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We present tunnel spectroscopy experiments on the proximity effect in lateral superconductor–normal-metal–superconductor Josephson junctions. Our weak link is embedded into a superconducting ring allowing phase biasing of the Josephson junction by an external magnetic field. We explore the temperature and phase dependence of both the induced minigap and the modification of the density of states in the normal metal. Our results agree with a model based on the quasiclassical theory in the diffusive limit. The device presents an advanced version of the superconducting quantum interference proximity transistor, now reaching flux sensitivities of 3 nA/Φ0, where Φ0 is the flux quantum.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The proximity effect1 appears when superconducting correlations penetrate through a clean boundary into a normal-type conductor.2–14 As a consequence, the local density of states (DOS) is modified in the normal metal, and a minigap is induced whose size can be controlled by changing the macroscopic phase of the superconducting order parameter across the weak link.15–23

Here we report an experimental study that uses tunnel spectroscopy to probe a copper metal island influenced by the proximity effect. The island length L is on the order of the superconducting coherence length. A complementary study of such a system consisting of a silver weak link uses scanning tunnel microscopy and allows the investigation of the proximity effect with spatial resolution.19 In comparison, our approach benefits from a better control of the tunnel probe with respect to the matching of the tunnel junction impedance to the amplifier, yielding a superior signal to noise ratio. We achieve an enhanced energy resolution, and we can design a device with well defined properties for a high field sensitivity.

The measurements agree qualitatively with theoretical predictions of the phase dependent minigap and reveal unambiguously the predicted sharp drop of the DOS17 in the normal metal at energies corresponding to the gap edge of the superconductor. Our modeling is further optimized when we take the influence of the electromagnetic environment surrounding our setup into account.24 We can observe a robust feature even at elevated temperatures despite the small magnitude of the minigap with respect to the gap of the BCS superconductor. Finally, our result demonstrates a tenfold improvement of the performance of the superconducting quantum interference proximity transistor (SQUIPT).25 We achieve flux sensitivities well below 10−5 Φ0 Hz−1/2 for the present design, still limited by the amplifier noise, with an intrinsic power dissipation (∼100 fW) which is several orders of magnitude smaller than in conventional superconducting interferometers.26–28

II. DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS

The device shown in Fig. 1 is fabricated using electron-beam lithography and three angle shadow evaporation. At first, a 15-nm-thick aluminum layer is deposited and oxidized for 5 min with oxygen pressure of 5 mbar to form the tunnel barrier. Right after, typically 20 nm of copper is deposited to form the weak link connected to the normal-metal–insulator–superconductor (NIS) probe. The resulting tunnel resistance is of the order of ∼ 50 kΩ for a junction with size of ∼100 × 100 nm2. Finally, the superconducting Al loop with an area of ≥100 μm2 is placed on top, forming the clean contacts to the copper island, where the normal-metal region extends laterally for about 200 nm underneath the 200-nm-thick Al leads. This sequence of metal deposition allows a thick superconducting layer to form the loop, thus minimizing the influence of the inverse proximity effect in the ring material as well as lowering its self-inductance. Moreover, in this way a reduction of the weak link thickness is only limited by the grain size of the Al film.

In our system, the proximity effect is strong as the weak link length is of the order of the superconducting coherence length, and the tunnel junction allows us to probe the density of states of the weak link. The ring geometry allows us to change the phase difference across the normal-metal–superconductor boundaries through the modulation of an external magnetic field which gives rise to flux Φ through the loop area. This modifies the DOS in the normal metal, and hence the transport through the tunnel junction.

