ is the flux quantum.

The potential presents valleys and mountains with local minima separated by saddle points along which the particle can escape. The barrier height between the minima and the saddle points depends on the bias current and magnetic flux and vanishes at the critical current I_c . I_c follows the usual flux dependence with Φ_0 periodicity. In the following, we consider $b \sim 1$ close to that of our dc SQUID. In this limit, there exists only one optimal trajectory that passes through the saddle point. Therefore we will not discuss escape out of a local minimum through two different trajectories [8,9]. Yet the 2D signature still exists because the optimal trajectory is not a straight line along the x direction but follows a path in the landscape with the tilt in the potential.

In the limit $s \lesssim s_c$, where $s_c = I_c/(2I_0)$ is the normalized effective critical current of the dc SQUID, we can expand the potential [Eq. (1)] to the third order. The escape occurs in a current and flux-dependent angle θ with respect to the x axis. Along this direction, we obtain the potential barrier height, $\Delta U = \Delta U_0 \sqrt{s_c} \cos^3 \theta / u \times (1 + \eta \tan \theta)^{3/2}$, and the plasma frequency, $\omega_p = \omega_{p0} (u \cos \theta / s_c)^{1/4} (1 + \eta \tan \theta)^{1/4}$, where u is the third derivative of the potential along the escape direction [12]. The quantities $\omega_{p0} = 2^{1/4} (\pi I_c / \Phi_0 C_0)^{1/2} (1 - s/s_c)^{1/4}$ and $\Delta U_0 = (4\sqrt{2}/3) (\Phi_0 / 2\pi) I_c (1 - s/s_c)^{3/2}$ are the usual plasma frequency and potential height, respectively, of a single JJ with an effective critical current I_c and a capacitance $2C_0$. The 2D character of the escape is exhibited in these expressions by the renormalization of the plasma frequency and the potential barrier height of a single JJ. Therefore the ratios ω_p / ω_{p0} and $\Delta U / \Delta U_0$ show deviations from 1D behavior.

Using the previous results of the renormalized plasma frequency and potential height, the escape rate out of a 2D potential well, in the TA regime, Γ^{TA} , is predicted to be [11]

$$\Gamma^{\text{TA}} = \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi} \frac{\omega_{w\perp}}{\omega_{s\perp}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta U}{k_B T}\right), \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_{w\perp}$ and $\omega_{s\perp}$ are, respectively, the transverse frequency modes in the well and at the saddle point [12]. We numerically analyzed the modulation of the escape current as a function of flux in the TA regime taking into account the transverse frequency modes in the escape rate. For our SQUID parameters, the deviation of $\omega_{w\perp} / \omega_{s\perp}$ from unity has been estimated to be 2% at zero flux and it quickly decreases to zero when increasing $|\Phi / \Phi_0|$. Since this small error appears only in the pre-factor of Eq. (2), we will assume in the following that $\omega_{w\perp} / \omega_{s\perp} = 1$.

At low temperatures, the escape is dominated by quantum tunneling. This regime has been theoretically studied at zero flux in [8,9] discussing the splitting of the two

escape trajectories, or flux dependence in the low inductance limit ($b \gg 1$) [10]. There is thus far, to our knowledge, no theoretical treatment of flux dependence of 2D MQT, i.e., in the regime $b \lesssim 1$. Therefore we propose to use the MQT escape rate at zero magnetic flux [8,15] in the limit $b \approx 1$. We introduce in this escape rate, Γ^{MQT} , the 2D behavior by taking the plasma frequency ω_p and the potential barrier height ΔU along the escape direction, analogously to what was done in the TA regime earlier [12]:

$$\Gamma^{\text{MQT}} = f_{2D} \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi} \sqrt{864\pi\Delta U / \hbar\omega_p} \exp(-36\Delta U / 5\hbar\omega_p). \quad (3)$$

This 2D MQT rate thus depends on the magnetic flux and on the bias current. In the following, because $b \approx 1$, two escape trajectories are impossible and $f_{2D} \approx 1$. Note, however, that the 2D behavior remains through ΔU and ω_p . At zero magnetic flux, Γ^{MQT} equals that of a single JJ of critical current $2I_0$ and capacitance $2C_0$.

