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ABSTRACT

We present results on multifrequency Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) monitoring observations of the double-image gravitationally lensed blazar JVAS B0218+357. Multi-epoch observations started less than one month after the $\gamma$-ray flare detected in 2012 by the Large Area Telescope on board Fermi, and spanned a 2-month interval. The radio light curves did not reveal any significant flux density variability, suggesting that no clear correlation between the high-energy and low-energy emission is present. This behaviour was confirmed also by the long-term Owens Valley Radio Observatory monitoring data at 15 GHz. The milliarcsecond-scale resolution provided by the VLBA observations allowed us to resolve the two images of the lensed blazar, which have a core-jet structure. No significant morphological variation is found by the analysis of the multi-epoch data, suggesting that the region responsible for the $\gamma$-ray variability is located in the core of the active galactic nuclei, which is opaque up to the highest observing frequency of 22 GHz.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the context of radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs), when the relativistic jet is closely aligned with the line of sight, the emission is enhanced by Doppler boosting. These AGNs represent the blazar population (Blandford & Königl 1979). Their spectral energy distribution (SED) is characterized by two broad peaks: one at low energies due to synchrotron emission and the other at high energies produced by Inverse Compton scattering. Due to the broad range of energies covered by the blazar SED, it is clear that multiwavelength studies are necessary for a proper understanding of their astrophysical properties. Blazars are characterized by rapid flux density variability in all bands. Following the causality argument, the short-term variability observed during flaring episodes indicates that the emission takes place in compact regions. Therefore, to investigate such small scales a primary requirement is milliarcsecond-scale...
angular resolution, which can be achieved using Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) in the radio band. A powerful approach to obtain accurate information about the structure of blazars is strong gravitational lensing. As a consequence of lensing, the radiation from the background source is deflected on paths with different lengths and is magnified. In this case, the appearance of multiple images of the lensed blazar (which are distorted according to the mass distribution of the lens) is expected and any intrinsic variability episode should be detected in the different images with a time-delay.

The blazar JVAS\textsuperscript{1} B0218+357 was identified as a lensing system by Patnaik et al. (1992) and is one of the brightest lensed objects in the Cosmic Lens All-Sky Survey (CLASS) sample (Brown et al. 2003; Myers et al. 2003). This radio source consists of two compact images (A and B) separated by $\sim 335$ mas and an Einstein ring with a similar size (O’Dea et al. 1992; Biggs et al. 2001). This lensed blazar has a redshift $z = 0.94$ (Cohen, Lawrence & Blandford 2003) and a frequency-dependent radio structure (Mittal et al. 2006). The lensing galaxy is a spiral galaxy at redshift $z = 0.68$ (Brown et al. 1993; York et al. 2005). The two images are resolved by VLBI and show the core and inner jet of the lensed source (Biggs et al. 2003). Given its variable radio emission, JVAS B0218+357 is an ideal target to measure the time-delay predicted by the lensing theory. The time-delay between variations in the two images was measured in the radio band and is $\sim 10$ d (Corbett et al. 1996; Biggs et al. 1999; Cohen et al. 2000).

JVAS B0218+357 was observed with the Fermi-Large Area Telescope (LAT; Abd et al. 2009). A bright $\gamma$-ray flare from JVAS B0218+357 was detected with the LAT in 2012 August (Ciprini et al. 2012), followed by the possible gravitationally lensed echo (Girotti et al. 2012). The $\gamma$-ray delay was then measured to be $11.46 \pm 0.16$ d which is one day greater than the previous radio measurements (Cheung et al. 2014). This can be taken as evidence of the $\gamma$-ray and radio emitting regions being in different parts of the background source (Barnacka et al. 2014, 2015b). This source was detected again by Fermi-LAT in 2014 (Buson & Cheung 2014), while the echo was seen by the Major Atmospheric Gamma Imaging Cherenkov (MAGIC) telescope (Mirzoyan et al. 2014). The Fermi-LAT continuously monitors hundreds of sources. So far, only one other gravitationally lensed blazar, PKS 1830–211, has been detected during a gamma-ray flare, and the possible gravitationally lensed echo was observed from this system around 20 d later (Barnacka, Glicenstein & Moudden 2011; Abd et al. 2015; Barnacka et al. 2015a; Neronov, Vovk & Malyshev 2015).