Figure 2(a) depicts a sketch of the DOS of the superconducting probe junction attached to the middle of the weak link: the BCS-like density of states in the superconductor acts as an energy filter due to the superconducting gap Δ1. Only a few quasiparticles are excited at temperatures well below the critical temperature TC of Al. The DOS in the weak link on the other side of the barrier is characterized by three main features:17 (i) a minigap Δ2 with magnitude of about one-half of the Al gap Δ1 for the dimensions of our sample; (ii) a sharp drop of the density of states to zero at energy values corresponding to the divergence at the gap edge of the Al superconductor; and (iii) a noticeable number of excited quasiparticles already at bath temperatures T around 1/3 TC of Al due to the smaller gap value. The curves in Fig. 2(b) show the differential conductance measured with an applied voltage bias sweep plus a small voltage modulation superimposed (20 μV) at Φ = 0. Then the current is measured using a
preamplifier and a lockin amplifier. All described features of the system with proximity effect can be clearly observed at elevated temperatures of 560 and 850 mK. First, marked with red arrows, the minigap increases the conductance at a bias voltage of \( \approx 150 \mu V \) when the edge of the minigap and the aluminum BCS gap are aligned at \( V_{\text{BIAS}} = (\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)/e \). Second, the largest conductance peak appears when the BCS gap edge faces the states at the minigap edge at 350 \( \mu V \) at \( V_{\text{BIAS}} = (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)/e \). Finally, a sharp drop of conductance is observed at \( V_{\text{BIAS}} = 2\Delta_1/e \approx 500 \mu V \) when the DOS in the weak link facing the divergence in the BCS system is zero. The observed features are consistent with an aluminum gap of \( \approx 246 \mu eV \) and a minigap size of \( \approx 130 \mu eV \).

The minigap is still observable at 850 mK, and its magnitude reduces only slightly by increasing the temperature up to 850 mK. A BCS superconductor with a gap equal to the minigap of the weak link [i.e., \( \Delta(0) = \Delta_2 = 130 \mu eV \)] would exhibit a critical temperature of \( T_c \approx \Delta(0)/(1.764k_B) \approx 850 \text{ mK} \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant. By contrast, we observe that the temperature dependence of \( \Delta_2 \) follows closely that of the aluminum gap, scaled down by almost a factor of 2 [see Fig. 2(b)].

Lowering the temperature changes the picture as the peak marking the alignment of the minigap with the Al gap vanishes. This is because the quasiparticle number becomes negligible in the weak link. At the same time, the BCS aluminum gap slightly increases, approaching the zero-temperature value.

### III. Theoretical Description of the Proximity Effect in the System

The proximity effect in the diffusive normal-metal (N) island can be described with the Usadel equations\(^{29}\) which can be written as\(^{15,29}\)

\[
\hbar D \partial_x^2 \theta - 2i \epsilon \sinh(\theta) + \frac{\hbar D}{2} (\partial_x \chi)^2 \sinh(2\theta),
\]

\[
\sinh(2\theta) \partial_x \theta \partial_x \chi + \sinh^2(\theta) \partial_x^2 \chi = 0,
\]

where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient and the energy \( \epsilon \) is relative to the chemical potential in the superconductors. \( \theta \) and \( \chi \) are complex scalar functions of position \( x \) and energy. For perfectly transmitting interfaces the boundary conditions at the normal-metal–superconductor contacts reduce to \( \theta(\pm L/2) = \arctan(\Delta_1/\epsilon) \) and \( \chi(\pm L/2) = \pm \varphi/2 \), where \( \varphi \) is the phase difference across the superconductor–normal-metal (SN) boundaries. For lower-transparency SN interfaces, the proximity effect in the wire will be reduced, thus weakening the effects described below.\(^{15}\) Moreover, we choose a step-function form for the order parameter, i.e., constant in the superconductor (S) and zero in the N wire, and we assume the BCS temperature dependence of \( \Delta_2(T) \) on the critical temperature \( T_c = \Delta_2(0)/(1.764k_B) \), where \( \Delta_2(0) \) is the zero-temperature order parameter. The DOS in the N region normalized to the DOS at the Fermi level in the absence of the proximity effect is then given by \( N_N(x, \epsilon, \varphi) = \text{Re} [\cosh(\theta(\epsilon, x, \varphi))] \).

