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We use a simple density functional approach on a diffusional time scale, to address freezing to the body-centered cubic (bcc), hexagonal close-packed (hcp), and face-centered cubic (fcc) structures. We observe faceted equilibrium shapes and diffusion-controlled layerwise crystal growth consistent with two-dimensional nucleation. The predicted growth anisotropies are discussed in relation with results from experiment and atomistic simulations. We also demonstrate that varying the lattice constant of a simple cubic substrate, one can tune the epitaxially growing body-centered tetragonal structure between bcc and fcc, and observe a Mullins-Sekerka–Asaro-Tiller-Grinfeld-type instability.

Depending on the conditions of freezing, undercooled liquids may solidify to different crystal structures. The selection of the crystal structure is influenced by various properties of the available crystalline phases including the thermodynamic driving force of freezing, and the kinetic coefficient that describes the attachment of atoms to the surface of the crystal. An interesting question that can only be answered using an atomistic approach is, how the growth rates of stable and metastable crystalline polymorphs forming in the same liquid do compare. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been used widely to evaluate the growth anisotropy of the solid-liquid interface [1]. However, in such simulations the diffusion-controlled regime cannot be easily accessed, and the realization of a multiplicity of metastable and stable phases is not without difficulties. Other promising candidates are the molecular theories of freezing that rely on the density functional technique (DFT). In the past decades a variety of DFTs have been developed [2]. However, simulation of crystal growth has become reality only recently, within a dynamical extension of the DFT (DDFT) [3]. These studies are restricted to a few hundred atoms. Starting from a simplified free energy functional, a newly emerging DDFT-type approach, known as the phase-field crystal (PFC) method [4], appears to be able to address crystalline freezing on a far larger scale.

In this Letter, we use the PFC method to compare the growth of several crystalline polymorphs in the same undercooled liquid. The reduced free energy is as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( B_L + B_s (2 \nabla^2 + \nabla^4) \right) n - \frac{\nabla n^3}{6} + \frac{n^4}{12} \right].$$

where $$\mathcal{F} = (F - F_L^\text{ref})/(\rho_L^\text{ref} \lambda^3 k_B T), F_L^\text{ref}$$ and $$\rho_L^\text{ref}$$ are the free energy and the number density of the reference liquid, $L$ the length scale, $n = (\rho - \rho_L^\text{ref})/\rho_L^\text{ref}$ the reduced number density, $B_L = (\kappa_L \rho_L^\text{ref} k_B T)^{-1}$, and $B_s = K (\rho_L^\text{ref} k_B T)^{-1}$. Here $\kappa_L$ is the compressibility of the liquid, $K$ the bulk modulus of the crystal, while adjusting $\nu$, one may incorporate the 0th order contribution from three-body correlations. This form of $\mathcal{F}$ can be deduced from a perturbative DFT [2] after some simplifications [4]. This approach retains the richness of the original DFT, and predicts crystal structure, anisotropy, and elastic properties. This model is known to crystallize to the bcc structure [4]; however, any periodic structure of similar nearest neighbor distance shall be a local minimum of the free energy. Thus the PFC model is expected to be able to predict phase preference or selection.

Since $n$ is a conserved quantity, in the overdamped limit its time evolution obeys the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ M_n \nabla \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta n} \right) \right].$$

where a constant mobility of the form $M_n = \left[ (1 + n_0 D_p/ (k_B T \rho_L^\text{ref})) \right]$ sets the time scale for system evolution, while $n_0$ is the reduced number density of the initial super-saturated liquid, $D_p$ the self-diffusion coefficient, and $\delta \mathcal{F}/\delta n$ is the first functional derivative of the free energy with respect to the field $n$ [5]. An advantage of the PFC method is that it is able to address processes on the diffusive time scale, which is by orders of magnitude longer than the time accessible for other atomistic simulations, such as molecular dynamics [4]. Such diffusion-controlled relaxation dynamics is relevant for colloidal systems and for deeply undercooled liquids, where the self-diffusion of the particles is expected to be the dominant way of density relaxation. Accordingly, the present computations will be...
indicative to the behavior of colloidal systems. Indeed such systems are known to crystallize to structures investigated here [6].