The connection between the radio and $\gamma$-ray emission in blazars has been investigated for a long time, and has received renewed attention during the Fermi-LAT era. The site of the $\gamma$-ray emission in blazars is still a matter of debate; the $\gamma$-ray radiation may originate in the radio emitting region or closer to the central supermassive black hole (SMBH). If the $\gamma$-ray and radio emission is due to a shock propagating along the jet, the $\gamma$-ray flare may be followed by a radio counterpart and the time-delay between the two flares depends on the opacity at the longer wavelengths. Sometimes, the ejection of a superluminal jet component is observed. To test this hypothesis, multiband and multi-epoch radio observations with milliarcsecond resolution of $\gamma$-ray blazars are necessary. With this aim, after the $\gamma$-ray flare in 2012, we performed a triggered monitoring campaign with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) of JVAS B0218+357.

With its milliarcsecond resolution, the VLBA is a primary tool to resolve compact radio sources, like JVAS B0218+357, with the aim of finding a possible correlation of the radio emission (outbursts, new pc-scale components, etc.) with episodes of high $\gamma$-ray activity. Moreover, the VLBA capabilities are also ideal to perform a study of the opacity variations within the radio core. The role of the VLBA for lensed sources is fundamental for studying their substructures and for determining the spatially resolved light curves, which can be compared with the $\gamma$-ray light curve.

In this paper we present results obtained from the multifrequency VLBA monitoring campaign of JVAS B0218+357. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the radio observations and the data reduction; in Section 3 we report the results, while a discussion and summary are presented in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

Throughout this paper, we assume $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ in a flat Universe. The spectral index $\alpha$ is defined as $S(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$.

## 2 Radio Data

### 2.1 VLBA Observations

We performed VLBA observations (BC214; PI: Cheung) at 2.3 GHz (S band), 8.4 GHz (X band) and 22 GHz (K band). The data were recorded at 512 Mbps. The observations were carried out between 2012 September 24 and 2012 November 25, with a separation of a few days. 16 epochs with 1 h observations at 22 GHz were interspersed in four instances with 1 h integration at 2.3/8.4 GHz to probe the spectral behaviour. The 2.3 and 8.4 GHz observations were simultaneous (Table 1).

Scans on the target JVAS B0218+357 of 4 min each were interleaved by scans on the phase and bandpass calibrator B0234+285. The correlation was performed at the VLBA correlator in Socorro and the data were processed with the Astronomical Image Processing Software (AIPS) package. The a priori amplitude calibration was applied using measurements of the system temperature and the antenna gains for each VLBA antenna.

Atmospheric opacity is not negligible at 22 GHz and all of the data sets at this frequency were corrected for this effect. The gain fluctuations found during the experiment were of the order of 7–8 per cent at 2.3/8.4 GHz and 10 per cent at 22 GHz, which we consider as a conservative estimate of the absolute flux-density calibration error. JVAS B0218+357 is strong enough to allow for fringe fitting. Since the structure of the target is complex, a first-guess, point-like model of the source was used. Then we obtained a preliminary source model of the target by cleaning the fields with episodes of high $\gamma$-ray activity.