The escape probability P_{esc} from the zero voltage state during time Δt is a function of the amplitude of the bias current, the flux, and temperature. Measured escape probability using current dc pulses of duration $\Delta t = 50 \mu\text{s}$ is plotted in Fig. 2 (below). Each point corresponds to 4000 current pulses. By increasing the bias current, P_{esc} starts from zero far below the critical current where the barrier is high, and it becomes unity at currents close to and above the critical one. In the following, the escape current I_{esc} is defined as the bias current corresponding to $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.5$ and the width of the escape probability distribution ΔI as the difference between the currents at $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.9$ and $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.1$. The measured escape rate is extracted from $\Gamma(I_{\text{dc}}) = -\ln[1 - P_{\text{esc}}(I_{\text{dc}})] / \Delta t$ and it ranges from about 10 to 10^5 s^{-1} [inset of Fig. 2 (below)].

The sample is a dc SQUID consisting of two Al/ AlO_x /Al tunnel junctions obtained by e-beam lithography and shadow evaporation (inset of Fig. 1). The area of each JJ is about $11 \mu\text{m}^2$. The tunnel resistance of the SQUID, $R_T \approx 80 \Omega$, and the superconducting gap $\Delta = 230 \mu\text{eV}$ are deduced from the dissipative branches of the I - V characteristic and yield $I_0 \approx 2.3 \mu\text{A}$ using the Ambegaokar-Baratoff relation assuming two identical JJs. From MQT of a single JJ with the same area, we deduced $C_0 = 0.46 \text{ pF}$, which agrees with the typical specific capacitance of about $50 \text{ fF}/(\mu\text{m})^2$ for an AlO_x tunnel junction. The rectangular SQUID loop is about $10 \mu\text{m}$ by $40 \mu\text{m}$ giving an estimated magnetic inductance of about 70 pH . The kinetic inductance of the SQUID, deduced from the thin film resistivity, $\rho \approx 5.3 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$, is coarsely estimated to be about 120 pH . The total inductance L , the sum of the kinetic and magnetic inductances, is therefore about 190 pH . An inductance asymmetry exists because the two SQUID branches have different lengths. We estimate $\eta \sim -0.2$

FIG. 1. Bidimensional potential of our SQUID which has parameters $s = 0.7$, $\Phi/\Phi_0 = -0.27$, $b = 0.6$, and $\eta = -0.26$. The particle and its escape path are drawn as an illustration. Inset: Photograph of the type of a dc SQUID used in the experiment.

by assuming proportionality between length of a branch and inductance. The immediate electromagnetic environment of the dc SQUID is determined by two 20 nm thin, 1 μm wide and 700 μm long superconducting aluminum wires terminated by two large pads for wire bonding. The estimated total inductance of the *in situ* wires, $L_e = 4.6$ nH, results again of the sum of 1.2 nH magnetic inductance of the coplanar strips and of 3.4 nH kinetic inductance of the wires. Although these SQUID parameters have been independently estimated, the accuracy in determining them this way is not sufficient. Therefore we extracted the inductance of the dc SQUID $L = 244$ pH and its asymmetry $\eta = -0.26$, the zero flux, the critical current $I_0 = 2.33$ μA , and the capacitance $C_0 = 0.46$ pF from the flux modulation characteristics of the escape current in the low temperature regime [see Fig. 3 (below)]. These parameters are in very good agreement with the rough estimates given above. Our $b = 0.6$ is much smaller than $b \approx 3$ of the earlier works [14,15] on MQT in a dc SQUID, indicating that the fictitious particle is much more affected by the two dimensionality of the potential.