We assume herein \( B_0 = 3^{s/2}/2, \Delta B = B_L - B_0 = 5 \times 10^{-5}, \) and \( v = 3^{1/2}/2 \). The respective coexistence regions between the liquid and crystalline phases obtained by a full numerical minimization of the free energy with respect to \( n(\mathbf{r}) \) and the common tangent construction are bcc liquid: \(-0.0862 < n_0 < -0.0315\), hcp liquid: \(-0.0865 < n_0 < -0.0344\), fcc liquid: \(-0.0862 < n_0 < -0.0347\), and sc liquid: \(-0.0249 < n_0 < 0.0216\). The driving force for crystallization (the grand potential density difference relative to the initial liquid [7]) is presented in Fig. 1. Remarkably, the driving forces for the bcc, hcp, and fcc structures are very similar (they differ by less than 7%). In the density range investigated, the sequence of phases, in decreasing order of the thermodynamic driving force, is bcc, hcp, fcc, and sc. Such preference of the bcc phase is indicative to the behavior of colloidal systems. Indeed such systems are known to crystallize to structures investigated here [6].

Crystallization has been started by placing at the center of the simulation box \( (L_x/2, L_y/2, L_z/2) \) either (i) a sphere (for equilibrium shape) or (ii) a rectangular slab (for growth studies) filled by a fairly accurate approximation [10] of the density distribution of the bulk crystal, oriented appropriately, or (iii) a \( 4a_0 \) thick slab of crystalline substrate (for heteroepitaxy) represented by a periodic potential term \( V(\mathbf{r})n \) added to the free energy, where \( V = -0.0533 \) for spherical regions located on a sc lattice constant \( a_0 \), and \( V = 0 \) otherwise.

First, to gain information on the anisotropy of the solid-liquid interface free energy \( \gamma \), we determined the (stable and metastable) equilibrium shapes (that minimize for a given cluster volume the contribution from \( \gamma \) and reflects its anisotropy). This has been done by growing spherical seeds until reaching equilibrium with the remaining liquid. The sc crystal lattice has proven unstable. We observe rhombo-dodecahedral, octahedral, and hexagonal-prism shapes for the bcc, fcc, and hcp structures, bound exclusively by the \{110\}, \{111\}, and \{1010\} and \{0001\} faces. This strong faceting (often seen in colloids [11]) emerges as a result of a thin crystal-liquid interface that extends to \( \sim 1-2 \) molecular layers. With the exception of hcp, where \( \gamma_{1010}/\gamma_{0001} = 1.08 \pm 0.01 \), the specific crystal shape prevents us from evaluating the anisotropy of \( \gamma \) by the Wulff construction.

Next, we study the dislocation-free growth of the \{100\}, \{110\} and \{111\} faces of the bcc and fcc structures, and the \{0001\}, \{1010\}, and \{1120\} faces of hcp. In all these cases, we observe flat fronts. The results for the bcc structure are summarized in Fig. 2. The local number density along the centerline in the growth direction \( z \), and the coarse-grained density (the local average, \( \langle n \rangle \), obtained by FIR filtering [12] the \( x-y \) plane averaged density) are shown

![FIG. 2. bcc crystal growth as predicted by the PFC model. (a) Simulation box with crystal growing into the (100) direction. (b) Local and coarse-grained (filtered) densities across the \{100\} interface. (c) Coarse-grained densities for the \{100\}, \{110\}, and \{111\} interfaces. (d) Depth of the depletion zone vs dimensionless time \( \tau \) in (b). (e) Peak amplitude vs \( \tau \) for (b). (f) Interface position vs \( \tau \) and (g) the velocity coefficients vs \( n_0 \) for the three low index orientations.](image-url)
in Fig. 2(b) for the \{100\} face. The density of crystals precipitating from the same far-field liquid density \(n_0\) differs significantly for the individual faces [Fig. 2(e)]. These crystal densities realize the maximum driving force possible for crystal growth from the respective depleted liquid (of density \(\bar{n}_{\text{min}}\)) ahead of the front. \(\bar{n}_{\text{min}}\) varies periodically with time [Fig. 2(d)], indicating a barrier-controlled layerwise growth process, presumably via 2D nucleation, consistently with the faceted morphology. The sharp drops in \(\bar{n}_{\text{min}}(\tau)\) correspond to the formation of new layers. Forming of a crystal plane is monitored by the amplitude of the respective density peak \(n_{\text{max}}\) that changes in sigmoidal steps [Fig. 2(e)]; the first three steps (two hardly perceptible and one of height of \(\sim0.2\)) stand for the density peaks from liquid ordering at the interface, followed by the largest step (\(\sim0.75\)) representing the crystallization of the layer. Because of ensemble averaging inherent in DFT, we cannot tell whether single or multiple nucleation takes place. (Simulations with Langevin noise inherent in DFT, we cannot tell whether single or multiple nucleation takes place.)

After a nucleation takes place. (Simulations with Langevin noise inherent in DFT, we cannot tell whether single or multiple nucleation takes place.) Because of ensemble averaging inherent in DFT, we cannot tell whether single or multiple nucleation takes place. (Simulations with Langevin noise inherent in DFT, we cannot tell whether single or multiple nucleation takes place.)