### 2.2 OVRO Observations

JVAS B0218+357 is part of an ongoing blazar monitoring programme at 15 GHz with the OVRO 40-m radio telescope. This monitoring programme includes over 1500 confirmed and candidate $\gamma$-ray-loud blazars above declination $-20^\circ$ (Richards et al. 2011). The sources in this programme are observed in total intensity twice per week with a 4 mJy (minimum) and 3 per cent (typical) uncertainty. Observations are performed with a dual-beam (each with a 2.6 arcmin full width at half-maximum; FWHM) Dicke-switched system using the cold sky in the off-source beam as the reference.
Table 1. Observational parameters of B0218+357. Column 1: observing epoch; column 2: image; column 3: flux density at 2.3 GHz; column 4: flux density at 8.4 GHz; column 5: flux density at 22 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>$S_{2.3\text{GHz}}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{8.4\text{GHz}}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{22\text{GHz}}$ (mJy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24/09/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>805 ± 65</td>
<td>677 ± 48</td>
<td>499 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>304 ± 22</td>
<td>227 ± 16</td>
<td>143 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/09/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>516 ± 52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>142 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>807 ± 57</td>
<td>643 ± 51</td>
<td>622 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>322 ± 23</td>
<td>205 ± 16</td>
<td>177 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>659 ± 66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>183 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>648 ± 65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>179 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>634 ± 63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>187 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>632 ± 63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>164 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>628 ± 63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>184 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>840 ± 59</td>
<td>690 ± 55</td>
<td>618 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>315 ± 22</td>
<td>235 ± 19</td>
<td>178 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>613 ± 61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>185 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>597 ± 60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>167 ± 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/11/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>652 ± 65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>171 ± 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/11/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>652 ± 65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>160 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/11/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>611 ± 61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>171 ± 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/11/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>643 ± 64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>160 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/11/2012</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>847 ± 60</td>
<td>652 ± 52</td>
<td>593 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>303 ± 21</td>
<td>213 ± 21</td>
<td>173 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, the source is switched between beams to reduce atmospheric variations. The absolute flux density scale is calibrated using observations of 3C 286, adopting the flux density (3.44 Jy) from Baars et al. (1977). This results in about a 5 per cent absolute flux-density scale uncertainty, which is not reflected in the plotted errors.

3 RESULTS

3.1 VLBA images

The final VLBA images were produced after a number of phase self-calibration iterations. At the end of the process, we applied amplitude self-calibration to remove residual systematic errors.

The final root-mean-square (rms) noise (1σ) measured on the image plane is between 0.07 and 0.11 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 2.3 GHz, between 0.08 and 0.10 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 8.4 GHz and between 0.08 and 0.21 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 22 GHz. The restoring beam at 2.3, 8.4 and 22 GHz is 7.8 mas × 2.9 mas, 2 mas × 0.7 mas, and 0.8 mas × 0.3 mas, respectively. The total flux density of each image, as well as the extended emission, was measured by using an aperture integration over a selected region on the image plane. The total flux density of the two images, A and B, for all the observing epochs, is reported in Table 1. Images of A and B at 2.3, 8.4 and 22 GHz are shown in Fig. 1.

To improve the signal-to-noise ratio, at 2.3 and 22 GHz we produced a high-sensitivity image by stacking all of the images at the various epochs. Each image was reconstructed with the same circular beam (i.e. 8 mas at 2.3 GHz, and 0.5 mas at 22 GHz), and the alignment was checked by comparing the position of the peak of the optically thin jet base in image B. The images are shown in Figs 2 and 3.

The flux density and size of the compact substructures of each image were determined by a Gaussian fit on the image plane. The results are reported in Tables 2 and 3. The flux density errors are mainly due to the uncertainty on the absolute flux density scale (see Section 2.1), which dominates over the image rms.

3.2 VLBA morphology: parsec-scale structure

The high angular resolution of the VLBA observations allows us to resolve both images A and B, and their subcomponents, which are likely the core and the jet. At 22 GHz we achieve the best angular resolution, and the core-jet morphology of the source is well resolved (Fig. 1, bottom panel). Image B does not show significant image distortion, although the structure of image A is rather complex, as a result of the gravitational lensing and possibly scatter-broadening (Biggs et al. 2003; Mittal, Porcas & Wucknitz 2007). The overall position angle (p.a.) rotates from 2.3 to 22 GHz as a combined effect of angular resolution and spectral index (Fig. 1).

