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ABSTRACT
We report on Fermi/Large Area Telescope observations of the accreting black hole low-mass X-ray binary V404 Cygni during its outburst in 2015 June–July. Detailed analyses reveal a possible excess of γ-ray emission on 2015 26 June, with a very soft spectrum above 100 MeV, at a position consistent with the direction of V404 Cyg (within the 95 per cent confidence region and a chance probability of 4 × 10^{-4}). This emission cannot be associated with any previously known Fermi source. Its temporal coincidence with the brightest radio and hard X-ray flare in the light curve of V404 Cyg, at the end of the main active phase of its outburst, strengthens the association with V404 Cyg. If the γ-ray emission is associated with V404 Cyg, the simultaneous detection of 511 keV annihilation emission by INTEGRAL requires that the high-energy γ-rays originate away from the corona, possibly in a Blandford–Znajek jet. The data give support to models involving a magnetically arrested disc where a bright γ-ray jet can re-form after the occurrence of a major transient ejection seen in the radio.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The microquasars consist of an accreting black hole or neutron star in a binary system with transient or persistent relativistic jets (Mirabel & Rodriguez 1999). They display a wide range of behaviour at all wavelengths (e.g. Tauris & van den Heuvel 2006), but they have rarely been detected at high-energy γ-rays, despite the high-energy particles produced in their jets (Corbel et al. 2002). Relativistic particles in the jet could emit γ-rays, either by Compton upscattering the low-energy photons from the accretion disc/stellar field (e.g. Georganopoulos, Aharonian & Kirk 2002; Bosch-Ramon, Romero & Paredes 2006) or by pion decays from inelastic collisions between jet particles and stellar wind protons (Romero et al. 2003). Gamma-ray photons could also be produced at the shock regions where the jets encounter the interstellar medium (Bosch-Ramon, Perucho & Bordas 2011) or within the jet itself (Atoyan & Aharonian 1999). Despite their recurrent outbursts, only the microquasar Cyg X–3 (and perhaps Cyg X–1; Bodaghee et al. 2013; Malyshev, Zdziarski & Chernyakova 2013) behaves like a clearly identified transient high-energy emitter (Fermi LAT Collaboration et al. 2009; Tavani et al. 2009). The latter’s γ-ray flare is strongly correlated to the radio emission originating from the relativistic jets (Corbel et al. 2012). With a donor star of mass >10 M_{⊙}, they are consistent with being high-mass X-ray binaries.

The low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB) V404 Cygni (also known as GS 2023+338) underwent an exceptional outburst phase in 2015 June. V404 Cyg is a nearby system (2.39±0.14 kpc; Miller-Jones et al. 2009) harbouring an ~9 M_{⊙} black hole and an ~1 M_{⊙} companion star (Wagner et al. 1992; Khargharia, Froning & Robinson 2010) with a 6.5 d orbital period (Casares, Charles & Nayler 1992). After a 26 yr long quiescent period (e.g. Rana et al. 2016), renewed activity was detected with the Swift/BAT (Barthelmy et al. 2015) and Fermi/GBM (Younes 2015; Jenke et al. 2016, up to 300 keV) on June 15 (MJD 57188). This triggered a worldwide multiwavelength monitoring campaign from radio (Mooley et al. 2015) to
hard X-rays (Rodriguez et al. 2015a) until V404 Cyg faded towards its quiescent accretion level in 2015 August (Sivakoff et al. 2015). The source has since undergone a fainter re-brightening, in 2015 December 2015 (e.g. Beardo, Page & Kuulkers 2015).

We took advantage of the intense activity and monitoring of V404 Cyg to probe its high-energy emission with the Large Area Telescope (LAT; Atwood et al. 2009) on board Fermi. The γ-ray analysis leading to the marginal detection of a flare is described in Section 2. Its origin is discussed in Section 3.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We have analysed the Pass 8 Fermi/LAT data covering the period 2008 August 4 to 2015 July 17. We used the Fermi Science Tools (version v10r1p1) with the Instrument Response Functions set P8R2_SOURCE_V6. A 15° acceptance cone centred on the infrared position of V404 Cyg has been considered. LAT photons labelled as SOURCE (evclass = 128) were selected in the energy range from 100 MeV to 100 GeV. Events were also filtered based on the quality of the PSF, choosing the three best partitions (PSF 1 to 3; evtype = 56) and standard filters on the data quality were applied. To minimize the contamination by Earth limb photons, γ-ray events with reconstructed directions pointing above a 90° zenith angle have been excluded.