The sample is enclosed in a cavity with resonances above 15 GHz, and it is anchored to the mixing chamber of the dilution refrigerator. This cavity is enclosed in a low temperature filter [20] consisting of thermocoax microwave filters [21] and Π filters. The attenuation of the dc lines is estimated to be at least 200 dB above 1 GHz at the mixing chamber temperature. Thermocoax filters were also introduced from 1.5 K to the low temperature filter, and low pass LC filters were used at room temperature. Current bias and voltage probe lines of the sample are separated from the computer using differential amplifiers. A superconducting shield was inserted inside the vacuum jacket of the cryostat and Mumetal surrounds the Dewar to protect the dc SQUID from external flux noise. Using this measurement setup, we separately measured a width of $\Delta I = 11$ nA on a 1 μA Josephson junction in its MQT regime.

FIG. 2. Measured escape probability and escape rate (inset) as functions of the bias current at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$, $T = 42$ mK, and $\Delta t = 50$ μs . The dots are experimental data and the lines the MQT prediction of Eq. (3). Values of I_{esc} and ΔI are indicated by dashed lines.

Figure 2 shows escape probability and escape rate at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$ and $T = 42$ mK. At this particular flux value, the escape current is maximum (see Fig. 3) and the ratios ω_p/ω_{p0} and $\Delta U/\Delta U_0$ are equal to unity. Therefore the SQUID behaves as a single JJ. We can perfectly fit our data using 1D-MQT predictions (continuous line in Fig. 2) without free parameters which demonstrates that 1D-MQT theory describes the behavior of a dc SQUID at the maximum escape current. This first result confirms the recent MQT observation at zero flux performed by Li *et al.* [15]. It does not, however, demonstrate 2D escape behavior.

The escape current and the width versus applied external flux are directly extracted from the measured switching current distribution and they are plotted in Fig. 3 in the MQT regime at $T = 42$ mK. The width is periodic in flux with periodicity Φ_0 . It is minimum near $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$ and increases to reach maximum at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = \pm 0.5$. There is asymmetry between negative and positive flux values and discontinuities at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = \pm 0.5$. The usual 1D tunneling model cannot account for the main feature of our results. Namely, the 1D model predicts a decrease of

FIG. 3. Measured escape current and the width of the probability distribution against external applied flux at low temperatures (dots). 1D MQT and 2D MQT prediction [Eq. (3)] are shown, respectively, by dashed and solid lines.

FIG. 4. Measured escape current and width of escape probability distribution ΔI vs temperature (symbols) at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = -0.25$ together with predictions when including (continuous line) or excluding (dashed line) the influence of the environment. We assume that the total escape rate is the sum of Γ_{MQT} and Γ_{TA} .

the width, $\Delta I \sim (2I_{0s_c})^{3/5}$ in the MQT regime (dashed line in Fig. 3) which is contrary to our observation. Our proposed 2D formula in the MQT regime suggests increase of the width and it agrees with our data perfectly also quantitatively. It has no free parameters since all of them were already fixed by the escape current characteristics. We claim that these results are the first experimental observation of 2D escape from the zero voltage state of a dc SQUID in its MQT regime.

The escape current and width against temperature are shown in Fig. 4 for $\Phi/\Phi_0 = -0.25$. The escape current increases as temperature drops and saturates at low temperatures. The width decreases with temperature and saturates at low temperatures. These features are perfectly accounted for by Eq. (3) in the MQT regime and by Eq. (2) in the TA regime (dashed lines). The model seems to underestimate the measured width in the thermal regime by about 10%, indicating that influence of the environment must be taken into account to better fit with the data. Finally, the observed crossover temperature (≈ 80 mK) between MQT and TA is consistent with that predicted by the well-known formula $\hbar\omega_p/(2\pi k_B)$ [1].