In the stacked images at low resolution (2.3 GHz), it is possible to recognize the core-jet structure in image B, while image A is still too complex to discern the core from the jet. Its emission is dominated by the diffuse component (Fig. 2). On the other hand, in the stacked images at 22 GHz it is possible to clearly recognize the typical core-jet structure in both of the images, although the source p.a. is different due to scatter-broadening (Fig. 3).

In order to quantify any possible variation in the jet of JVAS B0218+357, we fit the self-calibrated images at 22 GHz with elliptical Gaussian components. We used these images since they have the best resolution among the frequencies studied here and the fit to the components would be more accurate. Moreover the 22 GHz observations have a dense time sampling (16 epochs). Therefore, they are suitable to study the variability of the target. We could find only marginal variations in the fit parameters, mostly arising from differences in the uv-coverage, rather than being intrinsic to the source. Images of the fit residuals were analysed to evaluate possible additional components and to make sure that the fit parameters were a good representation of the source parameters. Therefore, the Gaussian components are an adequate depiction of the core and the jet, and they do not include any new knot along the jet.

To obtain basic physical information of the target source, such as the peak intensity and its position, the flux density and the deconvolved size of the elliptical Gaussian regions, more attention has been paid to the stacked images. This is because they have better sensitivity, and reduce the artefacts coming from the limited uv-coverage. This contributes to enhancing the sampling of the visibility function that was eventually found to be non-variable during the whole time range spanned by the VLBA observation. We fit image A with four Gaussian components and image B with three Gaussian components, and the values are reported in Tables 2 and 3. We used the same nomenclature as Patnaik, Porcas & Browne (1995); components A1 and B1 are interpreted as the core of JVAS B0218+357, A2, A3, A4, B2 and B3 are likely jet components.

Figure 1. Images of A (left) and B (right) at 2.3 GHz (top panel), 8.4 GHz (central panel) and 22 GHz (bottom panel). The beam is plotted on the bottom-left corner of each image. For each image, we provide the peak flux density in mJy beam$^{-1}$, the first contour (f.c.) intensity (mJy beam$^{-1}$), which is three times the off-source noise level measured on the image plane and the size of the beam. Contour levels increase by a factor of 2.
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3.3 Light curves

The VLBA flux density monitoring provides an important tool for the analysis of the variability in this lensed blazar. The 2.3/8.4 GHz observations were carried out to study the flux density variability of the core opacity rather than the total flux density variability. That is the reason why there are only four epochs at these frequencies. A better time sampling was available at 22 GHz. At this frequency the opacity should be less effective than at lower frequencies, and changes in the flux density may occur on shorter time-scales.

Within the large errors of the absolute flux-density calibration the light curves show that the flux density is almost constant for all of the period of observations in the three bands, as shown in Fig. 4. However, at 22 GHz the first and the second epoch data provided a flux density about 1σ below the average flux density measured in the other experiments. Such a difference is unlikely to be related to an intrinsic source variability, but it might be caused by amplitude calibration. In fact, images A and B appear weaker simultaneously, without any signature of a time-delay, expected in the case of an intrinsic flux density variation of a lensed source. We reiterate the light curves are consistent with no variability, due to the large uncertainty on the absolute flux density calibration from epoch to epoch.

However, since the flux density ratio is not affected by errors in the absolute flux calibration, we analysed the light curve of the flux density ratio (Fig. 5). This is a standard method for looking for the small-scale variability within gravitational lenses, because it avoids the systematic errors associated with the absolute flux calibration (e.g. Koopmans et al. 2003). This is not the preferred way for measuring the time-delay, but it is a useful method in order to have an indication of it. Moreover, if there is not any variability, the flux density ratio will be constant. Indeed there was variability during this period of observations and it can be associated with the intrinsic variability of the blazar rather than the γ-ray flare. Looking at the flux density ratio as a function of time (Fig. 5), between the first and the seventh epochs there is a rise of ∼10 per cent, which can be attributed to the increasing flux density of image A. There is evidence of a drop in flux ratio between the seventh and the tenth epochs caused by the delayed enlightenment of image B. This behaviour is expected since the source IVAS B0218+357 is intrinsically variable and the two images vary in the order A→B. Given the scatter, the average magnification ratio is 3.59 ± 0.16. Moreover, the time between the maximum and the minimum of the flux ratio change is 11 d and the typical percentage of the uncorrelated errors is ∼0.002 per cent.