TABLE I. Velocity coefficient \(C\) for various interfaces of the bcc, fcc, and hcp structures at \(n_0 = -0.04\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>{100}</th>
<th>{110}</th>
<th>{111}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>0.824 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.474 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.948 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fcc</td>
<td>0.916 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.948 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hcp</td>
<td>0.228 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.940 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A few examples for the analogous growth of faceted crystals from solutions: The velocity ratio \(v_{100}/v_{110} \sim 2.3\) for \(^3\)He crystals (bcc) [16], is close to the present \(\sim1.7-2.7\), while the ratio \(v_{1010}/v_{0001} \sim 2.8\) observed for Ca(Oh)\(_2\) (hexagonal but not hcp) [17] accords reasonably with our \(\sim2.4\) for hcp; however, this agreement might be fortuitous.

The MD simulations indicate a relatively small kinetic anisotropy for the bcc structure, and the sequence of growth velocities varies with the applied potential [1], although usually \(v_{100} > v_{110}\) as here. The MD sequence for the hcp structure (Mg) [1] agrees with the PFC result; however, the anisotropy is smaller. Simulations for the fcc structure (Lennard-Jones, Ni, Ag, Au, Cu, and Fe) [1] indicate that the fastest and lowest growth rates apply for the \{100\} and \{111\} orientations. The ratio \(v_{100}/v_{110}\) varies in the range of 1.2–1.8, as opposed to the PFC result \(C_{100}/C_{110} = 0.97\) obtained at \(n_0 = -0.04\). These differences are attributable to the facts that unlike in MD simulations, we have diffusion-controlled growth here, and the MD simulations refer to materials of low melting entropy (\(S_t \sim k_B\)), whose crystal-liquid interface extends to 4–5 atomic layers, whereas with the present model parameters the PFC realizes a sharp interface.

Finally, we investigate how phase selection is influenced by a foreign substrate of sc structure. The lattice constant \(a_0\), has been varied in a range that incorporates the interatomic distance of the bulk fcc structure (8.204) and the lattice constant of the bulk bcc phase (9.021). With these choices the \{100\} face of sc is commensurable with the \{100\} faces of the bulk fcc and bcc structures, respectively. This scenario is analogous to depositing colloidal particles on a square-patterned substrate and also allows us to explore how stress influences the growth rate.

The structure of the crystals that grew in our simulations on the \{100\} face of the sc substrate, is body-centered tetragonal (bct). The axial ratio \(c/a\) varies continuously with \(a_0\) [Fig. 3(a)], where \(c\) and \(a\) are the lattice constants of the bct structure perpendicular and parallel to the surface of the substrate, respectively. At the appropriate \(a_0\) values, we observe the fcc and bcc structures. These find-
ings show that phase selection can be controlled via the structure of the substrate. Indeed, electrophoretic deposition of a charged polymer colloid on flat substrates with appropriate square-patterned holes produces both the fcc and the bcc structures [18].

The average growth rate \( \dot{\bar{v}} = \bar{Z}/\tau \) interpolates roughly linearly between the values for the fcc and bcc structures [Fig. 3(b)]. While on the fcc side a planar growth front is seen, for \( a_0 > 8.8 \) a rough surface composed of pyramids (bound by \{110\} and/or \{111\} facets for bcc) evolves presumably as a result of coupled Mullins-Sekerka–Asaro-Tiller-Grinfeld- (MS-ATG-) type instabilities expected in the stress-field the substrate generates in heteroepitaxy [19], probably further enhanced by faceting due to the anisotropy of the interfacial free energy [20]. The instability is reflected in the spatial variation of the average growth rate [see bars in Fig. 3(b)]. The occurrence of the instability on the bcc side is attributable to the lower anisotropy of the interfacial free energy [20], probably further enhanced by faceting due to the stress-field the substrate generates in heteroepitaxy [19], probably further enhanced by faceting due to the anisotropy of the interfacial free energy [20]. The instability is reflected in the spatial variation of the average growth rate [see bars in Fig. 3(b)]. The occurrence of the instability on the bcc side is attributable to the lower anisotropy of the interfacial free energy relative to the fcc side [1]. It is evident that the substrate plays a role, as in its absence a planar front is observed. As expected for a MS-ATG type instability in the absence of thermal gradient, it can be suppressed beyond a higher critical velocity [19]. In the case of \( a_0 = 8.933 \), this limit falls to \( n_0 = -0.005 \). Work is underway to quantify these phenomena further.
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