For a comparison of the above theory with the experiment, we have to take into account the influence of single-electron
Coulombic effects on charge transport. The quasiparticle current (evaluated in the middle of the wire, i.e., at \( x = 0 \)) through the tunnel junction biased at voltage \( V \) can be written as \(^{24,30}\)

\[
I(V, \varphi) = \frac{1}{eR_{\text{tunnel}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varepsilon N_N(\varepsilon, \varphi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE P(E, T_{\text{env}}) \\
\times [f_0(\varepsilon) F_1(\varepsilon, E, V) - [1 - f_0(\varepsilon)] F_2(\varepsilon, E, V)],
\]

where \( F_1(\varepsilon, E, V) = N_S(\varepsilon + eV - E) [1 - f_0(\varepsilon + eV - E)] \), \( F_2(\varepsilon, E, V) = N_S(\varepsilon + eV + E) f_0(\varepsilon + eV + E) \), \( N_S(\varepsilon, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/4} \frac{\varepsilon^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2}{\varepsilon^2 - \Delta_1(T)^2} \) is the normalized DOS of the \( S \) probe, \( \Theta(\varepsilon) \) is the Heaviside step function, \( f_0(\varepsilon) = 1 + \exp(\varepsilon/k_B T) \) is the Fermi-Dirac energy distribution at temperature \( T \) and \( \varepsilon \) is the electron charge. Furthermore, \( P(E, T_{\text{env}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \varepsilon f_{\text{tunnel}}(E, T_{\text{env}}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \text{Re}[Z(\omega)](e^{-i\omega t} - 1) \) \( [1 + \text{coth}(\frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T_{\text{env}}})] \) is the phase correlation function, \( Z(\omega) = R_{\text{env}}(1 + i\omega R_{\text{env}}C)^{-1} \) is the impedance of a purely resistive environment, \( C \) is the junction capacitance, \( R_{\text{env}} \) and \( T_{\text{env}} \) are the resistance and temperature of the environment, respectively, and \( R_k \approx 25.8 \Omega \) is the Klotzing resistance. By neglecting the ring inductance, the phase difference across the N wire becomes \( \psi = 2\pi \Phi/\Phi_0 \), where \( \Phi \) is the total flux through the loop area, and \( \Phi_0 = 2.067 \times 10^{-15} \) \( \text{Wb} \) is the flux quantum.

Data shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) are for a sample using a tunnel probe with a further reduced size of \( \approx 40 \times 40 \, \text{nm}^2 \) and consequently enhanced resistance of the order of \( \sim 1 \, \text{M}\Omega \) (see the inset of Fig. 3). Any influence of the superconducting probe on the density of states in the island is therefore effectively suppressed and negligible in comparison to the dominant influence of the two clean contacts. Measurements were performed at 585 mK as the quasiparticle population within the weak link is at this elevated temperature sufficient to reveal its structure [see Fig. 2(b)]. The current vs voltage curve and differential conductance show the typical behavior of a NIS junction at high voltages. For \( \Phi = 0 \) the minigap is visible and a sharp feature occurs at \( 2\Delta_1/\Phi \). Figure 3(b) shows the current from Eq. (2) and the corresponding conductance, \( G = dI/dV \), at two extreme flux values where the minigap is maximized (\( \Phi = 0 \)) and (b) fully closed (\( \Phi = \Phi_0/2 \)) assuming no influence of the electromagnetic environment (i.e., \( R_{\text{env}} = 0 \)). As representative parameters for the present sample, we set \( \Delta_1(0) = 220 \, \mu \text{eV} \), \( D = 0.01 \, \text{m}^{-2} \), and \( L = 2\xi_0 \approx 350 \, \text{nm} \), where \( \xi_0 = (\hbar D)^{1/2}/\Delta_1(0) \) is the coherence length. Resemblance between experiment and theory is evident, the latter predicting the overall shape, although the experimental data appear somewhat smeared with respect to prediction. The finite influence of the environment can account for the observed broadening. As an illustration, Figs. 3(f) and 3(e) show the current and conductance, respectively, calculated at \( \Phi = 0 \) assuming \( R_{\text{env}} = 250 \, \Omega \) and \( C = 0.1 \, \text{fF} \) for two different values of \( T_{\text{env}} \). In particular, a good qualitative agreement with the experiment is obtained by setting \( T_{\text{env}} = T = 585 \, \text{mK} \).