The VLBA flux density monitoring provides an important tool for the analysis of the variability in this lensed blazar. The 2.3/8.4 GHz observations were carried out to study the flux density variability of the core opacity rather than the total flux density variability. That is the reason why there are only four epochs at these frequencies. A better time sampling was available at 22 GHz. At this frequency the opacity should be less effective than at lower frequencies, and changes in the flux density may occur on shorter time-scales.

Within the large errors of the absolute flux-density calibration the light curves show that the flux density is almost constant for all of the period of observations in the three bands, as shown in Fig. 4. However, at 22 GHz the first and the second epoch data provided a flux density about 1σ below the average flux density measured in the other experiments. Such a difference is unlikely to be related to an intrinsic source variability, but it might be caused by amplitude calibration. In fact, images A and B appear weaker simultaneously, without any signature of a time-delay, expected in the case of an intrinsic flux density variation of a lensed source. We reiterate the light curves are consistent with no variability, due to the large uncertainty on the absolute flux density calibration from epoch to epoch.

However, since the flux density ratio is not affected by errors in the absolute flux calibration, we analysed the light curve of the flux density ratio (Fig. 5). This is a standard method for looking for the small-scale variability within gravitational lenses, because it avoids the systematic errors associated with the absolute flux calibration (e.g. Koopmans et al. 2003). This is not the preferred way for measuring the time-delay, but it is a useful method in order to have an indication of it. Moreover, if there is not any variability, the flux density ratio will be constant. Indeed there was variability during this period of observations and it can be associated with the intrinsic variability of the blazar rather than the γ-ray flare. Looking at the flux density ratio as a function of time (Fig. 5), between the first and the seventh epochs there is a rise of ∼10 per cent, which can be attributed to the increasing flux density of image A. There is evidence of a drop in flux ratio between the seventh and the tenth epochs caused by the delayed enlightenment of image B. This behaviour is expected since the source IVAS B0218+357 is intrinsically variable and the two images vary in the order A→B. Given the scatter, the average magnification ratio is 3.59 ± 0.16. Moreover, the time between the maximum and the minimum of the flux ratio change is 11 d and the typical percentage of the uncorrelated errors is ∼0.002 per cent.
Table 2. Observational parameters of image A at 22 GHz. Column 1: Gaussian component; column 2: peak intensity; column 3: total flux density; column 4: deconvolved major axis; column 5: deconvolved minor axis; column 6: position angle of the major axis; column 7: position relative to component A1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>( S_P ) (mJy beam(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( S_I ) (mJy)</th>
<th>( \theta_{\text{maj}} ) (mas)</th>
<th>( \theta_{\text{min}} ) (mas)</th>
<th>p.a. (deg)</th>
<th>Pos. (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>259 ± 26</td>
<td>333 ± 33</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>65 ± 7</td>
<td>132 ± 13</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>(0.01, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>43 ± 4</td>
<td>59 ± 6</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>(0.02, 0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
<td>84 ± 8</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>(0.01, 1.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Observational parameters of image B at 22 GHz. Column 1: Gaussian component; column 2: peak intensity; column 3: total flux density; column 4: deconvolved major axis; column 5: deconvolved minor axis; column 6: position angle of the major axis; column 7: position relative to component A1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>( S_P ) (mJy beam(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( S_I ) (mJy)</th>
<th>( \theta_{\text{maj}} ) (mas)</th>
<th>( \theta_{\text{min}} ) (mas)</th>
<th>p.a. (deg)</th>
<th>Pos. (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>69 ± 7</td>
<td>78 ± 7</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(127.21, 309.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>35 ± 4</td>
<td>62 ± 6</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>(127.01, 310.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>(127.02, 310.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The light curves of images A (left) and B (right) in the three bands. Green circles represent 2.3 GHz data, red squares are the 8.4 GHz data and blue triangles are the 22 GHz data.