In order to first constrain the emission of the whole region (nearby point-like sources and diffuse sky components), we performed a binned maximum-likelihood spectral analysis using the NewMinuit optimization algorithm implemented in gtlike. In the modelling of the region of interest (RoI), we have included the standard templates for the Galactic and isotropic backgrounds (gll_iem_v06.fits and iso_P8R2_SOURCE_V6_v06.txt) and we used the spectral models listed in the 4-yr Fermi catalogue (Acero et al. 2015; hereafter 3FGL) for all the sources within a 25° radius. The normalization parameters were left free to vary for the diffuse components and for the sources either within 5° from the RoI centre or labelled as variable (i.e. a variability index greater than 72.44; 3FGL). The results of the binned likelihood analysis using 7 yr of LAT data, without including V404 Cyg which is not detected over this timescale, are fully consistent with those of the 3FGL catalogue. For instance, the flux normalization for the blazar B2023+336 (3FGL J2025.2+3340; Kara et al. 2012), which is only ∼0.32 away from V404 Cyg, differs by only ∼3 per cent from the catalogue value. In addition, the residual maps do not show any noticeable structures, especially around the Galactic plane.

2.1 Gamma-ray variability

To study the shortest time-scale variations, we have fixed every source model parameter at their fitted values from the binned analysis and added a point-source at the location of V404 Cyg, modelled as a power law with free normalization and index. Un-binned analyses over 12 or 6-h bins were performed, with each bin shifted by 6 or 1 h from the previous one, respectively (see Figs 1 and 2). Whenever the derived Test Statistic (TS) value was lower than 9 (corresponding to ≤3σ detection significance, Mattox et al. 1996), we computed 95 per cent upper limits on the high-energy flux using the method of Helene (1991) as implemented in the pSeylike module of the ScienceTools. Otherwise, integrated γ-ray fluxes along with 1σ statistical error bars are provided. The LAT exposure (averaged on 12 h) ranges between 1 and 4 × 107 cm2 s during the considered period, anticorrelated with the flux upper limits in Fig. 1, and is ∼2.5 × 107 cm2 s during the γ-ray excess on MJD 57199.2.

Our variability analysis (performed between MJD 57140 and 57225) encompassing the June outburst period of V404 Cyg reveals the presence of a weak γ-ray excess at a location close to the LMXB around MJD 57199 (2015 June 26). The date of the γ-ray excess maximum statistical significance is estimated at MJD 57199.2 ± 0.1 (based on our 6-h bins, see Fig. 2), although we caution that the measurements are not independent since the bins overlap in exposure. At the position of V404 Cyg, we measure a peak photon flux $F_\gamma = (2.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, using the 6-h bins (quoted errors are statistical and dominate the systematic uncertainties), for a corresponding TS value of 15.3 (the maximum on 12-h bins is $F_\gamma = (1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for TS = 15.2, see Fig. 3). The spectrum is very soft and scales as $F_\gamma \propto E^{-3.5 \pm 0.8}$.

As a verification procedure, we have computed the 12-h bin light curve (LC) of the closest Fermi source: 3FGL J2025.2+3340, with the normalization of its spectral component left free to vary and excluding V404 Cyg from the model. This blazar underwent one flaring episode in 2009 July which lasted tens of days (Fermi All-sky Variability Analysis; Ackermann et al. 2013) and is labelled as variable in the 3FGL catalogue (with a variability index of 278.7;
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2.2 Test statistic maps and localization

We constructed TS maps during the outburst of V404 Cyg to test whether the detection could be due to a nearby source. We show in Fig. 3 the TS map built from a 12 h integration around the date of the highest flux in the 0.1–2 GeV energy range and excluding V404 Cyg from the source model. The residual TS map shows a transient excess close to the location of V404 Cyg with a peak TS value of about 20 (≈4.5σ). The TS value drops to ≈6 if photons below 200 MeV are not considered, in agreement with the computed soft spectrum.

We used the tool gtfindsrc to localize the point source at the origin of the γ-ray excess emission. The best-fitting location is at RA(J2000) = 305:31, Dec = 33:63 with a TS of 17.6 on 6 h and a similar flux than reported in Section 2.1. The 68 and 95 per cent confidence radii are r68 = 0.43 and r95 = 0.69 (including corrections; 3FGL), represented as concentric circles in Fig. 3. The excess lies 0.63 from V404 Cyg’s position, 0.84 away from the blazar B2023+336 and 1.48 away from the next closest source, the pulsar PSR J2028+3332 (3FGL J2028.3+3332; Pletsch et al. 2012). The latter is not known to vary (variability index of 51.2, 3FGL). The position of the excess γ-ray emission thus excludes the two closest known sources. However, V404 Cyg is within the 95 per cent confidence region of the excess. The localization cannot be better constrained due to the softness of the photons, implying a larger PSF, and the short integration time, limiting the number of photons.