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a),(c),(e) Differential conductance and (b),(d),(f) current as a function of applied bias voltage. Measurements (c),(d) are compared to the theoretical models (a),(b) and (e),(f). The electron micrograph depicts the investigated superconductor–normal-metal–superconductor junction with the attached tunnel probe. Top panels: calculated current vs voltage curve (b) assuming \( \Delta_1(0) = 220 \, \mu \text{eV} \) and a weak link length \( L = 2\xi_0 \) and the corresponding conductance curve (a) at two extreme flux values where the minigap is maximized (\( \Phi = 0 \), green) and fully closed (\( \Phi = \Phi_0/2 \), red). Middle panels: measured currents at a bath temperature of 585 mK (d) and conductances (c) calculated as a numerical derivative of the current as a function of applied voltage for the same flux values as above. Bottom panels: Current vs voltage curve (f) and corresponding conductance (e) calculated including the influence of an electromagnetic environment at \( \Phi = 0 \) with \( T_{\text{env}} = 4.2 \, \text{K} \) (dashed blue line) and \( T_{\text{env}} = T = 585 \, \text{mK} \) (green line).

IV. SQUIPT PERFORMANCE

In this paragraph, we discuss the performance of the present improved design of a SQUIPT. Figure 4(a) shows the measured current through the device, modulated as a function of flux piercing the ring, when the NIS junction is biased at a constant voltage. Current biasing the device and using a voltage readout represents a complementary setup with similar properties. The modulation amplitude shows only a weak temperature dependence reflecting the constant gap magnitudes, when the temperature stays below \( \sim 500 \, \text{mK} \) (\( \approx 1/3 \, T_c \) of aluminum). Hysteresis appears toward low temperatures as soon as the Josephson inductance of the weak link \( L_j = \Phi_0/(2\pi I_c) \), where \( I_c \) is the critical current of the superconductor–normal-metal–superconductor (SNS)
FIG. 4. (Color online) SQUIPT. (a) Current through the device at two different voltage bias \( V_{\text{BIAS}} \) points as a function of magnetic flux through the ring. Curves corresponding to different temperatures are vertically offset for clarity by current values indicated with the arrows. (b) Contour plot of \( \partial I/\partial \Phi \) measured with a lockin technique using a field modulation as a function of voltage bias and external flux. Color scale is from \(-3 \text{nA}/\Phi_0\) (blue) to \(3 \text{nA}/\Phi_0\) (red) with a step of \(0.3 \text{nA}/\Phi_0\). (c) Vertical line in (b) corresponds to \( V_{\text{BIAS}} = 0.32 \text{mV} \) plotted here and shows the SQUIPT sensitivity \( \partial I/\partial \Phi \) at this biasing point as a function of \( \Phi \).

junction, falls below the self-inductance of about 8 pH of the superconducting ring. We expect a value of \( I_C \) on the order of 500 \(\mu\)A toward zero temperature corresponding to a Josephson inductance on the order of 1 pH. \( I_C \) can be reduced either by increasing \( T \) or by shrinking the weak-link cross section which leads to an increased normal-state resistance; therefore tuning these two parameters can eliminate this undesired effect.