As a further check, the VLBA light curves were compared with the light curve at 15 GHz obtained by the OVRO data. The OVRO single dish has a resolution of 157 arcsec (~2.6 arcmin), and, therefore, it cannot resolve the source into images A and B. Hence, we decided to compare the sum of the flux densities of component A and B with the OVRO flux density measurements (Fig. 6, right-hand panel). The OVRO light curve turned out to be in good agreement with our results and JVAS B0218+357 did not show any significant small time-scale variability during 2012, with an exception of a longer decrease (~30 per cent) of the flux density from 2012 March (Fig. 6, left-hand panel). However, between 2013 July and March a flux density enhancement was detected by OVRO. This flux density increase might be related to both the \( \gamma \)-ray flare and the intrinsic flux density variability of the source.

The sum of the flux density of images A and B at 22 GHz is lower than the OVRO measurements. Since the observing frequency of OVRO is 15 GHz, this drop in the flux density may be assigned to the Einstein ring, which is not detected on the mas-scales of our VLBA imaging. In fact, the flux density of the Einstein ring was found to be ~126 mJy at 15 GHz (Patnaik et al. 1993).

4 DISCUSSION

The gravitationally lensed blazar JVAS B0218+357 is a variable source at all wavelengths from \( \gamma \)-rays to the radio band. Multi-epoch high-resolution observations are a fundamental tool for investigating flux density and morphological variability in blazars. Some \( \gamma \)-ray flares in blazars are likely associated with the
Figure 5. Flux ratio of A and B as a function of time at 22 GHz. The error bars represent the uncorrelated error, which is typically of the order of $\sim 0.002$ per cent. The vertical green solid lines define the maximum and the minimum of the light curve and are separated by $\sim 11$ d. The solid violet line represents the mean value of the magnification ratio, which is $3.59 \pm 0.16$. The $1\sigma$ uncertainties are traced as dashed blue lines.

Figure 6. Left: the OVRO light curve of the target; two vertical red solid lines and red triangles indicate the period of VLBA observations, the dashed blue line indicates the Fermi-LAT detection of the flare. Right: zoom of the OVRO light curve of B0218+357 (black circles) during the period of VLBA observations (MJD 556207–MJD 56269). The 22 GHz light curve is represented by red triangles.

In 2012 August, a $\gamma$-ray flare was observed from JVAS B0218+357 followed by the lensed echo with an 11 d time-delay. The analysis of the radio light curve did not reveal any radio flare. The flux density of the target was constant after the $\gamma$-ray flare without showing any increase, which could have been related to the $\gamma$-ray flare. This may be explained either by assuming that the $\gamma$-ray flare originated in a medium that is optically thick to the radio wavelengths or the $\gamma$-ray emission and the radio emission are not correlated. Cheung et al. (2014) estimated the size of the $\gamma$-ray emitting region to be less than $6 \times 10^{14}$ cm. This value is smaller than the previous radio constraint of the core region (Mittal et al. 2007). Another constraint on the $\gamma$-ray emitting region was obtained by microlensing measurements (Vovk & Neronov 2015), which is consistent with the value obtained by Cheung et al. (2014) under the assumption of a Lorentz factor of $\sim 1$. Our hypothesis is that this compact $\gamma$-ray emitting region is opaque to the radio band and, therefore, we were not able to detect the radio counterpart of the $\gamma$-ray flare. However, there is an apparent difference between the $\gamma$-ray delay and radio delay of 1 d. This difference can be attributed to a physical offset between the $\gamma$-ray and the radio emitting regions of $\sim 10$ mas ($\sim 70$ pc projected), as postulated by Cheung et al. (2014). Following this hypothesis, Barnacka et al. (2015b) have confirmed that there should be a physical displacement between the radio core and the $\gamma$-ray emitting region.