2.3 Hard X-ray and radio observations

In Fig. 2, we plot the V404 Cyg LCs obtained from observations with the IBIS/ISGRI imager on board the INTEGRAL satellite (data reduction similar to Rodriguez et al. 2015a). 100-s binned LCs and hardness ratios are presented in the bands 20–40 and 80–150 keV along with mean Crab levels over the year 2015 (respectively, 152.9 and 33.2 ct s⁻¹).

The 15 GHz observations were obtained using the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) 40-m telescope. Calibration is achieved using a temperature-stable diode noise source to remove receiver gain drifts and the flux density scale is derived from observations of 3C 286 (Baars et al. 1977). Details of the reduction and
calibration procedure are found in Richards et al. (2011). V404 Cyg was observed with the Arcminute Microkelvin Imager (AMI-LA, 13–17 GHz), operating as part of the University of Oxford’s 4 PI SKY transients programme (Fender et al., in preparation). J2025+3343 was used as phase calibrator and the absolute flux calibration was done using 3C 286 (Baars et al. 1977). The calibration and RFI excision were done using AMI-REDUCE (Davies et al. 2009). LCs centred at 13.9 GHz were extracted from the calibrated data via vector averaging of the UV data.

3 DISCUSSION

We have found transient excess $\gamma$-ray emission at a position consistent with that of V404 Cyg, which we could not associate with a previously-known Fermi/LAT source. Formally, the TS of this excess is not sufficiently high to claim a detection, once the number of trials associated with constructing the LC is taken into account. The probability of measuring a TS (distributed as a $\chi^2_1$) value $\geq 15.3$ over the 10 d of the outburst, given $320, 6 h$ long, independent trials is $\sim 0.2$ per cent. The integrated PSF of the LAT for the soft excess seen towards V404 Cyg, in the 0.1–2 GeV band, has a 1.5 HWHM.

By comparing to 12 h long all-sky maps averaged before and after the time interval of the X-ray outburst, we estimate the chance probability of having a transient or fluctuation as bright as the excess at $\sim 0.2$ per cent. So, the $\sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ probability of having a random, point-source, $\gamma$-ray excess at the time of the X-ray outburst, towards V404 Cyg supports the detection of an associated $\gamma$-ray flare. Its temporal coincidence with the brightest daily averaged Swift/BAT flare, associated with a marked change in the multiv wavelength properties of the source, provides a compelling reason to posit a detection of transient $\gamma$-ray emission from V404 Cyg.

3.1 Multiwavelength behaviour

V404 Cyg showed strong flaring activity from radio to hard X-rays over a period of about 10 d following the initial detection of the outburst on MJD 57188. The brightest flares occurred at the end of this period, close in time to the detection of the $\gamma$-ray excess we report. As shown by the AMI 13.9 GHz LC (Fig. 2), a giant radio flare with a peak above 3.4 Jy occurred $\sim 6 h$ before the $\gamma$-ray flare peak. Our 15 GHz OVRO data (Fig. 2), as well as VLA and VLBA (J. Miller-Jones, private communication) and RATAN (Trushkin, Nzhelskij & Tsybulev 2015) observations conducted around MJD 57198 and 57200 do not indicate the presence of other major radio flares. At hard X-rays, the brightest flare reaches about 57 Crab at 20–40 keV and occurs $\sim 14 h$ after the radio flare (i.e. starting on MJD 57199.5). This is preceded by another hard X-ray flare around MJD 57199.2 that is coincident with the Fermi/LAT $\gamma$-ray excess. Although its 20–40 keV intensity is lower than the maximum $\sim 29$ Crab over the whole outburst period, see Fig. 2. The activity on MJD 57198–57200 in radio and hard X-rays is also accompanied by the third (and last) detection of $e^+e^-$ pair annihilation (Siegent et al. 2016). The annihilation flux LC follows the 100–200 keV flux over these two days, including the dip at MJD 57199.4. The X-ray spectral fits suggest annihilation occurs in two zones with, respectively, $kT \sim 2$ keV and $\sim 500$ keV. Siegent et al. (2016) performed an LAT study during the largest positron flare (MJD 57199.616–57200.261) and derived a $8 \times 10^{-7}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ upper limit that is consistent with our upper limit of $8.7 \times 10^{-7}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ on the same time interval, above 100 MeV. The fastest optical variability on MJD 57199.2 is associated with a compact jet that is forming and dissipating sporadically (Gandhi et al. 2016). The activity and emission levels decrease markedly at all wavelengths after MJD 57200. The $\gamma$-ray excess thus appears related to the last spur of activity of the source, preceding the brightest enhancement of 511 keV emission, before it started to fade.