Panel (b) of Fig. 4 displays the SQUIPT sensitivity \( \partial I/\partial \Phi \) as a function of external flux and bias voltage, measured using a magnetic field modulation amplitude of 20 \(\mu\)A\( \Phi_0 \) at a frequency of a few tenths of hertz and a lockin amplifier with a room temperature current preamplifier. The device sensitivity reaches \(\sim 3 \text{nA}/\Phi_0 \) [see Fig. 4(c)] in the present voltage biased scheme and around \(\sim 1.5 \text{mV}/\Phi_0 \) in a current biased setup. These improved figures are the direct consequence of the shortened weak link and therefore enhanced magnitude of the induced minigap with respect to the earlier work. This corresponds to a flux resolution of \(2 \times 10^{-6} \Phi_0/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) when using a typical room temperature low noise current amplifier with a specified noise level of 5 fA/\sqrt{Hz} in our experiment, we observe threefold higher noise levels, still resulting in flux resolution of \(6 \times 10^{-8} \Phi_0/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) at 1 kHz. The corresponding figures of merit using voltage measurements are similar: a typical noise level of 8 nV/\sqrt{Hz} at 1 kHz yields a flux resolution of \(6 \times 10^{-6} \Phi_0/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) which would be determined by the noise of the amplifiers and not by the device. In comparison, the intrinsic noise of our device originating from Johnson noise is expected to be on the order of 0.5 nV/\sqrt{Hz} for a dynamic resistance of \(\sim 30 \text{K} \Omega \) and a temperature of 100 mK. The observed performance can be reached as the tunnel junction impedance (\(\sim 200 \text{k} \Omega \)) is well matched to the working point where the amplifier shows a minimum noise.

With a typical 1 nA of current output at \( V_{\text{BIAS}} \approx 100 \mu\text{V} \), we get a total dissipated power \( P \) in the SQUIPT which is of the order of \( P \sim 100 \text{fW} \). Such a power is several orders of magnitude smaller than in conventional superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs). A suppressed \( P \) value is moreover beneficial in order to prevent substantial electron heating in the N region. At low temperature (typically below 1 K), the main contribution to heat relaxation in the island is related to the electron–acoustic-phonon interaction (\( Q_{e-ph} \)) which, including the proximity effect, can be approximated at \( \Phi = 0 \) as \( Q_{e-ph} \approx Q_N^{\text{in}} e^{-3.7 E_{\text{ph}}/k_B T} \), where \( E_{\text{ph}} = \hbar D/L^2 \) is the Thouless energy of the SNS junction, \( Q_N^{\text{in}} = \Sigma V(T_e^5 - T^5) \) is the heat flux in the normal state, \( \Sigma \approx 2 \times 10^9 \text{Wm}^{-3}\text{K}^{-5} \) is the electron–phonon coupling constant in Cu, \( V \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-21} \text{m}^3 \) is the island volume, and \( T_e \) is the electronic temperature in the N. Under continuous power injection \( P \), the steady-state \( T_e \) follows from the solution of the energy-balance equation \( P + Q_{e-ph} = 0 \) which would give \( T_e \approx 650 \text{mK} \) at \( T = 500 \text{mK} \) in our structures, i.e., a temperature for which the electronic properties of the N layer are still quite similar to those at lower bath temperature [see Fig. 2(c)]. In the above discussion we supposed the Al superconducting loop to act as an ideal Andreev mirror for the heat flux, although at these bath temperatures the thermal conductance of the S ring is already sizable to provide thermalization of electrons in the Cu strip. This latter statement is additionally supported by our experimental observation of a growing hysteresis when lowering the bath temperature from 300 to 50 mK [see Fig. 4(a)].

V. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we investigated a system influenced by the proximity effect using tunnel spectroscopy. Our experimental findings are well described using a standard treatment based on quasiclassical theory of superconductivity in the diffusive limit expanded by the influence of the electromagnetic environment of our device. The approach has sufficient resolution to study
the alterations within the DOS of a normal metal arising from the proximity effect. We show that the device has the potential for realizing flux sensors which combine very low power dissipation and a simple setup with a competitive sensitivity. A strength of the concept is that the device can be optimized by adjusting the size and impedance of the tunnel junction independently of the weak link.
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