JVAS B0218+357 is not a unique case of a $\gamma$-ray only flaring blazar. For example, PKS 1830−211 experienced a bright $\gamma$-ray flare in 2010 October without showing any counterpart at lower frequencies (NIR-optical and X-ray). Donnarumma et al. (2011) explain this behaviour in terms of a ‘steady’ electron population. Another case is represented by the blazar PKS 1510−089. The $\gamma$-ray flare observed in 2009 March is not correlated with the radio and X-ray emission, instead it seems correlated with the optical emission (Abdo et al. 2010). Moreover, for most sources, Max-Moerbeck et al. (2014) did not detect significant correlation between the $\gamma$-ray and radio variations in the long-term analysis of the brightest blazars detected by the Fermi-LAT and monitored by OVRO, indicating a difficult multwavelength connection for the
majority of the sources. On the other hand, performing a stacking analysis, Fuhrmann et al. (2014) found a highly significant multi-band radio and γ-ray correlation for a sample of Fermi-LAT bright blazars, leaving open the debate on the origin of the radio and γ-ray emission.

As previously mentioned, the detection of strong γ-ray flares from blazar objects may occur close in time with the ejection of a new superluminal jet component. No evidence of a superluminal component is found in JVAS B0218+357 by the comparison of the multi-epoch images at 22 GHz. This may be related to the short time range spanned by our VLBA observations. We set an upper limit on the apparent separation velocity ($v_{\text{app}}$) using $v_{\text{app}} = D_A \times (1+z) \times \delta/\Delta t_{\text{obs}}$, where $D_A$ is the luminosity distance in cm, $\delta$ is the minor axis of the beam at 22 GHz in radians, $z$ is the redshift and $\Delta t_{\text{obs}}$ is the period of the observation in seconds. Therefore, the $v_{\text{app}}$ expected at 22 GHz is about ~90c, which is unlikely and is not observed even in the most extreme blazars (Jorstad et al. 2001; Lister et al. 2009, 2013; Orienti et al. 2013). For this reason we conclude that no new knots could have been detected with our VLBA campaign. The brightness temperature $T_B$ estimated in both the stacked images is about of $T_B \geq (4-9) \times 10^{10}$ K for the core component and of $T_B \geq (1-6) \times 10^{9}$ K for the jet components. Given that the measured values of $T_B$ are lower limits, it is likely that they are affected by beaming effects (Readhead 1994).

5 SUMMARY

We have presented results on a multifrequency VLBA monitoring campaign of the γ-ray flaring gravitationally lensed blazar JVAS B0218+357. The observations were spread over a two-month period and started roughly one month after the γ-ray flaring activity detected by Fermi-LAT in 2012 August. Although the source was very active in the γ-rays, it did not show any significant variability in the radio band, precluding us from investigating any possible connection between the high-energy and low-energy emission. On time-scales longer than those spanned by our VLBA observations, the OVRO light curve at 15 GHz indicates some variability, but the change in the flux density is within 10 per cent, i.e. much smaller than what is found at high energies. The lack of a radio flare may suggest that the region responsible for the γ-ray activity is compact and located close to the innermost part of the AGNs, which is opaque to the radio wavelengths. The high angular resolution of our VLBA data allowed us to resolve the source images into subcomponents and determining their core-jet structure. Some distortion effects are present in component A, where at 2.3 and 8.4 GHz the core-jet structure is hidden by diffuse emission. No evidence of a change in the source morphology was found by comparing the multi-epoch images at 22 GHz, supporting the steady behaviour of the source in the radio band.
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