In order to estimate the effect of the environment on the escape process, we take into account the quadratic current fluctuations $\langle \delta I^2 \rangle$. Since the SQUID is connected to the external circuit through inductive wires on the chip, we assume the electrical environment to be the inductance L_e in series with an external resistor R_{ext} . For temperatures $k_B T > \hbar R_{\text{ext}}/L_e$, the current fluctuations are given by $\langle \delta I^2 \rangle = k_B T/L_e$. For $k_B T < \hbar\omega_p$, thermal fluctuations are slow as compared to the SQUID dynamics, and the escape rate can be corrected by $\Gamma_{\text{env}}^{\text{TA}} = \Gamma^{\text{TA}} \exp[(\partial \Delta U / \partial I_{\text{dc}}) \langle \delta I^2 \rangle / 2(k_B T)^2]$ in the TA regime and $\Gamma_{\text{env}}^{\text{MQT}} = \Gamma^{\text{MQT}} \exp[(\partial \Delta U / \hbar\omega_p) / \partial I_{\text{dc}}] \langle \delta I^2 \rangle / 2$ in the MQT regime following the idea in [22]. Using the estimated wires inductance, our data perfectly are fitted both in the quantum and in the TA regime by the fluctuation

corrected expressions above (continuous line in Fig. 4). We notice that similar to the recent work in [15] the measured ΔI crosses over from MQT to TA much more gradually than what we predict.

In summary, we have shown for the first time evidence of two-dimensional macroscopic quantum escape of a hysteretic dc SQUID from its zero voltage state. Moreover, we have proposed a way to theoretically analyze the flux dependence of escape in the MQT regime, and we show that our data perfectly fit this model, also in the TA regime with the same values of the circuit parameters.

We thank K. Hasselbach, F. Hekking, Ph. Lafarge, L. Lévy, and A. Niskanen for useful discussions. This work was supported by the French ACI program. J. P. acknowledges support from CNRS and Joseph Fourier University.

-
- [1] *Quantum Tunneling in Condensed Media*, Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences Vol. 34, edited by Yu. Kagan and A. J. Leggett (Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1992).
 - [2] D. Esteve, M. H. Devoret, and J. M. Martinis, *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 158 (1986).
 - [3] Y. Yu *et al.*, *Science* **296**, 889 (2002).
 - [4] John M. Martinis, S. Nam, J. Aumentado, and C. Urbina, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 117901 (2002).
 - [5] D. Vion *et al.*, *Science* **296**, 886 (2002).
 - [6] I. Chiorescu *et al.*, *Science* **289**, 1869 (2003).
 - [7] J. R. Friedman *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **406**, 43 (2000).
 - [8] B. I. Ivlev and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **66**, 378 (1987).
 - [9] C. Morais Smith, B. Ivlev, and G. Blatter, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 4033 (1994).
 - [10] Y.-C. Chen, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **65**, 133 (1986).
 - [11] H. C. Brinkman, *Physica (Utrecht)* **22**, 149 (1956).
 - [12] V. Lefevre-Seguin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 5507 (1992).
 - [13] S. Han, J. Lapointe, and J. E. Lukens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1712 (1989).
 - [14] F. Sharifi, J. L. Gavilano, and J. van Harlingen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 742 (1988).
 - [15] S. Li *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 98301 (2002).
 - [16] C. H. van der Wal *et al.*, *Science* **290**, 773 (2000).
 - [17] S. Saito *et al.*, in *Macroscopic Quantum Coherence and Computing*, edited by J. Pekola, B. Ruggiero, and P. Silvestrini (Kluwer Academics, New York, 2002), p. 137.
 - [18] O. Buisson, F. Balestro, J. P. Pekola, and F. W. J. Hekking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 238304 (2003).
 - [19] C. D. Tesche and J. Clarke, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **29**, 301 (1977).
 - [20] F. Balestro, Ph.D. thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, 2003.
 - [21] A. B. Zorin, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **66**, 4296 (1995).
 - [22] J. M. Martinis and H. Grabert, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 2371 (1988).