3.2 Gamma-ray emission from the jet?

The association of the $\gamma$-ray excess with major radio activity and marked transition to a softer X-ray state (Fig. 2) are reminiscent of Cyg X–3, where $\gamma$-ray emission is detected when the radio flux is above $\sim 0.2$ Jy and when X-ray emission is soft – but not too much so (Corbel et al. 2012). The $\gamma$-ray luminosity from V404 Cyg is $\sim 2 \times 10^{38} (d/2.4\text{kpc})^2 \text{erg s}^{-1}$, a factor of $\sim 5$ fainter than the typical $>100$ MeV luminosity from Cyg X–3. This is a minor fraction of the overall luminosity output, which reached $\sim 2 \times 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (Rodriguez et al. 2015a). $\gamma$-ray emission may thus be much fainter in V404 Cyg than in Cyg X–3, either intrinsically or because of the boosting depending on the Lorentz factor and orientation of the emission in the relativistic jet (Grenier, Kaufman Bernadó & Romero 2005). Radio VLBI imaging of the jet should be able to narrow down such a possibility. In both cases, it is likely that we have detected only the brightest flaring episode during the outburst.

V404 Cyg appeared to stay in a hard or intermediate state during this outburst (Rodriguez et al. 2015a; Jenke et al. 2016; Kimura et al. 2016; Radhika et al. 2016). The tentative high-energy $\gamma$-ray detections of the microquasar Cyg X–1 are also associated with this spectral state (and/or at the transition to the soft state; Sabatini et al. 2013). Bogadhe et al. (2013) found 21 daily measurements for which TS $> 9$ at the position of the source, mostly when it was in a hard or intermediate state. Malyshve et al. (2013) obtained a total TS $\sim 15$ by integrating all the hard state data and no detection in the soft state. The $\gamma$-ray emission from Cyg X–1 is not a simple extrapolation of the $>100$ keV power-law emission detected in 3. This is a minor fraction of the overall luminosity output, which reached $\sim 2 \times 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (Rodriguez et al. 2015a). Models suggest that the high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission is located elsewhere, most likely associated with non-thermal emission from the jet (Malyshve et al. 2013). The association in V404 Cyg of high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission contemporaneous with annihilating $e^+e^-$ pairs further supports this picture. Radiative models of coronal plasmas show significant $511$ keV line emission when the pair energy input is primarily non-thermal and when the corona is very dense, i.e. a large opacity to pair production on $>100$ MeV photons (e.g. Svensson 1987). The high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission must thus originate away from the corona.

An intriguing possibility is that the ejection during the radio flare is associated with the disruption of a jet extracting energy from the black hole through the Blandford–Znajek process (Blandford & Znajek 1977). Numerical simulations indicate this process to be efficient when magnetic flux dragged in by a thick accretion flow piles up to create a ‘magnetically arrested disc’ (MAD) close to the black hole (Tchekhovskoy, Narayan & McKinney 2011; McKinney, Tchekhovskoy & Blandford 2012). O’Riordan, Pe’er & McKinney (2016) show that such a configuration leads to high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission in the hard state, due to Compton upscattering of jet or disc synchrotron photons. In contrast, the $\gamma$-ray emission is very weak when the built-up magnetic flux is insufficient to suppress standard accretion. In this scenario, a transient ejection occurs when the MAD configuration is disrupted (reconnects) due to incoming magnetic flux of opposite polarity (Dexter et al. 2014). O’Riordan et al. (2016) indicate that the re-formed jet is brighter in $\gamma$-rays than prior to the major ejection. Hence, the brief $\gamma$-ray emission from
V404 Cyg following a major radio flare might be associated with the temporary re-formation of a powerful Blandford–Znajek jet.

The present observations support the presence of γ-ray emission associated with ejections in microquasars, and for the first time from an accreting black hole with a low-mass donor companion. This emission is weak and variable, with a low statistical significance. Some perseverance will be required to clarify the multiwavelength context in which it appears in this and other microquasars, thus fulfilling its potential as a diagnostic of the accretion–ejection process.
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